

Marten Falls First Nation

**DRAFT Indigenous Engagement and Participation
Plan:
Review Comments**

Marten Falls All-Season Community Access Road

Date: January 28, 2020

Issue Number	Section Number	Section Title	Page	Request to IAAC for Revision or Clarification
1	1	Description of the Marten Falls Community Access Road Project	1	MFFN requests the deletion of ' <i>could enable future access road to potential mineral development activities in the Ring of Fire area</i> ' for the following reasons: 1) A mineral development supply road is not part of the Project; 2) because industry may choose to build a supply road in the absence of the CAR. This comment applies to all DRAFT plans provided by IAAC.
2	3	Objectives of Indigenous Engagement and Partnership	1	Clarification as to how engagement with Indigenous Groups conducted by IAAC should be taken into account in Project decision-making.
3	3	Objectives of Indigenous Engagement and Partnership	1	Clarification from IAAC as to definition of 'collaboration'.
4	3	Objectives of Indigenous Engagement and Partnership	2	Regarding reference to evidence that Project design is informed by input from Indigenous groups, MFFN requests that IAAC clarify the term 'evidence'. We note that the level of participation and knowledge provided to MFFN may vary by community.
5	4	Indigenous Groups	2	MFFN requests that IAAC clarify as which organizations should be consulted as per the Public Participation Plan rather than the Indigenous Engagement. For example, Anishinabek Nation is listed on the Public Participation Plan.
6	5	Engagement and Consultation Tools, and Methods	3	MFFN requests that we be informed in a timely manner by IAAC on which communities have received capacity funding.
7	5	Engagement and Consultation Tools, and Methods	3	Regarding the holding of technical meetings with MFFN, expert authorities, and Indigenous groups to support Indigenous groups 'technical review of key documents and participation throughout the impact assessment process while considering timelines and capacity of Indigenous groups. MFFN requests that IAAC clarify the scope and expected frequency of 'technical meetings' and MFFN's role in these meetings. Will IAAC work with MFFN in the planning of these meetings?

Issue Number	Section Number	Section Title	Page	Request to IAAC for Revision or Clarification
8	5	Engagement and Consultation Tools, and Methods	3	MFFN would like IAAC to clarify if they will be providing translators/interpreters for technical meetings?
9	5	Engagement and Consultation Tools, and Methods	3	MFFN requests that IAAC confirm who is accountable to develop the user-friendly summaries that pertain to the Impact Assess process; MFFN or IAAC?
10	5	Engagement and Consultation Tools, and Methods	3	RE: The Agency will explore opportunities for: translation of key high-level summary documents; and flexible timelines to accommodate in-community communication and engagement processes. MFFN requests that IAAC clarify who is financially responsible for translation.
11	6	Engagement and Consultation Approach	4	Define 'plain-language documents'.
12	6	Provincial Engagement Approaches	10	MFFN requests that IAAC clarify the roles of the Provincial Crown and MFFN in the delivery of engagement activities undertaken by IAAC.
13	6	Proponent Engagement Approaches	10	MFFN requests that IAAC provide the process of validation on Indigenous Knowledge and cultural considerations in the preparation of the Impact Statement. MFFN's requests that criteria and metrics be provided from IAAC should MFFN be evaluated on this.
14	6	Proponent Engagement Approaches	10	MFFN requests that IAAC clarify this statement: <i>The Agency will organize a series of meetings in coordination with the proponent to discuss technical matters as it progresses through its baseline data collection, effects assessment, and mitigation and follow-up development. After each stage of this process, the proponent will participate in meetings with the Agency, federal authorities and Indigenous groups to discuss technical matters.</i> Is it two separate processes or one? Need to better understand timing and expectations.

Issue Number	Section Number	Section Title	Page	Request to IAAC for Revision or Clarification
15	6.1 Table	Expected Activities	4	MFFN understand that IAAC's engagement with the Communities will primarily be to provide information on the IA process and to receive feedback from the Communities on how they would like to be engaged with. We have assumed that MFFN will be provided with regular and timely feedback from any meetings that IAAC holds with the communities. If support is required from MFFN for any of these meetings, we request early notice of these meetings. MFFN requests that IAAC confirm the amount of notice to be provided to MFFN.
16	6.1 Table	Expected Activities	4	MFFN requests that any correspondence sent by IAAC to the Communities and comments received from on the Project be provided back to MFFN in a timely manner. MFFN requests that IAAC confirm the timeframe in which comments will be sent to MFFN.
17	6.1 Table	Expected Activities	4	IAAC has provided Indigenous groups with guidance on how to protect confidential information. MFFN advised IAAC that sharing agreements need to be considered in the context of this information, and these agreements will also need to be coordinated with the province. MFFN requests that IAAC confirm the process of information sharing.
18	6.1 Table	Expected Activities	4	How should MFFN present confidential information in the Impact Statement?
19	6.1 Table	Expected Activities	5	MFFN requests that IAAC confirm how MFFN engagement/ Crown (federal and provincial) will be coordinated to ensure an effective and efficient consultation process and to minimize consultation fatigue for communities/ public.
20	6.1 Table	Time Frame	5	MFFN requests that IAAC clarify if MFFN or IAAC will be responding to comments in the federal Registry regarding this proposed Project and if comments will be / can be summarized by topic and by the communities/groups who submitted the comments.