EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report for the Sisson Project (“the Project”) proposed by Northcliff Resources Ltd. (“Northcliff”, “the Proponent”). The Sisson Project involves the development of a tungsten and molybdenum open pit mine, ore processing and associated facilities and infrastructure near Napadogan, in central New Brunswick. An environmental impact assessment (EIA) of the Project is required under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act (CEAA) as well as under the New Brunswick Environmental Impact Assessment Regulation–Clean Environment Act (EIA Regulation).

The purpose of the EIA Report is to document the results of the EIA required to satisfy the requirements of CEAA and the EIA Regulation. The EIA Report describes the Project and its potential environmental effects, as well as measures to avoid or minimize environmental effects, through construction, operation, and closure of the Project. The significance of potential environmental effects (including cumulative environmental effects) of the Project are assessed, and methods for avoiding or minimizing adverse environmental effects that may result from the Project and for capturing environmental benefits are identified. The report recommends a follow-up and monitoring program as and where appropriate.

The scope of the Project is the construction, operation, and decommissioning, reclamation and closure of the Sisson Project mine, ore processing and associated facilities and infrastructure.

The Sisson Project Site

The Sisson Project site is on provincial Crown land in a sparsely populated rural area of Central New Brunswick, approximately 10 km southwest of the community of Napadogan and approximately 60 km directly northwest of the City of Fredericton (Figure E.1). The Project area is generally rolling, forested upland; small lakes and wetlands are common in low-lying areas. The Project site is drained by small headwater brooks, primarily Bird and Sisson brooks, to Napadogan Brook and then to the Nashwaak and the St. John rivers. Wildlife populations are like those in the rest of Central New Brunswick. Brook trout and several other species of fish are common in brooks in and around the site, and Atlantic salmon have been identified in Napadogan Brook.

Land use in the vicinity of the Project is dominated by forest resource harvesting, and the site is well serviced with forestry roads connected to the provincial highway system. Land uses also include hunting, fishing, and other outdoor recreational activities. There are about 39 recreational campsite leases (including cabins) nearby, the nearest of which is about 1.5 km to the east of the proposed open pit. The nearest permanent residence is in Napadogan. The land and resources in the Project area are reported to be currently used for traditional purposes by Maliseet First Nations people.

Project Description

Following a two-year construction period, the Sisson Project will operate for about 27 years after which it will be decommissioned, and the site will be reclaimed and closed. The capital cost of the Project is estimated at C$579 million, and the expenditures for the entire period of operation are estimated at
C$3,730 million. The Project will create up to 500 direct jobs at the peak of construction and up to 300 direct full-time jobs over its operating life.

The Sisson mineral deposit is near surface and thus is only suitable for open pit mining. An average of 30,000 tonnes per day of ore will be mined by conventional drilling, blasting and hauling methods, then crushed and conveyed to an on-site ore processing plant. The ore will be processed to tungsten and molybdenum concentrates using conventional flotation technology. The tungsten concentrate will be further refined on-site to produce a higher value crystalline tungsten product, ammonium paratungstate (APT). The APT plant design is based on proven metallurgical and chemical processes, using alkali pressure leach technology, in a series of continuous and batch operations. The final mineral products will be packaged and trucked off-site to rail facilities or directly to markets. A new electrical transmission line from the Keswick terminal will be constructed by NB Power to supply the Project with electricity.

Mine waste rock and process tailings (i.e., fine ground host rock remaining after mineral removal, in a water slurry) will be stored in a tailings storage facility (TSF), along with wastes from the APT plant. All waste rock and APT waste, as well as potentially acid generating tailings, will be stored sub-aqueously in the TSF to effectively mitigate the potential onset of acid generation. The TSF embankments will be constructed of non-potentially acid generating rock quarried on-site. The embankments are designed to exceed the requirements set forth in the Canadian Dam Association’s “Dam Safety Guidelines”, and in so doing, will readily withstand extreme storm events and earthquakes.

Except for a small amount of fresh water supplied by wells, all Project water requirements will be met by re-using surface and groundwater collected on-site and stored in the TSF. The water management systems include open pit dewatering, water management ponds (WMPs) to collect TSF embankment run-off and seepage for pump-back to the TSF, and engineered drainage channels to either divert clean water away from Project facilities or to collect “mine contact” water for Project use. Wells will be developed below the WMPs to monitor groundwater quality and, if necessary to ensure acceptable water quality downstream, pump it back to the TSF. Tailings “beaches” will be developed around the inside perimeter of the TSF to keep the supernatant pond away from the embankments. Water in the pond will be recycled to the process plant and returned with the tailings. About eight years into operation, the Project will have a surplus of water which will be treated as needed to meet discharge permit requirements and then discharged to the natural environment via Sisson Brook.

At closure, drainage from the TSF will be routed to the open pit, which will fill in about 12 years. After this, the level of the pit lake will be maintained at an elevation that ensures groundwater only flows into it; surplus water will be treated as necessary before discharge. This practice will continue for as long as is necessary to ensure acceptable discharge water quality. When the pit lake can be directly discharged without treatment, treatment will cease, and the lake level will be allowed to rise so that it drains naturally to Sisson Brook. A conceptual decommissioning, reclamation and closure plan been developed, and the cost of a financial security to ensure acceptable closure at any stage of the Project life is included in the Project costing. The amount of the security will grow over the life of the Project to an estimated value of C$50 million.
Project facilities will permanently take up parts of the watersheds of the small brooks draining the site. Since some water is trapped in the tailings voids within the TSF during operation, there will be downstream flow reductions until the open pit is filled during Project closure. A plan to compensate for the consequent environmental effects on fish habitat must be approved under the federal * Fisheries Act before the Project can proceed; a conceptual fish habitat compensation plan has been developed and is included in the estimated Project cost. Similarly, a compensation plan for wetland losses must be approved under the New Brunswick * Clean Water Act before the Project can proceed.

**Environmental Management**

The potential environmental issues to be addressed in the EIA of the Sisson Project have been comprehensively determined by the governments of New Brunswick and Canada, and have been further refined through engagement of the public, key stakeholders, and First Nations during the conduct of the EIA. The Final Guidelines for the EIA were approved by the Province of New Brunswick in March 2009 after consultation with the public, stakeholders, and the Aboriginal community. After similar consultation, in April 2012, the governments of New Brunswick and Canada approved the Terms of Reference for the EIA that define the specific requirements of both the provincial and federal EIA processes. Together, the Final Guidelines and the Terms of Reference define the scope of the Project, factors to be considered, and the scope of factors to be considered in the EIA to meet CEAA and the EIA Regulation.

The planning and design of the Sisson Project has incorporated several features to avoid or minimize potential adverse environmental effects, and to respond positively to the principles of sustainable development and the precautionary approach. Key features of the Project include the following.

- The configuration of the open pit has been optimized to maximize the recovery of ore from the Sisson deposit while minimizing its footprint.

- The ore processing plant, TSF, and associated facilities are all sited within a single watershed, Napadogan Brook, for maximum effectiveness of responsible water management and ultimate closure of the project.

- The TSF has been designed to exceed the safety requirements of Canadian Dam Association guidelines.

- The TSF has been sited to avoid waterbodies to the extent possible, and its proposed location avoids disturbing lakes in the area, some of which support recreational fisheries. The size and configuration of the TSF have been optimized to avoid unnecessary disturbance or destruction of fish habitat as well as areas having concentrations of sites with elevated archaeological potential.

- All potentially acid generating tailings will be stored sub-aqueously in the TSF to effectively mitigate the potential onset of acid generation. For the same reason, all waste rock (some of which is potentially acid generating) will be stored sub-aqueously in the TSF rather than in a separate waste rock storage area on the land surface.
• No waste rock will be used to build the TSF embankments, since some of it is potentially acid generating. Instead, a quarry will be developed on-site to provide non-potentially acid generating rock for the embankments.

• APT will be produced on-site as an added-value end product, thereby enhancing job creation and economic benefits for the people of New Brunswick and Canada.

Northcliff has developed a framework Environmental and Social Management System (ESMS) for the Sisson Project. The framework ESMS provides an outline of various environmental and social management plans, policies and procedures, and describes their implementation schedule and responsibilities. The ESMS is an operational document to ensure implementation of the commitments and mitigation strategies identified in the EIA Report, and to otherwise meet Northcliff’s “Principles of Responsible Mineral Development”. The ESMS will become more developed and detailed as the Project progresses through detailed design and permitting, and will be updated as required for continuous improvement over the life of the Project.

Key elements of the ESMS include:

• an site-specific Environmental Protection Plan (EPP) for construction that will be developed and submitted to the appropriate regulatory agencies for review and approval prior to the commencement of construction;

• an Emergency Preparedness and Response Plan (EPRP) for all phases of the Project;

• specific operational plans for the management of, for example, water and air quality, land and biodiversity, hazardous materials and waste, noise, community health and safety, cultural heritage, and EIA follow-up and environmental effects monitoring; and

• a Public, Stakeholder, and First Nations Engagement Plan to ensure the effective continuation of Northcliff’s engagement activities through all phases of the Project.

Environmental Effects Assessment

Project interactions with all Valued Environmental Components (VECs) prescribed in the Terms of Reference were analyzed to determine the potential environmental effects associated with Project components and activities. The analysis of potential environmental effects of the Project on each VEC was carried out for all Project phases, including the cumulative environmental effects of the Project in combination with other projects or activities that have been or will be carried out. These analyses were based on thresholds of significance that were defined in the Terms of Reference within appropriate boundaries for the assessment. The environmental effects of potential accidents, malfunctions and unplanned events were also assessed, as were the effects of the environment on the Project. The analysis used qualitative and, where possible, quantitative information available from existing knowledge and appropriate analytical tools, as well as considering identified mitigation measures. To eliminate or reduce any anticipated environmental effects, mitigation measures were incorporated into the Project design.
Residual environmental effects were predicted for VECs following the application of planned mitigation measures. The residual environmental effects of each Project phase were evaluated as either not significant ("NS"), significant ("S", with likelihood of occurrence identified in such cases), or positive ("P"), based on thresholds of significance previously defined in the Terms of Reference. The significance of residual environmental effects, as determined for each of the VECs, is summarized in Table E.1 below.

**Table E.1  Summary of the Significance of Residual Environmental Effects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valued Environmental Component (VEC)</th>
<th>Project Phase</th>
<th>Accidents, Malfunctions and Unplanned Events</th>
<th>Project Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>Operation</td>
<td>Decommissioning, Reclamation and Closure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric Environment</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acoustic Environment</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Resources</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquatic Environment</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrestrial Environment</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>S/U (SAR only) NS (all others)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetated Environment</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland Environment</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health and Safety</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour and Economy</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS/P</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Services and Infrastructure</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land and Resource Use</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Use of Land and Resources for Traditional Purposes by Aboriginal Persons</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heritage Resources</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effects of the Environment on the Project</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

NS = Not Significant Residual Environmental Effect Predicted.
S = Significant Residual Environmental Effect Predicted.
U = Residual Environmental Effect is Unlikely to Occur.
P = Positive Residual Environmental Effect Predicted.
SAR = Species at Risk.

The EIA determined that there would be no significant adverse residual environmental effects from the Sisson Project during all phases and in consideration of normal Project activities. Positive environmental effects were predicted for Labour and Economy, specifically for employment, incomes and government revenues, during both the Construction and Operation phases. Effects of the environment on the Project were predicted to be not significant due to the engineering design of Project components that incorporates factors of safety and other mitigation strategies to minimize the likelihood of a significant adverse effect of the environment on the Project. The potential residual environmental effects of Accidents, Malfunctions and Unplanned Events were also found to be not significant for the most part. The EIA determined that the only potentially significant environmental effects due to such events would be if a Project-related fire put the life and/or health of the public and/or Project employees
in immediate danger, or if a Project-related fire or vehicle collision resulted in the death of listed species at risk (SAR). These environmental effects were predicted to be highly unlikely to occur.

Cumulative environmental effects that can result from the Project in combination with other past, present or reasonably foreseeable future projects or activities were also assessed. Project management and mitigation measures will be applied as part of the Project, such that the potential environmental effects of the Project in combination with other projects or activities that have been or will be carried out are rated not significant.

**Follow-up and Monitoring**

An appropriate follow-up program has been developed to verify the predictions of this EIA Report and to verify the effectiveness of mitigation. As well, monitoring measures have been developed to measure compliance with regulatory requirements, and to assist in the identification of adaptive management measures as necessary to avoid or minimize potentially significant adverse environmental effects should they be found to occur.

**Conclusion**

Overall, the EIA concluded that, with planned mitigation and the implementation of best practices to avoid or minimize adverse environmental effects, the residual environmental effects of the Project, including cumulative environmental effects and the effects of the environment on the Project, during all phases are rated not significant, except in the event of certain worse-case Accidents, Malfunctions and Unplanned Events, for which some environmental effects could be significant but are highly unlikely to occur.