



# KEEYASK GENERATION PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT AQUATIC ENVIRONMENT SUPPORTING VOLUME

#### Prepared by

Keeyask Hydropower Limited Partnership
Winnipeg, Manitoba

June 2012



Canadian Environmental Assessment

Registry Reference Number: 11-03-64144

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Management and coordination of the Aquatic Environment Supporting Volume (AE SV):

#### Manitoba Hydro

Nick Barnes, B.Sc., M.Sc.

Stephanie Backhouse, B.Sc., M.Sc.

Marilynn Kullman, B.Sc., M.Sc.

#### North/South Consultants Inc. (NSC)

Stuart Davies, B.Sc.

Friederike Schneider-Vieira, B.Sc., Ph.D. Don MacDonell, B.Sc., M.N.R.M., C.C.E.P.

Richard Remnant, B.Sc., M.N.R.M.

Lead authors and individuals responsible for the preparation of the AE SV:

#### **NSC**

Cam Barth B.Sc., M.Sc., Ph.D.

Ron Bretecher N.R.M.T. (Hon.)

Megan Cooley, B.Sc., M.Sc. Paul Cooley, B.Sc., M.Sc., Ph.D.

Kathleen Dawson, B.Sc., M.Sc.

Jodi Holm, B.Sc., M.Sc.

Wolfgang Jansen, B.Sc., M.Sc., Ph.D.

Jarod Larter, B.Sc.

Jaymie MacDonald, B.Sc. Pat Nelson, B.Sc., Ph.D.

Leanne Zrum, B.Sc., M.Sc.

Additional individuals who contributed to the AE SV, either through field or office work:

#### **NSC**

James Aiken, B.Sc., M.Sc.

Ken Ambrose, N.R.M.T.

Mark Blanchard

Lisa Capar, B.Sc., M.Sc.

Regan Caskey, N.R.M.T.

Leanne Dolce Blanchard, B.Sc., GIS App. Spec.

Sandie Donato

Gaylen Eaton, B.Sc., M.N.R.M.

Elena Fishkin, B.A., Adv. Dip. GIS

Shari Fournier, B.A. (Hon.)

Rochelle Gnanapragasam, B.Sc.

Laura Henderson, B.Sc., M.Sc.

Sue Hertam, B.Sc., M.Sc.

Stacy Hnatiuk-Stewart, B.Sc., M.Sc.

Claire Hrenchuk, B.Sc., M.Sc.

Duane Hudd, B.Sc.

Michael Johnson, B.Sc., M.Sc.

Craig Jones

Kristine Juliano, B.A., M.N.R.M.

Cheryl Klassen, B.Sc., M.Sc., Ph.D.

Erin Koga, B.Sc.

Mary Lang

Christian Lavergne, N.R.M.T., B.Sc., M.Sc.

Michael Lawrence, B.Sc.A.

Kurt Mazur, B.Sc., M.Sc.

Craig McDougall, B.Sc., M.Sc.

Yhana Michaluk, B.Sc.

Joe Mota, B.Sc.

Lee Murray, B.Sc., M.Sc.

Laurel Neufeld, B.Sc., M.Sc.

Candace Parker, B.A., Adv. Dip. GIS

Darcy Pisiak, B.Sc., M.Sc.

Tobie Savard, B.Sc.

Dirk Schmid, B.Sc., M.Sc.

Tom Sutton, B.A., Adv. Dip. GIS

David Szczepanski, N.R.M.T.



AQUATIC ENVIRONMENT ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

i

We would also like to thank all of the First Nation Members from TCN, WLFN, FLCN, and YFFN who participated in the aquatic field studies and willingly shared their knowledge with us.

In addition, we would like to thank Manitoba Hydro for their valuable time spent in discussions about the content of this volume.

We would also like to recognize the late Doug Gibson who was involved in many of the Keeyask aquatic field studies. Doug was a co-worker and friend to all of us at NSC and will not be forgotten.



## **ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS**



| Acronym/Abbreviation |   |
|----------------------|---|
| %F                   | percent flooding  |
| ABS                  | Acrylonitrile butadiene styrene   |
| AEA                  | Adverse Effects Agreements  |
| AEMP                 | Aquatic Effects Monitoring Plan   |
| AE SV                | Aquatic Environment Supporting Volume   |
| AIC                  | Akaike's Information Criterion  |
| ANOVA                | Analysis of Variance  |
| ASL                  | Above sea level   |
| ATK                  | Aboriginal traditional knowledge  |
| AVR                  | The ratio of flooded area to reservoir volume   |
| BCMELP               | British Columbia Ministry of Environment, Land, and Parks   |
| ВСМОЕ                | British Columbia Ministry of the Environment  |
| BG                   | background  |
| BOD                  | Biochemical oxygen demand   |
| CAMPP                | Coordinated Aquatic Monitoring Pilot Program  |
| CCME                 | Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment  |
| CCREM                | Canadian Council of Resource and Environment Ministers  |
| CDED                 | Canadian Digital Elevation Data   |
| CFIA                 | Canadian Food Inspection Agency   |
| CL                   | Confidence limits (statistics)  |
| CM                   | Core monitoring   |
| СМАММ                | Canada-Manitoba Agreement on the Study and Monitoring of Mercury in the Churchill River Diversion |
| CNP                  | Cree Nation Partners  |
| COSEWIC              | Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada  |
| CPUE                 | Catch-per-unit-effort   |
| CRD                  | Churchill River Diversion   |
| CUE                  | Catch per unit effort (EMP; not corrected for hours)  |
| DBD                  | Depositional boundary depth   |
| DELT                 | Deformities, erosion, lesions or tumours  |
| DFO                  | Fisheries and Oceans Canada (formerly known as Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada)         |



| Acronym/Abbreviation |   |
|----------------------|---|
| DIN                  | Dissolved inorganic nitrogen              |
| DL                   | Detection limit                           |
| DO                   | Dissolved oxygen                          |
| DOC                  | Dissolved organic carbon                  |
| DP                   | Total dissolved phosphorus                |
| EC                   | Environment Canada                        |
| EE                   | Existing Environment                      |
| EIS                  | Environmental Impact Statement            |
| EnvPP                | Environmental Protection Plan             |
| ELA                  | Experimental Lakes Area                   |
| ELARP                | Experimental Lakes Area Reservoir Project |
| EMP                  | Ecological Monitoring Program             |
| EMPA                 | Excavated Material Placement Area         |
| ETL                  | Enviro-Test Laboratories                  |
| FEMP                 | Federal Ecological Monitoring Program     |
| FFMC                 | Freshwater Fish Marketing Corporation     |
| FL                   | Fork length                               |
| FLCN                 | Fox Lake Cree Nation                      |
| FLUDEX               | Flooded Upland Dynamics Experiment        |
| FOM                  | Fine organic material                     |
| FSL                  | Full Supply Level                         |
| GBT                  | Gas bubble trauma                         |
| GHG                  | Greenhouse gases                          |
| GIS                  | Geographic Information System             |
| GPS                  | Global positioning system                 |
| GS                   | Generating station                        |
| HSC                  | Habitat Suitability Curve                 |
| HSI                  | Habitat Suitability Index                 |
| HZI                  | Hydraulic zone of influence               |
| IC                   | Inorganic carbon                          |
| IEZ                  | Intermittently Exposed Zone               |



| Acronym/Abbreviation |  |
|----------------------|--|
| ITIS                 | Integrated Taxonomic Information System  |
| KCNs                 | Keeyask Cree Nations   |
| KIP                  | Keeyask Infrastructure Project   |
| KIP EA               | Keeyask Infrastructure Project Environmental Assessment Report                         |
| LC50                 | Concentration at which 50% mortality of a test organism occurs                         |
| LDA                  | Linear Discriminant Analysis   |
| LEL                  | Lowest Effect Level  |
| LK                   | Local Knowledge  |
| LNRIS                | Lower Nelson River Information System  |
| LOD                  | Large organic debris   |
| LWCNRSB              | Lake Winnipeg, Churchill and Nelson Rivers Study Board                                 |
| LWR                  | Lake Winnipeg Regulation   |
| MAC                  | Maximum Acceptable Concentration   |
| Max                  | maximum  |
| MCWS                 | Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship  |
| MDMNR                | Manitoba Department of Mines and Natural Resources                                     |
| MEMP                 | Manitoba Ecological Monitoring Program   |
| Min                  | minimum  |
| MMMR                 | "Monitoring of mercury concentrations in fish in northern Manitoba reservoirs" program |
| MOL                  | Minimum Operating Level  |
| MWS                  | Manitoba Water Stewardship   |
| MWQSOG               | Manitoba Water Quality Standards, Objectives, and Guidelines                           |
| n                    | Sample size  |
| NCN                  | Nisichawayasihk Cree Nation  |
| NHC                  | Northwest Hydraulic Consultants Inc.   |
| NHN                  | National Hydro Network   |
| NRSCB                | Nelson River Sturgeon Co-Management Board  |
| NSC                  | North/South Consultants Inc.   |
| NTU                  | Nephelometric Turbidity Units  |
| OC                   | Organic carbon   |
| O <sub>f</sub>       | Fibrous organic material   |



| Acronym/Abbrevia | tion   |
|------------------|--|
| OSc              | Distance from the origin of white water and/or a hydraulic feature |
| ON               | Organic nitrogen   |
| PAL              | Protection of Aquatic Life   |
| PCA              | Principal component analysis                                       |
| PD SV            | Project Description Supporting Volume                              |
| PE SV            | Physical Environment Supporting Volume                             |
| PEL              | Probable Effect Level  |
| PE SV            | Physical Environment Supporting Volume                             |
| PF               | Pre-flood  |
| PP               | Post-Project   |
| PPER             | Post Project Environmental Review                                  |
| PR               | Predictive reservoir   |
| PRSD             | Percent Relative Standard Deviation                                |
| PTR              | Provincial Trunk Road  |
| QA/QC            | Quality Assurance/Quality Control                                  |
| QTC              | Quester Tangent Corporation  |
| RA               | Relative abundance   |
| RAI              | Relative abundance index   |
| RESMERC          | Reservoir mercury (model)  |
| RI               | Rate of infestation  |
| RMA              | Resource Management Area   |
| ROC              | Relative operating characteristic                                  |
| ROW              | Right-of-way   |
| RR               | Recapture rate   |
| SARA             | Species At Risk Act  |
| SAW              | Study area waterbodies   |
| SE               | Standard Error of the Mean   |
| SE SV            | Socio-economic Environment, Resource Use, and Heritage             |
|                  | Resources Supporting Volume  |
| SEL              | Severe Effect Level  |
| SEM              | Specific effects monitoring  |
| SIL              | Southern Indian Lake   |



| Acronym/Abbreviation |   |
|----------------------|---|
| SOD                  | Sediment oxygen demand                      |
| SQG                  | Sediment Quality Guideline                  |
| Std.                 | Standard deviation                          |
| TCN                  | Tataskweyak Cree Nation                     |
| TCU                  | True colour units                           |
| TDG                  | Total dissolved gas                         |
| TDGS                 | Total dissolved gas super-saturation        |
| TDN                  | Total dissolved nitrogen                    |
| TDS                  | Total dissolved solids                      |
| TEMA                 | Tataskweyak Environmental Monitoring Agency |
| TE SV                | Terrestrial Environment Supporting Volume   |
| TGP                  | Total gas pressure                          |
| TIC                  | Total inorganic carbon                      |
| TKN                  | Total Kjeldahl nitrogen                     |
| TL                   | Total length                                |
| TN                   | Total nitrogen                              |
| TOC                  | Total organic carbon                        |
| TP                   | Total phosphorus                            |
| TPP                  | Total particulate phosphorus                |
| TSS                  | Total suspended solids                      |
| Ucrit                | Critical swimming velocity                  |
| UMA                  | Underwood McLellan and Associates Ltd.      |
| UPF                  | upstream percent flooding                   |
| UTM                  | Universal Transverse Mercator               |
| VEC                  | Valued Environmental Component              |
| WLFN                 | War Lake First Nation                       |
| WQI                  | Water quality index                         |
| WSHA                 | Weighted suitable habitat area              |
| WUA                  | Weighted usable area                        |
| YFFN                 | York Factory First Nation                   |
| YOY                  | Young-of-the-year                           |



# **UNIT LIST**



| Unit                                   | Abbreviation             |
|--|--------------------------|
| ampere                                 | A                        |
| centimetre                             | cm                       |
| centimetres per second                 | cm/s                     |
| coliform forming units                 | CFU                      |
| coliform forming units per millilitre  | CFU/mL                   |
| condition factor                       | K                        |
| cubic kilometre                        | km <sup>3</sup>          |
| cubic metre                            | m <sup>3</sup>           |
| cubic metres per second                | m <sup>3</sup> /s or cms |
| cubic micrometre                       | μm³                      |
| Daily average discharge                | Q                        |
| day(s)                                 | d                        |
| degrees (angle)                        | 0                        |
| degrees Celsius (temperature)          | °C                       |
| fish per metre per hour                | fish/m/h                 |
| gram                                   | g                        |
| grams of dried weight per square metre | g dry weight/m²          |
| grams per square metre                 | g/m²                     |
| grams per square metre per day         | g/m²/day                 |
| greater than                           | >                        |
| greater than or equal to               | ≥                        |
| hectare                                | ha                       |
| hour(s)                                | h                        |
| inch                                   | п                        |
| individuals per cubic metre            | individuals/m³           |
| individuals per square metre           | individuals/m²           |
| kilogram                               | kg                       |
| kilohertz                              | kHz                      |
| kilometre                              | km                       |
| kilometres per hour                    | km/h                     |
| less than                              | <                        |



| Unit   | Abbreviation                       |
|--|------------------------------------|
| less than or equal to  | ≤                                  |
| litre  | L                                  |
| metre  | m                                  |
| metres per second  | m/s                                |
| micrograms per gram  | µg/g                               |
| micrograms per gram dry weight                               | μg/g d.w.                          |
| micrograms per litre   | μg/L                               |
| micrometre   | μm                                 |
| micromhos per centimetre (measure of electrical conductance) | µmhos/cm                           |
| microSiemens per centimetre                                  | μS/cm                              |
| milligram  | mg                                 |
| milligrams of dried weight per 100 cubic metres              | mg dried weight/100 m <sup>3</sup> |
| milligrams per cubic metre                                   | mg/m <sup>3</sup>                  |
| milligrams per litre   | mg/L                               |
| millilitre   | mL                                 |
| millimetre   | mm                                 |
| millisecond  | ms                                 |
| month (s)  | mo                                 |
| nanograms per gram dry weight                                | ng/g d.w.                          |
| nanograms per square metre per day                           | ng/m²/day                          |
| nephelometric turbidity units                                | NTU                                |
| parts per million  | ppm                                |
| percent  | %                                  |
| plus or minus  | ±                                  |
| pound(s)   | lb(s)                              |
| river kilometre  | rkm                                |
| second   | S                                  |
| square kilometre   | km <sup>2</sup>                    |
| square metre   | m <sup>2</sup>                     |
| true colour units  | TCU                                |
| volt   | V                                  |



| Unit    | Abbreviation |
|---------|--------------|
| watt    | W            |
| yard    | yd           |
| year(s) | У            |



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION               |  |      |  |  |  |
|-----|----------------------------|--|------|--|--|--|
|     | 1.1                        | PURPOSE AND CONTENT OF VOLUME                              |      |  |  |  |
|     | 1.2                        | OVERVIEW OF THE ECOSYSTEM-BASED ASSESSMENT APPROACH        |      |  |  |  |
|     |                            | 1.2.1 The Aquatic Ecosystem                                | 1-3  |  |  |  |
|     |                            | 1.2.2 Scope of the Environmental Assessment                | 1-4  |  |  |  |
|     |                            | 1.2.3 Assessment Methods                                   | 1-10 |  |  |  |
|     |                            | 1.2.4 Description of Residual Effects                      | 1-13 |  |  |  |
|     | 1.3                        | STUDY AREA   | 1-15 |  |  |  |
|     | 1.4                        | OVERVIEW OF PATHWAYS OF EFFECT                             | 1-15 |  |  |  |
|     |                            | 1.4.1 Construction Period                                  | 1-16 |  |  |  |
|     |                            | 1.4.2 Operation Period                                     | 1-17 |  |  |  |
|     | 1.5                        | SOURCES OF INFORMATION                                     |      |  |  |  |
|     | 1.6                        | REFERENCES   | 1-21 |  |  |  |
|     |                            | 1.6.1 Literature Cited                                     | 1-21 |  |  |  |
| 2.0 | WATER AND SEDIMENT QUALITY |  |      |  |  |  |
|     | 2.1                        | GENERAL INTRODUCTION                                       |      |  |  |  |
|     | 2.2                        | WATER QUALITY: INTRODUCTION2-2                             |      |  |  |  |
|     | 2.3                        | WATER QUALITY: APPROACH AND METHODS                        |      |  |  |  |
|     |                            | 2.3.1 Overview to Approach                                 | 2-2  |  |  |  |
|     |                            | 2.3.2 Study Area   | 2-3  |  |  |  |
|     |                            | 2.3.3 Data and Information Sources                         | 2-4  |  |  |  |
|     |                            | 2.3.4 Assessment Approach                                  | 2-8  |  |  |  |
|     | 2.4                        | WATER QUALITY: ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING                       | 2-11 |  |  |  |
|     |                            | 2.4.1 Pre-1997 Conditions                                  | 2-11 |  |  |  |
|     |                            | 2.4.2 Current Conditions (Post-1996)                       | 2-15 |  |  |  |
|     |                            | 2.4.3 Current Trends/Future Conditions                     | 2-35 |  |  |  |
|     | 2.5                        | WATER QUALITY: PROJECT EFFECTS, MITIGATION, AND MONITORING | 2-40 |  |  |  |
|     |                            | 2.5.1 Construction Period                                  | 2-41 |  |  |  |
|     |                            |  |      |  |  |  |



|     |     | 2.5.2                | Operation Period                            | 2-52        |
|-----|-----|----------------------|---|-------------|
|     |     | 2.5.3                | Residual Effects                            | 2-87        |
|     |     | 2.5.4                | Environmental Monitoring and Follow-up      | 2-89        |
|     | 2.6 | SEDI                 | MENT QUALITY                                | 2-90        |
|     |     | 2.6.1                | Introduction                                | 2-90        |
|     |     | 2.6.2                | Approach and Methods                        | 2-91        |
|     |     | 2.6.3                | Environmental Setting                       | 2-94        |
|     |     | 2.6.4                | Project Effects, Mitigation, and Monitoring | 2-96        |
|     | 2.7 | REFE                 | ERENCES                                     | 2-100       |
|     |     | 2.7.1                | Literature Cited                            | 2-100       |
| 3.0 | AQU | J <b>ATIC</b>        | HABITAT                                     | 3-1         |
|     | 3.1 | INTR                 | ODUCTION                                    | <b>3-</b> 1 |
|     | 3.2 | APPR                 | OACH AND METHODS                            | <b>3-</b> 1 |
|     |     | 3.2.1                | Overview to Approach                        | 3-2         |
|     |     | 3.2.2                | Study Area                                  | 3-3         |
|     |     | 3.2.3                | Data and Information Sources                | 3-3         |
|     |     | 3.2.4                | Assessment Approach                         | 3-4         |
|     | 3.3 | ENVI                 | RONMENTAL SETTING                           | 3-11        |
|     |     | 3.3.1                | Pre-1997 Conditions                         | 3-11        |
|     |     | 3.3.2                | Current Conditions (Post-1996)              | 3-12        |
|     |     | 3.3.3                | Current Trends/Future Conditions            | 3-24        |
|     | 3.4 | PROJ                 | ECT EFFECTS, MITIGATION AND MONITORING      | 3-24        |
|     |     | 3.4.1                | Construction Period                         | 3-24        |
|     |     | 3.4.2                | Operation Period                            | 3-30        |
|     |     | 3.4.3                | Residual Effects                            | 3-42        |
|     |     | 3.4.4                | Environmental Monitoring and Follow-up      | 3-43        |
|     | 3.5 | REFE                 | ERENCES                                     | 3-44        |
|     |     | 3.5.1                | Literature Cited                            | 3-44        |
| 4.0 | LOV | LOWER TROPHIC LEVELS |   |             |
|     | 4.1 | GENI                 | ERAL INTRODUCTION                           | <b>4-</b> 1 |
|     | 4.2 | PHYT                 | TOPLANKTON                                  | 4-2         |
|     |     | 4.2.1                | Introduction                                | 4-2         |



|     |                              | 4.2.2       | Approach and Methods                       | 4-3  |
|-----|------------------------------|-------------|--|------|
|     |                              | 4.2.3       | Environmental Setting                      | 4-6  |
|     |                              | 4.2.4       | Project Effects, Mitigation and Monitoring | 4-12 |
|     | 4.3                          | <b>AQ</b> U | ATIC MACROPHYTES AND ATTACHED ALGAE        | 4-18 |
|     |                              | 4.3.1       | Introduction                               | 4-18 |
|     |                              | 4.3.2       | Approach and Methods                       | 4-20 |
|     |                              | 4.3.3       | Environmental Setting                      | 4-23 |
|     |                              | 4.3.4       | Project Effects, Mitigation and Monitoring | 4-31 |
|     | 4.4                          | ZOO         | PLANKTON                                   | 4-41 |
|     |                              | 4.4.1       | Introduction                               | 4-41 |
|     |                              | 4.4.2       | Approach and Methods                       | 4-42 |
|     |                              | 4.4.3       | Environmental Setting                      | 4-44 |
|     |                              | 4.4.4       | Project Effects, Mitigation and Monitoring | 4-48 |
|     | 4.5                          | <b>AQ</b> U | ATIC MACROINVERTEBRATES                    | 4-54 |
|     |                              | 4.5.1       | Introduction                               | 4-54 |
|     |                              | 4.5.2       | Approach and Methods                       | 4-56 |
|     |                              | 4.5.3       | Environmental Setting                      | 4-60 |
|     |                              | 4.5.4       | Project Effects, Mitigation and Monitoring | 4-74 |
|     | 4.6                          | REFE        | ERENCES                                    | 4-88 |
|     |                              | 4.6.1       | Literature Cited                           | 4-88 |
| 5.0 | FISH COMMUNITY AND MOVEMENTS |             |  | 5-1  |
|     | 5.1                          | INTR        | ODUCTION                                   | 5-1  |
|     | 5.2                          | APPR        | OACH AND METHODS                           | 5-2  |
|     |                              | 5.2.1       | Overview to Approach                       | 5-2  |
|     |                              | 5.2.2       | Study Area                                 | 5-2  |
|     |                              | 5.2.3       | Data and Information Sources               | 5-3  |
|     |                              | 5.2.4       | Assessment Approach                        | 5-4  |
|     | 5.3                          | ENVI        | RONMENTAL SETTING                          | 5-4  |
|     |                              | 5.3.1       | Pre-1997 Conditions                        | 5-4  |
|     |                              | 5.3.2       | Current Conditions (Post-1996)             | 5-7  |
|     | 5.4                          | PROJ        | ECT EFFECTS, MITIGATION AND MONITORING     | 5-44 |
|     |                              | 5.4.1       | Construction Period                        | 5-44 |



|     |         | 5.4.2                | Operation Period                            | 5-49        |
|-----|---------|----------------------|---|-------------|
|     |         | 5.4.3                | Residual Effects                            | 5-65        |
|     |         | 5.4.4                | Environmental Monitoring and Follow-up      | 5-66        |
|     | 5.5     | REFE                 | ERENCES                                     | 5-67        |
|     |         | 5.5.1                | Literature Cited                            | 5-67        |
| 6.0 | LAK     | E STU                | J <b>RGEON</b>                              | 6-1         |
|     | 6.1     | 1 INTRODUCTION       |   |             |
|     | 6.2     | APPR                 | OACH AND METHODS                            | 6-2         |
|     |         | 6.2.1                | Overview to Approach                        | 6-2         |
|     |         | 6.2.2                | Study Area                                  | 6-2         |
|     |         | 6.2.3                | Data and Information Sources                | 6-3         |
|     |         | 6.2.4                | Assessment Approach                         | 6-4         |
|     | 6.3     | ENV                  | IRONMENTAL SETTING                          | 6-6         |
|     |         | 6.3.1                | Pre-1997 Conditions                         | 6-6         |
|     |         | 6.3.2                | Current Conditions (Post-1996)              | 6-9         |
|     |         | 6.3.3                | Current Trends/Future Conditions            | 6-28        |
|     | 6.4     | PROJ                 | ECT EFFECTS, MITIGATION AND MONITORING      | 6-29        |
|     |         | 6.4.1                | Construction Period                         | 6-29        |
|     |         | 6.4.2                | Operation Period                            | 6-32        |
|     |         | 6.4.3                | Residual Effects                            | 6-47        |
|     |         | 6.4.4                | Environmental Monitoring and Follow-up      | 6-48        |
|     | 6.5     | REFE                 | ERENCES                                     | 6-49        |
|     |         | 6.5.1                | Literature Cited                            | 6-49        |
|     |         | 6.5.2                | Personal Communications                     | 6-53        |
| 7.0 | FISH    | FISH QUALITY         |   |             |
|     | 7.1     | GENERAL INTRODUCTION |   | <b>7-</b> 1 |
|     | 7.2 MER |                      | CURY  | <b>7-</b> 1 |
|     |         | 7.2.1                | Introduction                                | <b>7-</b> 1 |
|     |         | 7.2.2                | Approach and Methods                        | 7-4         |
|     |         | 7.2.3                | Environmental Setting                       | 7-9         |
|     |         | 7.2.4                | Projects Effects, Mitigation and Monitoring | 7-16        |
|     | 7 3     | TRAC                 | CEELEMENTS                                  | 7-23        |



|     |     | 7.3.1       | Introduction                               | 7-23 |
|-----|-----|-------------|--|------|
|     |     | 7.3.2       | Approach and Methods                       | 7-23 |
|     |     | 7.3.3       | Environmental Setting                      | 7-25 |
|     |     | 7.3.4       | Project Effects, Mitigation and Monitoring | 7-27 |
|     | 7.4 | T. CR       | ASSUS INFECTION                            | 7-27 |
|     |     | 7.4.1       | Introduction                               | 7-27 |
|     |     | 7.4.2       | Approach and Methods                       | 7-28 |
|     |     | 7.4.3       | Environmental Setting                      | 7-30 |
|     |     | 7.4.4       | Project Effects, Mitigation and Monitoring | 7-33 |
|     | 7.5 | FISH        | PALATABILITY                               | 7-34 |
|     |     | 7.5.1       | Introduction                               | 7-34 |
|     |     | 7.5.2       | Approach and Methods                       | 7-35 |
|     |     | 7.5.3       | Environmental Setting                      | 7-37 |
|     |     | 7.5.4       | Project Effects, Mitigation and Monitoring | 7-38 |
|     | 7.6 | REFE        | ERENCES                                    | 7-39 |
|     |     | 7.6.1       | Literature Cited                           | 7-39 |
|     |     | 7.6.2       | Personal Communications                    | 7-49 |
| 8.0 | SEN | SITIV       | ITY OF EFFECTS ASSESSMENT TO CLIMATE       |      |
|     | CHA | NGE         |  | 8-1  |
|     | 8.1 | INTR        | ODUCTION                                   | 8-1  |
|     | 8.2 | APPR        | OACH AND METHODS                           | 8-1  |
|     | 8.3 | WAT         | ER QUALITY                                 | 8-1  |
|     | 8.4 | <b>AQ</b> U | ATIC HABITAT/LOWER TROPHIC LEVELS          | 8-2  |
|     | 8.5 | FISH        | COMMUNITY                                  | 8-3  |
|     | 8.6 | FISH        | MERCURY CONCENTRATIONS                     | 8-4  |
|     | 8.7 | SUM         | MARY/CONCLUSIONS                           | 8-4  |
|     | 8.8 | REFE        | ERENCES                                    | 8-5  |
|     |     | 8.8.1       | Literature Cited                           | 8-5  |



## **LIST OF TABLES**

|             |   | Page  |
|-------------|---|-------|
| Table 1-1:  | Criteria used to select aquatic ecosystem supporting and valued ecosystem           |       |
|             | components.   | 1-22  |
| Table 2-1:  | Water quality variables discussed in the EIS and rationale for their inclusion      | 2-113 |
| Table 2-2:  | Summary of key variables (means) measured in the study area and at several          |       |
|             | Manitoba Water Stewardship monitoring sites in northern Manitoba                    | 2-117 |
| Table 2-3:  | CCREM (1987) classification scheme for water hardness of surface waters             | 2-119 |
| Table 2-4:  | Saffran and Trew (1996) categorization of acid sensitivity of aquatic ecosystems    | 2-119 |
| Table 2-5:  | CCME (1999; updated to 2012) trophic categories for freshwater aquatic              |       |
|             | ecosystems based on TP ( $\mu g/L$ ), and mean concentrations of TP measured across |       |
|             | the study area (2001–2004 open water seasons). xt                                   | 2-120 |
| Table 2-6:  | Detection frequencies exceedance for metals measured in the study area: 2001-       |       |
|             | 2006  | 2-124 |
| Table 2-7:  | Frequencies of exceedances of Manitoba Water Quality Objectives or Guidelines       |       |
|             | and CCME guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life (PAL) for metals and         |       |
|             | major ions measured in the study area: 2001–2006                                    | 2-127 |
| Table 2-8:  | Range of metals and major ions in surface waters in major regions of Canada, as     |       |
|             | reported in CCREM (1987).   | 2-131 |
| Table 2-9:  | Range of routine water quality variables in surface waters in major regions of      |       |
|             | Canada, as reported in CCREM (1987)   | 2-133 |
| Table 2-10: | Statistical summaries of total aluminum and iron measured in the open water         |       |
|             | season (May-October) from 1997-2006 in the Red and Assiniboine rivers               | 2-134 |
| Table 2-11: | Construction-related activities, potential effects to water quality, and proposed   |       |
|             | mitigation measures   | 2-135 |
| Table 2-12. | Effects of the Keeyask GS on water quality: construction period                     | 2-138 |
| Table 2-13: | Dissolved oxygen concentrations at the upstream end of the model area               |       |
|             | ("upstream") and near the generating station ("reservoir")                          | 2-140 |
| Table 2-14: | Areas of the Keeyask reservoir within defined ranges of dissolved oxygen:           |       |
|             | summer, Year 1 of operation   | 2-141 |
| Table 2-15: | Areas of the Keeyask reservoir within defined ranges of dissolved oxygen: winter,   |       |
|             | Year 1 of operation.  | 2-142 |
| Table 2-16: | Areas of the Keeyask reservoir within defined ranges of dissolved oxygen:           |       |
|             | summer, Year 5 of operation and Year 1 for comparison (Base Loaded Mode –           |       |
|             | Critical Week)  | 2-144 |
| Table 2-17: | Dose response database of early life stages of salmonids exposed to acute and       |       |
|             | chronic concentrations of suspended solids (from Newcombe and Jensen 1996)          | 2-145 |
| Table 2-18: | Summary of model predictions for TP related to organic TSS and decomposition        |       |
|             | of flooded organic materials  | 147   |



| Table 2-19: | Summary of model predictions for TN related to organic TSS and decomposition of flooded organic materials | 148   |
|-------------|---|-------|
| Table 2-20: | Summary of estimated changes in concentrations of metals associated with                                  |       |
|             | organic TSS and flooding and comparison to MWQSOGs and CCME guidelines for PAL and DW. V                  | 2_149 |
| Table 2-21: | Summary of estimated changes in concentrations of metals for which there are no                           | 2-17) |
|             | Manitoba water quality guidelines, associated with organic TSS and flooding                               | 2-151 |
| Table 2-22: | Residual effects on water quality: construction period  | 2-152 |
| Table 2-23: | Residual effects on water quality for the protection of aquatic life: operation                           |       |
|             | period  | 2-153 |
| Table 2-24: | Mean and standard error (SE) of metals in triplicate samples of surficial sediments                       |       |
|             | (μg/g dry weight, upper 5 cm) collected from selected lakes on the Nelson River                           |       |
|             | system between Kelsey and Kettle generating stations in 2001 and 2002 and                                 |       |
|             | comparison to sediment quality guidelines.  | 155   |
| Table 2-25: | Concentrations of total mercury measured in moss/peat/litter in unflooded soil                            |       |
|             | horizons from 13 sites along the CRD route (1981-1982; Bodaly et al. 1987) and                            |       |
|             | mean concentrations of mercury in Keeyask peat and Gull Lake sediments                                    | 2-157 |
| Table 2-26: | Residual effects on sediment quality: construction period   | 2-158 |
| Table 2-27: | Residual effects on sediment quality: Operation period  | 2-159 |
| Table 3-1A: | Aquatic habitat classification of lentic water masses. A "lake" or "river" reach                          |       |
|             | describes the predominant characteristic in an area   | 3-49  |
| Table 3-1B: | Aquatic habitat classification of lotic water masses. A "lake" or "river" reach                           |       |
|             | describes the predominant characteristic in an area   | 3-50  |
| Table 3-2:  | Wentworth aggregate material size classification showing the simplified                                   |       |
|             | classification of aggregate materials derived for the Keeyask EIS. Note that the                          |       |
|             | substrate is often mixed and habitat classes may contain more than one substrate                          |       |
|             | type (e.g., silt/clay)  | 3-51  |
| Table 3-3:  | Average area, total area, and count of the macrophyte stands observed in reaches                          |       |
|             | 5–8 during sampling in 2001, 2003, and 2006   | 3-52  |
| Table 3-4:  | Area and percent statistics for each year of study that show the area occupied by                         |       |
|             | macrophytes, the area of suitable habitat for macrophyte growth (in the same                              |       |
|             | year), and the potential area of suitable habitat (among years) for reaches 5–8                           | 3-52  |
| Table 3-5:  | Frequency of substratum types sampled at each location where macrophytes were                             |       |
|             | either present or absent in Stephens Lake during 2005 and 2006  | 3-53  |
| Table 3-6:  | Area of aquatic habitat alteration, temporary disruption, and loss for Stage I and                        |       |
|             | Stage II of the construction period. Note that areas within each stage do not                             |       |
|             | overlap. Units are hectares   | 3-53  |
| Table 3-7:  | Area (hectares) of habitat altered, flooded, lost, and dewatered habitat for initial                      |       |
|             | flooding time step according to the hydraulic zone of influence, as defined by                            |       |
|             | 95th percentile inflows and 159 m ASL reservoir stage for the post-Project. The                           |       |
|             | area that is dewatered in Reach 9B is not well known. Flooded area includes pre-                          |       |
|             | flood water bodies  | 3-54  |



| Table 3-8:  | Average depth (m) of the intermittently exposed zone (IEZ) for the existing       |       |
|-------------|---|-------|
|             | environment (EE) and post-Project (PP) and average depth of flooding (m) for      |       |
|             | Reaches 2B–12 in the Keeyask area, as defined by 95th percentile inflows and 159  |       |
|             | m above sea level reservoir stage for the post-Project. The IEZ in Reach 9B is    |       |
|             | not known. The water level variation in reaches 11 and 12 is described in the PE  |       |
|             | SV, Section 4   | 3-54  |
| Table 3-9:  | Affected area of Gull Rapids Creek, Portage Creek, and Two Goose Creek in the     |       |
|             | Keeyask area for the Post-Project. Note that the Backwater Inlet Habitat Type is  |       |
|             | the area of creek that was backwatered during a low water period in the Nelson    |       |
|             | River at time of survey. When the Nelson River is at high water, the Backwater    |       |
|             | Inlet occupies all of the Intermittently Exposed Zone (IEZ). EE = existing        |       |
|             | environment. PP = post project  | 3-55  |
| Table 3-10: | Residual effects on aquatic habitat: construction period                          | 3-56  |
| Table 3-11: | Residual effects on aquatic habitat: operation period                             | 3-57  |
| Table 4-1:  | Summary of phytoplankton biomass (mg/m3) by area and year for the Aquatic         |       |
|             | Environment Study Area: 1999–2002 open water seasons                              | 4-101 |
| Table 4-2:  | Summary of chlorophyll a concentration (µg/L) by reach and year and trophic       |       |
|             | status based on chlorophyll a concentration for the Aquatic Environment Study     |       |
|             | Area: 1999–2004 open water seasons  | 4-102 |
| Table 4-3:  | Summary of chlorophyll a (µg/L) by reach and year and trophic status based on     |       |
|             | chlorophyll a concentration for the Aquatic Environment Study Area: 2001–2004     |       |
|             | under ice cover (March/April)   | 4-104 |
| Table 4-4:  | Summary of chlorophyll a concentrations (µg/L) and trophic status based on        |       |
|             | chlorophyll a concentration at potential stream crossing sites for the Aquatic    |       |
|             | Environment Study Area: 2003–2005 open water seasons                              | 4-105 |
| Table 4-5A: | Residual effects on the phytoplankton community: construction period              | 4-106 |
| Table 4-5B: | Residual effects on the phytoplankton community: operation period                 | 4-107 |
| Table 4-6:  | Aquatic macrophyte taxa observed in the Aquatic Environment Study Area,           |       |
|             | 1997–2006   | 4-108 |
| Table 4-7:  | Areas (in hectares [ha]) and percentages of aquatic macrophyte coverage per reach |       |
|             | at selected locations in Clark Lake and the Keeyask area, 2001                    | 4-110 |
| Table 4-8:  | Composition (%) and estimate of relative density of aquatic macrophyte species    |       |
|             | per selected locations in Clark Lake and the Keeyask area, 2003                   | 4-112 |
| Table 4-9:  | Composition (%) and estimate of relative density of aquatic macrophyte species    |       |
|             | per selected locations in Clark Lake and the Keeyask area, 2004                   | 4-114 |
| Table 4-10: | Areas (in hectares [ha]) and percentages of aquatic macrophyte coverage per reach |       |
|             | at selected locations in Clark Lake and the Keeyask area, 2006                    | 4-116 |
| Table 4-11: | Areas (in hectares [ha]) and percentages of aquatic macrophyte coverage per reach |       |
|             | at selected locations in Clark Lake and the Keeyask area, 2003                    | 4-118 |
| Table 4-12: | Composition (%) and estimate of relative density of aquatic macrophyte species    |       |
|             | per selected locations in Clark Lake and the Keeyask area, 2001                   | 4-120 |



| Table 4-13:  | Composition (%) and estimate of relative density of aquatic macrophyte species    |        |
|--------------|---|--------|
|              | per selected locations in Clark Lake and the Keeyask area, 2002                   | 4-122  |
| Table 4-14:  | Mean drifting plant density and community composition information for large       |        |
|              | drift traps set in the Aquatic Environment Study Area in comparable sampling      |        |
|              | periods during the open-water season, 2003 and 2004                               | 4-124  |
| Table 4 15:  | Composition (%) and estimate of relative density of aquatic macrophyte species    |        |
|              | per selected locations in Stephens Lake, 2005–2006                                | 4-125  |
| Table 4 16:  | Occupied aquatic vascular plant habitat in the existing environment (EE) and at   |        |
|              | post-Project (PP) time steps under different generating station operating         |        |
|              | scenarios   | 4-127  |
| Table 4-17A: | Residual effects on the aquatic macrophyte community: construction period         | 4-128  |
| Table 4-17B: | Residual effects on the aquatic macrophyte community: operation period            | 4-129  |
| Table 4-18:  | Zooplankton summary statistics for Aquatic Environment Study Area lakes in        |        |
|              | 2001 and 2002 for all sampling periods  | 4-130  |
| Table 4-19:  | The total number of Cladocera and Copepoda taxa found in the Aquatic              |        |
|              | Environment Study Area, 2001 and 2002   | 4-131  |
| Table 4-20A: | Residual effects on the zooplankton community: construction period                | 4-132  |
| Table 4-20A: | Residual effects on the zooplankton community: operation period                   |        |
| Table 4-21:  | Number of taxa observed for the sediment-dwelling, plant-dwelling, and drifting   |        |
|              | macroinvertebrate communities of the Aquatic Environment Study Area, 1999–        |        |
|              | 2004  | 4-134  |
| Table 4-22:  | Comparison of overall mean number of sediment-dwelling macroinvertebrates         |        |
|              | (individuals/m2) for selected northern Manitoba waterbodies                       | 4-136  |
| Table 4-23:  | Summary of benthic macroinvertebrate community information for aquatic            |        |
|              | habitat types sampled in the Split Lake area (Split Lake, the York Landing Arm of |        |
|              | Split Lake, and Clark Lake), 1997–2004  | 4-137  |
| Table 4-24:  | Summary of benthic macroinvertebrate community information for aquatic            |        |
|              | habitat types sampled in Assean Lake, 2001–2002, and 2004                         | 4-138  |
| Table 4-25:  | Summary of plant-dwelling macroinvertebrate community information for aquatic     |        |
|              | habitat types sampled in the Split Lake area (Clark Lake), 2003–2004              | 4-139  |
| Table 4v26:  | Summary of aquatic macrophyte community information for aquatic habitat types     |        |
|              | sampled in the Split Lake area (Clark Lake), 2003–2004                            | 4-140  |
| Table 4-27:  | Summary of benthic macroinvertebrate community information for aquatic            |        |
|              | habitat types sampled in the Keeyask area, 1999–2004                              | 4-141  |
| Table 4-28:  | Summary of plant-dwelling macroinvertebrate community information for aquatic     |        |
|              | habitat types sampled in the Keeyask area, 2001–2004                              | 4-142  |
| Table 4-29:  | Summary of aquatic macrophyte community information for aquatic habitat types     |        |
| _ 3510 1 27. | sampled in the Keeyask area, 2001–2004  | 4-143  |
| Table 4-30:  | Mean drifting invertebrate density and community composition information for      | 1 1 10 |
| -3510 1 50.  | large drift traps set in the Aquatic Environment Study Area in comparable         |        |
|              | sampling periods during the open-water season, 2003 and 2004                      | 4_144  |
|              |   |        |



| Table 4-31:  | Summary of benthic macroinvertebrate community information for aquatic                |       |
|--------------|---|-------|
|              | habitat types sampled in the Stephens Lake area, 2001–2006                            | 4-146 |
| Table 4-32:  | Summary of plant-dwelling macroinvertebrate community information for aquatic         |       |
|              | habitat types sampled in the Stephens Lake area, 2005–2006                            | 4-147 |
| Table 4-33:  | Summary of aquatic macrophyte community information for aquatic habitat types         |       |
|              | sampled in the Stephens Lake area, 2005–2006  | 4-148 |
| Table 4-34:  | Total benthic macroinvertebrate abundance in the existing environment (EE) and        |       |
|              | at post-Project (PP) time steps under different generating station operating          |       |
|              | scenarios   | 4-149 |
| Table 4-35:  | Total plant-dwelling macroinvertebrate abundance in the existing environment          |       |
|              | (EE) and at post-Project (PP) time steps under different generating station           |       |
|              | operating scenarios   | 4-150 |
| Table 4-36A: | Residual effects on the aquatic macroinvertebrate community: construction             |       |
|              | period  | 4-151 |
| Table 4-36B: | Residual effects on the aquatic macroinvertebrate community: operation period         | 4-152 |
| Table 5-1:   | Fish species captured in the Keeyask study area, 1997–2008                            | 5-73  |
| Table 5-2:   | Comparison of mean catch-per-unit effort for large-bodied VEC species and             |       |
|              | total catch for selected northern Manitoba waterbodies                                | 5-77  |
| Table 5-3:   | Number (n), relative abundance (RA; %), and catch-per-unit-effort, by waterbody,      |       |
|              | of fish captured in standard gang index gill nets set in the Split Lake area during   |       |
|              | the summer 1997–2004  | 5-78  |
| Table 5-4:   | Number (n), relative abundance (RA; %), and catch-per-unit-effort, by waterbody,      |       |
|              | of small-bodied fish captured in bottom-set small mesh index gill nets set in the     |       |
|              | Split Lake Area during the summer 2001–2004   | 5-79  |
| Table 5-5:   | Mean catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE), by general habitat category, of the total catch    |       |
|              | and of VEC species in bottom-set index gill nets set in Split, Clark and Assean       |       |
|              | lakes during summer, 1997–2004  | 5-80  |
| Table 5-6:   | Comparison of the number (n), relative abundance (RA; %), and mean catch-per-         |       |
|              | unit-effort of forage fish captured in surface-set and bottom-set small mesh index    |       |
|              | gill nets set in the Split Lake area during summer, 2001–2004                         | 5-81  |
| Table 5-7:   | Number (n), relative abundance (RA; %), and catch-per-unit-effort, by waterbody,      |       |
|              | of fish captured in standard gang index gill nets set in the Keeyask area during fall |       |
|              | 1999 and summer 2001–2003   | 5-82  |
| Table 5-8:   | Mean catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of the total catch and of VEC species, by           |       |
|              | general habitat category, in index gill nets set in the Nelson River between Clark    |       |
|              | Lake and Gull Rapids and below Gull Rapids during the summer from 2001–               |       |
|              | 2003  | 5-85  |
| Table 5-9:   | Number (n), relative abundance (RA; %), and catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE;              |       |
|              | number of fish/30 m of net/24 hours), by waterbody, of small-bodied fish              |       |
|              | captured in bottom-set small mesh index gill nets set in the Keeyask area during      |       |
|              | summer, 2001–2003   | 5-86  |



| Table 5-10: | Comparison of the number (n), relative abundance (RA; %), and mean catch-per-<br>unit-effort of forage fish captured in surface-set and bottom-set small mesh index<br>gill nets set in the Nelson River between Clark Lake and Gull Rapids during<br>summer, 2001–2002 | 5-87           |
|-------------|---|----------------|
| Table 5-11: | Number (n), relative abundance (RA; %), and catch-per-unit-effort, by waterbody, of small-bodied fish captured in seine hauls conducted in the Keeyask Area   |                |
| Table 5-12: | during summer, 2001–2003  | 5-88           |
|             | conducted in the Nelson River between Birthday Rapids and Gull Rapids during summer, 2001–2003  | 5-90           |
| Table 5-13: | Number (n), relative abundance (RA;%), and catch-per-unit-effort of fish captured in standard gang index gill nets set in the Stephens Lake area during summer, 2002–2003   | 5-91           |
| Table 5-14: | Mean catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of the total catch and of VEC species, by general habitat category, in index gill nets set in Stephens Lake during summer,  |                |
| Table 5-15: | Number (n), relative abundance (RA; %), and catch-per-unit-effort of small-bodied fish captured in bottom-set small mesh index gill nets set in Stephens  |                |
| Table 5-16: | Lake during the summer 2002–2003  |                |
| Table 5-17: | index gill nets set in Stephens Lake during summer 2003   |                |
| Table 5-18: | modelling studies  Number of walleye marked with Floy®-tags and recaptured in Keeyask Study  area waterbodies between 1999 and 2008   |                |
| Table 5-19: | Summary of movements of walleye radio-tagged in Gull and Stephens lakes between 2001 and 2004   |                |
| Table 5-20: | Number of walleye Floy®-tagged (nT) and recaptured (nR) and recapture rate (RR) of tagged fish during Keeyask Environmental Studies in study area waterbodies between 2001 and 2008   |                |
| Table 5-21: | Number of walleye Floy®-tagged and recaptured1 above and below Gull Rapids during Keeyask Environmental Studies conducted in spring and fall of 2001 and 2002   |                |
| Table 5-22: | Number of walleye Floy®-tagged, by year and season, in the Split Lake and Keeyask areas and Stephens/Gull Rapids that were recaptured during Keeyask  |                |
| Table 5-23: | Environmental Studies1 or by local harvesters, 1999–2008  | 5-103<br>5-104 |



| Table 5-24: | Summary of movements of northern pike radio-tagged in Gull Lake and Stephens      |              |
|-------------|---|--------------|
|             | Lake between 2001 and 2004  | 5-105        |
| Table 5-25: | Number of northern pike Floy®-tagged (nT) and recaptured (nR) and recapture       |              |
|             | rate (RR) of tagged fish during Keeyask Environmental Studies in study area       |              |
|             | waterbodies between 2001 and 2008   | 5-106        |
| Table 5-26: | Number of northern pike Floy®-tagged and recaptured1 above and below Gull         |              |
|             | Rapids during Keeyask Environmental Studies conducted in spring and fall of       |              |
|             | 2001 and 2002   | 5-111        |
| Table 5-27: | Number of northern pike Floy®-tagged, by year and season, in the Split Lake and   |              |
|             | Keeyask areas and Stephens/Gull Rapids that were recaptured during Keeyask        |              |
|             | Environmental Studies1 or by local harvesters, 1999–2008                          | 5-112        |
| Table 5-28: | Number of lake whitefish marked with Floy®-tags and recaptured in Keeyask         |              |
|             | study area waterbodies between 1999 and 2008                                      | 5-113        |
| Table 5-29: | Summary of movements of lake whitefish radio- and acoustic-tagged in Gull Lake    |              |
|             | and Stephens Lake between 2001 and 2004   | 5-114        |
| Table 5-30: | Number of lake whitefish Floy®-tagged (nT) and recaptured (nR) and recapture      |              |
|             | rate (RR) of tagged fish during Keeyask Environmental Studies in study area       |              |
|             | waterbodies between 2001 and 2008   | 5-115        |
| Table 5-31: | Movement of lake whitefish Floy®-tagged and recaptured1 above and below Gull      |              |
|             | Rapids during Keeyask Environmental Studies conducted in spring and fall of       |              |
|             | 2001 and 2002   | 5-119        |
| Table 5-32: | Number of lake whitefish Floy®-tagged, by year and season, in the Split Lake and  |              |
|             | Keeyask areas and Stephens/Gull Rapids that were recaptured during Keeyask        |              |
|             | Environmental Studies1 or by local harvesters, 1999–2008                          | 5-120        |
| Table 5-33: | Predicted weighted mean catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) in the Keeyask area          |              |
|             | (outlet of Clark Lake to the Keeyask GS) using standard gang index gill nets and  |              |
|             | small mesh index gill nets during summer for the existing environment (EE) and    |              |
|             | four post-Project (PP) time steps at peaking operation (between 158 and 159 m     |              |
|             | above sea level)  | 5-121        |
| Table 5-34: | Predicted increase in post-impoundment weighted suitable habitat area (ha) of     |              |
|             | foraging habitat for fish in the Keeyask area at four post-Project time steps at  |              |
|             | peaking operation compared to the existing environment                            | 5-121        |
| Table 5-35: | Residual effects on the fish community considering specifically walleye, northern | 0 121        |
|             | pike, and lake whitefish: construction period                                     | 5-122        |
| Table 5-36: | Residual effects on the fish community considering specifically walleye, northern | 122          |
| Tuble 5 50. | pike, and lake whitefish: operation period  | 5-124        |
| Table 6-1:  | Adult1 lake sturgeon population estimates with 95% confidence limits for the      | 121          |
| Table 0 1.  | study area and water bodies in Northern and Southern Manitoba                     | 6-57         |
| Table 6-2:  | Lake sturgeon catches (n) and mean catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) in the Split      | 0-37         |
| 1 abic 0-2. | Lake area, by location, season, and life stage, 2001–2008                         | 6-58         |
| Table 6-3:  | Spawning status of lake sturgeon captured in the study area during spring, 2001–  | 0-36         |
| Table 0-J.  | 2008  | 6-60         |
|             | 4000  | ( ) = ( )( ) |



| Table 6-4:  | Mean habitat-based catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of adult lake sturgeon in the     |      |
|-------------|---|------|
|             | Split Lake area during spring and summer  | 6-61 |
| Table 6-5:  | Mean habitat-based catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of sub-adult lake sturgeon in     |      |
|             | the Split Lake area for all seasons combined                                      | 6-61 |
| Table 6-6:  | Lake sturgeon catches (n) and mean catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) in the Nelson     |      |
|             | River from Clark Lake to Gull Rapids, by location, season, and life stage, 2001–  |      |
|             | 2008  | 6-62 |
| Table 6-7:  | Mean habitat-based catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of adult lake sturgeon in the     |      |
|             | Keeyask area during spring and summer/fall  | 6-64 |
| Table 6-8:  | Mean habitat-based catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of young-of-the-year lake         |      |
|             | sturgeon in the Keeyask area for all seasons combined                             | 6-65 |
| Table 6-9:  | Diet items of sub-adult and young-of-the-year (YOY) lake sturgeon captured in     |      |
|             | Gull Lake and downstream of Gull Rapids during fall 2008                          | 6-66 |
| Table 6-10: | Mean habitat-based catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of sub-adult lake sturgeon in     |      |
|             | the Keeyask area for all seasons combined   | 6-67 |
| Table 6-11: | Lake sturgeon catches (n) and catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) within and             |      |
|             | downstream of Gull Rapids, by location, season, and life stage, 2001-2009         | 6-68 |
| Table 6-12: | Lake sturgeon catches (n) and catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) in the Stephens Lake   |      |
|             | area, by season and life stage, 2001–2010   | 6-69 |
| Table 6-13: | Mean habitat-based catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of young-of-the-year (YOY),       |      |
|             | sub-adult, and adult lake sturgeon in the Stephens Lake area for all seasons      |      |
|             | combined  | 6-69 |
| Table 6-14: | Size and condition of lake sturgeon from the study area and other Manitoba water  |      |
|             | bodies during summer and fall   | 6-70 |
| Table 6-15: | Tagging and recapture locations of lake sturgeon marked with Floy®-tags during    |      |
|             | gillnetting studies conducted in the study area, 1995 and 1999–2008               | 6-71 |
| Table 6-16: | Summary of movements of lake sturgeon tagged with acoustic or radio               |      |
|             | transmitters in the study area, 2001–2004   | 6-72 |
| Table 6-17: | Residual effects on lake sturgeon: construction period                            | 6-73 |
| Table 6-18: | Residual effects on lake sturgeon: operation period                               | 6-74 |
| Table 7-1:  | Mean arithmetic (± standard error [SE]) and standardized (± 95% confidence        |      |
|             | level [CL]) mercury concentration of lake whitefish, northern pike, and walleye   |      |
|             | from the AEA offsetting lakes in 2004–2006  | 7-51 |
| Table 7-2:  | Model-derived estimates of mean maximum mercury concentrations (ppm) in           |      |
|             | lake whitefish, northern pike, and walleye for the Keeyask reservoir and Stephens |      |
|             | Lake after the construction of the Keeyask Generating Station compared to         |      |
|             | current mercury concentrations in Gull Lake (2002–2006) and Stephens Lake         |      |
|             | (2001–2005)   | 7-54 |
| Table 7-3:  | Residual effects on fish quality during operation — mercury                       |      |
| Table 7-4:  | Mean (±standard error) trace element concentrations in muscle of walleye, lake    |      |
|             | whitefish, and northern pike captured in Split and Stephens lakes and the Nelson  |      |
|             | River in 2004   | 7-57 |



| Table 7-5:  | Mean (± standard error) fork length, total weight, and age of walleye, lake  |      |
|-------------|--|------|
|             | whitefish, and northern pike captured for trace element analysis in Split Lake, the  |      |
|             | Nelson River between Birthday and Gull rapids, and Stephens Lake below Gull  |      |
|             | Rapids, fall 2004  | 7-59 |
| Table 7-6:  | Residual effects on fish quality — trace elements  | 7-60 |
| Table 7-7:  | Mean (± standard error) fork length (mm), round weight (g), condition factor (K),  |      |
|             | and age (years) of lake whitefish inspected for cysts of Triaenophorus crassus as part of Keeyask environmental studies from 2003–2006 | 7-61 |
| Table 7-8:  | Summary of information on rate of Triaenophorus crassus infestation (RI) and   |      |
|             | assigned grade of lake whitefish for three study area lakes and four AEA   |      |
|             | offsetting lakes   | 7-62 |
| Table 7-9:  | Residual effects on fish quality — Triaenophorus crassus infection   | 7-64 |
| Table 7-10: | Residual effects on fish quality — palatability  |      |



## **LIST OF FIGURES**

|              |   | Page  |
|--------------|---|-------|
| Figure 1-1A: | Conceptual diagram of ecosystem in Keeyask area showing major pathways of           |       |
|              | energy and material transfer among components and major habitat types               | 1-25  |
| Figure 1-1B: | Conceptual diagram of ecosystem in Keeyask area following construction of the       |       |
|              | Project, showing major pathways of energy and material transfer among               |       |
|              | components and major habitat types.   | 1-26  |
| Figure 1-2:  | Summary of pathways of effect during the operation period                           | 1-27  |
| Figure 2-1:  | Open water season mean (±standard error) total phosphorus (TP) concentrations       |       |
|              | measured at sites located on the mainstem of the lower Nelson River, off-system     |       |
|              | sites, large tributaries, small tributaries, MWS monitoring sites in the Burntwood  |       |
|              | River (BR) at Thompson, the Nelson River (NR) at Sipiwesk Lake, the Churchill       |       |
|              | River (CR) and at Granville Lake (GL), and the historical Environment Canada        |       |
|              | site on the Hayes River (HR) at God's River   | 2-161 |
| Figure 2-2:  | Open water season mean (±standard error) total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN)              |       |
|              | measured at sites located on the mainstem of the lower Nelson River, off-system     |       |
|              | sites, large tributaries, small tributaries, and MWS monitoring sites in the        |       |
|              | Burntwood River (BR) at Thompson, the Nelson River (NR) at Sipiwesk Lake,           |       |
|              | the Churchill River (CR) and at Granville Lake (GL), and the historical             |       |
|              | Environment Canada site on the Hayes River (HR) at God's River                      | 2-162 |
| Figure 2-3:  | Open water season mean (±standard error) dissolved oxygen concentrations            |       |
|              | measured at sites located on the mainstem of the lower Nelson River, off-system     |       |
|              | sites, large tributaries, small tributaries, and MWS monitoring sites in the        |       |
|              | Burntwood River (BR) at Thompson, the Nelson River (NR) at Sipiwesk Lake,           |       |
|              | the Churchill River (CR) and at Granville Lake (GL).                                | 163   |
| Figure 2-4:  | Open water season mean (±standard error) (A) laboratory pH and (B) in situ pH       |       |
|              | measured at sites located on the mainstem of the lower Nelson River, off-system     |       |
|              | sites, large tributaries, small tributaries, and MWS monitoring sites in the        |       |
|              | Burntwood River (BR) at Thompson, the Nelson River (NR) at Sipiwesk Lake,           |       |
|              | the Churchill River (CR) and at Granville Lake (GL), and the historical             |       |
|              | Environment Canada site on the Hayes River (HR) at God's River                      | 2-164 |
| Figure 2-5:  | Open water season mean (±standard error) (A) laboratory and (B) in situ turbidity   |       |
|              | values measured at sites located on the mainstem of the lower Nelson River, off-    |       |
|              | system sites, large tributaries, small tributaries, and MWS monitoring sites in the |       |
|              | Burntwood River (BR) at Thompson, the Nelson River (NR) at Sipiwesk Lake,           |       |
|              | the Churchill River (CR) and at Granville Lake (GL), and the historical             |       |
|              | Environment Canada site on the Hayes River (HR) at God's River                      | 165   |
| Figure 2-6:  | Open water season mean (±standard error) specific conductance values measured       |       |
| O            | at sites located on the mainstem of the lower Nelson River, off-system sites, large |       |



|              | tributaries, small tributaries (in situ), and MWS monitoring sites in the Burntwood |       |
|--------------|---|-------|
|              | River (BR) at Thompson, the Nelson River (NR) at Sipiwesk Lake, the Churchill       |       |
|              | River (CR) and at Granville Lake (GL), and the historical Environment Canada        |       |
|              | site on the Hayes River (HR) at God's River (laboratory).                           | 2-160 |
| Figure 2-7:  | Open water season mean (±standard error) TSS concentrations measured at sites       |       |
|              | located on the mainstem of the lower Nelson River, off-system sites, large          |       |
|              | tributaries, small tributaries, and MWS monitoring sites in the Burntwood River     |       |
|              | (BR) at Thompson, the Nelson River (NR) at Sipiwesk Lake, the Churchill River       |       |
|              | (CR) and at Granville Lake (GL), and the historical Environment Canada site on      |       |
|              | the Hayes River (HR) at God's River.  | 2-167 |
| Figure 2-8:  | Open water season mean (±standard error) (A) concentrations of total dissolved      |       |
|              | phosphorus and (B) percent TP in dissolved form measured at sites located on        |       |
|              | the mainstem of the lower Nelson River, off-system sites, large tributaries, small  |       |
|              | tributaries, and MWS monitoring sites in the Burntwood River (BR) at                |       |
|              | Thompson, the Nelson River (NR) at Sipiwesk Lake, the Churchill River (CR)          |       |
|              | and at Granville Lake (GL), and the historical Environment Canada site on the       |       |
|              | Hayes River (HR) at God's River   | 2-168 |
| Figure 2-9:  | Open water season mean (±standard error) (A) TOC and (B) DOC                        |       |
|              | concentrations measured at sites located on the mainstem of the lower Nelson        |       |
|              | River, off-system sites, large tributaries, small tributaries, and MWS monitoring   |       |
|              | sites in the Burntwood River (BR) at Thompson, the Nelson River (NR) at             |       |
|              | Sipiwesk Lake, the Churchill River (CR) and at Granville Lake (GL), and the         |       |
|              | historical Environment Canada site on the Hayes River (HR) at God's River           | 2-169 |
| Figure 2-10: | Open water season mean (±standard error) chlorophyll a concentrations               |       |
|              | measured at sites located on the mainstem of the lower Nelson River, off-system     |       |
|              | sites, large tributaries, small tributaries, and MWS monitoring sites in the        |       |
|              | Burntwood River (BR) at Thompson, the Nelson River (NR) at Sipiwesk Lake,           |       |
|              | the Churchill River (CR) and at Granville Lake (GL), and the historical             |       |
|              | Environment Canada site on the Hayes River (HR) at God's River                      | 2-170 |
| Figure 2-11: | Open water season mean (±standard error) concentrations of (A) magnesium, (B)       |       |
|              | potassium, (C) sodium, and (D) calcium measured at sites in the Keeyask Study       |       |
|              | Area: 2001–2004   | 2-171 |
| Figure 2-12: | Total phosphorus (TP) concentrations measured at lakes and rivers in Manitoba.)     | 2-172 |
| Figure 2-13: | Total nitrogen (TN) concentrations measured at lakes and rivers in Manitoba         | 2-173 |
| Figure 2-14: | Concentrations of TDS in selected Canadian Rivers.                                  | 2-174 |
| Figure 2-15: | BC WQI values for selected MWS water quality monitoring sites: 1991–1995            | 2-175 |
| Figure 2-16: | Linkages between direct and indirect project impacts and pathways of effects to     |       |
|              | water quality: Keeyask GS Operation Period  | 2-170 |
| Figure 2-17: | Mean±SE of aluminum measured in sediments and peat samples collected from           |       |
|              | the study area.   | 2-177 |
| Figure 2-18: | Mean±SE of arsenic measured in sediments and peat samples collected from the        |       |
|              | study area  | 2_179 |



| Figure 2-19: | Mean±SE of cadmium measured in sediments and peat samples collected from             |       |
|--------------|--|-------|
|              | the study area   | 2-179 |
| Figure 2-20: | Mean±SE of chromium measured in sediments and peat samples collected from            |       |
|              | the study area   | 2-180 |
| Figure 2-21: | Mean±SE of copper measured in sediments and peat samples collected from the          |       |
|              | study area   | 2-181 |
| Figure 2-22: | Mean±SE of iron measured in sediments and peat samples collected from the            |       |
|              | study area   | 2-182 |
| Figure 2-23: | Mean±SE of lead measured in sediments and peat samples collected from the            |       |
|              | study area.  | 2-183 |
| Figure 2-24: | Mean±SE of manganese measured in sediments and peat samples collected from           |       |
|              | the study area   | 2-184 |
| Figure 2-25: | Mean±SE of mercury measured in sediments and peat samples collected from the         |       |
|              | study area   | 2-185 |
| Figure 2-26: | Mean±SE of nickel measured in sediments and peat samples collected from the          |       |
|              | study area.  | 2-186 |
| Figure 2-27: | Mean±SE of selenium measured in sediments and peat samples collected from            |       |
|              | the study area.  | 2-187 |
| Figure 2-28: | Mean±SE of zinc measured in sediments and peat samples collected from the            |       |
|              | study area.  | 2-188 |
| Figure 2-29: | Mean±SE of potassium measured in sediments and peat samples collected from           |       |
|              | the study area   | 2-189 |
| Figure 2-30: | Mean±SE of sodium measured in sediments and peat samples collected from the          |       |
|              | study area   | 2-190 |
| Figure 3-1:  | Schematic diagram showing the breakdown of aquatic habitat into a series of          |       |
|              | habitat variables  | 3-60  |
| Figure 3-2:  | Split Lake outlet discharge from 2000-2006. The black circles indicate the time of   |       |
|              | the macrophyte surveys in the Keeyask area. Discharge data are adapted from PE       |       |
|              | SV, Section 4  | 3-61  |
| Figure 3-3:  | The relationship of inflow, water surface elevation and macrophyte habitat. This     |       |
|              | 5th percentile (low flow) scenario shows that suitable habitat extends from the      |       |
|              | 5th percentile water surface elevation to 3 m depth (which is the approximate        |       |
|              | maximum penetration of light) in the permanently wetted zone                         | 3-62  |
| Figure 3-4:  | Depths of macrophyte beds observed in 2001, 2003, and 2006 when compared to          |       |
|              | depths relative to the 95th percentile (A), and when the 2003 depths were            |       |
|              | adjusted to the 5th percentile (B)   | 3-63  |
| Figure 3-5:  | Frequency vs. water depth histogram of Myriophyllum sibiricum (A),                   |       |
|              | Potamogeton richardsonii (B) in Stephens Lake. Water depth has been                  |       |
|              | standardized to the 95th water level percentile                                      | 3-64  |
| Figure 3-6:  | Pathways of change to aquatic habitat (arrows: green = positive effect; red =        |       |
| -            | negative effect; black = neutral effect; thicker lines indicate greater magnitude of |       |



|              | effect; triangles represent mitigation: $1 = \text{selection of } 159 \text{ m reservoir elevation}$ |       |
|--------------|--|-------|
|              | and 1 m operating regime; 2 = habitat structure in reservoir and downstream)                         | 3-65  |
| Figure 4-1:  | Phytoplankton community biomass (A) and composition (B) in samples collected                         |       |
|              | from the Aquatic Environment Study Area in 2001  | 4-153 |
| Figure 4-2:  | Phytoplankton community biomass (A) and composition (B) in samples collected                         |       |
|              | from the Aquatic Environment Study Area in 2002  | 4-154 |
| Figure 4-3:  | Phytoplankton community biomass (A) and composition (B) in samples collected                         |       |
|              | from the Aquatic Environment Study Area in March, 2001 and 2002 (under ice-                          |       |
|              | cover)   | 4-155 |
| Figure 4-4:  | Chlorophyll a concentration (µg/L) in samples collected from the Aquatic                             |       |
|              | Environment Study Area in: (A) 2001; (B) 2002; (C) 2003; and (D) 2004. Dashed                        |       |
|              | line indicates the detection limit of the laboratory analysis method (samples which                  |       |
|              | were below the limit of detection are plotted at half the detection limit)                           | 4-156 |
| Figure 4-5:  | Operation-related pathways (i.e., linkages to the Project) that were assessed for                    |       |
|              | potential effects to the lower trophic level communities: Upstream of the Keeyask                    |       |
|              | Generating Station   | 4-158 |
| Figure 4-6:  | Operation-related pathways (i.e., linkages to the Project) that were assessed for                    |       |
|              | potential effects to the lower trophic level communities: Downstream of the                          |       |
|              | Keeyask Generating Station   | 4-159 |
| Figure 4-7:  | Total abundance and percent composition of zooplankton collected in vertical                         |       |
|              | net tows from Split Lake, 2001–2002  | 4-160 |
| Figure 4-8:  | Total abundance and percent composition of zooplankton collected in vertical                         |       |
|              | net tows from Clark Lake, 2001–2002  | 4-161 |
| Figure 4-9:  | Total abundance and percent composition of zooplankton collected in vertical                         |       |
|              | net tows from Assean Lake, 2001–2002   | 4-162 |
| Figure 4-10: | Total abundance and percent composition of zooplankton collected in vertical                         |       |
|              | net tows from Gull Lake, 2001–2002   | 4-163 |
| Figure 4-11: | Total abundance and percent composition of zooplankton collected in vertical                         |       |
|              | net tows from Stephens Lake, 2001–2002   | 4-164 |
| Figure 4-12: | Overall abundance (individuals/m2±standard error) and community composition                          |       |
|              | (%) of benthic macroinvertebrates in the Split Lake area (Split and Clark lakes,                     |       |
|              | and the York Landing arm of Split Lake) by aquatic habitat type, 1997–2004                           | 4-165 |
| Figure 4-13: | Overall abundance (individuals/m2±standard error) and community composition                          |       |
|              | (%) of benthic macroinvertebrates in Assean Lake by aquatic habitat type, 2001–                      |       |
|              | 2004   | 4-166 |
| Figure 4-14: | Overall abundance (individuals/m2±standard error) and community composition                          |       |
|              | (%) of benthic macroinvertebrates in the Keeyask area by aquatic habitat type,                       |       |
|              | 1999–2004  | 4-167 |
| Figure 4-15: | Overall abundance (individuals/m2±standard error) and community composition                          |       |
|              | (%) of benthic macroinvertebrates in the Stephens Lake area by aquatic habitat                       |       |
|              | type, 2001–2006  | 4-168 |



| Figure 4-16: | Composition of the benthic macroinvertebrate community in site-specific habitat   |       |
|--------------|---|-------|
|              | types in Stephens Lake, 2006: (A) shallow, standing water, organic substrate that |       |
|              | experiences DO depletion in winter; (B) shallow, standing water, organic          |       |
|              | substrate with adequate DO in winter; (C) shallow, standing water, silt/clay      |       |
|              | substrate with adequate DO in winter  | 4-169 |
| Figure 5-1:  | Comparison of historic and recent fish abundance in Split Lake (A) and Stephens   |       |
|              | Lake (B), as indicated by catch-per-unit-effort)                                  | 5-129 |
| Figure 5-2:  | Summary of long-term effects to the fish community within (A) and downstream      |       |
|              | (B) of the Keeyask GS.  | 5-130 |
| Figure 5-3:  | Relative abundance of fish species and catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of the total  |       |
|              | catch in standard gang index gill nets set during summer in the lower Nelson      |       |
|              | River reservoirs: Kettle reservoir (A); Long Spruce reservoir (B); and Limestone  |       |
|              | reservoir (C)   | 5-132 |
| Figure 7-1:  | Mean (± 95% confidence limit) standardized mercury concentrations of northern     |       |
|              | pike, walleye, and lake whitefish from Split Lake from 1970 to 2005               | 7-68  |
| Figure 7-2:  | Mean (± 95% confidence limit) standardized mercury concentrations of northern     |       |
|              | pike, walleye, and lake whitefish from Stephens Lake from 1981 to 2005            | 7-69  |
| Figure 7-3:  | Mean (+ upper 95% confidence limit) standardized mercury concentrations of        |       |
|              | lake whitefish from Split, Assean, Gull, and Stephens lakes in 1998–2005          | 7-70  |
| Figure 7-4:  | Mean (+ upper 95% confidence limit) standardized mercury concentrations of        |       |
|              | northern pike from four waterbodies in the Split Lake area, and Gull and          |       |
|              | Stephens lakes in 1998–2006   | 7-71  |
| Figure 7-5:  | Mean (+ upper 95% confidence limit) standardized mercury concentrations of        |       |
|              | walleye from four waterbodies in the Split Lake area, and Gull and Stephens lakes |       |
|              | in 1998–2006  | 7-72  |
| Figure 7-6:  | Mean (+standard error) arithmetic mercury concentrations of rainbow smelt from    |       |
|              | two waterbodies in the Split Lake area, and Gull (mainstem locations) and         |       |
|              | Stephens lakes for 2001–2006  | 7-73  |
| Figure 7-7:  | Mean (+ upper 95% confidence limit) standardized mercury concentrations of        |       |
|              | lake whitefish, northern pike, and walleye from study area waterbodies (SAW) and  |       |
|              | AEA offsetting lakes north (N) and south (S) of the Nelson River in 2002–2006     | 7-74  |
| Figure 7-8:  | Mean (+ standard deviation) acceptability scores of whitefish, pike, and walleye  |       |
|              | from Keeyask Project waterbodies tested for palatability at three First Nation    |       |
|              | communities in 2002 and 2003  | 7 75  |



#### **LIST OF PHOTOS**

|            |  | Page |
|------------|--|------|
| Photo 4-1: | A type of diatom (Gyrosigma sp.) found in the Aquatic Environment Study Area   | 4-2  |
| Photo 4-2: | Aquatic macrophytes growing in the littoral zone of the Aquatic Environment    |      |
|            | Study Area   | 4-19 |
| Photo 4-3: | Aquatic macrophyte sampling location in Clark Lake, 2003                       | 4-26 |
| Photo 4-4: | Myriophyllum sibiricum in the Keeyask area                                     | 4-28 |
| Photo 4-5: | Potamogeton spp. in the Keeyask area   | 4-29 |
| Photo 4-6: | Representatives of cyclopoid (top panel) and calanoid (bottom panel) copepods  | 4-41 |
| Photo 4-7: | Representatives of aquatic macroinvertebrate groups: (A) chironomid larva; (B) |      |
|            | ephemeropteran (mayfly) larva; (C) amphipod (scud); and (D) fingernail clam    | 4-55 |



#### **LIST OF MAPS**

| Map 1-1:  | Kelsey Generating Station to Nelson River estuary                              | 1-28  |
|-----------|--|-------|
| Map 1-2:  | Aquatic Environment Study Area   | 1-29  |
| Map 1-3:  | Clark Lake to Stephens Lake – Existing Environment                             | 1-30  |
| Map 1-4:  | North and south access road stream crossings                                   | 1-31  |
| Map 1-5:  | Manitoba ecoregions and aquatic environment study area                         | 1-32  |
| Map 2-1:  | Water quality study areas  | 2-191 |
| Map 2-2:  | Water quality sampling sites   | 2-192 |
| Map 2-3:  | Selected historical water quality monitoring sites in Northern Manitoba        | 2-193 |
| Map 2-4:  | Water quality sampling sites 2001-2004 – Split Lake area                       | 2-194 |
| Map2-5:   | Satellite image of Split Lake showing the more turbid water from the Burntwood |       |
|           | River  | 2-195 |
| Map 2.6:  | Water quality sampling sites 2001-2004 – Keeyask area                          | 2-196 |
| Map 2-7:  | Dissolved oxygen concentrations – Winter 2004                                  |       |
| Map 2-8:  | Water quality sampling sites 2001-2004 – Downstream area                       |       |
| Map 2-9:  | Dissolved oxygen – Winter 2005 – Stephens Lake                                 | 2-199 |
| Map 2-10: | Dissolved oxygen – Winter 2006 – Stephens Lake                                 | 2-200 |
| Map 2-11: | Water quality sampling sites – Downstream area                                 | 2-201 |
| Map 2-12: | Typical summer week average flows – Surface dissolved oxygen                   | 2-202 |
| Map 2-13: | Typical summer week average flows – Bottom dissolved oxygen                    | 2-203 |
| Map 2-14: | Critical summer week average flows – Surface dissolved oxygen                  | 2-204 |
| Map 2-15: | Critical summer week average flows – Bottom dissolved oxygen                   | 2-205 |
| Map 2-16: | Critical summer week dynamic flows – Surface dissolved oxygen                  | 2-206 |
| Map 2-17: | Critical summer week dynamic flows – Bottom dissolved oxygen                   | 2-207 |
| Map2-18:  | Winter average flows – Surface dissolved oxygen                                | 2-208 |
| Map 2-19: | Winter average flows – Bottom dissolved oxygen                                 |       |
| Map 2-20: | Winter dynamic flows – Surface dissolved oxygen                                | 2-210 |
| Map 2-21: | Winter dynamic flows – Bottom dissolved oxygen                                 | 2-211 |
| Map 2-22: | Peat transport zones in Keeyask reservoir                                      |       |
| Map 2-23: | Mineral total suspended solids modelling reaches                               | 2-213 |
| Map 2-24: | Sediment quality sampling sites 2001 and 2002 – Keeyask study area             | 2-214 |
| Map 3-1:  | Major rapids   | 3-66  |
| Map 3-2:  | Water depth – Split Lake area  | 3-67  |
| Map 3-3:  | Shallow and deep habitat – Split Lake area                                     | 3-68  |
| Map 3-4:  | Areas of large macrophyte beds – Split Lake area                               | 3-69  |
| Map 3-5:  | Aquatic habitat reaches – Existing environment                                 |       |
| Map 3-6:  | Water depth – Existing environment   |       |
| Map 3-7:  | Shallow and deep habitat – Existing environment                                |       |
| Map 3-8:  | Water velocity at 95th percentile inflow – Existing environment                | 3-73  |



| Map 3-9:  | Location of white water habitat and depth at Long Rapids – Existing              |       |
|-----------|--|-------|
|           | environment  | 3-74  |
| Map 3-10: | Location of white water habitat and depth at and below Birthday Rapids –         |       |
|           | Existing environment   | 3-75  |
| Map 3-11: | Location of white water habitat and depth in the north channel of Gull Rapids -  |       |
|           | Existing environment   | 3-76  |
| Map 3-12: | Location of white water habitat and depth in the middle channel of Gull Rapids – |       |
|           | Existing environment   | 3-77  |
| Map 3-13: | Location of white water habitat and depth in the south channel of Gull Rapids -  |       |
|           | Existing environment   |       |
| Map 3-14: | Substrate – Existing environment – Reaches 2A to 12                              |       |
| Map 3-15: | Substrate – Existing environment – Reaches 9B, 10, 11 and 12                     |       |
| Map 3-16: | Macrophyte bed distribution – Existing environment                               |       |
| Map 3-17: | Water velocity at 5th percentile inflow – Existing environment                   | 3-82  |
| Map 3-18: | Water velocity comparison – 95th percentile inflow subtracted from 5th           |       |
|           | percentile inflow – Existing environment   | 3-83  |
| Map 3-19: | Creek habitat showing drainages – Existing environment                           |       |
| Map 3-20: | Creek habitat – Existing environment   | 3-85  |
| Map 3-21: | Current and pre-flood shorelines on Stephens Lake – Stephens Lake                | 3-86  |
| Map 3-22: | Lentic and lotic habitat – Stephens Lake area                                    | 3-87  |
| Map 3-23: | Presence/absence of macrophytes 2005-2006 - Stephens Lake area                   | 3-88  |
| Map 3-24: | Habitat changes at the generating station site during Stage I and Stage II       |       |
|           | construction   | 3-89  |
| Map 3-25: | Inflow comparison: Existing environment versus post-Project                      | 3-90  |
| Map 3-26: | Aquatic habitat reaches  | 3-91  |
| Map 3-27: | Water depth comparison: Existing environment versus post-Project                 | 3-92  |
| Map 3-28: | Water depth  | 3-93  |
| Map 3-29: | Shallow and deep habitat   | 3-94  |
| Map 3-30: | Water velocity comparison: Existing environment versus post-Project              | 3-95  |
| Map 3-31: | Water velocity at 95th percentile inflow   | 3-96  |
| Map 3-32: | Water velocity at 95th percentile inflow   | 3-97  |
| Map 3-33: | Creek habitat – Post-Project   | 3-98  |
| Map 3-34: | Substrate  | 3-99  |
| Map 3-35: | Potential macrophyte habitat at Year 30  | 3-100 |
| Map 5-1:  | Split Lake area  | 5-133 |
| Map 5-2:  | Habitat-based index gillnetting sites 1999–2004 - Split Lake area                | 5-134 |
| Map 5-3:  | Walleye relative abundance   | 5-135 |
| Map 5-4:  | Walleye catch-per-unit-effort  | 5-136 |
| Map 5-5:  | Northern pike relative abundance   | 5-137 |
| Map 5-6:  | Northern pike catch-per-unit-effort  | 5-138 |
| Map 5-7:  | Lake whitefish relative abundance  | 5-139 |
| Map 5-8.  | I ake whitefish catch-per_unit_effort  | 5-140 |



| Map 5-9:  | Keeyask area – Existing Environment   | 5-141 |
|-----------|---|-------|
| Map 5-10: | Habitat-based index gillnetting sites 1999–2003 – Keeyask area                  | 5-142 |
| Map 5-11: | Catch-per-unit-effort of Nelson River tributaries                               | 5-143 |
| Map 5-12: | Walleye habitat – Existing Environment  | 5-144 |
| Map 5-13: | Northern pike habitat – Existing Environment                                    | 5-145 |
| Map 5-14: | Lake whitefish habitat – Existing Environment                                   | 5-146 |
| Map 5-15: | Stephens Lake area  | 5-147 |
| Map 5-16: | Habitat-based index gillnetting sites 2002–2003 – Stephens Lake area            | 5-148 |
| Map 5-17: | Walleye radio tracking – Overwintering  | 5-149 |
| Map 5-18: | North and south access road stream crossings                                    | 5-150 |
| Map 5-19: | Walleye Floy®-tag movements 1999–2008   | 5-151 |
| Map 5-20: | Northern pike Floy®-tag movements 1999–2008                                     | 5-152 |
| Map 5-21: | Lake whitefish Floy®-tag movements 1999–2008                                    | 5-153 |
| Map 5-22: | General habitat types - Post-Project  | 5-154 |
| Map 5-23: | Walleye habitat   | 5-155 |
| Map 5-24: | Northern pike habitat   | 5-156 |
| Map 5-25: | Lake whitefish habitat  | 5-157 |
| Map 6-1:  | Split Lake area   | 6-77  |
| Map 6-2:  | Keeyask area  | 6-78  |
| Map 6-3:  | Stephens Lake area  | 6-79  |
| Map 6-4:  | Potential lake sturgeon spawning areas  | 6-80  |
| Map 6-5:  | Adult lake sturgeon captures - Spring - Keeyask area - Upstream of Gull Rapids  | 6-81  |
| Map 6-6:  | Adult lake sturgeon captures - Summer/fall – Keeyask area – Upstream of Gull    |       |
|           | Rapids  | 6-82  |
| Map 6-7:  | Lake sturgeon spawning habitat at 5th percentile inflow – Existing environment  | 6-83  |
| Map 6-8:  | Lake sturgeon spawning habitat at 50th percentile inflow – Existing environment | 6-84  |
| Map 6-9:  | Lake sturgeon spawning habitat at 95th percentile inflow – Existing environment | 6-85  |
| Map 6-10: | Young-of-the-year lake sturgeon captures – Keeyask area – Upstream of Gull      |       |
|           | Rapids  | 6-86  |
| Map 6-11: | Young-of-the-year lake sturgeon captures – Water depth – Keeyask area –         |       |
| _         | Upstream of Gull Rapids   | 6-87  |
| Map 6-12: | Young-of-the-year lake sturgeon captures – Water velocity – Keeyask area –      |       |
|           | Upstream of Gull Rapids   | 6-88  |
| Map 6-13: | Young-of-the-year lake sturgeon captures – Substrate – Keeyask area – Upstream  |       |
|           | of Gull Rapids  | 6-89  |
| Map 6-14: | Young-of-the-year lake sturgeon habitat at 5th percentile inflow – Existing     |       |
|           | environment   | 6-90  |
| Map 6-15: | Young-of-the-year lake sturgeon habitat at 50th percentile inflow – Existing    |       |
|           | environment   | 6-91  |
| Map 6-16: | Young-of-the-year lake sturgeon habitat at 95th percentile inflow – Existing    |       |
|           | onvironment   | 6.02  |



| Map 6-17: | Young-of-the-year lake sturgeon captures – Keeyask area – Upstream of Gull       |       |
|-----------|--|-------|
|           | Rapids   | 6-93  |
| Map 6-18: | Young-of-the-year lake sturgeon captures – Water depth – Keeyask area –          |       |
|           | Upstream of Gull Rapids  | 6-94  |
| Map 6-19: | Young-of-the-year lake sturgeon captures – Water velocity – Keeyask area –       |       |
|           | Upstream of Gull Rapids  | 6-95  |
| Map 6-20: | Young-of-the-year lake sturgeon captures – Substrate – Keeyask area – Upstream   |       |
|           | of Gull Rapids   | 6-96  |
| Map 6-21: | Sub-adult lake sturgeon habitat at 5th percentile inflow – Existing environment  | 6-97  |
| Map 6-22: | Sub-adult lake sturgeon habitat at 50th percentile inflow – Existing environment | 6-98  |
| Map 6-23: | Sub-adult lake sturgeon habitat at 95th percentile inflow – Existing environment | 6-99  |
| Map 6-24: | Lake sturgeon telemetry tag relocations – Summer/early fall                      | 6-100 |
| Map 6-25: | Adult lake sturgeon foraging habitat at 5th percentile inflow – Existing         |       |
|           | environment  | 6-101 |
| Map 6-26: | Adult lake sturgeon foraging habitat at 50th percentile inflow - Existing        |       |
|           | environment  | 6-102 |
| Map 6-27: | Adult lake sturgeon foraging habitat at 95th percentile inflow - Existing        |       |
|           | environment  | 6-103 |
| Map 6-28: | Lake sturgeon telemetry tag relocations – Late fall/winter                       | 6-104 |
| Map 6-29: | Adult lake sturgeon captures – Spring – Keeyask area – Downstream of Gull        |       |
|           | Rapids   | 6-105 |
| Map 6-30: | Young-of-the-year lake sturgeon captures – Keeyask area – Downstream of Gull     |       |
|           | Rapids   | 6-106 |
| Map 6-31: | Young-of-the-year lake sturgeon captures – Water depth – Keeyask area –          |       |
|           | Downstream of Gull Rapids  | 6-107 |
| Map 6-32: | Young-of-the-year lake sturgeon captures – Water velocity – Keeyask area –       |       |
|           | Downstream of Gull Rapids  | 6-108 |
| Map 6-33: | Young-of-the-year lake sturgeon captures – Substrate – Keeyask area –            |       |
|           | Downstream of Gull Rapids  | 6-109 |
| Map 6-34: | Sub-adult lake sturgeon captures - Keeyask area - Downstream of Gull Rapids      |       |
| Map 6-35: | Sub-adult lake sturgeon captures – Water depth – Keeyask area – Downstream of    |       |
|           | Gull Rapids  | 6-111 |
| Map 6-36: | Sub-adult lake sturgeon captures – Water velocity – Keeyask area – Downstream    |       |
|           | of Gull Rapids   | 6-112 |
| Map 6-37: | Sub-adult lake sturgeon captures – Substrate – Keeyask area – Downstream of      |       |
|           | Gull Rapids  | 6-113 |
| Map 6-38: | Lake sturgeon captures and fall/winter telemetry tag relocations - Stephens Lake |       |
|           | area6-114  |       |
| Map 6-39: | Sub-adult lake sturgeon captures – Reach 12                                      |       |
| Map 6-40: | Sub-adult lake sturgeon captures – Water depth – Reach 12                        |       |
| Map 6-41: | Sub-adult lake sturgeon captures – Water velocity – Reach 12                     | 6-117 |
| Map 6-42: | Sub-adult lake sturgeon captures – Substrate – Reach 12                          | 6-118 |



| Map 6-43: | Lake sturgeon Floy®-tag movements 1995 and 1999–2008              | 6-119 |
|-----------|---|-------|
| Map 6-44: | Lake sturgeon spawning habitat at 5th percentile inflow           | 6-120 |
| Map 6-45: | Lake sturgeon spawning habitat at 50th percentile inflow          | 6-121 |
| Map 6-46: | Lake sturgeon spawning habitat at 95th percentile inflow          | 6-122 |
| Map 6-47: | Young-of-the-year lake sturgeon habitat at 5th percentile inflow  | 6-123 |
| Map 6-48: | Young-of-the-year lake sturgeon habitat at 50th percentile inflow | 6-124 |
| Map 6-49: | Young-of-the-year lake sturgeon habitat at 95th percentile inflow | 6-125 |
| Map 6-50: | Sub-adult lake sturgeon habitat at 5th percentile inflow          | 6-126 |
| Map 6-51: | Sub-adult lake sturgeon habitat at 50th percentile inflow         | 6-127 |
| Map 6-52: | Sub-adult lake sturgeon habitat at 95th percentile inflow         | 6-128 |
| Map 6-53: | Adult lake sturgeon foraging habitat at 5th percentile inflow     | 6-129 |
| Map 6-54: | Adult lake sturgeon foraging habitat at 50th percentile inflow    | 6-130 |
| Map 6-55: | Adult lake sturgeon foraging habitat at 95th percentile inflow    | 6-131 |
| Map 7-1:  | Fish mercury sampling waterbodies 1999–2006                       | 7-76  |

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

| APPENDIX 1A | AQUATIC MITIGATION AND COMPENSATION MEASURES:<br>EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES AND RATIONALE FOR<br>SELECTED MEASURES                            |
|-------------|---|
| PART 1      | EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES AND RATIONALE FOR SELECTED MEASURES  |
| PART 2      | KEEYASK LAKE STURGEON STOCKING STRATEGY   |
| APPENDIX 1B | KEEYASK GENERATION PROJECT AQUATIC ENVIRONMENT<br>STUDY REPORT LISTAPPENDIX 2A BACKGROUND INFORMATION<br>ON SELECTED WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS |
| APPENDIX 2B | WATER AND SEDIMENT QUALITY OBJECTIVES AND GUIDELINES  |
| APPENDIX 2C | DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF METHODS FOR WATER AND SEDIMENT QUALITY SAMPLING PROGRAMS AND DATA ANALYSIS  |
| APPENDIX 2D | TEMPORAL ANALYSIS OF WATER QUALITY IN THE STUDY AREA: WATER QUALITY DATA FOR SPLIT LAKE 1987–2006   |
| APPENDIX 2E | ASSESSMENT OF CHANGES IN WATER QUALITY IN STEPHENS<br>LAKE SINCE 1972   |
| APPENDIX 2F | MODELLING APPROACH AND DETAILED RESULTS FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF EFFECTS TO WATER QUALITY: PROJECT OPERATION PERIOD                              |
| APPENDIX 2G | DESCRIPTION OF CRITERIA FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF EFFECTS TO WATER AND SEDIMENT QUALITY   |
| APPENDIX 2H | SUPPLEMENTAL WATER QUALITY TABLES, MAPS AND FIGURES: EXISTING ENVIRONMENT   |
| APPENDIX 2I | SUPPLEMENTARY SEDIMENT QUALITY TABLES   |
| APPENDIX 2J | ADDITIONAL MERCURY MEASUREMENTS: 2011   |
| APPENDIX 3A | AQUATIC HABITAT METHODS   |
| APPENDIX 3B | DEVELOPMENT OF RESERVOIR HABITAT AND MODELS TO<br>ESTIMATE AQUATIC HABITAT AVAILABILITY IN THE KEEYASK<br>RESERVOIR 30 YEARS AFTER FLOODING   |
| APPENDIX 3C | A PREDICTIVE MODEL TO ESTIMATE THE POTENTIAL DISTRIBUTION OF POTAMOGETON RICHARDSONII AND MYRIOPHYLLUM SIBIRICUM IN THE KEEYASK RESERVOIR     |
| APPENDIX 3D | FISH HABITAT AREA MODEL FOR THE "UPSTREAM KEEYASK AREA"   |
| APPENDIX 3E | NORTH AND SOUTH ACCESS ROAD STREAM CROSSINGS SUMMARY SHEETS   |



| APPENDIX 4A | LOWER TROPHIC LEVEL METHODS 1997–2006  |
|-------------|--|
| APPENDIX 4B | PHYTOPLANKTON, ZOOPLANKTON, AND AQUATIC  |
|             | MACROINVERTEBRATE TAXA 1999-2004   |
| APPENDIX 5A | GENERAL ECOLOGY OF VEC FISH SPECIES  |
| APPENDIX 5B | FISH COMMUNITY AND MOVEMENTS METHODS   |
| APPENDIX 5C | BIOLOGICAL INFORMATION OF VEC FISH SPECIES CAPTURED  |
|             | DURING INDEX GILLNETTING PROGRAM IN THE STUDY AREA   |
| APPENDIX 5D | VEC FISH SPECIES SPAWNING HABITAT IN THE STUDY AREA  |
| APPENDIX 5E | FISH SWIMMING PERFORMANCE  |
| APPENDIX 6A | REVIEW OF LAKE STURGEON ECOLOGY  |
| APPENDIX 6B | LAKE STURGEON METHODS  |
| APPENDIX 6C | DESCRIPTION OF POTENTIAL LAKE STURGEON SPAWNING AREAS MAP  |
| APPENDIX 6D | LAKE STURGEON HABITAT SUITABILITY INDEX MODELLING RESULTS  |
| APPENDIX 6E | MEAN SIZE AND CONDITION OF LAKE STURGEON CAPTURED IN THE STUDY AREA  |
| APPENDIX 7A | MERCURY CONCENTRATIONS IN CISCO, LARGE-BODIED WHITE SUCKER, AND LAKE STURGEON                              |
| APPENDIX 7B | METHODS FOR FISH SAMPLING AND AGEING, TISSUE MERCURY ANALYSIS, AND DATA TREATMENT                          |
| APPENDIX 7C | EXAMPLE OF RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MERCURY  |
|             | CONCENTRATION AND FISH LENGTH (LARGE-BODIED FISH)  |
| APPENDIX 7D | EXAMPLE OF RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MERCURY  |
|             | CONCENTRATION AND FISH LENGTH (SMALL-BODIED FISH)  |
| APPENDIX 7E | MODELLING APPROACHES AND METHODS FOR THE   |
|             | PREDICTION OF POST-PROJECT MAXIMUM MERCURY   |
|             | CONCENTRATIONS IN FISH   |
| APPENDIX 7F | BIOLOGICAL INFORMATION FOR FISH SPECIES OF COMMERCIAL IMPORTANCE FROM STUDY AREA WATERBODIES, 1998–2006    |
| APPENDIX 7G | BIOLOGICAL INFORMATION FOR FORAGE FISH FROM STUDY<br>AREA WATERBODIES, 2001–2006                           |
| APPENDIX 7H | MERCURY CONCENTRATIONS OF LARGE-BODIED FISH FROM<br>STUDY AREA WATERBODIES, 1998–2006                      |
| APPENDIX 7I | MERCURY CONCENTRATIONS OF FORAGE FISH FROM STUDY<br>AREA WATERBODIES, 2001–2006                            |
| APPENDIX 7J | EXCEEDENCE OF MERCURY CONCENTRATION THRESHOLDS IN LARGE-BODIED FISH FROM STUDY AREA WATERBODIES, 1998–2006 |



APPENDIX 7K BIOLOGICAL INFORMATION FOR FISH SPECIES OF COMMERCIAL IMPORTANCE FROM THE AEA OFFSETTING LAKES, 2004–2006 APPENDIX 7L EXCEEDENCE OF MERCURY THRESHOLDS IN LARGE-BODIED FISH FROM THE AEA OFFSETTING LAKES, 2004–2006 METHODS FOR FISH SAMPLING AND AGEING, TISSUE TRACE APPENDIX 7M ELEMENT ANALYSIS, AND DATA TREATMENT APPENDIX 7N WHITEFISH INSPECTION PROTOCOL EMPLOYED BY THE FRESHWATER MARKETING CORPORATION IN WINNIPEG TO DETERMINE THE RATE OF INFESTATION OF LAKE WHITEFISH WITH CYSTS OF TRIAENOPHORUS CRASSUS (VERSION OF 9 DECEMBER 1999) APPENDIX 7O METHODS AND DATA ANALYSIS OF FISH PALATABILITY STUDIES

