JOINT REVIEW PANEL PUBLIC HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF Application Nos. 1844520, 1902073, 001-00403427, 001-00403428, 001-00403429, 001-00403430, 001-00403431, MSL160757, MSL160758, and LOC160842 to the Alberta Energy Regulator

GRASSY MOUNTAIN COAL PROJECT - BENGA MINING LIMITED

VOLUME 16

VIA REMOTE VIDEO

November 16, 2020

Dicta Court Reporting Inc. 403-531-0590

	3215	
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2 3	Description	Page
4	-	5
5	November 16, 2020 Morning Session	3218
6	Discussion	3221
7	GORD MCKENNA, Affirmed	3222
8	Direct Evidence of Livingstone Landowners Group	3223
9	(Vegetation, including species at risk, terrain	
10	and soils, conservation and reclamation, closure,	,
11	and biodiversity)	
12	Mr. Ignasiak Cross-examines Livingstone Landowner	rs3254
13	Group	
14	Alberta Energy Regulator Panel Questions	3258
15	Livingstone Landowners Group	
16	Discussion	3265
17	GARY HOUSTON, DANE MCCOY, MIKE YOUL,	3267
18	MIKE BARTLETT, Previously Affirmed	
19	CORY BETTLES, DAVID DEFOREST, SOREN JENSEN,	3268
20	MARTIN DAVIES, LEIF BURGE, Affirmed	
21	STEPHEN DAY, NANCY GRAINGER, Sworn	3268
22	Direct Evidence of Benga Mining Limited (Water,	
23	including surface and groundwater management,	
24	quantity and quality, selenium management and	
25	aquatic resources, including fish and fish habita	at
26	and fish species at risk)	

-		
1	DAN BEWLEY, Previously Affirmed	3268
2	Mr. Yewchuk Cross-examines Benga Mining Limited	3283
3		
4	November 16, 2020 Afternoon Session	3327
5	GARY HOUSTON, DANE MCCOY, MIKE YOUL,	3330
6	MIKE BARTLETT, CORY BETTLES, DAVID DEFOREST,	
7	SOREN JENSEN, MARTIN DAVIES, LEIF BURGE,	
8	DAN BEWLEY, Previously Affirmed	
9	STEPHEN DAY, NANCY GRAINGER, Previously Sworn	
10	Mr. Yewchuk Cross-examines Benga Mining Limited	3331
11	Mr. Secord Cross-examines Benga Mining Limited	3408
12	Discussion	3437
13	Certificate of Transcript	3440
14		
15		
16	EXHIBITS	
17	Description	Page
18		
19	EXHIBIT CIAR 847 - FROM THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA	3439
20	(FISHERIES AND OCEANS CANADA) TO THE JOINT REVIEW	
21	PANEL RE: ASSESSMENT OF THE ECOLOGICAL IMPACT OF	
22	THE GRASSY MOUNTAIN COAL PROJECT ON WESTSLOPE	
23	CUTTHROAT TROUT IN THE BLAIRMORE AND GOLD GREEK	
24	WATERSHEDS, ALBERTA	
25		
26		
1		

1	AQ#1 - CPAWS - DEFOREST - COMMENT ON "WILDLIFE AND
2	THE COAL WASTE POLICY DEBATE (2013) - WATER TOPICS
3	AQ#3 - CPAWS - RED CHRIS - SELENIUM IN FISH
4	TISSUE(2016) - WATER TOPICS
5	AQ#4 - CPAWS - MARTIN DAVIES - DOLLY VARDEN CHAR
6	(2010) - WATER TOPICS
7	AQ#6 - CPAWS - STEPHEN DAY - RESERVOIRS OF
8	SELENIUM IN COAL WASTE ROCK (2015) - WATER TOPICS
9	AQ#12 - CPAWS - DEFOREST, BIOKINETIC FOOD CHAIN
10	MODELING OF WATERBORNE SELENIUM PULSES INTO
11	AQUATIC FOOD CHAINS (2015) - WATER TOPICS
12	AQ#13 - CPAWS - DEFOREST - UPDATED SPECIES
13	SENSITIVITY DISTRIBUTION EVALUATIONS FOR ACUTE AND
14	CHRONIC LEAD TOXICITY TO SALTWATER AQUATIC LIFE
15	(2017) - WATER TOPIC
16	AQ#14 - CPWAS - DEFOREST - CRITICAL REVIEW OF
17	PROPOSED RESIDUE-BASED SELENIUM TOXICITY
18	THRESHOLDS FOR FRESHWATER FISH (2010) - WATER
19	TOPICS
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

		5210
1	Proceedings Taken via Re	emote Video
3 4	November 16, 2020	Morning Session
5	A. Bolton	The Chair
6	D. O'Gorman	Hearing Commissioner
7	H. Matthews	Hearing Commissioner
8		
9	M. LaCasse	AER Counsel
10	B. Kapel Holden	AER Counsel
11		
12	K. Lambrecht, QC	Joint Review Panel Secretariat
13		Counsel
14		
15	T. Utting	IAAC Staff
16	E. Arruda	AER Staff
17	D. Campbell	AER Staff
18	T. Turner	AER Staff
19	T. Wheaton	AER Staff
20	A. Shukalkina	AER Staff
21		
22	M. Ignasiak	For Benga Mining Limited
23	C. Brinker	
24		
25	R. Warden	For Ktunaxa Nation
26	T. Howard	
1		

		3219
1	K. Poitras	For Métis Nation of Alberta
2		Region 3
3		
4	Chief B. Cote	For Shuswap Indian Band
5		
6	B. Snow	For Stoney Nakoda Nations
7		
8	R. Drummond	For Government of Canada
9	S. McHugh	
10		
11	A. Gulamhusein	For Municipality of Crowsnest
12		Pass
13		
14	M. Niven, QC	For MD of Ranchland No. 66
15	R. Barata	
16	J. Nijjer (Student-at-La	w)
17		
18	B. McGillivray	For Town of Pincher Creek
19		
20	D. Yewchuk	For Canadian Parks and
21		Wilderness Society, Southern
22		Alberta Chapter
23		
24	R. Secord	For Coalition of Alberta
25	I. Okoye	Wilderness Association, Grassy
26		Mountain Group, Berdina Farms

Ltd., Donkersgoed Feeder 1 Limited, Sun Cured Alfalfa 2 3 Cubes Inc., and Vern Emard 4 R. Cooke For Crowsnest Conservation 5 6 Society 7 G. Fitch, QC For Livingstone Landowners 8 9 C. Agudelo Group 10 For Timberwolf Wilderness 11 M. Sawyer 12 Society and Mike Judd 13 14 (No Counsel) For Barbara Janusz 15 (No Counsel) For Jim Rennie 16 17 S. Elmeligi For Alberta Chapter of the 18 A. Morehouse Wildlife Society and the 19 Canadian Section of the 20 S. Milligan 21 Wilderness Society M. Boyce 22 J. Gourlay-Vallance For Eco-Elders for Climate 23 24 Action 25 For Trout Unlimited Canada 26 L. Peterson

For Coal Association of Canada 1 R. Campbell 2 3 (No Counsel) For Alistair Des Moulins 4 (No Counsel) 5 For David McIntyre 6 7 (No Counsel) For Fred Bradley 8 For Gail Des Moulins 9 (No Counsel) 10 For Ken Allred 11 (No Counsel) 12 (Not Present) 13 For Monica Field 14 (No Counsel) 15 S. Frank For Oldman Watershed Council 16 17 A. Hurly 18 19 C. Forster, CSR(A) Official Court Reporter 20 21 (PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED AT 8:58 AM) 22 Discussion 23 THE CHAIR: Good morning, everyone. Just a reminder that live audio and video streams and video 24 25 recordings of this proceeding are available to the 26 public through the AER's website and YouTube. Anyone

in the virtual hearing room with their camera or 1 2 microphone turned on will be captured, and images and 3 recordings of you and your surroundings will be 4 broadcast to a publicly available YouTube video. Ιf 5 you have any concerns about this, please contact 6 counsel well in advance of the time you are scheduled 7 to participate to explain your concerns. We will make 8 best efforts to try and accommodate your concerns 9 considering the need for an open and transparent public 10 process. 11 So this morning we're going to finish up the 12 vegetation and reclamation session with some direct evidence from the Livingstone Landowners Group, and 13 14 then once that's completed and the cross-examination is completed, we'll move to the water session. 15 16 Are there any preliminary matters before we get 17 started? Hearing none, Mr. Fitch, are you ready to proceed? 18

19 MR. FITCH: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 20 Mr. -- Dr. McKenna, can you hear me? 21 DR. MCKENNA: Yes, I can. 22 MR. FITCH: Thank you. Madam Court Reporter, can we please have the LLG's 23 24 next witness sworn or affirmed? 25 GORD MCKENNA, Affirmed 26 MR. FITCH: Thank you, Madam Court

1 Reporter. 2 Direct Evidence of Livingstone Landowners Group 3 (Vegetation, including species at risk, terrain and 4 soils, conservation and reclamation, closure, and 5 biodiversity) 6 MR. FITCH: Good morning, Dr. McKenna. 0 7 I'd like to begin by having you confirm that you were 8 retained by the Livingstone Landowners Group? 9 Α Yes. 10 And you were retained to review Benga's application and Ο 11 environmental impact assessment to look at, among other 12 things, the issues of reclamation and closure? Yes, that's true. 13 Α 14 And, sir, you prepared a letter report that is dated 0 September 21st, 2012, that is titled "Engineering 15 Review of the EIA Design, Operation, and Reclamation 16 17 Plans for the Proposed Grassy Mountain Coal Project"; correct? 18 19 That's correct. Α 20 And for the record, that is CIAR Document 552, 0 21 beginning at PDF 3. 22 Dr. McKenna, was that report prepared by you or under your direction? 23 24 Yes, it was. Α 25 Okay. And do you adopt it as your evidence in this 0 26 proceeding?

1 Α Yes, I do. 2 And, sir, you acknowledge that you are an independent 0 3 expert witness and that you are under a duty to provide opinion evidence that is fair, objective, and 4 5 non-partisan? 6 Α I do. 7 Thank you. Ο 8 MR. FITCH: Now, Zoom Host, we have --9 Dr. McKenna prepared a PowerPoint presentation that we 10 provided to the secretariat on Friday. I confess I'm not sure what the CIAR number is, but hopefully we can 11 12 locate that document and pull it up. Thank you. 13 MR. FITCH: So, Dr. McKenna, you have 0 14 prepared a PowerPoint presentation to act as an aid to 15 your evidence this morning; correct? That is correct. 16 Α 17 All right. And I take it that there's -- that the --Ο what's in your PowerPoint presentation, effectively, is 18 19 a distillation or summary of what you put in your 20 letter report? 21 The -- there's two distillations. Α Yes, it is. There's 22 this one and then one coming up in the later part as Together they cover the letter. 23 well. 24 Okay. 0 Great. 25 Sir, can I ask you to proceed with your 26 presentation, then.

1 A Thank you, Mr. Fitch.

2	Good morning. I'm pleased to be here to highlight
3	aspects of my report as that relate to reclamation and
4	closure. My goal is neither to promote or oppose the
5	mine here but, instead, to provide the Panel my expert
6	opinion to aid in their decision and recommendations.
7	My main focus is on highlighting what I believe are
8	significant deficiencies in the current reclamation and
9	closure design.
10	Next slide.
11	Thank you.
12	I provided an outline of my presentation on this
13	slide. The information from the presentation is based
14	on my report dated September 21st as Mr. Fitch
15	mentioned, and it's filed under your system under
16	CIAR 552.
17	The presentation provides information on my
18	background, the process of landform design, and
19	highlights nine observations from my report that relate
20	to reclamation and closure. I'll cover most of the
21	other recommendations in the session on selenium and
22	water over the week. The last slide provides my
23	overall conclusions.
24	To give away the ending, I conclude that Benga
25	should be required to provide a more detailed closure
26	and reclamation design to address the outstanding

1			
1		shortcomings listed in the letter before the	
2		application can be fully considered.	
3		THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. Can I see the	
4		witness on the screen, please? I don't have him on the	
5		screen.	
6		MR. FITCH: I can see him.	
7		THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. Okay. Thanks. I can	
8		see	
9	А	DR. MCKENNA: I'll continue.	
10		THE COURT REPORTER: I can see you now.	
11	A	DR. MCKENNA: Okay. Good. Thank you.	
12		Next slide, please.	
13		I've included my background information here, and,	
14		in brief, I'm a geotechnical engineer and a geologist	
15		with 33 years of mining experience with a focus on mine	
16		waste management. I have a PhD in geotechnical	
17		engineering from the University of Alberta.	
18		I worked as a geotechnical engineer at the	
19		Syncrude oil sands mine for 17 years before becoming an	
20		international geotechnical consultant based here in	
21		Vancouver in 2004.	
22		I've held several adjunct professor positions, and	
23		I'm currently an adjunct professor of civil engineering	
24		at the University of Alberta.	
25		I sit on several geotechnical review boards. I've	
26		sat on a number of panels related to mine waste	

1 management. One of these panels was the strategic 2 advisory panel on selenium management for Rocky 3 Mountain coal mines in Alberta and BC, and that ran 4 back in 2010 through 2012. Last year I founded the Landform Design Institute 5 6 to help support and grow that discipline. 7 Next slide. 8 Thank you. 9 Grassy Mountain application through a lens of 10 landform design. I would characterize the present Grassy Mountain Coal Project mine and reclamation plans 11 as traditional reclamation planning. 12 I was expecting 13 to see plans and designs that better reflect the 14 challenging nature of this property. Bedrock geology model and the mine plans related to ore mining are 15 quite well developed as they usually are for most 16 17 mines, but I wonder if Benga fell into a trap of 18 thinking that the waste rock dump designs and reclamation plans need only be conceptual in nature. 19 20 Time and again we find that conceptual plans usually contain fatal flaws and don't provide a good basis for 21 22 decision-making by the mine, the regulator, or local communities. Through -- though such designs are 23 expected to change over time, I found that to be 24 25 successful they still need to be done to a feasibility 26 level even before mining begins.

1 Many of my clients have adopted this landform 2 design approach for their mining projects. Designs 3 done by integrated multidisciplinary teams that work 4 with regulators and local communities has had clear 5 design and performance goals and create and implement 6 the designs to reliably meet the stated objectives.

7 I reviewed Benga's EIA through the lens of8 landform design.

9 What do we mean "landforms"? Landforms are 10 defined as distinct topographic features formed by 11 natural or artificial processes. Taken together, they 12 make up the surface of the earth. Examples include 13 mountains, peninsulas, islands, sand dune and streams.

14 Landform design builds on this knowledge collected over hundreds of years and -- this knowledge of natural 15 landforms by different disciplines, and it applies it 16 to the design and construction and reclamation of 17 mining landforms such as waste rock dumps, tailings 18 ponds, mined-out pits, et cetera. 19 Together these 20 landforms form the mining landscape, which is designed, 21 constructed, and maintained to perform as intended over 22 the coming thousands of years, long after the coal is consumed and to fit into the mining region and to be 23 able to leave a positive legacy for local communities 24 25 as has been promised in EIAs.

This chart shows how it's done. A

26

multidisciplinary team is formed usually with about a 1 2 dozen different specialists and generalists, the types 3 of people already working on the Benga application and, 4 I quess, the people reviewing it as well. Governance is established. A design basis document is created 5 6 with agreed-upon vision, goals, design objectives, 7 design criteria. The landform is then designed by this integrated team to meet these goals. Then a full 8 9 engineering risk assessment is done. And, crucially, 10 for areas where there's a risk of not meeting these commitments, fold contingencies are developed and 11 12 implemented as needed.

This is different than the trial-and-error 13 14 approach that the proponent is offering under the name of adaptive management. Instead, it follows a process 15 developed in the 1960s called the "geotechnical 16 observational method". The contingencies are developed 17 in enough detail that they can be quickly and 18 affordably implemented if performance does not meet 19 20 expectations.

21 Next.

As the mine advances, each of the landforms is constructed, usually four or five at a time, and areas of these landforms that are no longer needed for mining are resloped with dozers, the surface water drainage system established, a cover soil is applied, and the area's revegetated. This is called "progressive
 reclamation", as you know.

The monitoring program starts before mining begins and ends decades after. Results from the monitoring are used to assess whether the site is meeting the intended performance and is set up so that the contingencies can be put in place before environmental damage occurs.

9 Sometimes the contingencies take a few years to 10 construct and to commission, get running well, so the 11 monitoring program and the design takes this into 12 account to make sure that we have timely data.

13 We use this observational method for tailings dams 14 and hydro dams around the world, and it's being applied to mining landforms like waste rock dumps and pit 15 You might ask, Is this new? 16 lakes. Is this just state-of-the-art? Well, on one hand, this 17 observational method for geotechnical engineering --18 used for the Apollo moon shots about when I was born. 19 20 So that's not new. The application to mining and mine reclamation is less than 20 years old and has been 21 22 adopted internationally for tough sites like Grassy 23 Mountain. So it's somewhat new.

I argue that the traditional design methods used by the proponents fall short in terms of protecting the environment and meeting other goals. The current design lacks many of the elements on the flow chart in
 favours of big trial-and-error approach.

3 You might ask, How come all this detail? Why now? 4 Why can't it come later? You might ask rhetorically, Isn't 17,000 pages enough? Well, it comes down to 5 designing with the end of mine, planning ahead, being 6 7 thorough from the beginning. Avoiding this level of design almost always leads to a fatal flaw in the 8 9 design, the mine overcommitting on performance it can't 10 control, increased costs down the road, and a greater risk of early abandonment and environmental performance 11 12 that's less than promised.

At this site, achieving the goals and protecting the fish requires more design effort than is currently being provided.

Next slide, please. Thank you.

17 The report I prepared for the Livingstone 18 Landowners Group provides 17 observations and my 19 suggestions for addressing each one. Let me focus 20 today on the nine shown here in yellow, the ones that 21 relate to closure and reclamation, and there's one 22 slide for observation.

23 Next slide, please.

16

This first slide says that the EIA presents optimistic goals, many of which will be hard to achieve. Just about all mines overpromise to get their permit. In many cases, they are -- feel compelled by miners' inherent optimism but also by the system to make these commitments towards lofty, ill-defined, or otherwise high-risk goals to get their permit.

5 This notion of equivalent capability, which is the 6 heart of -- of Alberta's regulatory system for this, is 7 one such promise which is both ill-defined and a high 8 risk. That the ecoscience will be restored to a future 9 regulator's satisfaction in a timely manner in this 10 harsh environment with limited cover soils is very 11 optimistic.

12 I'm certain the mined land can be well reclaimed 13 with good design, execution, monitoring, and oversight 14 by the regulator and members of the local community, 15 but most mines end up disappointing even when they 16 reclaim well.

17 Last week, a colleague of mine summed up today's 18 typical mine reclamation approach as: We do our best 19 and cross our fingers. But we can do better than that. 20 We need to do better than that here at this mine.

I think we should start by promising less and then putting plans in place to reliably deliver on reasonable goals and objectives. People talk about smart objectives -- S-M-A-R-T, standing for specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-oriented. The objectives for Grassy Mountain generally fail

> Dicta Court Reporting Inc. 403-531-0590

1 most of these criteria.

2 Then there are the numerous and often onerous 3 commitments made in the EIA, SIRs, and hearings such as 4 this.

Another colleague you'll know, an ex-Alberta 5 6 environmental -- environment regulator, Mr. Chris 7 Powter, points out that the documents such as applications and EIAs are typically given the lowest 8 weight in the regulatory process behind policy, 9 10 standards, decision reports, authorizations, acts, regulations, and rules. Most mines end up focusing, 11 12 sometimes exclusively, on what is written in their 13 permit.

14 Local communities put great weight in the commitments made in the EIA such as this and the 15 hearings, and it's important that such commitments be 16 17 gathered up and clearly written and included as part of any permits. Sometimes a permit approval will say that 18 the proponent shall do all the things promised in their 19 20 EIA and the permitting process, but I see that 21 overarching statements like this are -- are usually 22 hard to enforce and -- and often just melt away. This lack of clarity in goals manifests when a 23 24 mine manager is asked to sign cheques for expensive 25 reclamation without clear objectives, and then again it 26 appears when the mine applies for a reclamation

> Dicta Court Reporting Inc. 403-531-0590

1 certificate for the first plot of reclaimed land.

Almost no one gets a certificate for their
reclaimed mine land anywhere in the world. Even fewer
get their financial assurance return. My count, it's a
fraction of 1 percent get their money back.

6 There is 1 square kilometre of reclaimed land in 7 oil sands that I led the effort to achieve such a This landform was chosen in 1997 to trial 8 certificate. 9 the process for oil sands, building on some of the 10 success of the prairie coal mines. A fairly boring 11 hill was selected, one made mostly with clay, with a metre of soil, 10-metre high trees, and it still took 12 13 ten years of negotiation and rework to achieve the 14 certificate. And getting the certificate was in doubt 15 right to the end.

16 There are a couple of coal mines with some 17 certified land to celebrate, but around the world 18 people are hard-pressed to come up with examples of --19 of successful sign-off.

There's lots of reasons -- and circling back to 20 this slide here, there's lots of reasons, but one of 21 22 the main ones is disagreement on what the commitments 23 actually are and whether they have been met. Often 24 these requirements prove impossible to meet. So I 25 would encourage a reset on the goals and objectives 26 at -- at Grassy Mountain.

On to Slide Number 2, please -- or the next slide. 1 This slide allows us to talk about the high risks 2 that full reclamation will not be achieved. 3 Partly 4 because there's almost never sign-off and there's few economic incentives, few mines ever get fully 5 6 reclaimed, most are less than half reclaimed. I'm not 7 aware of any fully reclaimed mine site even amongst the hundred mines I've visited and worked at around the 8 9 My list of fully reclaimed sites is limited to world. 10 a few small quarries near cities. Clearly, if a 11 proponent were to offer to only reclaim half a mine 12 site, permitting would be difficult, but this is kind 13 of the ongoing reality on the ground.

14 Usual pattern is at the end of mining, the partially reclaimed mine site goes into care and 15 maintenance with very little additional reclamation 16 If the mine goes into receivership or goes 17 done. 18 bankrupt, the property reverts back to the original landowner, typically the Crown, and the reclamation 19 activities were also minimal at that point, and the 20 21 land is simply put into care and maintenance; users are 22 continued to be denied access.

In this modern era -- oh, sorry. This means that at every mine opening the local communities are accepting risks that the land won't be reclaimed, and they may never get back on the land again.

In this modern era, mines post financial assurance 1 to cover the risk of default. 2 Some combination of 3 cash, bonds, lines of credit or corporate assurance are 4 But the amount of money held by the government common. is typically a small percentage of the estimated cost 5 6 of reclamation and the cost of long-term care and water 7 treatment.

But, worse, the estimated cost of mine closure is 8 9 almost always underestimated by a factor of 4 to 10. 10 So let me give you some numbers that -- that highlight 11 this. These are from the Faro Mine in the Yukon some I've been involved with for the last decade. 12 This 13 large lead-zinc mine closed in 1998. The reclamation 14 bond was \$28 million. So far, Canadian taxpayers have 15 spent over \$500 million on care and maintenance of the Faro site even though there's been almost no land 16 17 reclaimed over the last 20 years. The cost estimate of reclamation and care and maintenance over the next 18 20 years is expected to be over \$1.5 billion. 19 This is 20 all taxpayers' money. This is compared to the 21 \$28 million bond. This is, unfortunately, fairly 22 typical.

Furthermore, I'm not aware of any mine that has paid for its own reclamation. It seems to always require the mine owner to use revenues from other mines, or the site is abandoned to the taxpayers.

And I've never heard of regulators say that they 1 were glad to get a mine -- mine land back and that they 2 3 had adequate funding for reclamation, and often governments don't feel compelled to rush reclamation or 4 even to meet the original permit conditions for 5 6 reclamation opting for safe and stable, little else. 7 Users are usually excluded from the mine land indefinitely. 8

9 So given this track record, I've recommended that 10 the project be asked to provide a more complete cost 11 estimate for closure and long-term care and that the 12 Province secure adequate financial assurance for all 13 phases of the project. A review of the security by a 14 third party would help reduce risk and uncertainty. 15 Next slide, please.

16 The proponent's updated conservation and 17 reclamation plan is still lacking in key items and 18 should be redone with greater detail before a decision 19 is made. A list of deficiencies is provided here on 20 the slide. The report provides more detail, and the 21 coming slides focus on specific deficiencies.

22

Next slide, please.

Observation 11, my point is that surprisingly little effort has been expended on the end-pit lake design. Yes, it's a long time until the end-pit lake will be constructed, but the design of the lake and its watershed should be developed now so people can be assured the lake will be viable and meet the goals and objectives set out. Right now, there is almost some design provided, despite lots of available guidance. And I've put screenshots of four textbooks on the slide. It's unclear that the Grassy Mountain pit lake will be safe and -- and sustainable.

8 I note there appears to be an error in two EIA 9 tables regarding the size of the watershed that feeds 10 the lake, which is listed as -- the watershed's listed 11 as 1,032 square kilometres. This must be an error. 12 It's much too large.

Given that the -- it is recognized that, for a successful lake, the design of the reclaimed watershed is at least as important as the design of the lake. And this typo is perhaps indicative of lack of attention to the lake design at this point.

Here's a detail that's telling. The table 18 indicates 10 percent of the lake will be designed as a 19 20 littoral zone. This littoral is a very shallow water 21 zone in the lake that allows for productivity that's important to the lake ecology. 22 10 percent is often regarded as the textbook minimum for a productive lake 23 24 if that's indeed what's promised. But there's no 25 details on the function of this littoral zone for this 26 lake or how it will be constructed or how deep the

water will be. Typically, the littoral zone is
 difficult to plan and construct unless planned from the
 very beginning. More detail is needed.

4 Furthermore, the water quality of the lake is assumed to be good, and this hasn't been demonstrated. 5 6 The presence of even low levels of selenium in pit 7 lakes, which are quiet or lentic environments, can have a profound impact on birds and fish. 8 The watershed and 9 the lake need to being designed for sufficient water 10 quality and quantity and contingency plans put in place 11 and a monitoring plan to rectify any poor water quality 12 right away. This is a major design consideration for pit lakes in the region. 13

14 What is especially odd is the plan for tunnels through the mountain as an overflow outlet for the pit 15 I've never seen anyone propose to drain an 16 lake. 17 end-pit lake through a tunnel through a mountain. How would these tunnels be constructed and maintained? 18 How can they be self-sustaining? How would the mine or the 19 20 government do -- or what would the mine or the 21 government do if they were to collapse at some point? 22 How can the inlets be kept from blocking? How can kids be kept out? 23

Every other pit lake I know has an armoured outlet channel that is very robust, and these outlets can be the weakest part of the -- of the lake design. The

outlet should be cut into the bedrock, even though this 1 2 is -- this expensive cut is large enough to affect the 3 mine plan; and such cuts often expose more ore, 4 complicating the mine plan. The waste rock from this cut would need to go somewhere. 5 None of this is 6 planned or costed. Better to plan for than have the 7 taxpayers get into the mining business, digging a hole in the side of a mountain to replace the tunnels. 8

9 So the plan should be updated with more pit lake 10 design details. I think a pit lake with a tunnel 11 outlet or elevated selenium would be considered a fatal 12 flaw for this end-pit lake.

13 Next

Next slide, please.

14 The point I'd like to discuss now is that almost no effort is being devoted in the -- in the plan to the 15 design of the surface water drainage system for the 16 mine site for -- for closure. In my practice, water is 17 the main issue in mine reclamation, surface water, 18 groundwater, soil moisture. When I worked at Syncrude, 19 20 we would pay our consultants tens of thousands of dollars to work up a surface water drainage design for 21 22 each closure plan. We needed this design to understand 23 how to design the mine, the individual landforms, how 24 to design with the end in mind. We have to keep the 25 water flowing safely downhill, so we note that water 26 only flows uphill, to money.

As part of hydraulic designs, mine declare the 1 2 return period for major design storms. We would design 3 the erosion -- design these channels to limit erosion 4 in, say, a 1-in-10-year event or 1-in-a-thousand-year 5 event or -- or, in critical cases, a 1-in-10,000-year 6 event. And same as we would do for the outlet of 7 critical dams.

The costs to meet these different criteria vary 8 9 greatly, so we need to declare these criteria up front. 10 We needed to make sure that there's enough gravel 11 and -- and Riprap to armour the channels. One rule of 12 thumb says that for mines in Alberta the drainage 13 density of about 1 kilometre of watercourses for each 14 1 square kilometre of disturbed land. The density does 15 vary with geography. I'm not -- I don't think it's been determined what the right density is for the 16 17 Grassy Mountain.

But to give you a sense, if that density applies 18 here, it would amount to about 15 kilometres of 19 20 watercourses, which seem reasonable. And look at the 21 plan, and -- and on the slide here, all I see instead 22 of this design report and -- and all this work are 23 little blue arrows on the map called "drainage direction" with no indication of what the design storm 24 25 would be, how the channels would carry the water, how 26 much they would cost, how the land would be protected

from uncontrolled erosion. Channels to safely carry 1 2 runoff water from waste rock dumps or a feature of 3 textbooks in the 1970s would have been forgotten by 4 the -- a lot of mines today, including Grassy. Here's what I've seen happens when you don't have 5 6 a well-designed and well-constructed surface water 7 drainage system. Water ponds on the landscape sinking into the waste rock and causing chemicals to leach from 8 9 the waste rock dumps. Where the ponded water slops 10 over a dump crest, floods down, the soil cover is 11 washed away, big gullies, and deposition is alluvial 12 fans at the toe of the slope, sometimes blocking a 13 watercourse down there too. Mine waste is often 14 inadvertently dumped where the channels are supposed to be constructed, and who is going to move a dump to fit 15 a channel in later? When it comes time for the 16 17 reclamation certificate, the regulator may wonder where all the channels are and why they weren't built. 18 The miner doesn't want to cut down the trees that have 19 grown and disturb the land to build channels. 20 I know. 21 I've been there with the S4 dump certification at -- at 22 Syncrude. It's too late. There's increased cost, 23 increased risk, and decreased environmental performance 24 results.

The surface water drainage is serious business.It's designed by professionals. It's constructed with

1 the greatest of care. It's repaired and maintained so 2 it acts as intended. The Grassy EIA provides 3 commitments regarding erosion but no designs to manage 4 it.

The diagram here in the upper right shows a modern 5 6 waste rock dump designed to control acid rock drainage 7 or selenium oxidation. I want to use the diagram to focus on the kinds of surface water drainage that we're 8 9 talking about here. On the right, a run-on channel 10 above the waste rock dump kind of at the toe of the 11 mountain slope is used to keep clean water clean and 12 stop it from leaching materials from the waste rock 13 Line channels on top of the waste rock dump dump. 14 plateau are used to avoid ponding water. The watershed berm at the edge of the dump crest is used to keep 15 water from gullying the crest. The Australians use 16 17 these widely; they call it a "bund". The toe creek is used to collect the surface water and perhaps the 18 These elements all need to be built into 19 groundwater. 20 the design from the start even -- it's important to 21 have these in the design even at this early stage as 22 now.

23 Down to my last four slides here. Next slide,24 Number 13.

25 There's opportunities to improve the -- on the 26 commitment and mine plans for progressive reclamation.

The mine is promising progressive reclamation. 1 Even mines with good intentions generally fall short on 2 3 implementation of progressive reclamation. The permit should reflect this commitment in a measurable way, 4 perhaps indicating that all areas of the mine that are 5 6 no longer required for operations shall be reclaimed 7 in, say, two years. This helps hold the proponent to their commitment. 8

9 There's an opportunity to be the more aggressive 10 in the progressive reclamation plans making more land 11 available sooner for progressive reclamation, for 12 example, by adjusting the waste rock dump sequencing 13 such that a smaller area of active dumping is required 14 at any given time.

The mine is planning direct placement of 15 reclamation material, which is good. This is where the 16 reclamation material from active stripping is handled 17 once so it doesn't need to be stockpiled and is loaded, 18 hauled, dumped, and spread, typically within hours. 19 20 This approach not is only [sic] cheaper than 21 stockpiling and rehandling. As importantly, it 22 preserves the soil microbes, the seeds, and the propagules in the soil that greatly speeds the recovery 23 24 of the vegetation community. The permit condition 25 should be written to maximize the direct placement 26 every year, not just as practicable or when possible

> Dicta Court Reporting Inc. 403-531-0590

1 or -- or at the mine's discretion.

2	Benga should establish some large field trials
3	to for reclamation research, building covers and
4	revegetation plots planted or seeded at commercial
5	scale. It would have been good to start such plots
6	during the exploration period, but 2021 is also a good
7	year to start. These are to answer the question: What
8	covers and planting techniques are needed to establish
9	the promised ecosites in different areas of the
10	reclaimed landscape?
11	A simple example: There remains uncertainty
12	whether whitebark pine can be established and thrived
13	in mine reclamation at this kind of site. Fair enough.
14	Common practice to establish vegetation plots to answer
15	these kinds of questions and questions like: What
16	cover thickness does it need? What for whitepine?
17	What kind of substrate? Should it be contoured to
18	block the wind or or perhaps to trap snow? Should a
19	nurse crop be used? How should the seedlings be
20	planted? How can the mine demonstrate to regulators
21	and local communities the vegetation design for
22	whitebark park [sic] is likely to succeed?
23	Right now, the reclamation plan waits until
24	Year 15 to start planting these whitebark pine.
25	Instead, Benga should establish vegetation plots next
26	year and test the conditions needed to promote
1	

Dicta Court Reporting Inc. 403-531-0590

3245

The needed knowledge will then be in 1 whitebark pine. 2 place prior to reclamation, and we can all be assured 3 then the plan is a good one. Or if we find it proves 4 impractical, Benga can ask for an exemption from the whitebark pine commitments. Better to find this out 5 6 now in the next few years than to wait until 2040. 7 Next slide. Three more slides to go. I apologize to the Panel; I'm taking a couple extra minutes here. 8 9 The proponent indicates a commitment to 10 establishing equivalent capability, which always begs 11 the question: Equivalent capability for exactly what? 12 If it's equivalent capability for re-establishing the 13 ecosites prior to mining, having the grasses, trees, 14 and shrubs grow at similar rates to pre-disturbance, what really matters here is the cover soil, the depth, 15 the layers, the material properties, how it's placed. 16 17 I'm not a soil scientist, but I've worked for 25 years in multidisciplinary teams designing, 18 constructing, and testing reclamation material 19 20 prescriptions for cover soils in mines in Alberta and internationally. Here's what I observed regarding 21 22 Grassy Mountain. 23 The current mine plan reclamation plan uses a very 24 simplistic design. The proponent has determined there's about 3.3 million cubic metres of available 25 reclamation material needed to cover or to put on 15 26

million square metres of reclaimed land. That's 1,500 1 2 Long division provides the design in this hectares. 3 case: 20 centimetres cover soil depth. This is the 4 amount placed on all substrates at all elevations, on windy polygons and calm ones, on old roads, on waste 5 rock dumps alike. 6 This is the kind of mining 7 engineering and design promoted in my 1973 version of the mining engineering handbook. This thinking is long 8 9 out of date and insufficient to meet the lofty goals 10 like equivalent capability, especially given the site 11 conditions, not to mention the need to control the 12 ingress of water and oxygen into waste rock dumps which 13 impacts selenium generation. It's unusual to propose this one-size-fits-all blanket approach. 14

Normally for vegetated covers, the goals are set, the landscape is divided into polygons, soil scientists figure out the soil moisture regime and the soil nutrient regime and plot these on an adaptive grid as shown above.

They use this to figure out what ecosites will flourish in various -- with various soil prescriptions. In particular, the water-holding capacity of the soil often governs vegetation performance. Will there be enough water during a drought to sustain the plants? The model results are tested in long-term vegetation plots that we talked about in the last slide. The soil prescriptions, the depth, the layering, the material properties are adjusted and designed to support the desired ecosites and land uses and net percolation requirements.

5 If the designs don't meet the requirements, the 6 designs are adjusted. This is a practice of Teck coal 7 mines elsewhere in the Rockies under Alberta 8 regulations. It's embedded in oil sands mine design 9 reclamation. This -- I expected to see this in the 10 report, but I didn't.

11 Yes, all this does have to fit into a reclamation 12 material balance. There's only so much soil to 13 salvage, and especially in a mountain mine. And this 14 is why it's so critical to get this right ahead of If the requirements need more material than is 15 mining. available to be salvaged, then more overburden needs to 16 17 be stripped, taking a deeper cut down in the glacial 18 tills before mining.

If you don't do this during initial mine 19 development, the material is lost during excavation of 20 the mine pits or buried in waste rock -- under waste 21 22 rock dumps. The designs can't wait. The point is soil 23 prescriptions and mine waste covers are so much more 24 than dividing two numbers together. And even if this 25 were a good idea, the reclamation plan fails to show 26 how this rudimentary prescription will meet the goals

for equivalent capability in each part of the reclaimed 1 2 And the lack of analysis in design and landscape. 3 planning means that certain doors will be closed even 4 before mining starts. There needs to be a proper design before mining begins, before permitting, and 5 6 with a plan and eventually field trials to show that 7 it's all going to work.

Next slide.

8

9 This one overlaps with Slide Number 14 before. 10 There's been little effort to design the covers, to 11 control net percolation for the waste rock systems. 12 Much of this discussion's covered in the previous slide 13 on soil covers, except the design methods are more 14 involved when needing to limit net percolation.

There's several international guides to show the 15 design of cover systems, two of which I've contributed 16 17 to. Most of these covers are designed as some combination of growth media, erosion protection, and 18 control of percolation into dumps with adverse 19 20 qeochemistry. It's a multidisciplinary undertaking involving modelling, design, field trials, and close 21 22 controls and construction.

23 My presentation later in the week on water 24 management, selenium control will get into the covers 25 in more detail. They need design. I believe the --26 the source control for selenium is a precondition to

Dicta Court Reporting Inc. 403-531-0590

Lack of selenium-source control 1 success at this site. 2 is likely a fatal flaw to the design. Having adequate 3 covers can change a lot of things to do with the mine 4 design and especially the reclamation closure plan and needs to be done up front. 5

Next slide.

7 The proponent has promised to develop a reclamation monitoring program, but this seems to be 8 more of a bolt-on than part of the design. 9 The 10 monitoring program is fundamental to engineering 11 design. As engineers, we wouldn't think of issuing a 12 design, say, for a roller coaster without a full 13 inspection and maintenance plan. It's integral to the 14 design. Monitoring is also integral to the landform design process and the observational method. The plan 15 can't wait till a future date. The Panel ought to 16 17 require the integrated monitoring plan in fair detail in support of the design to -- to -- that is being set 18 You need the monitoring program to tell you when 19 out. 20 to tweak your designs and practices, when to implement 21 the contingency measures, and start to gather the data 22 needed to manage and operate the site and some day make -- serve as the basis for the application for a 23 reclamation certificate. 24

It's not a nice-to-have thing. A monitoring plan 25 is an integral element of the design operation, not 26

⁶

something to leave behind. My report provides some
 detail and references on -- on how to accomplish this
 at this stage of mining.

Last slide, please. Thank you.

4

So as noted at the beginning, I'm neither for or 5 6 against the Grassy Mountain Coal Mine, while I am 7 advising that the current level of design falls short of the standard practice for this kind of sensitive 8 9 mine site, even during this early permitting period. 10 One might ask if landform design is too new or 11 state of the art than the state of practice? Is it -the new formation of the Landform Design Institute 12 13 proof of that? Yes, perhaps. But regardless of what 14 it's called, this kind of approach is especially needed for mines operating in tough conditions where there's 15 doubt that the lofty goals will be achieved, where 16 17 people struggle with high-altitude reclamation in less windy conditions, where there are creeks and fish 18 populations sensitive to even minute changes in water 19 20 quality coming from the waste rock dumps, but landform 21 design has packaged these activities into a framework 22 to help ensure success.

23 One might say that the EIA terms of reference only 24 require conceptual plans, blue lines on a map, as it 25 were, instead of an engineering design. But only 26 having conceptual plans usually masks unknown fatal 1 flaws. Mines and regulators are learning -- and I
2 think what local communities have surmised all along -3 that plans that lack detail are the root cause of mines
4 failing to meet the reclamation commitments. The devil
5 is in the details. Conceptual plans don't have enough
6 detail in this respect to protect the environment.

7 More details need to see the light of day during Waiting a few years or decades to work the 8 permitting. 9 details means lost opportunities, increased cost, 10 decreased performance, and more risk to the regulator, 11 local communities, and the environment. Mines need to 12 be ahead of the curve. If only we had known what we 13 The cost -- well, a well-run mine with a know now. 14 good plan and good operations typically spends a few percent of its life-of-mine budget on the sum of all 15 environmental and reclamation activities, a lot of 16 17 money but a small percentage. A mine that finds itself 18 behind the eight ball always ends up playing catch-up and can find itself spending 10 to 20 percent of its 19 budget on such activities and may find the mine life 20 shortened or may find that it has to keep mining to 21 22 avoid confronting newly discovered environmental 23 liabilities. It's in everyone's best interest to do 24 this front-end-loaded engineering, keep cost down, keep 25 opportunities open. Overall engineering design costs 26 are usually just about 1 percent of the mining budget.

It's a lot of money during this permitting stage, as --1 2 as we all know, but important nonetheless. 3 These planning shortcomings may not only impact 4 the mine economics and the long-term performance of the reclaimed landscape, but may have important 5 6 implications for selenium management, as I'll discuss 7 in my upcoming presentation. I believe the current level of detail for the site 8 9 is insufficient to judge the merits of the project or 10 to be able to rule out a potential fatal flaw of the 11 designs or to provide a reasonable cost estimate for 12 reclamation. I believe that prior to full consideration of the 13 14 application, closure and reclamation plans should be significantly upgraded. In particular, the design of 15 16 the soil covers, the revegetation plans, adding a 17 surface water drainage system, designing of the end-pit lake and its watershed, and design and implementation 18 of landscape performance monitoring are all still 19 20 My report provides details, including required. potential solutions, for each of these observations. 21 22 Thank you, Mr. Chair and Panel Members, for the 23 opportunity to highlight these concerns today. 24 MR. FITCH: Thank you, Dr. McKenna. 0 25 MR. FITCH: Mr. Chair, Dr. McKenna is 26 available for questioning.

1 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Fitch. 2 Mr. Ignasiak or Mr. Brinker, does Benga have 3 questions for Mr. -- Dr. McKenna? MR. IGNASIAK: 4 Thank you, Mr. Chair. Yeah, 5 just a couple. 6 Mr. Ignasiak Cross-examines Livingstone Landowners 7 Group 8 0 MR. IGNASIAK: Good morning, Dr. McKenna. 9 Α Good morning. 10 My name is Martin Ignasiak. I'm counsel to Benga in Ο 11 this proceeding. Thanks for your presentation. 12 I was looking at your CV. You've obviously got a 13 lot of experience in this area, and I understand you 14 chair -- and I think you founded if I have it right -the Landform Design Institute? 15 16 That's correct. Α 17 And I think you describe that on the website as: 0 18 (as read) 19 Landform design as an emerging process to 20 reconstruct mine landscapes with confidence 21 and pride. 22 Is that right? 23 Α That's true. And the mission of the institute is to make landform 24 Ο 25 design routine in the mining industry worldwide by 26 2030; is that right?

1 A That is correct.

2	Q	Okay. Now, looking at your CV, I was looking at PDF
3		it's PDF 39 of the of the submission by LLG, which
4		is Document 552. But looking at it, you list on that
5		page 22 there's 22 bullets listing projects, and I
6		see you've got experience not just in the oil sands,
7		but also with process timber lights of diamonds, gold,
8		and coal; is that right?
9	A	Yes, that's true, and other metals as well.
10	Q	Okay. And it states there that you've led the design
11		and construction of 23 large mining landform projects
12		totalling 44 square kilometres, including 37 wetlands
13		and 101 kilometres of watercourses; right?
14	A	That's true.
15	Q	So you would have led so what would your involvement
16		be with those projects? You would have kind of led
17		these teams responsible for the overall design or
18	A	Yes. And usually there's a a lead designer that I
19		mentor and and help with, and I take overall project
20		management and guide help him or her guide the team
21		through through these processes through design and
22		all through also through construction and and
23		monitoring.
24	Q	Okay. And so one of the projects I understand you've
25		had considerable success with and I know you've
26		written some cases studies about it is what's

1		referred to as "Suncor Pond 1" or the "Wapisiw
2		Lookout"; is that right?
3	A	That's right.
4	Q	Okay. And that so and I understand you were
5		working on that from 2008 to 2010?
6	A	Yes, and a little bit beyond that.
7	Q	Okay. And as I understand it, that's the first
8		tailings pond that was ever built in the oil sands
9		industry; right? It was created by something called
10		"Tar Island Dyke" in 1967?
11	A	That's correct.
12	Q	And it's about 2.2 square kilometres in size?
13	A	Right.
14	Q	And I understand from the case studies you've written
15		that it reached a maximum height of about 92 metres in
16		1985 and then continued operating thereafter; is that
17		right?
18	A	That seems right.
19	Q	And Suncor decided in 2007 that they would stabilize
20		and reclaim that landscape by the end of 2010; is that
21		right?
22	А	That's right.
23	Q	And I understand with your when you got involved in
24		2008 work, and that you managed to successfully reach
25		that objective; right?
26	A	Yes.
1		

3256

1 Q Okay. Great.

2		So just switching gears, then, one other topic I
3		had was at PDF 11 it's in your report; I think you
4		probably remember you talked a bit about the north
5		dump and the south dump and and the fact that the
6		south dump was separated by a power line; right?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	So now, one of the things you've recommended is
9		looking at relocating that power line as if it wasn't
10		there, I guess. But one of the things like, you
11		wouldn't have any you haven't done any assessment of
12		how much of that power line would have to be moved and
13		what the utility would price the movement of that power
14		line at; right? Like, you haven't done any type of
15		assessment like that?
16	A	Actually, I read where the in the EIA documents
17		where the mine had done that assessment and made the
18		decision to leave the line there.
19	Q	Okay. And do you know what what the cost of moving
20		that power line would have been?
21	A	I don't know if that I can't remember if it was
22		included or not.
23	Q	Okay.
24	А	It would be high. It would be a lot of money.
25	Q	Okay. All right. Thank you, Dr. McKenna. Thank you
26		very much.
l		

		5250	
1		MR. IGNASIAK:	No further questions,
2		Mr. Chair.	
3		THE CHAIR:	Thank you.
4		Ms. LaCasse or Ms. K	Capel Holden, any questions?
5		MS. LACASSE:	We don't have any questions,
6		Mr. Chair.	
7		THE CHAIR:	Thank you.
8		Mr. Lambrecht?	
9		MR. LAMBRECHT:	Mr. Chair, federal staff do
10		not have any questions, a	and I thank this witness for
11		his evidence and particip	oation in the Joint Review
12		Panel process.	
13		DR. MCKENNA:	You're welcome.
14		THE CHAIR:	Thank you.
15		Mr. Matthews, any qu	lestions?
16		Alberta Energy Regulator	Panel Questions Livingstone
17		Landowners Group	
18	Q	MR. MATTHEWS: Th	ank you, Dr. McKenna. I
19		just have a couple of que	estions.
20		You mentioned about	care and maintenance. Is it a
21		normal practice for an op	perator to when they go into
22		care and maintenance, do	they are they bound by
23		environmental commitments	? Like, are they bound by
24		monitoring and ongoing en	nvironmental work?
25	A	Yeah. Typically, the	the care and maintenance
26		its Number 1 duty is prot	ect the mine site or

1 protect the environment away from the mine site, any 2 receiving streams or -- or other areas. It -- often 3 also to protect people from coming onto the mine site and falling down old shafts and things like that. 4 But there isn't much work or commitment that's -- that's 5 6 required for reclaiming the areas on-site. And so 7 those -- the minimum is typically done. Okay. And another -- my last question here is 8 0 9 regarding -- you mentioned that, on dumps, they often 10 have covers as part of their closure and reclamation 11 Are you aware of any coal mines where they have plan. 12 complete liners, like, underneath the -- the dump? 13 That's an emerging trend. Yeah. I'm not aware of any Α 14 old dumps that have that. There's questions about the -- the longevity of -- of such a liner, especially 15 if it's geosynthetic, or even just cracking if it's a 16 17 cliff-compacted clay liner. So it's -- and it also has some -- it -- it can form a weak layer geotechnically 18 under the -- under the dump. And so it is used in some 19 20 tailings ponds in -- in some jurisdictions, even over 21 It's of course used in landfills and large areas. 22 that, but it -- I'm not aware of any -- personally 23 aware of any coal mines that are -- that are using it 24 for under -- for lining a waste rock dump. The covers 25 are -- are becoming more common, the stuff that's on 26 the top, but the liners aren't.

1	Q	Okay. Great. Well great. Well, thanks a lot.
2		Thanks, Dr. McKenna. That's all I have to say ask.
3		THE CHAIR: Mr. O'Gorman, any questions?
4		MR. O'GORMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and I do
5		have just a couple of quick questions for Dr. McKenna.
б	Q	MR. O'GORMAN: Thanks very much for that
7		presentation. It's quite it's quite informative. I
8		just wanted to clarify a couple of things, please.
9		You spoke in your in your presentation about a
10		successful end-pit lake. You talked about the littoral
11		zone, for example, that would be associated with that.
12		I wonder if you could just give us a bit more
13		explanation of, in your view, what are some key
14		elements that would make an end-pit lake successful,
15		which was the word you used?
16	A	Very good. Well, I I'll tap into two sources. One
17		is is I was one of the lead authors on the end-pit
18		lake design guide for the oil sands that came out in
19		2012, and we had quite a bit of information on what
20		constitutes success. And I also worked with a couple
21		end-pit lake experts who have written the textbooks
22		that I featured on there and can tap from that.
23		The main the main item for success is actually
24		meeting the goals that you set out that you are going
25		to meet, so having very clear goals and clear
26		objectives and then showing that you've met them.

Dicta Court Reporting Inc. 403-531-0590 That's -- from a procedural point of view, I think
 that's critical.

3 From a purely environmental view, you need to keep 4 the water quality good, which means having enough watershed of -- of relatively clean water that can 5 6 flush the -- any contaminants in the lake; or if the 7 lake doesn't have enough water, it tends to evaporate and accumulate salts and that. So having lots of clean 8 9 water coming from the watershed. Having a lake 10 geometry that keeps the water clean. There's all sorts 11 of -- it's outside my expertise, but where you -- you 12 can get stratification within the lake and -- and 13 overturning, which is hard on the -- hard on the lake 14 ecology. And having a -- a -- if you're offering a productive lake -- not all the mountain lakes --15 natural or -- or end-pit lakes are -- are productive --16 17 (UNREPORTABLE SOUND) -- but the -- sorry about that. 18 But having a -- a good littoral zone that's very shallow -- it tends to be less than a couple of metres 19 20 deep -- and stays shallow so that you don't, you know, build your littoral zone and -- and have it even flood 21 22 out or -- or drop -- settle and -- and become too deep. That's common -- both of those are common in pit lakes 23 24 for -- for that to happen. So having a -- a suitable 25 littoral zone that's good for the fish to -- to rear 26 and -- and feed and stuff like that. Those are all

critical elements of it. 1 2 Having a safe and stable shoreline and having a 3 safe and stable outlet are also really critical, especially the outlet. 4 Okay. Thanks, Dr. McKenna. And, actually, you take me 5 0 6 to the -- the thing that I wanted to go next on this 7 vein, which was the outlet. 8 So you -- you talked in your presentation about the idea of outlet and outflow via a tunnel. You also 9 10 talked about your preference for outflow -- no, "your 11 preference" might not be fair to say. You talked about 12 outflow via an armoured channel. And, of course, 13 another option might be outflow strictly via 14 evaporation. In a -- in a hypothetical end-pit lake in which 15 there were no outflow channels but relied strictly on 16 17 evaporation, would that be a kind of design that would 18 be more or less likely to produce a successful end-pit 19 lake? 20 I think it would be quite a lot less successful or --Α or were that. 21 They -- textbooks refer to what you 22 describe as a "terminal end-pit lake" and -- and was 23 common in some desert metal mines and that. It 24 tends -- they tend to go -- they evapo-concentrate 25 anything that's in the water there, and the water in 26 those metal mines, often pH 2 -- and -- and the

> Dicta Court Reporting Inc. 403-531-0590

Berkeley Pit comes to mind as the poster child of -- of perhaps the worst end-pit lake around, or one of them.

3 And so these terminal end-pit lakes with -- with no physical outlet and -- and just allowing the water 4 5 to -- you know, would sit low and -- and find its own 6 level for -- between evaporation and water losses or 7 qains to groundwater seepage. I wouldn't think that 8 that would have much chance of -- of providing an 9 end-pit lake that would be acceptable to people in 10 Alberta.

11 Q Okay. Thanks.

1

2

12 I have one final question. You -- you include quite a few recommendations with your report. 13 I quess 14 I'm curious to know what you think of the idea of this Panel, in potential approval conditions, including 15 16 those as recommended conditions in an EPEA approval, 17 for example. Do you think those would make sense, particularly around -- and I'm particularly thinking 18 19 now about the end-pit lake design and the requirements 20 for more details on that. Just curious your views on -- on those as potential conditions. 21 22 Yes. As I -- as I wrote the letter for Livingstone Α Landowner [sic] Group, I thought, well, the -- the mine 23 24 will -- will either be -- the permit will either be 25 denied or it will be approved with conditions, and so I tried to think of -- of you and the Alberta Regulator 26

and the local communities and the mine as I was putting 1 2 together the recommendations. 3 I -- I was hoping that you would sift through them and -- and find the ones that -- that you found most 4 important to success and either include them or reword 5 6 them in -- in support of your work, yes. 7 Okay. Thank you very much, Dr. McKenna. I don't have 0 any further questions, and thanks for appearing and --8 9 before us and submitting your report. 10 Α You're welcome. 11 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. O'Gorman. 12 Dr. McKenna, I don't have any further questions 13 for you, but I just want to echo my colleagues' views. 14 Thank you very much for your written submission and 15 your presentation today. Very helpful for the Panel. You're welcome. Glad to oblige. 16 Α 17 THE CHAIR: Mr. Fitch, any re-direct? MR. FITCH: No, sir. 18 19 THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. 20 (WITNESS STANDS DOWN) 21 THE CHAIR: So that brings us to the end 22 of the vegetation and reclamation topic area. Next 23 we're going to move to the water session, and so that 24 will include surface and groundwater management, 25 quantity and quality; selenium management; and aquatic 26 resources, including fish, fish habitat, and fish

> Dicta Court Reporting Inc. 403-531-0590

1 species at risk. 2 I'll maybe suggest we take a 15-minute break, our 3 morning break, to let the panel get ready, and then 4 we'll hear direct evidence from Benga. So it's 10:00. We'll come back at 10:15. 5 6 (ADJOURNMENT) 7 Discussion THE CHAIR: Okay. 8 Go ahead, Mr. Ignasiak, 9 whenever you are ready. 10 MR. DRUMMOND: Just before that, Mr. Chair. 11 THE CHAIR: Sure. It's -- it's Robert Drummond 12 MR. DRUMMOND: 13 from Justice Canada. I did want to raise something to 14 the Court's [sic] attention. This morning the Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat issued a science response 15 in respect of an assessment of the ecological impact of 16 17 this project on the westslope cutthroat trout. I have sent it off to the Panel manager, Panel counsel, and to 18 counsel for Benga. DFO does not consider this to be 19 20 new evidence; however, it is a new report synthesizing 21 previously available information, and I wanted to bring 22 it to the Panel's attention. I think we'd seek to 23 enter it as an exhibit because I think it might be useful for the Panel, and I -- I also did not want to 24 25 cause any unfairness to Benga in respect of this 26 And I leave it to the Panel, but I'm -- I report.

1	would not object if if, say, Benga wished to review
2	this and give further direct evidence as it sees
3	necessary at some subsequent point. But I leave that
4	in the in the Panel's hands.
5	THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you.
б	Mr. Ignasiak, have you had a chance to look at
7	this yet, or do you need some time?
8	MR. IGNASIAK: I just opened it up, sir, and
9	it's a it's dense document; it's 23 pages. So if we
10	could just and, of course, our experts in this area
11	are currently busy appearing before a panel, so if we
12	could have the day maybe to look at this and get back
13	to you, that would be great.
14	THE CHAIR: Yeah. That would be fine.
15	MR. DRUMMOND: Absolutely, sir.
16	THE CHAIR: Yeah. Report back when you've
17	had a chance to look at it.
18	Okay. Thank you, Mr. Drummond.
19	So, Mr. Ignasiak?
20	MR. IGNASIAK: Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
21	So this witness panel for this topic block has
22	some of the usual suspects, so maybe I'll ask them to
23	first just confirm that they still consider themselves
24	under oath or affirmed as the case may be.
25	So, Mr. Houston, can you confirm that you're still
26	under oath?

1		GARY HOUSTON, DANE MCCOY, MIKE YOUL, MIKE BARTLETT,
2		Previously Affirmed
3	A	MR. HOUSTON: I do.
4		MR. IGNASIAK: Mr. Youl?
5	A	MR. YOUL: I do.
6		MR. IGNASIAK: Mr. McCoy?
7	A	MR. MCCOY: Sorry. I was on mute. Yes, I
8		do.
9		MR. IGNASIAK: Mr. Bartlett?
10		MR. BARTLETT: I do.
11		MR. IGNASIAK: Okay. Thank you.
12		And so, Mr. Chair, then the the other witnesses
13		who still who haven't yet appeared before the Panel
14		as indicated in our letter of October 28 are
15		Mr. Cory Bettles, aquatic resources, with Hatfield
16		Consultants; Mr. David DeForest with aquatic resources,
17		Winward Environmental; Mr. Stephen Day on geochemistry,
18		with SRK Consulting; Ms. Nancy Grainger, hydrogeology,
19		with Millennium EMS Solutions; Dr. Dan Bewley,
20		hydrology, with Hatfield Consultants; Mr. Soren Jensen,
21		hydrology with SRK Consulting; Mr. Martin Davies,
22		surface water quality, with Hatfield Consultants; and
23		Dr. Leif Burge with fluvial geomorphologist with
24		Stantec.
25		So if we could get those individuals sworn or
26		affirmed by the court reporter, Mr. Houston will then

3267

1			
	1		be ready to deliver the opening statement.
	2		CORY BETTLES, DAVID DEFOREST, SOREN JENSEN,
	3		MARTIN DAVIES, LEIF BURGE, Affirmed
	4		STEPHEN DAY, NANCY GRAINGER, Sworn
	5		Direct Evidence of Benga Mining Limited (Water,
	6		including surface and groundwater management, quantity
	7		and quality, selenium management and aquatic resources,
	8		including fish and fish habitat and fish species at
	9		risk)
	10	A	MR. HOUSTON: So, Mr. Chair, I'm not sure if
	11		Dr. Bewley, who was previously before this Panel,
	12		reconfirmed that he is still under oath.
	13		MR. IGNASIAK: Yeah. Sorry, Mr. Chair. I
	14		failed to recall he's already been in front of the
	15		Panel.
	16		DAN BEWLEY, Previously Affirmed
	17		MR. IGNASIAK: So, Dr. Bewley, can you just
	18		confirm you're still under you consider yourself to
	19		be bound by your oath?
	20	A	DR. BEWLEY: Yes, I do.
	21		MR. IGNASIAK: All right. So I think that's
	22		everyone, Mr. Chair, unless unless someone advises
	23		otherwise.
	24		Mr. Houston, I think you're free to go.
	25	А	MR. HOUSTON: Good morning, Mr. Chair and
	26		members of the Joint Review Panel. Water and aquatic

environment are of paramount importance to the
 successful implementation of any resource project and
 especially so for the Grassy Mountain Coal Mine.

There are many aspects that I'm sure will be discussed over the coming days, but we would like to focus this morning on two broad topics that seem to define this project. The first is protection and recovery of the westslope cutthroat trout, in particular the Gold Creek stock; and the second is the management and mitigation of selenium.

11 The westslope cutthroat trout is a species of 12 concern and the fish species of focus for the 13 environmental assessment because of their provincial 14 and federal status in the local study area. Westslope 15 cutthroat trout inhabiting upper Blairmore Creek watershed are defined as a conservation population per 16 17 the Alberta recovery plan due to hybridization levels 18 with non-native rainbow trout exceeding the 99 percent genetic threshold. Westslope cutthroat trout in Gold 19 20 Creek are genetically pure and have maintained this 21 status in part because of a man-made barrier that 22 prevents the invasion of rainbow trout. The Gold Creek 23 stock of westslope cutthroat trout is listed in 24 Schedule 1, Part 3 of the federal Species At Risk Act 25 as a threatened species.

Unfortunately, the same barrier that protects the

26

westslope cutthroat trout in Gold Creek confines the
 local population to an area of suboptimal habitat.
 Because of the project and research conducted by Benga,
 more is known now about this community of westslope
 cutthroat trout and the limitations of Gold Creek than
 ever before.

7 While Gold Creek has been classified as critical 8 habitat per the recovery strategy, it is not good 9 habitat due to multiple existing stressors that are a 10 direct threat to the sustainability and persistence of 11 the local westslope cutthroat trout stock.

Mr. Chair, I would like the Zoom host to pull up Registry Document 89, which is Addendum 8 to the EIA, and to go to PDF page 907.

15 This is an aerial photo of a section of Gold Creek 16 adjacent to the proposed mine. The yellow line is the 17 original location of Gold Creek prior to flooding 18 events in recent years. The flooding caused the creek 19 to change its path near the historical townsite of 20 Lille.

Now, if the Zoom host could focus in on Photo C, which is the lower photo on the right side of the page. So the quality's not great in this photo because of the scale, but what it shows at this location on September 14th, 2016, is that there is no water in the creek. The surface water is absent and goes subsurface and then comes back up to the surface further
 downstream in the vicinity of the Morin Creek
 confluence.

Benga has identified two additional areas in Gold 4 Creek where fragmentation like this area has occurred 5 6 and Gold Creek becomes completely disconnected at key 7 times of the year. At these three known points, the main stem of Gold Creek is braided, runs through treed 8 9 areas where habitat is extremely poor, and, in fact, 10 westslope cutthroat trout have been observed stranded 11 in disconnected isolated pools that are rapidly running 12 dry.

Mr. Chair, as part of its fisheries offset plan, Benga is proposing to re-establish connectivity for the westslope cutthroat trout so that they can move freely along the entire length of Gold Creek in search of suitable habitat.

18 I would now like the Zoom host to bring up
19 PDF page 864 in this same document and focus on the
20 graph, please. Yes.

21 Mr. Chair, this is a graph showing the area 22 weighted suitability of Gold Creek for various life 23 stages of westslope cutthroat trout. Of note on this 24 graph is the dramatically smaller area available for 25 overwintering. Typically, high-quality overwintering 26 habitat for westslope cutthroat trout is comprised of deep pools that are unlikely to freeze either due to
 depth or maintenance of suitable conditions through
 influence of groundwater influx.

And now if the Zoom host could turn to page5 PDF 867 in the same document.

6 This is a map of Gold Creek showing the location 7 of potential overwintering habitat along the length of 8 Gold Creek.

9 If the Zoom host could zoom in on Photo A at the 10 top, we can see another location similar to the one I 11 mentioned on the previous map where the creek is dry 12 during parts of the year. Again, there is no way for 13 trout to cross this barrier to seek appropriate 14 habitat.

Finally, I would ask, Mr. Chair, for the Zoom host 15 to focus in on Photo C on this page and the bottom left 16 This is the man-made weir that was built to 17 corner. supply water to the old town of Frank. This is the 18 lower barrier on Gold Creek that blocks non-native 19 20 species from swimming upstream, and it is also the 21 barrier that prevents the westslope cutthroat trout 22 from moving downstream to the Crowsnest River. 23 Zoom Host, you can take these down now. 24 Mr. Chair, Benga will not directly touch the main 25 stem aquatic habitat of Gold Creek with the proposed 26 In fact, we will maintain a hundred-metre mine.

> Dicta Court Reporting Inc. 403-531-0590

setback from the creek. As we have discussed in other documents, there will be about a 10 percent decrease in surface flows which results in a modest reduction in aquatic habitat. We have also discussed that the mine footprint will interact with tributaries to Gold Creek and, therefore, will affect riparian habitat.

Benga has proposed to restore Gold Creek habitat in documented areas we have seen and to improve habitat connectivity and overwintering habitat to counterbalance the predicted effects of the project. As part of the draft fisheries offset plan, an initial estimate of the benefit from this work could

offset loss by a factor of approximately nine times.
Since then, additional fragmented segments in the Gold
Creek have been documented, thus there are other
opportunities to provide additional offsetting benefits
to westslope cutthroat trout in Gold Creek.

18 Benga has further proposed to offset the loss of riparian habitat by focus on -- focusing on enhancing 19 20 previously disturbed riparian areas. Enhancing these 21 currently compromised riparian habitats will benefit 22 westslope cutthroat trout by increasing the capacity of these areas to trap sentiment and filter nutrients and 23 24 contaminants contained in the surface runoff, provide 25 shade that maintains cool water temperatures, and 26 provide improved habitat for terrestrial insects which

Dicta Court Reporting Inc. 403-531-0590 1 serve as food for juvenile westslope cutthroat trout.

Proposed areas for this work are included in the draft fisheries offset plan, but other candidate areas are available if the size of offsetting needs to be expanded. Buffers of undisturbed riparian habitat will be made -- maintained on creek main stems and tributaries in accordance with the most updated recovery strategies.

9 In summary, Mr. Chair, the westslope cutthroat 10 trout in Gold Creek are surviving, but they are not 11 thriving. Fish surveys were conducted in 2016 as a 12 basis for the EIA, and follow-up annual surveys have 13 been conducted since that time, producing several years 14 of fish population and habitat data.

We provided annual survey information to CPAWS in 15 response to an information request, and that 16 information was included in the CPAWS submission to the 17 This information has 18 Panel in Registry Document 555. recently been updated with 2020 survey results and has 19 also been filed with the Panel. They were submitted 20 21 yesterday and are now posted as Registry Document 843. 22 To summarize the findings for multi-year surveys,

observations of westslope cutthroat trout in Gold Creek have decreased dramatically since 2016 and now seem to have a population density that is only 10 to 20 percent as compared to five years ago. Benga has proposed a fisheries offsetting plan that is intended to replace or counterbalance changes in fish habitat caused by the project and to improve habitat functionality and suitability with focus on improving those limiting factors that have been documented over several years of study.

7 The proposed habitat registration initiatives are not novel and will help stabilize and sustain the local 8 stock of westslope cutthroat trout. Although certainly 9 10 not a finalized offset plan, the draft does aim to 11 align with the criteria and conditions set out in the 12 westslope cutthroat trout strategy and Fisheries Act 13 offsetting guidance, and we are confident that the 14 outcome will result in a more resilient stock.

Benga -- Benga fully understands that prior to 15 approval by regulators, including DFO and AER, there 16 needs to be further study and engineering design work 17 completed to develop the current offsetting approach to 18 meet Species At Risk Act and Fishery Act [sic] 19 20 requirements, and Benga commits to embark on that work 21 immediately following this regulatory review to allow 22 for early implementing of the offsetting proposals. 23 Turning now to selenium management. We will be 24 focusing on three main issues: capture of contact 25 water, treatment of contact water, and appropriate --26 and -- and appropriate site-specific water quality

1 objective for selenium in Blairmore Creek.

Before I get into these three subjects, Mr. Chair, I will reiterate that contact water, which means water that potentially contains elevated selenium, will be treated and returned to Blairmore Creek. Gold Creek will not be affected.

Now, in terms of capture of contact water, I would
like to turn to Registry Document 42, Section A,
PDF page 161, if you will, Zoom Host.

10 This is a topographic map that we discussed with 11 Mr. Fitch a week ago relative to the location of the 12 ex-pit rock dumps.

Could I ask the Zoom host to focus on the top 13 14 portion of the map and specifically the area labelled "The North Rock Disposal Area". That should be good. 15 As we discussed with Mr. Fitch, this is an area 16 that is isolated from any cross flow of water and is 17 characterized by steep slopes. We discussed that there 18 is an elevation difference of some 200 metres across 19 20 this part of the footprint.

21 Mr. Chair, it is pretty clear that water does not 22 pool in this area today. If a raindrop falls here, it 23 flows down slope and into the small rivulet which runs 24 along the base of this elevated valley and then towards 25 the outlet.

26

Benga will be utilizing this natural topography to

facilitate capture of the contact water that percolates 1 2 through the rock dump. We will remove any organic 3 materials, do additional grading to further improve 4 drainage, and construct under-drains in the lower elevations. We will capture the natural drainage from 5 6 this waste rock in the northwest surge pond, which will 7 be in the same place where water naturally exits the -the area today. As the dumps are created, the waste 8 9 rock will be compacted in layers to further encourage 10 water exodus from the waste rock dump.

11 Our water quality modelling is based on humidity 12 cell tests and regional experience to determine the 13 propensity for selenium leaching from expected mass of 14 waste rock. The model makes the simplifying assumption 15 that 5 percent of the contact water may seep into the groundwater and immediately enter the creeks. 16 The 17 model further assumes that there's no attenuation of 18 seepage or leaching rates with time. All of these 19 assumptions are conservative. Given that, Benga has 20 committed to maximize in-pit storage of waste rock and 21 expects the quantities of ex-pit waste rock storage can 22 be reduced from the quantities assumed for the 23 Also, any seepage that does occur will application. 24 move relatively slowly at the rate of 1 to 2 metres per 25 month, taking a number of decades to reach the creeks. 26 During the lengthy journey through the groundwater

system, it is possible that selenium content will be 1 2 attenuated naturally following a biochemical process 3 similar to that which Benga will be managing in the saturated backfill zone, or "SBZ". And, finally, 4 observation of selenium levels at some reclaimed mines 5 6 has demonstrated a potential for decreasing and 7 leaching of selenium over time. So all of those factors tell us that our assumptions for the EIA in 8 9 terms of modelling are -- are conservative. 10 Benga has committed to monitor groundwater down 11 gradient from the dumps to detect if there is 12 significant seepage through the ground -- groundwater. 13 Monitoring will be immediately adjacent to the ex-pit 14 dumps and also adjacent to the receiving environment at

15 Blairmore and Gold Creeks.

16

Zoom Host, you can take this map down now.

17 If there are indications that seepage of contact water is greater than predicted by the models, Benga 18 will be alerted by this -- to this early through the 19 20 monitoring wells adjacent to the ex-pit dumps. If this should occur, Benga will have a number of tools to 21 22 return to acceptable seepage rates, including 23 installation of seepage capture wells, down gradient 24 from ex-pit dumps -- these are described conceptually 25 in Addendum 5, Appendix A3 -- reducing the quantity of 26 water that infiltrates and percolates through the waste

rock to encourage runoff by implementing more 1 2 aggressive final slopes and revegetation of the ex-pit 3 dumps; and by promoting selenium reduction within the 4 ex-pit dumps using processes similar to those used within the SBZ. 5 6 In summary, Mr. Chair, Benga has proposed a 7 layer -- layered approach to the capture of contact water that will ensure the desired results are 8 9 achieved. 10 Now I'm going to turn to contact water treatment. 11 Mr. Chair, Benga has proposed to treat contact 12 water using a saturated backfill zone, or "SBZ". The process used to remove selenium, anaerobic biological 13 14 reduction, is not new technology, and we have provided numerous examples of its application in our filings. 15 We have referred several times to the application of 16 17 this technology by Teck and Elk Valley, which is the closest comparator, including in terms of specific size 18 and operating methodology. 19 20 We note that Teck has recently announced on its website that it will be doubling the size of its 21 22 existing installation, which has been in service since 2017, and that it will be building several more such 23 24 installations over the coming years. Teck indicates 25 that they prefer the SBZ over other methods for 26 treating selenium and nitrate because they are less

> Dicta Court Reporting Inc. 403-531-0590

complex to operate, they have lower capital and
 operating costs, they treat larger volumes of water,
 use less energy, and they require smaller surface
 footprints. Benga agrees with this assessment.

5 Mr. Chair, based on similar technology installed 6 at Teck and other industrial examples, Benga's 7 confident that it will achieve treated water 8 concentrations lower than the 15 micrograms per litre 9 that were used for the project water balance models. 10 Again, we have listed these examples in our various 11 filings on this subject.

12 We heard the concerns expressed by Ktunaxa on 13 Monday regarding the need to do site-specific field 14 scale tests to properly evaluate the SBZ design and operating parameters for this project. Benga has 15 committed to doing just that and will be implementing a 16 17 field-scale pilot during the construction phase of the project to develop the necessary engineering parameters 18 required for the final SBZ design. 19

20 Mr. Chair, the SBZ is not the only possible 21 solution for treatment of selenium, and Benga has 22 elaborated on the alternative or additional measures of 23 both a treatment plant and a gravel-bled [sic] reactor 24 solution in its IR -- IR responses in Addendum 11. 25 Benga has committed to implementing one or both of 26 these additional technologies in the unlikely event 1 that the SBZ does not meet expectations.

2 To be clear, there is room on the site for all of 3 these technologies if necessary.

4 Again, Mr. Chair, we heard the views of Ktunaxa on Monday that implementation of a selenium water 5 6 treatment plant could take longer than expected. Benqa 7 considers that such an installation would be very similar to the West Line Creek plant that Teck has 8 9 installed and which appears to be functioning well 10 today. By following the Teck lead on this technology, 11 Benga considers that installation times could be 12 considerably shorter for Grassy Mountain.

13 Mr. Chair, Benga has proposed a site-specific 14 water quality objective for selenium in Blairmore In its review of this approach in its filings, 15 Creek. ECCC concluded that a sulphate-adjusted guideline for 16 selenate is based on sound science. However, ECCC is 17 concerned that if selenate is not the only selenium 18 species, environmental effects may be greater than 19 20 predicted.

Benga agrees with ECCC that the proposed site-specific water quality objective is valid and based on sound side -- science, provided that the vast majority of the residual selenium is in the form of selenate. Based on the latest information and results shared by operators of other similar selenium treatment

systems, Benga believes this will be the case. 1 2 However, Benga is not merely assuming that the 3 residual selenium will be selenate. Benga has committed to ensure that this will be the case. 4 As 5 stated in response to Information Request 6.20: 6 (as read) 7 Benga will implement advanced oxidation processes with powerful oxidant, like 8 hydrogen peroxide injection, or ozone 9 10 addition, if necessary, to further the conversion of selenite to selenate. 11 12 A recent presentation by Teck on this issue has 13 indicated that a similar process has been successfully implemented at its West Line Creek selenium treatment 14 Therefore, the science behind the site-specific 15 plant. conclusion of no significant effects of predicted 16 17 selenium levels of up to 9.5 micrograms per litre on 18 aquatic biota in Blairmore Creek is supported. Additional checks for this range of selenium 19 20 concentrations being protective in Blairmore Creek have 21 been made, including development of a risk-based selenium bioaccumulation model for Blairmore Creek 22 23 presented in Registry Document 89. We also compared 24 our findings against a range of existing site-specific 25 in-stream objectives for selenium accepted by 26 regulators for other settings in Western Canada. These

3282

comparator sites, which are summarized in Benga's
October 5th filing, Registry Document 571, show
permitted selenium objectives downstream of coal or
metal mines in British Columbia that are in the 10 to
20 microgram per litre range for smaller streams
similar to Blairmore Creek and 3 to 5 micrograms per
litre in larger streams similar to Crowsnest River.

8 Site-specific objectives in rivers draining Elk 9 Valley mines are considerably higher, but these 10 objectives are generally targets for remediation of 11 previously affected streams and, therefore, not 12 directly applicable to our case.

In conclusion, Mr. Chairman, there are many other points for discussion under the heading of "Water and Aquatic Environment". We have addressed all of these in our filings on the registry to date; however, we felt that it would be helpful to have this discussion of westslope cutthroat trout and selenium management in order to set the table for the coming days.

20 Mr. Chairman, Benga's panel is now available for 21 questions.

22 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Houston. 23 So first up for questions is CPAWS. Mr. Yewchuk. 24 Mr. Yewchuk Cross-examines Benga Mining Limited 25 MR. YEWCHUK: Hello. Thank you for that 0 26 opening statement, Mr. Houston. That was a little more

> Dicta Court Reporting Inc. 403-531-0590

3283

1 thorough than I thought, so my questions are going to 2 be very fast, but I have some. 3 I'm counsel for the Canadian Parks and Wilderness Society. I have met some of you before. 4 5 I will start. Does Benga accept that the 6 extirpation of the westslope cutthroat trout in Gold 7 Creek would jeopardize the recovery of the species? It would be a setback for 8 Α MR. HOUSTON: 9 sure, Mr. Yewchuk. 10 Q Maybe a slightly clearer answer? Would it jeopardize 11 the recovery of the species? 12 We have -- in Gold Creek, we have an opportunity to --Α to develop a -- a population of westslope cutthroat 13 14 trout that is sustainable, and so I -- I think not 15 taking advantage of that opportunity would be a setback. 16 17 Thank you. 0 Mr. Youl, where are you there? There you are. 18 19 Good to see you again. 20 On November 6, you mentioned that you won't be 21 storing coal for long periods of time because the coal oxidizes and loses its quality. Do you remember that? 22 MR. YOUL: I do. 23 Α 24 Perfect. 0 25 Does the coal heat up as it oxidizes? 26 Α Not normally. It -- in some deposits it can, but from

1			
	1		the observations we've made for our project, it hasn't
	2		shown any instances of of heating.
	3	Q	No heat from the oxidation reduction reaction?
	4	A	Well, we we've we've not seen that in our
	5		explorations or our test work.
	6	Q	Did Benga take a conservative approach for selenium
	7		management, or did Benga take a more risk-accepting
	8		approach?
	9	A	MR. HOUSTON: Mr. Yewchuk, Mr. Chair,
	10		there there are many elements of our evaluation of
	11		selenium that are are conservative.
	12	Q	Are there some of them that aren't conservative?
	13	А	I would say that we've taken a precautionary approach
	14		to selenium management.
	15	Q	Have all aspects of the approach been precautionary or
	16		conservative?
	17	A	Well, for example, the development of a site-specific
	18		guideline for selenium in Blairmore Creek has been
	19		based on a a detailed scientific investigation, so
	20		that's an area where we saw that we need to go we
	21		needed to go deeper and have a more precise evaluation
	22		of the conditions and and so we've done that. So
	23		that might be a an area where you would say that the
	24		very conservative approach was was not helpful
	25		and and that we had to dig deeper.
	26	Q	Was not helpful for what?

A Well, it didn't -- I -- I guess in the specific case of selenium guidelines for creeks, the typical guidelines that are -- are prepared for any water body, whether it be a lake or a marsh or whatever, are -- are developed to be conservative in all of those circumstances.

7 When we took a look at Blairmore Creek, we realized two things. One, that those very conservative 8 across-the-board measures wouldn't be achievable with 9 10 our project, but we also recognize that there are many 11 factors -- site-specific factors in Blairmore Creek 12 that indicated that a higher level of selenium could be tolerated in that environment due to a number of 13 14 factors, and so we invested in the science to look at 15 that because, in the end, we want to be protective, but at the same time, an investment in science allows us to 16 17 be confident that we can be protective and also function at the higher levels of selenium that we're --18 19 we're proposing for this project. 20 Did Benga hire a particular expert because that expert 0 21 takes a less precautionary approach? 22 I would say Benga hired experts that we felt Α No. 23 were -- were leading the field in this area. 24 Leading the field in taking a precautionary approach or 0 25 leading the field in taking a risk-tolerant approach?

26 A Leading the field in terms of having developed a

1		systematic and scientific basis for evaluating in
2		detail the the the environment and the capacity
3		of that environment to tolerate selenium levels.
4	Q	When considering other projects for inclusions in the
5		cumulative effects assessment, did Benga consider
6		projects that were officially announced or only
7		announced?
8	A	Could you repeat that question, Mr. Yewchuk? I think
9		the wording is important here.
10	Q	Yes. When considering other projects for inclusion in
11		the cumulative effects assessment, did Benga consider
12		projects that had been officially announced or only
13		announced?
14	A	And and are we speaking strictly of water,
15		Mr. Yewchuk, when you're talking about the cumulative
16		effects assessment?
17	Q	I'm focused on water, but, I mean, you can answer in
18		other regards as well.
19	А	So we we looked at projects that were reasonably
20		certain to appear, and and generally that requires
21		that the project has some definition around it in terms
22		of and and that generally appears in a formal
23		application to a regulator.
24	Q	So if there was no formal application to a regulator, a
25		project was not considered?
26	A	In general, that's the that's the trigger. And

1 and, again, it -- it's going to come back to whether a 2 project is sufficiently defined to be included in 3 the -- in the cumulative effects assessment, Number 1; and, Number 2, that there is a reasonable expectation 4 that the -- the developer, if you will, is determined 5 6 to move to the next step. 7 Can I get Document Number 42? MR. YEWCHUK: 8 It's Addendum 8, and I want PDF page 375, please. 9 Ο MR. YEWCHUK: And the words "officially 10 announced" appear on the table when it comes up. Ι 11 just want to know if Benga has any distinction between 12 things that are officially announced and things that are -- are just announced. If you could explain how 13 14 you draw that distinction. 15 So what was the PDF page number? Α PDF 375. It should be marked "Table 2-1", if I have 16 0 17 the right page. It's the first box under "Temporal Boundaries". 18 19 Yeah. So there -- there we talk about projects that Α 20 have been defined and officially announced. And do you draw a distinction between 21 0 Yeah. 22 "announced" and "officially announced"? It's easy for anybody to say, Hey, I want to build a 23 Α 24 coal mine, but putting forth -- putting in the effort to do the work, prepare an application, and submit that 25 26 to the regulator is -- is really a -- a kind of test in

1		terms of determination to see the project through.
2	Q	At what date would you say Benga was Benga
3		officially announced Grassy Mountain?
4	A	It was in 2015.
5	Q	When they when you Benga submitted the EIA
б		document?
7	А	We we submitted a a first version in 2015, yes.
8	Q	Okay. That's when you would have considered your
9		project to be officially announced?
10	А	If we were looking at another project at that step,
11		that that's what we would look for. And and
12		it it's not just the announcing the project, but
13		putting some boundaries around it, talking about the
14		the area to be affected, the location, the the
15		technologies that are expected to be used. There
16		there are many things that help to include a project
17		in in a cumulative effects assessment that just
18		aren't available if if all you know is that I would
19		like to build a coal mine.
20	Q	Is it enough if you know a coal mine has started
21		gathering their baseline information to prepare for the
22		regulatory application?
23	A	No. No.
24	Q	Perfect. Then let's move on.
25		Does Benga use the terms "saturated backfill zone"
26		and "suboxic saturated fill zone" to refer to the same

		5270
1		structure?
2	A	The saturated backfill zone, I think, would be the more
3		common title that you would see throughout the
4		documentation. The suboxic zone is a more technical
5		description of what we're trying to achieve within the
6		saturated backfill zone.
7	Q	But it it would be two terms for the same thing?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. Perfect.
10		What about the term "suboxic saturated treatment
11		zone", is that the same thing again?
12	A	I again, yes. And I would take it that you would
13		see those words in a in a more technical description
14		of what we're trying to achieve.
15	Q	Okay. What about "saturated rock zone"?
16	A	Again, same thing.
17	Q	Yeah. "Fluidized bed reactor"?
18	A	That would be a different animal. That would be a a
19		plant, let's call it a plant that you would have in
20		a building with vessels and pipes and pumps, and it
21		it would be more like the West Line Creek installation
22		that Teck has implemented for treatment of selenium.
23	Q	What about "gravel bed reactor"?
24	А	Again another technology. Gravel bed reactor is again
25		an engineered structure. Typically you have
26		geomembranes to isolate the water within a rock

		02/1
1	L	isolate water, and you would have graded rock fill that
2	2	you would put into that as a medium, and then you would
	3	create the suboxic zone inside that engineered
4	ł	structure to remove selenium.
5	5 Q	So the gravel bed reactor is not the saturated backfill
6	5	zone?
7	7 A	A gravel bed reactor is uses the same biochemical
8	3	process, but it would be an engineered structure closer
9	9	to the surface and separated from the environment by
10)	geomembranes, for example.
11	Q	So it's the same approach to some new removal but
12	2	includes geomembranes?
13	8 A	Yes. And and it's yeah, it's it's it's an
14	ł	engineered structure that, you know, has very has
15	5	standards for size of rock substrate, for example,
16	5	within the within the process, and and I think
17	7	what it does is, with a very much smaller volume, it
18	3	allows you to treat a similar amount of water as the
19)	saturated backfill zone, for example.
20) Q	Does the saturated backfill zone have a particular size
21	L	of rock substrate used?
22	2 A	No. No. It's it is literally the mine pit that has
23	3	been backfilled with with the waste rock.
24	ł Q	So just still checking that I know what this thing is.
25	5	Is the basic idea of a saturated backfill zone to
26	5	produce a zone of low acidity and neutral oxidation

1		potential with microbial life that causes the selenium
2		to precipitate out as selenate and remain in the SBZ?
3	A	I'm I'm going to ask my my colleague,
4		Mr. Jensen you are using a lot of long words that I
5		think we need Mr. Jensen to comment on.
6	A	MR. JENSEN: Yeah. I'm happy to comment.
7		Can you hear me okay?
8	Q	Yeah.
9	A	Okay, I'm happy to comment on that. I will ask you if
10		you could please repeat the question.
11	Q	All right. And you can correct my my understanding
12		here if I'm off, but is the idea of a saturated
13		backfill zone to produce a zone of low acidity and
14		neutral oxidation potential with microbial life that
15		causes the selenium to precipitate out as selenate and
16		remain in the SBZ?
17	A	No. So there there's a number of it's a bit of a
18		long definition, but generally that's that's not
19		accurate. The aside from from the end
20		selenate is not the end product of the process that
21		occurs within the saturated backfill zone. Selenate is
22		reduced to (INDISCERNIBLE) become selenite that can
23		absorb on to minerals or
24		THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Can I get you to
25		speak up?
26	A	MR. JENSEN: Oh, I apologize.

1 THE COURT REPORTER: Just repeat that -- repeat the 2 last part, please. 3 MR. JENSEN: Yeah. So the process that Α 4 occurs within a saturated zone is that typically selenate, which is a -- is a dissolved selenium 5 6 species, becomes reduced to either selenite, which can 7 absorb onto the minerals within the -- the saturated zone, or to elemental selenium, which is a solid, that 8 9 likewise become trapped within the rock matrix of the 10 saturated rock fill. 11 The -- whether it's -- I mean, it -- it does occur 12 under neutral conditions. There's no explicit 13 considerations around neutrality. In -- in typical 14 systems like this, there's plenty of -- of buffering capacity that -- that really the acidic or non-acidic 15 16 considerations are in some ways not terribly important. 17 It is important that it's -- it's circumneutral, water within the facility, but it's not a specific 18 19 design primary, typically. I hope that answered your 20 question. Yes. That was wonderful. 21 0 22 Is the SBZ a contingency plan, or is it Benga's 23 initial plan? 24 MR. HOUSTON: So, Mr. Yewchuk, the SBZ is Α 25 our -- our plan. That's what we've proposed in our 26 application.

Does Benga have a Plan B if the SBZ does not work out? 1 0 2 We've -- Mr. Chair, we've explicitly stated a number of Α 3 times -- I -- I believe I stated in my statement this 4 morning that Benga has committed, if the SBZ doesn't 5 work out, to implement another technology; and we've 6 put a significant amount of detail in our response to 7 IR questions around what -- what a fluidized bed 8 reactor plant, for example, might look like and also 9 what a -- a -- a gravel bed reactor treatment process 10 might look like. And I think I also mentioned that all 11 three of those technologies can fit on the project 12 footprint. 13 Has Benga selected between the gravel bed reactor or 0 14 the fluidized bed reactor at this point? 15 Mr. Chairman, no, we have not. That's -- we've looked Α 16 at both technologies in some detail. In fact, I 17 believe in one IR we submitted a preliminary design for a gravel bed reactor that -- that would be suitable for 18 19 this project, but we -- we haven't selected between the 20 two processes, and -- and I think it's premature to do 21 We would be talking about an additive process or a so. 22 parallel process to the proposed saturated backfill zone, and implementation of one or the other 23 24 contingency plan would -- would kind of be informed by 25 what we were seeing through the treatment results that 26 we were getting from the saturated backfill zone.

1	0	
1	Q	That there was a no, actually; right? You haven't
2		selected between the two of them?
3	A	That's correct.
4	Q	Yeah. That's fine. Perfect.
5		Okay. So I'm going to use "SBZ" or try to go
6		forward because it's got a lot of names, but now we've
7		established what it is.
8	A	Okay.
9	Q	Is the SBZ, in Benga's view, experimental technology?
10	А	Mr. Chair, what we've stated is that the the
11		process the underlying process is well-known and
12		well-documented. I think the specific application
13		in in terms of flow rates and establishment of
14		operating parameters is relatively new, but it's been
15		in operation at Teck since 2017. So, in one sense, the
16		technology is fairly old and well-understood, but the
17		specific application is is relatively new.
18	Q	When would you say the general technology, as you
19		described it, was was discovered or first used?
20	A	Again, I'm going to ask Mr. Jensen to to take that
21		question.
22	А	MR. JENSEN: Okay. Thank you.
23		So I'm touching the the first instance that
24		I'm aware of where this process was used for treatment
25		of selenium dates back to the the early 2000s. The
26		application I'm most familiar with of of an earlier

		5270
1		implementation is the work that was done in North
2		Carolina by Duke Energy.
3		THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. You are going to
4		have to speak up.
5	A	MR. JENSEN: Okay. Yeah. I'm not sure
6		what maybe I'll using my microphone's quite good.
7		Give me a second. I'll just see if I can hello?
8		MS. ARRUDA: Mr. Jensen, it's Elaine Arruda
9		here.
10	A	MR. JENSEN: Okay.
11		MS. ARRUDA: If you just click the "up"
12		arrow beside your mute and unmute.
13	A	MR. JENSEN: With your permission, maybe
14		I'll just switch headsets real quick here.
15		MS. ARRUDA: Sure.
16	A	Are you able to hear me better now?
17		THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. Thank you.
18	A	MR. JENSEN: Okay. Let me just get so
19		now I can't hear you. I I do apologize for this. I
20		just can't hear the
21	Q	I will shift ahead to another topic for a moment if
22		Mr. Jensen's headset is in trouble.
23		Did Benga find all historical mine tunnels on the
24		Grassy Mountain site?
25	A	MR. HOUSTON: Mr Mr. Youl, maybe
26		maybe you could talk to that.

1 Α MR. JENSEN: I don't ... Whether we found all remains 2 MR. YOUL: Α 3 to be seen. What we do have are historical maps dating 4 back from the 1950s through the 1960s which show the extraction from the two -- the seams that were mined in 5 6 those times. We have drilled holes through the area. 7 A few of those holes intersected voids.

But a -- I guess a technique that I was very 8 9 interested in a few years ago was ground-penetrating radar, and we've used that over part of the initial pit 10 11 area with considerable success, which has shown the 12 areas that have actually been partially mined. And 13 typically the miners in those days would extract the 14 lower part of the seam with a coal roof because the 15 thickness of the seams was such -- and given the ditch, 16 that -- I think they didn't have the technology or the 17 equipment to extract the full seam. So they mined out a portion of the seam, get to the end of the tunnel or 18 19 a crosscut, and then retreat and try and remove the remaining sort of coal before the conditions sort of 20 became unsafe. 21

22 So we've used that ground-penetrating radar, 23 "GPR", as we call it, to identify areas of primary 24 extraction and also areas where we've seen secondary 25 recovery where sections of the seam have collapsed. So 26 we'll look at increasing the density of that technique

1		as we move into the detailed short-term planning. But
2		it's showing a lot of promise.
3		And the final check, if you like, before we start
4		excavating into the old areas is blast-hole drilling
5		that will very short space closely spaced drill
6		holes, typically 9 metres square approximately, which
7		will give us the last piece of information we need to
8		ensure that we can conduct those operations safely.
9	Q	That was a longer answer than I expected, but that's
10		that's fine.
11		Are there does Benga expect there are
12		historical mine tunnels on-site that they haven't found
13		yet?
14	A	We don't expect so. The maps that we have are very
15		detailed and, based on what I've just said, appear to
16		accurately represent, you know, what was recovered.
17		But using the the techniques I've described in
18		greater density will help fill in any gaps that remain.
19	A	MR. HOUSTON: Mr. Yewchuk, I believe
20		Mr. Jensen's headset is working now, if so I'll
21		leave it to you to go back there when you want to.
22	Q	Perfect. I'm wrapped on that topic, so we go back to
23		you, Mr. Jensen.
24		Do you remember the question?
25	A	MR. JENSEN: Yes, I do.
26		And just a sound check. We're good?

THE COURT REPORTER: 1 Yes. I apologize for that. 2 Α Perfect. Thank you. 3 As I was describing, the -- the technology Yes. or the process specifically -- I mean, it -- in many 4 5 ways, it -- it's not new. It's a natural process 6 that's been occurring in -- in nature for -- probably 7 since microbes were around, but in the -- in the engineering sense, the anaerobic bioreactor for 8 9 removing selenium specifically -- the development of 10 that process began in -- in the early 2000s. And as I 11 was stating, the -- the application I'm most familiar 12 with, the early application, is Duke Energy in North 13 Carolina at their Roxboro plant implemented a -- a 14 GE -- a system engineered by GE called "ABMet". That was one of the -- and that was in 2007, I believe. 15 They implemented that after a number of piloting was 16 17 done. I've been to visit that plant, and it's been 18 operating since. And -- and since then I know Duke 19 Energy has installed a number of these plants, and 20 they're becoming more and more common worldwide. 21 Now, GE is not the only supplier of these plants. 22 Envirogen is another company that -- that supplies And, in fact, now Veolia and most major 23 these.

treatment companies will now offer some version of this precise same process. So it's -- it's becoming more and more well-established, and -- yeah. And I guess

1		that's my summary of of my understanding of of
2		the history of of the process.
3	Q	When would you say they were first shown to be
4		effective for selenium attenuation?
5	A	Well, I would say like I said, I can't give you an
6		exact date, but early sort of early in the early
7		2000s, I believe, the they became like, they were
8		implemented commercially.
9	Q	So did you say the the one built by Duke Energy
10		started 2007?
11	A	Yeah, that's correct. Well, that that's that's
12		just the one I'm most familiar with in the in terms
13		of a detailed implementation of it. I've been there.
14		I've visited it. I understand, you know, the process,
15		the I've I've studied that plant in some detail,
16		so I'm referring to that because that's the one I'm
17		most familiar with.
18	Q	Okay. But there were active ones in the early 2000s
19		that were working properly?
20	A	I believe so.
21	Q	The Duke Energy one that you were describing, did that
22		one work properly?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Is Teck a more experienced metallurgical coal mining
25		company than Benga?
26	A	MR. HOUSTON: So, Mr. Chair, I I would

1		say that Teck, particularly in Canada, has a long track
2		record in in coal mining; and and, as we've
3		discussed, Benga's fairly new on the scene.
4	Q	Has Teck taken what Benga considers to be an adaptive
5		management approach to their selenium problem in the
6		Elk Valley?
7	A	Mr. Chair, I I think that Teck is dealing with a
8		a selenium issue that originates decades ago at a time
9		when there maybe wasn't a focus on selenium, and and
10		they've, as a consequence, been pushed into an area
11		where they have invested a lot of money in research and
12		development of of technologies and methodologies for
13		dealing with selenium. But but I would say the
14		the issue that they're dealing with the difficulties
15		they're dealing with are related to projects that were
16		started three and four decades ago.
17	Q	Okay. I didn't see that one actually connect to my
18		question very much, but has have they taken an
19		adaptive management approach, in your view?
20	A	I I think they are adapting to a situation, and
21		and they're doing a lot of good work to look for
22		solutions to an issue, yes.
23	Q	Is there a difference between adaptive management and
24		just adapting?
25	A	You know, I I think when we're talking about best
26		practices, Mr. Chair, in an ideal world and this is

the case with -- with the Grassy Mountain Mine. 1 You're 2 aware of what the issues might be in advance. You 3 develop a primary conceptual design that is based on conservative assumptions and implementation of -- of 4 known technologies. But you also do a risk assessment 5 6 to -- to try to understand where there could be issues, 7 and you develop contingency plans.

8 So I think that's the -- the best description of 9 adaptive management, is to understand the issues, do a 10 proper design, and then also do a risk assessment and 11 develop contingency plans in the -- if -- if things 12 don't work out the way you have planned.

13 Q Is Teck in the Elk Valley using an SBZ or a fluidized 14 bed reactor?

15 A They're using both. They have a fluidized bed reactor, 16 the plant installed at West Line Creek, and that is in 17 operation and appears to be functioning well.

They also have what they call -- and this is going to drive you crazy, Mr. Yewchuk, but they call it a "saturated rock fill", and that's what we've called a "saturated backfill zone", which they've been operating since 2017. So they have both technologies installed.

And what's more, they have extensive long-term selenium management plans that look at deploying both of those technologies at additional sites going forward.

1	Q	Do you know why Teck is using both?
2	A	They are planning to use both. As I mentioned in my
3		opening remarks, I think their tendency is to prefer
4		the saturated backfill zones for many of the reasons
5		that I mentioned in my opening remarks.
б	Q	So Teck's saturated rock fill is directly comparable to
7		Benga's proposed SBZ?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And their fluidized bed reactor would be comparable to
10		your potential fluidized bed reactor if you went that
11		route because you had problems with the SBZ?
12	A	That's correct.
13	Q	Perfect. I wish these things didn't have so many
14		names.
15		So the saturated rock fill Teck's saturated
16		rock fill, how long has that thing been operating?
17	A	Since 2017.
18	Q	And one of Teck's systems caused a fish kill; right, in
19		about 2018 or so?
20	A	What I'm I' not sure what you're referring to
21		there.
22		MR. YEWCHUK: So can I get Addendum 10,
23		Package 5, PDF 498, I believe. And I think this will
24		clarify where I'm going.
25	A	Addendum 10.
26		MR. YEWCHUK: 498, I believe.

1	A	498. This is the biblio the reference section?
2	Q	MR. YEWCHUK: I've given you the wrong page.
3		I will come back to that later.
4		So who here was working with Teck on their
5		saturated rock fill has been working with Teck on
6		the saturated rock fill? You're sure some experts;
7		right?
8	A	That's correct. Mr. Steve Day has been working with
9		Teck extensively, as well as Mr. Jensen.
10	Q	And Teck now expects their saturated rock fill system
11		to consistently allow them to meet all water quality
12		targets?
13	А	So what I understand, Mr. Chair, is that Teck is
14		satisfied with the results of the water treatment
15		through the saturated rock fill zone, and and
16		that for that reason, they're proposing to build
17		more of the more of the same and larger sizes.
18		I I think in terms of meeting in-stream
19		selenium levels, that that's a broader issue. So
20		so this particular technology is treating water and
21		delivering the results they're expecting, but whether
22		that in and of itself will be sufficient to meet water
23		quality guidelines, I don't I don't think we can say
24		here.
25	Q	Do you know what level of selenium attenuation Teck's
26		system is achieving?
1		

1 I think maybe I'll ask Mr. Day to -- to comment on Α 2 this. 3 Α MR. DAY: Yeah. I'd be happy to do 4 that. 5 Mr. Yewchuk -- Mr. Yewchuk, you can -- I think the 6 best way to do that is to look at the presentation 7 that -- that Teck gave about this time last year at a conference. And I believe it's in -- I'm going to need 8 9 some help on the -- the reference. 10 Can somebody else remind me of what that is? Ι 11 think it's 5 -- 571, maybe. 12 But there's a presentation there that Teck gave 13 summarizing the performance of the saturated rock fill 14 that they're operating, and that -- that showed that 15 concentrate -- they can achieve selenium concentrations around about 15 parts per million, and lower at times. 16 Did they have it as a -- a percentage attenuation; 17 0 18 right? 19 You know, I couldn't -- I couldn't tell you that, Α 20 actually. I'd have to -- somebody would have to --21 somebody else would have to get you -- get that for 22 But you could probably -- actually, if you look you. at that figure -- and I don't know -- maybe it's 23 24 worth -- Zoom Coordinator, if you could maybe pull up 25 the -- I had a note of this. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think that's --26

1 Α MR. BARTLETT: Steve, it's -- Zoom Host, it's -- I believe he's looking for CIAR 503. 2 3 MR. DAY: Yeah. 503, PDF page 42. Α 4 Yeah. So here you can see a chart from the -- the 5 presentation that some people at Teck gave. And, 6 Mr. Yewchuk, I don't -- I can't tell you what the 7 attenuation is there, but you can see -- you can see that they have influent concentrations of between a --8 9 I mean up to -- you see up to 200 parts per million. 10 Variable -- and -- and then the effluent concentration 11 you can see down there in the -- the green line at 12 the -- the bottom. So that gives you a sense of 13 the degree of the attenuation. 14 But you -- you can't -- you can't sort out the average 0 15 attenuation rate from this? 16 I -- well, I could. I mean, I -- I just -- I don't Α 17 have the number for you at this point. You -- you haven't previously calculated or are not 18 0 19 aware of what the attenuation rate for this system is? 20 I'm not involved in that -- that aspect of the Α 21 performance monitoring of the -- of the SRF that Teck 22 operate. 23 0 Does anyone else on the panel happen to know that? 24 Doesn't seem. 25 So this document you brought up and we are looking 26 at now, is this a peer-reviewed paper?

1	A	This is a presentation at conference proceedings. It
2		is a if there's a great deal of peer review that
3		goes on to support the the operation of the
4		facility.
5	A	MR. HOUSTON: So, Mr. Chair, Mr. Yewchuk, I
6		just wanted to jump in a little bit here. You're
7		mentioning attenuation rate, Mr. Yewchuk. But do I
8		understand you're looking for a percentage removal or
9		something like that?
10	Q	Yes. That was the the kind of figure I was seeing
11		in some of the documents that I was hoping to work
12		with.
13	A	Okay. So I'll ask for one of my colleagues to talk to
14		this. But I think what's more appropriate to look at
15		is a an effluent concentration of selenium. Because
16		of the process, the natural process tends to reduce the
17		selenium down to a concentration. So talking about a
18		percentage removal, that would be something that's more
19		suitable if we were, you know, installing a filter or
20		something like that.
21		What's more appropriate would be to talk about the
22		concentration achieved in the effluent. And I think
23		Mr. Jensen can maybe add to that.
24	A	MR. JENSEN: Yeah. That's correct,
25		Mr. Yewchuk and Mr. Chair. It's when we look at
26		these systems, it's it's we have to look at

1 the -- the process to (INDISCERNIBLE) behind what's 2 going on with -- with the selenate, the reduction. 3 It's not -- it comes down to -- once the conditions are 4 present such that you can achieve reduction of these 5 species and you can have them either absorb a selenite, 6 or a metal selenium, or some other selenium -- reactive 7 selenium species that will absorb into the matrix. Those conditions persist same way if you have a 8 9 200 -- 200-degree hard -- a 200-degree Celsius part. 10 You simply wouldn't have any -- over time you wouldn't 11 have any liquid water left in there 'cause the 12 conditions are such that now it will all evaporate. 13 When it comes to the very low limit of -- of 14 concentrations -- when we're talking 15 parts per billion or less, there's some other mechanisms that 15 16 come into play, and it's -- you know, I think I will go 17 into a -- into too much of a rabbit hole if I go into 18 it here. But simply thinking of it as a simple percent 19 removal is -- is not a sufficient description of a 20 21 system like this. 22 So based on the table we are looking at now, what 0 23 amount of selenium in micrograms per litre comes out of 24 Teck's system? 25 Α MR. HOUSTON: Mr. Chair, it's in the order 26 of 10.

1	Q	Do you know if Teck sorry, I'll take a word that we
2		agreed on. Their saturated rock fill system has
3		biofouling problems since it started operating?
4		THE COURT REPORTER: Sorry, could you repeat that
5		word, please?
6	Q	MR. YEWCHUK: Has Teck's saturated rock fill
7		system had biofouling problems since it started
8		operating?
9	A	MR. JENSEN: I would say, Mr. Yewchuk, not
10		to my knowledge.
11	Q	So you just don't know?
12	А	That's correct. I mean, not to my knowledge.
13		MR. YEWCHUK: Trying to get a document up
14		here. Can I get Number 313? This is Addendum 11 at
15		PDF page 220.
16	Q	MR. YEWCHUK: While we're waiting for that,
17		does Benga have a
18	A	Excuse me. I'm sorry, Mr. Yewchuk, to interrupt. I'm
19		being informed by my colleague they indeed have had
20		some some biofouling incidents. I'm not aware of
21		those, though, so
22		My statement stands "not to my knowledge", but it
23		doesn't mean it didn't happen.
24	Q	So which of your colleagues knew about that?
25	A	MR. DAY: It's me, Mr. Yewchuk,
26		Stephen Day. They I wouldn't say it's been a

		5510
1		problem. They have had to manage biofouling.
2	Q	Okay. So did Benga have a plan to deal with
3	~	biofouling?
4	A	MR. HOUSTON: Yeah. No, Mr. Chair, we've
5		proposed to do a pilot test, you know, once we get an
6		approval and get on to the site, so that we can clear
7		an area and commence a pilot test. And the intent of
8		that pilot test would be to evaluate things such as
9		
		rates and carbon demand and the propensity for for
10		things like biofouling.
11		Again, some of these issues have been encountered
12		by other companies working with this technology, and
13		and issues have been managed.
14	Q	So what does the system need to deal with potential
15		biofouling? Mr. Day, do you have any idea since you're
16		aware of this?
17	A	I think Mr. Jensen has has some ideas to share with
18		us, Mr. Yewchuk.
19	А	MR. JENSEN: Yeah. So biofouling, it's a
20		well-known phenomenon when it comes to these types of
21		systems, and it biofouling really what it refers
22		to is the build-up of biomass. So as these material
23		microorganisms, as they multiply, they form what we
24		refer to as a "biomass", which is nothing more than a
25		collection of of cells that it's the system is
26		requires that these cells thrive and grow; and as they

1 do, they tend to fill out void spaces. So it's being 2 dealt with in -- in different ways for different 3 systems.

4 In the -- in the active water treatment systems and fluidized bed you have constant purging of these --5 6 of this biofouling. In gravel bed reactors that 7 Mr. Houston described earlier, you -- you employ different strategies for -- for managing biofouling. 8 One is to inject your feed water at different locations 9 10 and cycle through different locations so you allow 11 biomass to flourish, and then die back.

12 You can -- you can pace your -- the addition of 13 your carbon source at different rates. So instead of 14 having a constant drip of methanol, say, into a specific intake, you send in a slug of methanol. 15 And what that does in the immediate vicinity of your 16 injection point it might kill off, for lack of a better 17 word, because of high concentration some of the 18 19 biomass, so you prevent -- and then once you have attained more dilution downstream, you now have the 20 appropriate conditions for the microbe to thrive. 21 22 So there's many -- it's a well-known problem. It's an engineering -- it's an engineering problem from 23 a process engineering point of view, and it's --24 25 there's many different approaches to managing it. So 26 it's -- it's -- I would say it's well-understood.

1	Q	Does Benga know what approach they will take to deal
2		with biofouling?
3	A	MR. HOUSTON: Not at this point, Mr. Chair.
4	Q	Does Benga have an estimate of how much work how
5		much it will cost to deal with biofouling in the SBZ
6		per year?
7	A	Mr. Chair, I think you've just heard Mr. Jensen talk
8		about some of the various processes or methods to deal
9		with biofouling. None of those are really things that
10		would generate a cost that is not within, you know, a
11		margin of error.
12	Q	Mr. Jensen, is that correct, this work is within a
13		margin of error, cheap?
14	A	MR. JENSEN: Yeah. That's absolutely
15		correct. It's an integral part of the design, so it's
16		not it's already anticipated, and it will be built
17		into a design from Day 1. It's it's not an
18		addition.
19	Q	And how long does the biofouling treatment need to go
20		on for the saturated backfill zone?
21	A	MR. HOUSTON: Mr. Chair, this is something
22		that will be an ongoing part of the process. Again,
23		we'll develop parameters in the full-scale field test
24		to inform the engineering design of the SBZ, and that
25		design will incorporate procedures and design aspects
26		that are intended to deal with biofouling. But it's

1		it's an aspect of the process that will be dealt with
2		on an ongoing basis.
3	Q	I think I may have asked this one, but just checking:
4		Will the rock for the SBZ be crushed to uniform size
5		before being placed into the SBZ?
6	A	No, Mr. Chair, it will be the regular backfill that we
7		use to backfill the mine pit.
8	Q	Is there any risk the saturated backfill zone will
9		convert the selenium into more dangerous selenium
10		species?
11	A	So my understanding, Mr. Chair, is that other operators
12		haven't seen that. I think it's important to
13		understand the difference between the fluidized bed
14		reactor, for example, and the saturated rock
15		saturated backfill zone.
16		The fluidized bed reactor is designed to treat as
17		much water as possible in the smallest space in time as
18		possible, and that, by its very nature, can can lead
19		to not not a full reduction of the selenium to the
20		the base state.
21		When we're talking about a saturated backfill
22		zone, we're talking about a very slow process that
23		operates over months instead of hours, and for that
24		reason there is time for the selenium species to
25		fully reduce to species or elemental selenium that
26		will be deposited and filtered through the rock

1 substrate. 2 What does Benga expect to inject into the saturated Q 3 backfill zone to keep it functioning? In terms of a carbon donor; is that what you are 4 Α 5 talking about, Mr. Yewchuk? 6 What does it need? 0 7 A carbon donor. So typically you would use something Α 8 like methanol, or molasses, or another simple 9 hydrocarbon as to -- as a carbon donor. And that 10 drives the low oxygen levels that we're looking for, 11 Mr. Yewchuk. 12 Thank you. 0 Does it need anything else in order to operate? 13 14 Α So possibly. Possibly. Especially to -- to get the 15 initial biological mass to -- to generate. We may --16 may consider injecting other things like perhaps 17 nitrates to -- to encourage that -- that mass to develop quickly. 18 19 Does the saturated backfill zone need any acid? 0 20 MR. JENSEN: No. No acid is required, Α 21 Mr. Yewchuk. Does the saturated backfill zone produce waste 22 0 residuals? 23 24 MR. HOUSTON: Can you tell us what you mean Α 25 by "waste residuals"? 26 Does it produce a sludge? 0

1	A	Mr. Chair, the saturated backfill zone is ultimately
2		when at full build-out is a huge space, and and
3		the space between the rocks, if you will, is has an
4		enormous capacity to absorb and filter any anything
5		like sludge that that may be generated by the
6		biological activity, so we wouldn't be removing any
7		sludge.
8		MR. YEWCHUK: Could I get what I believe is
9		Number 251, this is Addendum 10. I want Package 5, at
10		the bottom of PDF page 50. And can I just scroll to
11		the bottom of this page, please.
12	Q	MR. YEWCHUK: So based on those last two
13		paragraphs, I was expecting you to say you needed
14		glycolic acid and phosphate. Has that changed?
15	A	So this this. Maybe I'll ask my colleague
16		Mr. Day to speak to this.
17	А	MR. DAY: Sorry, could you repeat the
18		question, please?
19	Q	So I had asked you what you need to inject in the
20		saturated backfill zone to keep this thing working.
21		Are you going to need to add glycolic acid and
22		phosphate?
23	А	I am not aware of the need for glycolic acid, and I
24		and I okay, let's let's just think about the
25		process you need to get for so a full-scale
26		operation of this facility.

-		5510
1		There's going to be a bunch of lab testing.
2		There's going to be pilot-scale testing and not and
3		a whole bunch of kind of studies that go on to
4		support getting this thing going. And so it's and
5		it's possible you may need to add some things to help
6		the bacteria to do their to do their work, but I
7		don't think that's been determined yet for this this
8		facility.
9		Does that help?
10	Q	Kind of.
11		So in that last paragraph it mentions Teck
12		indicated that phosphate was a required additive at the
13		Line Creek operation. You see that; right?
14	A	Yes, I do. Yeah.
15	Q	Is the Line Creek operation the saturated rock fill or
16		is that the fluidized bed reactor?
17	A	That's the fluidized bed reactor.
18	Q	So and the sentence before that refers to the
19		saturated backfill zone?
20	A	MR. HOUSTON: So maybe I can help here,
21		Mr. Yewchuk. We refer to the chemicals injected at the
22		Line Creek operation as a possible requirement to
23		inject into the saturated backfill zone. But as
24		Mr. Day mentioned, we have to go through a lot of
25		testing in the to inform the design.
26		And this is just an example of what has been

1		required at the fluid in the fluidized bed reactor
2		process, which which, as I mentioned, is is the
3		same biochemical process but operating on a different
4		scale in terms of size and speed.
5	Q	So the Teck's initial plan is to saturate the
6		backfill zone, and then their two secondary plans would
7		be the gravel bed reactor and then the fluidized bed
8		reactor?
9	A	Mr. Yewchuk, you mentioned "Teck". I think you meant
10		Benga. And, yes, that's correct.
11	Q	Yes. Thank you.
12		Those all use basically the same process?
13	A	That's correct.
14	Q	Okay. What if that process doesn't turn out to work,
15		do you have another plan?
16	A	Mr. Yewchuk, as Mr. Jensen mentioned, the process is
17		well-understood. The chemistry and biology is
18		well-understood, and there are dozens, if not well,
19		there are dozens of plants and applications of the
20		process in operation at other mines.
21		So, you know, I don't think it helps us to have a
22		hypothetical question: What if what if it doesn't
23		work?
24	Q	Okay. So the first one of these that was working was
25		in 2007?
26	A	That's that's the one that Mr. Jensen was familiar

		5510
1		with.
2	Q	It's slightly later than that; early 2000s?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Right. And Teck didn't build one until 2017?
5	A	A fluidized bed reactor?
6	Q	The saturated backfill zones?
7	A	Okay. So, again, we're we're mix we're mixing up
8		applications of the technology and the technology
9		itself but
10		So I believe Teck first started working on a
11		their fluidized bed reactor in 2015, perhaps, with the
12		design. But the saturated backfill zone, again I
13		know they started working on this, and Mr. Day could
14		probably help with the exact time, but they they
15		worked on a number of preliminary pilot tests,
16		laboratory tests. So they would have been working on
17		that the development of the concept two or three
18		years in advance of 2017, before they first got their
19		saturated rock fill up and running.
20	Q	So how was the Duke Energy plan that Mr. Jensen is
21		familiar with different from what Teck built in 2017?
22	А	MR. JENSEN: Just a couple of
23		Mr. Yewchuk, a couple of, I would say, minor
24		differences. The process is essentially the same.
25		Duke, as I as I said, they they use an ABMet
26		process, which is a packed bed. It's a it's a bed
1		

1	of it's a packed bed made of granular-activated
2	carbon that is very high surface area.
3	The fluidized bed reactor is fundamentally
4	different in that it fluidizes. It's a different mass
5	transfer-type reactor. So but, you know,
6	ultimately, the process is is much the same.
7	And if I may add, I mean, the this is more
8	related to your previous question, which is: When was
9	this first realized as an engineered solution? It
10	really went hand in hand with the recognition of
11	selenium as a problem. That had that wasn't
12	terribly well-understood until I don't want to put
13	an exact date on it, but it was it was an awareness
14	that grew, I'd say, from the '90s into the 2000s; and
15	once it was understood what the potential was, that's
16	when technologies were developed to address it.
17	I will say that it's the exact same process that's
18	been used for decades to to address nitrate
19	contamination. You know, that and that at least
20	that dates back to the '70s and '80s when you would
21	inject in situ carbon sources into the subsurface to
22	achieve the exact same thing. At the time it was it
23	was to remove nitrate. But functionally, there's no
24	difference in in the in what sort of process was
25	used.
26	So, in part, it comes down to understanding what

1			5520
	1		the problem is before you go and develop a solution.
	2	Q	So the risks of selenium weren't known until the early
	3		'90s?
	4	A	MR. DAVIES: May Mr. Yewchuk, it's
	5		Martin Davies here. I can take this question.
	6		My understanding of it is that the the
	7		awareness of selenium as as an issue in the
	8		environment, you know, it built through probably the
	9		1970s, but its awareness a broader awareness of it
	10		in the mining industry as a as a potential concern,
	11		to my awareness anyway in Canada, really started in the
	12		1990s.
	13	Q	So the selenium problem was discovered in the '70s and
	14		the mining industry didn't worry about it until the
	15		1990s?
	16	A	MR. HOUSTON: So, Mr. Chair, I don't think
	17		we should put the mining industry into the into the
	18		corner here.
	19		I think, you know, as as society, regulators,
	20		environmentalists, mining companies, and other
	21		industries as well, were all were all learning about
	22		the stuff at about the same time.
	23	Q	It sounded like I was just told that environmentalists
	24		knew in the 1970s and then the mining industry didn't
	25		do anything until the 1990s. I mean, that could not
	26		like the same time, Mr. Houston. That sounds like
	l		

1		20 years.
2	A	So I I'm trying not to put people in categories or
3		groups in categories, Mr. Chair. I think that
4		recognizing the problem, understanding the problem,
5		developing solutions for for the problem, those
б		things all happen along a time frame, and we're all
7		playing our parts in that development.
8	Q	Does anyone know when a guy named Dennis Lemley
9		discovered selenium was a problem?
10	A	MR. DAVIES: Yeah. Mr. Yewchuk, this is
11		his were some of the most some of the original
12		papers looking at this, and this is in the 1970s.
13	Q	Okay. So back to the SBZ. When can the SBZ be removed
14		from the project footprint, or when does Benga plan to
15		have it removed?
16	А	MR. HOUSTON: Mr. Chair, the SBZ is
17		fundamentally the pit shell that has been backfilled
18		with rock. The surface will be reclaimed, as we
19		discussed last week. And the the pit will always be
20		full of water because the natural groundwater table
21		will will rise after after mining is and
22		dewatering are are stopped. So the pit will always
23		be full of water. It will always be full of rock that
24		has been broken, and it's now submerged with water.
25		When when will the SBZ cease to be actively
26		managed? That will depend on when monitoring

demonstrates that the -- effluent from the SBZ because the -- the water will forever decant through the mine pit. It will -- it will come into the mine pit due to precipitation, and it will decant from the lower levels of the mine pit out into the environment.

6 So when monitoring shows that process, that flow 7 through the mine pit is delivering water that is --8 meets -- meets downstream requirements, then we can 9 stop actively managing and monitoring the SBZ.

10 Q This was probably stated before, but do you have a 11 guess as to when that would be? When that 12 modelling ... (INDISCERNIBLE).

A Mr. Chair, that's one of the issues that we don't have a good handle on. And, again, it's due to perhaps not having enough years of case studies that -- that will tell us how this is going to turn out or how long it's going to take for -- for us to achieve that. And so it's something that we can't be definite on.

19 Q So the longest anybody's ever run one of these things 20 is three years?

21 A In terms of the SBZ, yes.

Q Where does the selenium end up when it's removed from the water in the SBZ?

A Mr. Chair, the selenium will revert primarily to
selenite, which has an affinity -- and will get caught
up in the rock matrix. Or elemental selenium, which

1		again will deposit in the rock matrix.
2		And as I mentioned before, one of the benefits of
3		this approach is that the backfilled rock in the in
4		the SBZ or in the mined-out pit acts as a huge filter
5		for these less soluble forms of selenium.
6	Q	So where is the selenium as
7	А	The selenium the selenium Mr. Chair, the selenium
8		originates in the rock. It leaches out of the rock
9		primarily in the ex-pit rock dumps, and it goes back
10		into the rock. It it attaches to the broken rock in
11		the mined-out pit or gets caught up in the biological
12		network that develops there. So it it stays in the
13		mined-out pit.
14	Q	Is the mined-out pit that's not the mine pit, that's
15		the saturated backfill zone?
16	A	In the end, Mr. Chair, obviously, the entire mined-out
17		pit becomes part of the saturated backfill zone.
18	Q	Sorry, the not the mine pit; right?
19	A	The mine pit.
20	Q	The mine pit
21	А	That's correct.
22	Q	becomes a giant saturated backfill zone?
23	А	Yes. It's a it's a huge it's a huge treatment
24		facility, yes.
25	Q	Isn't the end-pit lake on the mine pit?
26	А	So I yes, you're right, and that's not part of the

saturated backfill zone. 1 2 But the end-pit lake ultimately will decant into 3 the -- the rest of the mined-out pit and flow downstream through what is planned to be a saturated 4 backfill zone. 5 6 I don't think I fully realized that. 0 7 So the saturated backfill zone stops being actively managed, but it's there forever? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 Okay. And is the selenium in the rock in the saturated 0 11 backfill zone? 12 It's -- it's in a submerged part of the mine pit. Α So, Mr. Chair, I think we all appreciate that 13 14 while we're mining, we're actively pumping out of the 15 mine pit to lower the groundwater table, and that's so 16 that, obviously, we don't get our feet wet. 17 Once we stop mining, we stop pumping, and the level in the entire mine pit, the groundwater level, 18 rises to a natural level, and so the majority of the 19 20 rock in the mined-out pit will be below water. And, 21 yes, you can consider that all part of the ultimate 22 saturated backfill zone. If there's some kind of landslide or earthquake 23 Ο 24 disturbance in, say, 400 years, would the selenium 25 release out of the saturated backfill zone? 26 Α I can't picture that.

1	Q	As in it won't happen, or you just can't imagine that
2		far ahead? I don't understand the
3	А	Well, I can't imagine the earth rising up, the rock
4		being spewed out onto the landscape, and the water
5		disappearing. I mean, it this is not a highly
6		seismic zone. And I guess, yeah, you can you can
7		look at the landscape and know that that kind of event
8		hasn't happened in millennia.
9	Q	So it I'll think of something else here.
10		So if anything happens to disturb the saturated
11		backfill zone at any point in the future, would the
12		selenium come back out?
13	A	Mr. Chair, the the only thing that we've tossed
14		this around. The only thing that we can imagine that
15		would do that would be if somebody came in and decided
16		they wanted to re-mine the pit. Obviously, they
17		wouldn't be looking for coal at that point because the
18		coal would be gone, but they if they wanted to
19		re-mine the pit for some other reason. And I would
20		suggest if somebody were to do that, they they would
21		have to determine how they're going to deal with the
22		the selenium at that point in time.
23		I I can't imagine another scenario where, you
24		know, the the groundwater table was would
25		magically disappear. I can't imagine it.
26	Q	So Benga expects the mine site to be seismically stable

1		forever?
2	A	It is seismically stable. It has been for millennia.
3		I I think that's a reasonable projection.
4	Q	So yes?
5	A	Yes.
б	Q	Perfect.
7		THE CHAIR: Mr. Yewchuk, just let you know
8		it's about noon, so whenever is a good time for you, we
9		could take our lunch break.
10		MR. YEWCHUK: Now works just fine. Thank
11		you, Mr. Chair.
12		THE CHAIR: Okay. It's just a little
13		after 12:00, so let's resume at 1:00. Thank you.
14		
15		PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED UNTIL 1:00 PM
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

		5521
1 2	Proceedings Taken via Re	emote Video
3 4	November 16, 2020	Afternoon Session
5	A. Bolton	The Chair
6	D. O'Gorman	Hearing Commissioner
7	H. Matthews	Hearing Commissioner
8		
9	M. LaCasse	AER Counsel
10	B. Kapel Holden	AER Counsel
11		
12	K. Lambrecht, QC	Joint Review Panel Secretariat
13		Counsel
14		
15	T. Utting	IAAC Staff
16	E. Arruda	AER Staff
17	D. Campbell	AER Staff
18	T. Turner	AER Staff
19	T. Wheaton	AER Staff
20	A. Shukalkina	AER Staff
21		
22	M. Ignasiak	For Benga Mining Limited
23	C. Brinker	
24		
25	R. Warden	For Ktunaxa Nation
26	T. Howard	
1		

		3328
1	K. Poitras	For Métis Nation of Alberta
2		Region 3
3		
4	Chief B. Cote	For Shuswap Indian Band
5		
6	B. Snow	For Stoney Nakoda Nations
7		
8	R. Drummond	For Government of Canada
9	S. McHugh	
10		
11	A. Gulamhusein	For Municipality of Crowsnest
12		Pass
13		
14	M. Niven, QC	For MD of Ranchland No. 66
15	R. Barata	
16	J. Nijjer (Student-at-La	w)
17		
18	B. McGillivray	For Town of Pincher Creek
19		
20	D. Yewchuk	For Canadian Parks and
21		Wilderness Society, Southern
22		Alberta Chapter
23		
24	R. Secord	For Coalition of Alberta
25	I. Okoye	Wilderness Association, Grassy
26		Mountain Group, Berdina Farms
1		

Ltd., Donkersgoed Feeder 1 Limited, Sun Cured Alfalfa 2 3 Cubes Inc., and Vern Emard 4 R. Cooke For Crowsnest Conservation 5 6 Society 7 G. Fitch, QC For Livingstone Landowners 8 9 C. Agudelo Group 10 For Timberwolf Wilderness 11 M. Sawyer 12 Society and Mike Judd 13 14 (No Counsel) For Barbara Janusz 15 (No Counsel) For Jim Rennie 16 17 S. Elmeligi For Alberta Chapter of the 18 A. Morehouse Wildlife Society and the 19 Canadian Section of the 20 S. Milligan 21 Wilderness Society M. Boyce 22 J. Gourlay-Vallance For Eco-Elders for Climate 23 24 Action 25 For Trout Unlimited Canada 26 L. Peterson

For Coal Association of Canada 1 R. Campbell 2 (No Counsel) 3 For Alistair Des Moulins 4 5 (No Counsel) For David McIntyre 6 7 (No Counsel) For Fred Bradley 8 9 (No Counsel) For Gail Des Moulins 10 11 (No Counsel) For Ken Allred 12 (Not Present) 13 14 (No Counsel) For Monica Field 15 S. Frank For Oldman Watershed Council 16 17 A. Hurly 18 C. Forster, CSR(A) Official Court Reporter 19 20 21 (PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED AT 1:01 PM) 22 GARY HOUSTON, DANE MCCOY, MIKE YOUL, MIKE BARTLETT, 23 CORY BETTLES, DAVID DEFOREST, SOREN JENSEN, MARTIN DAVIES, LEIF BURGE, DAN BEWLEY, Previously 24 25 Affirmed 26 STEPHEN DAY, NANCY GRAINGER, Previously Sworn

1 THE CHAIR: Okay. Welcome come back, 2 everyone. 3 Mr. Yewchuk, you can continue. Mr. Yewchuk Cross-examines Benga Mining Limited 4 5 MR. YEWCHUK: Perfect. So can I start with 6 Addendum 10, Package 5 again on the screen? 7 0 MR. YEWCHUK: And while that comes up, I wanted to double-check something about the addition of 8 9 acid to saturated backfill zone. Will that not be happening -- will be happening, or are you yet not 10 11 sure? We don't believe it'll be 12 MR. HOUSTON: Α necessary, Mr. Yewchuk. 13 14 Okay. What method will you be using to deal with 0 15 biofouling if it occurs? I -- I think Mr. Jensen mentioned a couple of other 16 Α 17 methods. One -- one was to introduce a slug of methanol instead of a -- a -- a measured amount. 18 What 19 that would do was kill the biological material around 20 the input. 21 The other method -- I think that was the -- the 22 primary method he mentioned. The other method was to 23 have multiple inlets, wells so that you could cycle 24 between them, and -- and that's been shown to help 25 reduce the problem of biofouling. 26 MR. YEWCHUK: And can I get PDF page 36 on

1		the bottom of the page? Oh, sorry. 35. Bottom. So
2		just up a little bit. My PDF counter is working
3		slightly there you go. So this one.
4	Q	MR. YEWCHUK: Can Benga confirm that they
	Q	
5		were asked to provide examples of saturated backfill
6		zones with this question?
7	A	MR. HOUSTON: So we were asked to provide
8		to to be complete a a detailed summary of case
9		studies that have been completed and that investigate
10		selenium attenuation in saturated backfill zones in
11		British Columbia and elsewhere.
12	Q	Great. Okay.
13		MR. YEWCHUK: And can we go down to PDF
14		page 39, I think, the bottom of the page. It is no,
15		it's 38, bottom of the page. I'm having the same
16		problem I was earlier. So just up a little bit.
17	Q	MR. YEWCHUK: And is Example E of the
18		saturated backfill zone a fluidized bed reactor?
19	A	MR. HOUSTON: So it's a it's an example
20		of using the same biochemical technology in a fluidized
21		bed reactor. But, to be clear, what was asked was to
22		show any case studies, including laboratory tests or
23		or scale pilot tests, that pertain to the saturated
24		backfill zone technology.
25	Q	Well, you just read it, and I think you I don't
26		think it had the word "pertained" there, but
		- ·

1	A	Yeah. I I didn't read the first sentence of the
2		question, which which talks about case studies and
3		laboratory analysis.
4	Q	Do you want to go back up and read it, or do you do
5		you
б	А	If it's helpful.
7	Q	Okay. So let's let's go back up to that page. I
8		believe it was bottom of 35.
9	А	So you see the brackets where it says: (as read)
10		including small-scale laboratory tests or
11		other large-scale pilot projects.
12	Q	Yeah. And if you read: (as read)
13		that have been completed and that
14		investigate selenium attenuation in saturated
15		backfill zones in British Columbia and
16		elsewhere.
17	А	So so the the Case Study E does pertain to the
18		same biochemical process that we're using in the
19		saturated backfill zone.
20	Q	Okay. So you consider the the FBR to be close
21		enough that it's useful for this purpose?
22	A	I I think it inform it you know, it's
23		informative. It's helpful.
24	Q	All right.
25		MR. YEWCHUK: And can I get PDF page 37?
26		I'm looking for Example B.

1	0	
1	Q	MR. YEWCHUK: Now, the the last sentence
2		in paragraph, for example, (b), can you just read that
3		to me?
4	A	MR. HOUSTON: Example B, there's three
5		paragraphs. The first paragraphs [sic]?
6	Q	Yes.
7	A	(as read)
8		The columns were filled with fine coal
9		rejects from the mine with an average
10		particle size of approximately 1 millimetre.
11	Q	Okay. Is an average particle size a gravel bed
12		reactor, or is that a saturated backfill zone?
13	A	This is a laboratory test. When it talks about six
14		column bioreactors, that that's a laboratory setup
15		to investigate aspects of the again, the same
16		biochemical process that we're talking about.
17	Q	Is this column test for a gravel bed reactor or for a
18		saturated backfill zone?
19	А	It could be for either. Again, we're you're testing
20		rates of reaction, effects of I'm not exactly sure
21		what they're testing for here, but you could test for
22		rates of reaction, resistance to cold weather. I mean,
23		you could test many parameters that help to inform
24		further field-scale pilot tests or or a full-scale
25		installation.
26	Q	Are the saturated backfill zone, the fluidized bed

1 reactor, and the gravel bed reactor very similar 2 technologies? 3 The -- the basic biochemical reactions are -- are the Α The differences lie in the rate of water 4 same. treatment and -- and -- well, a -- a number of other 5 6 factors. But, basically, as you go from the water 7 plant -- water treatment plant, which is in a building with vessels, you're -- you're talking about moving 8 9 water through a lot more quickly, and -- and for that 10 you need to have higher state of engineering. 11 For the gravel bed reactor, it's a -- a little bit 12 less quick, but still, compared to the saturated 13 backfill zone, a fast process. And so engineering is 14 required to achieve that. 15 And then the saturated backfill zone, as we've talked about, is quite a slow process in terms of 16 17 taking months as opposed to hours or days. So Teck's three plans -- first, second, and third plans 18 0 19 to control selenium are -- are just variances of the 20 same thing? 21 It's the same basic biochemical reaction, yes. Α 22 Do FBRs have a problem where they produce sludge? 0 The "FBR" being the fluidized backfill zone [sic]. 23 I'm 24 switching to "FBR". 25 Α Yeah. And that's because the reaction's taking place 26 in -- in a vessel. And because of the volume of water

1		that's going through a small place, you you need to
2		have time in the process to remove the build-up of
3		sludge and and dispose of it.
4	Q	Do saturated backfill zones produce sludge?
5	А	So they'll produce the same biological mass. The
6		difference is the enormous space that's in the
7		saturated backfill zone. And so that enormous space
8		allows for the development of sludge, and it's
9		it's actually becomes part of the treatment, if you
10		will. But it remains in situ.
11	Q	Is the saturated backfill zone just going to take
12		longer to fill up with sludge because it's bigger?
13	A	I think the dimension of the you know, the the
14		scale is is orders of magnitude bigger, and I
15		think I think that what you'll find is that the
16		the sludge, which is primarily biological material, has
17		a finite volume.
18	Q	Why?
19	A	Because it's based on how much selenium you feed in.
20		The selenium is the food, if you will, for the for
21		the biological material. Selenium and nitrates, both
22		of them.
23		So that's the food for the biological material.
24		And when you run out of food, the the growth slows.
25		And so as you move further and further away from the
26		inlet on the at in the saturated backfill,

1 your -- your degree of biological material is going to 2 be less. 3 If you will, let me just consult with my colleagues here. I think we may want to add something 4 5 here. 6 So Mr. Jensen's going to add a bit of colour to 7 what I just said. MR. JENSEN: Yeah. 8 Α So, I mean, it's 9 biological the -- they go through a life cycle, the --10 the microorganisms that develop within a -- within a 11 saturated backfill or really anywhere, and part of 12 that -- part of that cycle is you have initial growth; 13 then you have a period, generally speaking, where it's 14 sustained. And then it dies off. 15 So you keep going -- in -- in effect, the biomass will cycle itself within the -- within the biomass. 16 So 17 that's one of the things we are hoping to -- not hoping to -- we do achieve by switching feed wells, is that if 18 you inject your feed and interwell for a certain amount 19 20 of time, we expect it to foul up with biomass. What we 21 then achieve by switching to a new well and operating 22 that for a while is that the biomass no longer gets sustained by incoming nutrients. So it'll die back 23 24 and, in fact, contribute to electron donors or carbon in and of itself. It'll help to keep that -- the 25 26 reducing conditions reducing. The -- much the same way

1 we don't want phosphates and other things going into 2 lakes 'cause then you get a lot of algae bloom. That 3 algae bloom sinks to the bottom, and it starts to decay. I'm sure that process is familiar to some. 4 5 That decay -- so lakes don't just fill up with 6 biomass. And, you know, in the end of -- and all of a 7 sudden they're not lakes anymore. Wetlands might. But 8 most lakes don't, and that's because it gets recycled. 9 So it's much the same process. 10 Ο But some lakes do? 11 Yeah, some lakes do. Α 12 That would be the algae bloom-type example you just 0 13 qave; right? 14 Α No. No. I mean, when they do, it's -- it's hydraulically controlled. 15 It's -- that -- that's when it turns into a wetland. And even wetlands don't grow 16 17 into the sky. Like, they -- they have their own recirculation of -- of organic material that -- you 18 19 know, cycles of growth and decomposition that they, 20 too, attain a steady state. I hope that makes sense. 21 0 Does Benga expect the SBZ to have a 99 percent 22 attenuation rate? So what we talked about 23 Α MR. HOUSTON: 24 earlier this morning was that we expect the SBZ to 25 produce an effluent that has less than 15 micrograms per litre of selenium. 26

1 So that was 15? 0 2 15 micrograms per litre. That's -- that's a -- a Α 3 conservative estimate of what the performance will be. 4 0 Okay. As a percentage attenuation rate, what is that? 5 Yeah. It -- we talked about this this morning as well. Α 6 Mr. Chair, percentage attenuation rate is -- is more 7 appropriate for a filtration system. And -- and I know at one point in our -- our documents we -- we talked 8 9 about that. But the more -- more appropriate use --10 the more appropriate definition of "performance" is to 11 talk about what the expected effluent concentration of 12 selenium will be at a maximum, and that's 15 micrograms 13 per litre. 14 Can you just look at the page we already have up and 0 15 the second paragraph under selenium attenuation 16 Example B, the last sentence of the second --17 Α M-hm. -- paragraph there, an average removal rate of 18 0 19 97 percent? 20 Α Yes. 21 So if I -- if I try to take you through your 0 Okay. 22 documents to show you all the places you refer to 23 attenuation rates and removal rates, would that take us 24 the rest of the day? I -- I know it -- it -- it is a way we use to talk 25 Α 26 about it, and that's sometimes a function of the way

1 the laboratory tests are -- are set up. 2 What -- what we've understood is that the -- if --3 the attenuation rate or the percentage reduction has 4 very little to do with how we should be measuring the 5 success of this process. It's -- it's very much 6 related to the -- the concentration of the effluent. 7 And -- and that goes back to the -- the idea that -- our understanding that this is -- the SBZ is --8 9 is a huge reactor compared to, say, this column test 10 that you're looking at here. So it's a huge reactor. 11 There's months of time. And so achieving that -- that 12 lower level of performance or that -- that level of 13 performance that we're expecting is -- is really 14 dependent on the end limits of the process as opposed 15 to the -- the space and time that's available. Does Benga think it's going to be easier to run the 16 0 17 enormous outdoor SBZ than it is to run these column tests? 18 19 Well, the column tests -- so when we're talking about a Α 20 "column", these -- these columns might be -- I don't

21 have the specifics here, but they might be, say, 22 8 inches or 10 inches in diameter and 2 or 3 feet tall. 23 So they're -- at least the column tests I'm familiar 24 with are -- are of that size. So it -- it's quite a 25 different thing when you're talking about running them. 26 MR. YEWCHUK: So -- here. Can I get

1		Document Number 555, PDF page 48 84, please.
2		Page 84, sorry. And I think this will just illustrate
3		what we're talking about.
4	A	MR. HOUSTON: 555?
5		MR. YEWCHUK: PDF page 84. Maybe go down
6		one. This is not exactly page I want.
7	А	MR. HOUSTON: Are you looking for the
8		picture?
9	Q	MR. HOUSTON: Yeah. That's the one.
10	А	Yeah.
11	Q	There it is. 87.
12	A	87. Okay.
13	Q	So this is what a column test looks like; right?
14	A	Yeah. That's right. It's a laboratory experiment.
15	Q	How large is the planned saturated backfill zone?
16	A	Well, as we've talked about, it gets built up in
17		phases. But at the ultimate extent, it's virtually the
18		entire mine pit.
19	Q	Did the column test that we are looking at right now
20		meet the selenium attenuation expectations that Benga
21		had?
22	А	So, Mr. Chair, we're we're using these column tests
23		to test the the behaviour of the biochemical
24		reaction (a) with the specific materials from the
25		the site, and and (b) as they react to changing
26		levels of selenium input, nitrates, temperature. So
1		

1		we're we're not intending to achieve the
2		15 micrograms per litre in in these column tests.
3		We're we're really looking to see how the process
4		varies with variation in input parameters.
5	Q	So the point of these tests is not to show that the SBZ
6		or or GRB technology or whatever actually works?
7		That's not the point?
8	A	It's it's to we we know the technology works,
9		and and so what we're trying to do is understand,
10		from an engineering design parameter point of view, how
11		the process varies with with changes in input
12		parameters.
13	Q	Now, in the column test that we are looking at now, was
14		the selenium precipitated out as expected, or did it
15		remain suspended in the water?
16	A	Again, this is a very short column test. The the
17		selenium's moving through at a fairly or the
18		the the water with the selenium is moving through
19		fairly rapidly, and so what we're looking for are rates
20		of of reduction under varying input conditions.
21		And and it's those rates of reduction that we're
22		we're looking at to see how does the biochemical
23		reaction accelerate or or slow down or react to
24		changes in the amount of methanol, for example, that's
25		put in, or the temperature ambient temperature of
26		of the process.

1	Q	Okay. So for this test and I'd like an answer
2		from Soren Jensen. You actually ran this test; right?
3	A	No. No, Soren didn't run this test.
4	Q	Who did?
5	A	It was Geosyntec, another consultant.
6	Q	Okay.
7		MR. YEWCHUK: Can I get Document Number 571,
8		PDF page 21? This is Benga's response, I hope. I'm
9		having a rough time with these today.
10	A	MR. HOUSTON: What is the PDF page, please?
11	Q	MR. YEWCHUK: 21.
12	A	21.
13	Q	Bottom of 21. I think that should be it.
14	A	Yeah.
15	Q	These are the selenium limit comparisons you you
16		provided; right?
17	A	Yeah. Yes.
18	Q	Okay. Do you know what years those first four mines in
19		this table were permitted?
20	A	One moment, Mr. Chair.
21		So we're trying to get the collective wisdom of
22		the panel to bear here. We we think that the Red
23		Chris Mine may may have received its selenium
24		objective 2015 or 2016.
25		The which was the other the Brule Mine?
26		Yeah. The Brule Mine the Kemess Mine. I'm sorry.
1		

1		That that selenium objective might have been set in
2		2018 or 2019. We we can't speak to all of the rest.
3	Q	All right. That's good enough.
4		Mr. Day, did you work on the permitting for the
5		Red Chris Mine?
6	A	MR. DAY: Yes, I've worked on that,
7		yeah.
8	Q	Perfect.
9		Do you continue to do work relating to the Red
10		Chris Mine?
11	A	I do, yeah.
12	Q	Did that mine get a noncompliance advisory letter from
13		the BC Ministry of Environment in 2017?
14	A	I don't know.
15	Q	Not sure about that.
16		Do you know if there was no surface runoff control
17		works installed at parts of the mine where the Red
18		Chris Mine permit required them to have those?
19	A	That wasn't the area that I was working on, so I'm not
20		familiar with those, Mr. Yewchuk.
21	Q	What were you doing?
22	A	I I work on the geochemical characterization of the
23		rock and tailings.
24	Q	Do you do any work relating to selenium at Red Chris?
25	A	I do, but it's on the source aspects.
26	Q	Okay. Did selenium levels in fish start to rise in the

		5510
1		lake beside the Red Chris Mine in 2016?
2	A	Yeah. I'm not familiar with that.
3		MR. YEWCHUK: Can I get Aid to Cross 3?
4	Q	MR. YEWCHUK: And, Mr. Day, did you see this
5		Aid to Cross 3 before did anyone bring it to your
6		attention?
7	A	MR. DAY: Mr. Yewchuk, I don't work on
8		the fish tissue aspects, so this wouldn't be something
9		I'd be involved in.
10	Q	Right. So you do work for Red Chris, but you've just
11		never heard of this at all?
12	A	Well, I hear it peripherally, but I don't I'm not
13		this is not my expertise, so I don't get involved in
14		this aspect.
15	A	MR. HOUSTON: Mr. Chair, I think Mr. Day
16		made it clear that he works on the source terms for
17		selenium on this project, and without without
18		getting into exactly what he's doing, working on the
19		source terms means that you're looking at the rock and
20		the rate of leaching out of the rock and and what
21		that might produce. So the the other end of the
22		chain, the fish, Mr. Day wouldn't be involved in that
23		at all.
24	Q	Mr. Day, have you ever visited the Mount Polley mine
25		site?
26	A	MR. DAY: Yes, I have.
-		

1	Q	Did you visit it prior to the dam failure?
2	A	Yes, I did.
3	Q	Okay. What was the most recently you visited it before
4		the dam failure?
5	A	Before the dam failure, I couldn't I couldn't tell
6		you. I I was in and out of there a few times over
7		the years.
8	Q	You worked for Teck on their selenium problem in the
9		Elk Valley?
10	А	I worked for Teck on again, on the source
11		geochemistry aspects, yeah.
12		MR. YEWCHUK: Can I get Aid to Cross
13		Number 6?
14	Q	MR. YEWCHUK: And if I set this upright,
15		this should be an article on selenium in the Elk Valley
16		that you coauthored. We will know once it comes up.
17		Am I right this is an article you coauthored?
18	A	MR. DAY: Yes, that's right.
19	Q	Okay. And it is on selenium and selenium pollution?
20	A	It is specifically on where does the selenium reside in
21		the rock.
22	Q	Does this article cite DeForest?
23	A	I I couldn't tell you. I don't know, no.
24	Q	Okay.
25	A	You have to look in look in the reference list.
26	Q	Okay. Well, let's go to the last page of this, I

1 believe PDF page 7. I quessed. Up one should be No. 2 there. 3 So you can check and get back to me -- you can check if it -- if it has Mr. DeForest. Do you know if 4 5 you cite Dennis Lemly? 6 Α I don't know. I -- yeah. 7 Can you check Footnotes 4 and 12? Is that too small 0 8 for you to read or --9 Α Oh, I'm sorry. Oh, I see. Okay. Yeah. I see, yeah, Lemly is, yeah, Reference 4 and -- yeah. 10 Okay. I see 11 him there, yeah. 12 Okay. Thank you. 0 13 And now on to someone else. Can I get Aid to 14 Cross Number 4, and, Mr. Davies, is this a study you 15 coauthored? 16 MR. DAVIES: Yes. Α 17 Right. 0 Well, when it comes up, I think it is. 18 Α Who provided the funding for this study? 19 0 This was the Kemess Mine. 20 Α 21 0 Okay. 22 It was done at the requirement of the provincial Α 23 government. What was the connection between Northqate Minerals' 24 0 25 Kemess Mine and the Dolly Varden char? 26 Α The creeks in the area are generally dominated by

1		either the Dolly Varden char or bull trout. And so
2		it you know, this is what they what they need to
3		manage.
4	Q	Okay. Was Kemess Mine dumping waste rock into the
5		habitat of the Dolly Varden char?
6	А	I wouldn't characterize it that way. They the
7		the upper portion of this of this creek was a
8		permitted discharge area or not discharge area.
9		Sorry. It was a permanent waste rock storage area, and
10		it was, you know, managed through a a fisheries
11		compensation program.
12	Q	So can you just read me this two sentences underneath
13		"Materials and Methods" on that first page? We'll have
14		to scroll down a little.
15	A	The first two sentences there?
16	Q	Yeah.
17	A	(as read)
18		The Kemess Mine is an open-pit gold and
19		copper mine and mill complex located
20		430 kilometres northwest of Prince George,
21		British Columbia, Canada.
22		Since 1997, non-acid-generating waste
23		rock has been placed in the upper portions of
24		Waste Rock Creek, a 3-kilometre second-order
25		creek that contains Dolly Varden spawning and
26		rearing areas.

Г

1	Q	Okay. Was Kemess placing waste rock in Dolly Varden
2		char habitat since 1997?
3	A	By that time, it had been it had been compensated
4		for, and it was no longer considered fish habitat.
5	Q	Okay. Was the conclusion of this study that the Dolly
6		Varden char was more tolerant of selenium exposure than
7		any other fish species tested up to that day?
8	A	Not exactly. I think if you see the if you read the
9		conclusions there, it looks that way to start. It was
10		a bit of a surprise to us, honestly. But oh, sorry,
11		I got a can you still see me? I've lost my video
12		here.
13	Q	I'm not too worried about it.
14	A	Okay. Yeah. I'm I'm still here. Believe me.
15		The you'll see in the the conclusions of the
16		study that there is another study that was done with
17		brook trout, which is a very closely related species.
18		Like, Dolly Varden, bull trout, Arctic char, and
19		and and brook trout are all almost the same
20		species, honestly. Where if you dive down into the
21		brook trout study, the results were actually quite
22		comparable.
23	Q	So
24	A	I I can find that in the in the paper, if you
25		like.
26	Q	Is the overall conclusion here that the Dolly Varden

-		5550
1		char is very tolerant of selenium?
2	A	I would say the Dolly Varden char are more tolerant
3		than than trout.
4	Q	What about the bull trout?
5	A	We didn't do direct studies of bull trout in this.
6		Like, they're very they're so so closely related
7		in this area that they hybridize, and so, you know, you
8		might think that it's similar, but we haven't done any
9		direct studies of it to see.
10	Q	Is the implication of this study that the Kerness
11		the Kemess Mine doesn't need to worry as much about
12		their selenium releases?
13	A	No, not at all, actually. One of the advantages one
14		of the things that allowed us to do this study the way
15		we did 'cause we were taking samples of of eggs
16		that had been from Dolly Varden char that had been
17		raised or not sorry, not raised that had grown
18		in the creek in these creeks that had very high
19		exposure to waterborne selenium. And so it gave us an
20		opportunity to actually look at a real-life setting
21		where there were high concentrations of selenium and
22		fish eggs. And what we found is that the
23		concentration this EC10 concentration that we put
24		forward as a a potential tissue threshold there's
25		probably about depending on the year of monitoring,
26		about maybe a a a third or half of the fish, in
1		

1		some years more, that are above that threshold. So
2		certainly there there were effects in this
3		environment. And I think that this this study
4		helped to confirm that.
5	Q	Okay. Thank you.
6		Mr. Jensen, have you visited the Mount Polley mine
7		site?
8	А	MR. JENSEN: No, I have not.
9	Q	Did you do any work related to the Mount Polley mine
10		site?
11	A	Yes, I have.
12	Q	Okay. But you never did a site visit?
13	A	That's correct. I did not.
14	Q	Mr. DeForest, are you responsible for the work on the
15		site-specific selenium threshold sought by this
16		project?
17	A	MR. DEFOREST: Yes, I am.
18	Q	Do you believe Alberta's selenium release limits are
19		overconservative?
20	A	By Alberta release limits, is that the guideline of
21		2 micrograms per litre, or is that different? I
22	Q	I think that's the one.
23	A	It's I believe it's conservative for certain water
24		minings. It's designed to be a conservative value
25		that's protective of waters with the highest
26		THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Can I get you to

Г

1 speak up?

2 A MR. DEFOREST: Yeah. Sorry.

What I was saying was I believe the guideline is conservative for certain water bodies, and it was designed to be a conservative guideline that would be protective of water bodies throughout the province that have high bioaccumulation potential.

8 For a -- a flowing or loaded receiving water 9 like -- as is the case here, we do expect that selenium 10 bioaccumulation potential would be lower than those 11 high bioaccumulation sites that are really the basis 12 for a lower water selenium guideline.

So -- so I guess to answer your question, I do believe that the 2-microgram-per-litre guideline is conservative for this receiving water for this project. Q Okay. Mr. DeForest, is there an unsettled controversy regarding appropriate selenium thresholds for the protection of fish populations?

19 A Are you referring to thresholds in water or fish tissue 20 or other?

21 Q Both.

A I -- I suppose that there's probably still
disagreement, for sure. Like, there are -- like there
is in almost anything, I suppose.

25There are, I guess, different interpretations of26what the level of protection should be and how to

interpret the available effects-based data for 1 2 selenium. So I know in the literature that there --3 there are still differences of opinion in that regard. 4 Could it be fairly characterized as a dispute between a Ο 5 group of researchers who think the appropriate 6 regulatory thresholds can be set higher and a group who 7 believe they need to be set low? I -- I think the difference is just differences in 8 Α No. 9 interpretation of the available data independent of 10 sort of, quote/unquote, one thinks it should be lower 11 or one thinks it should be higher. 12 I think a lot of the more conservative guidelines 13 are developed from studies that came out mostly in the 14 '80s and early '90s following the events at Belews 15 And starting in the late 1990s and past 2000, a Lake. substantial amount of research on the effects of 16 selenium has been undertaken, and I think we know a lot 17 more now than we did in the '80s; and I think, 18 depending on how you choose to interpret the new 19 20 information, probably influences different folks' 21 opinions on -- on what a protected guideline should be. 22 Are you familiar with Dennis Lemly? 0 23 Α I am. 24 Are you familiar with Joseph Skorupa? 0 25 Α Yes. 26 Have Lemly and Skorupa favoured tighter limits on 0

1		selenium releases for environmental protection?
2	A	Yes. I or can I have you repeat that?
3	Q	Have Lemly and Skorupa favoured limits on selenium
4		releases for environmental protection reasons?
5	А	Yes, they generally have.
6	Q	Have you favoured laxer selenium release limits?
7	А	I have not favoured laxer limits, but the the limits
8		or guidelines that I've recommended and work I've done
9		in the past are higher than the ones that they've
10		recommended and I think based on evaluation of the data
11		available. And and I can just say too, you know,
12		I'm not I'm not alone in that thinking. The US
13		EPA's fish tissue-based criteria are actually very
14		consistent with recommendations that colleagues and I
15		made back in 1999, before even a lot more information
16		became available, and I think it's just a a
17		difference in interpretation of the data. I think it
18		would be inaccurate to say that they're more lax.
19		They're still conservative and protective values, in
20		our opinion.
21	Q	Do mining companies generally prefer your
22		interpretation of the data to Lemly's?
23	A	MR. HOUSTON: So, Mr. Yewchuk, I I I
24		don't know if it's Mr. DeForest's place to talk about
25		what mining companies generally think. That you
26		know, that's asking him to put himself in somebody

1		else's position.
2	Q	Mr. DeForest, do you agree that you are unable to
3	~	answer that question?
4	A	MR. DEFOREST: I'd agree. I can't say for
5		sure what the different companies might be thinking.
6	Q	Okay. In 1999, you cowrote a paper, the critical
7		called "Critical Review of Tissue-Based Selenium
8		Toxicity Thresholds for Fish"?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Did that paper conclude that the United States Fish and
11		Wildlife Service thresholds were lower than they needed
12		to be?
13	A	That's yes, that's that's what we concluded.
14	Q	Can I get Aid to Cross Number 14, PDF page 40? I
15		believe it's on the bottom of the page. And if I
16		called up the correct page, this will show a table of
17		your proposed selenium limits compared to Lemly's and
18		Skorupa's; right?
19	А	Yes, that's correct.
20	Q	Who funded this study?
21	A	This was funded by Kennecott, a mining
22		THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry?
23	A	MR. DEFOREST: company in Utah.
24	Q	MR. YEWCHUK: In 2013, you coauthored
25		"Comment on Wildlife and the Coal Waste Policy Debate:
26		Proposed Rules for Coal Waste Disposal Ignore Lessons
1		

		3330
1		From 45 Years of Wildlife Poisoning"?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	And that was responding to an article by Lemly and
4		Skorupa?
5	A	It was.
6	Q	Was your position in that article that Lemly and
7		Skorupa's suggestions for controlling selenium
8		pollution were too strict and that lower standards for
9		environmental protection would be safe?
10	A	The the focus, I think, of that paper was more
11		around acknowledging again how much the science on the
12		fate and effects of selenium in aquatic systems has
13		evolved. The particular paper that this was a rebuttal
14		to was in part, look back at various case studies
15		early on when there were cases of population level
16		effects in receiving waters such as Belews Lake and
17		Hyco reservoir that received fine-ash discharges from
18		coal-fired power plants and and more just that this
19		state of the science both, as I said, on creative
20		effects, and effects have evolved and and also for
21		managing selenium.
22		And that was really kind of the focus of the
23		paper, was we can we can we can look back at
24		those cases, but we can't extrapolate those to the
25		future because we know a lot more now than we do
26		than we did then.

1		And and just basically a a you know, a
2		recommendation that given that knowledge that we
3		have, that they have improved methods for evaluating
4		those cases going going forward.
5	Q	Who funded that study?
6	А	That was the Utility Solid Waste Advisory Group, or
7		"USWAG".
8	Q	Solid Waste Activities Group?
9	A	Thank you.
10	Q	Is that a lobbying group for a consortium of electric
11		utilities and affiliates that have a vested economic
12		interest in keeping selenium release limits higher?
13	А	I can't honestly say that for sure. I have to say that
14		I have not worked with that group before, nor have I
15		since. But my coauthor on that, Robin Reash, who I
16		knew from a North American Metal Council selenium
17		working group that we both participate in, he had
18		reached out to me to work on this paper with him, and
19		then the USWAG did provide the funding to support that
20		effort. But I honestly don't know a lot about that
21		group.
22		MR. YEWCHUK: Can I get Aid to Cross 1,
23		which I believe is the article we've just been
24		discussing.
25	Q	MR. YEWCHUK: How many pages is this
26		article?

1	A	Just just one or two. A little over one.
2	Q	So did you did you do new research for this comment?
3	A	No. No. By by its very nature, it's intended just
4		to be a as it's stated there at the top, a
5		correspondence/rebuttal paper.
6	Q	Okay. So how much funding did you need from the USWAG
7		to write a little more than a page and do no research?
8	A	It wasn't very much, but this was long ago. I I
9		can't remember. But it wouldn't have been very much.
10	Q	When you say "not very much", what what kind of
11		range are you talking?
12	А	MR. HOUSTON: So
13	Q	\$5?
14	А	So, Mr Mr. Chair, I don't know if it's fair for
15		Mr. Yewchuk to ask Mr. DeForest how much he got paid
16		for a piece of work that seems to be out of bounds to
17		me.
18		MR. IGNASIAK: Yeah, Mr. Chair, I obviously
19		agree with that.
20		MR. YEWCHUK: I'm not sure I agree, but I'm
21		not going to debate the point, so I'll move on.
22	Q	MR. YEWCHUK: Mr. DeForest, in 2015, you
23		coauthored "Biokinetic Food Chain Modelling Waterborne
24		Selenium Pulses in the Aquatic Food Chains:
25		Implications for Water Quality Criteria"?
26	А	MR. DEFOREST: Yes.

1	Q	Was one of the conclusions of that paper that the
2		Environmental Protection Agency's draft intermittent
3		selenium criteria may be overly protective for selenate
4		pulses but potentially underprotective for selenite
5		pulses?
б	А	I think that's correct.
7	Q	Okay. Who funded that research?
8	А	Oh, boy. I'm not recalling off the top of my head.
9	Q	All right. That's all right.
10	А	It was
11	Q	Aid to Cross 12, PDF page 16.
12	A	Thank you.
13	Q	You've had a long career. I don't expect you to
14		remember all these offhand, so
15		There you go. Acknowledgement. Who funded that
16		study?
17	A	Let's see. It's cut off a little bit on my screen. I
18		know North American Metals Council, Evalley Coal
19		[phonetic], Rio Tinto, and let me see. I've got to
20		scroll over again. I'm sorry. I can't I can't read
21		that. Oh, let's see. Oh, and Wharf Resources.
22	Q	2017 you cowrote the paper "Updated Species Sensitivity
23		Distribution Evaluations for Acute and Chronic Lead
24		Toxicity to Saltwater Aquatic Life"?
25	A	I did.
26	Q	Did that paper conclude that the United States

1		Environmental Protection Agency's ambient water quality
2		criteria for lead was lower than necessary and that it
3		could be safely increased?
4	А	The conclusion of that paper was that it was to
5		incorporate bioavailability-based considerations, and
6		this has been an ongoing effort for metals in general
7		beyond lead and and beyond work that I've been
8		doing.
9		The USEPA and 'E' triple three or 'E'
10		Environment and Climate Change Canada have also been
11		exploring these same approaches for developing
12		bioavailability approach based approaches for for
13		guidelines or water quality criteria in the US.
14		And the objective of that is to derive criteria or
15		guidelines that reflect the conditions that influence
16		site-specific bioavailability.
17		In the case of lead, under many water chemistry
18		conditions, the EPA criteria existing EPA criteria
19		for lead might be, quote/unquote, overprotective. But
20		it's also important to note that there are higher
21		bioavailability conditions where these
22		bioavailability-based criteria can be lower than
23		existing criteria. The the the objective is not
24		just to try to find out if a number should be lower or
25		higher; it's trying to come up with criteria that are
26		accurate to the defined level of protection, and
1		

-		
1		bioavailability that varies highly from conditions that
2		are highly from site to site is is a key
3		component of that.
4	Q	Who provided the funding for that study?
5	А	That was the International Lead Zinc Research
6		Organization.
7		Oh, and I actually, I I should note I
8		didn't have a paper did was that the saltwater
9		paper did you cite, or was it freshwater?
10	Q	This Aid to Cross 13. Let's pull it up so we're on
11		the same one.
12	A	Okay. Yeah. I apologize. I just want to make sure
13		I'm responding correctly.
14		Okay. This is the saltwater paper. So I we
15		had two papers come out in 2017 on lead. One was
16		freshwater, and one was saltwater. And my previous
17		response was relative to to freshwater. I sign up
18		by availability.
19		For saltwater, the the data aren't available
20		yet to develop a robust bioavailability base criteria.
21		But this just represents updated information.
22		The the previous saltwater life criteria were
23		developed in the mid-'80s, so this is just looking at
24		all the additional saltwater toxicity data that had
25		been compiled in the last 35 years and following EPA
26		protocols for developing criteria. This is just the

Г

-		
1		result of what the updated saltwater criteria would be.
2	Q	I'm going to re-ask my question now 'cause I may have
3		asked you may have answered in regards to the wrong
4		paper. Does this
5	A	Okay.
6	Q	paper conclude that the United States Environmental
7	×	Protection Agency's ambient water quality criteria for
8		lead is lower than necessary and that it could be
9		safely increased?
10	A	It it it might. To be honest, I'm trying to
	A	
11		remember the specific numbers here. And I thought the
12		chronic criteria were actually fairly similar with the
13		update, but they might be a little bit higher than
14		that the again, EPA's criteria. That's 35 years old
15		at the time that we did this.
16	Q	Okay. Tell me if I'm reading this right. The
17		8.1 microgram per litre in the second line was your
18		suggested level, and the old USEPA one was the
19		10 microgram per litre?
20	А	The existing one is 8.1, and the value that we derived
21		following US EPA methods was 10.
22	Q	Okay.
23	A	So it it went up from 8.1 to 10 micrograms per
24		litre, so not not a substantial change.
25	Q	And the acute one goes down 110 micrograms per litre?
26	A	Yeah. The acute actually went lower with our update.

1	Q	And who funded this study?
2	A	The International Lead Zinc Research Organization.
3	Q	And at PDF page 6. And acknowledgements there. You're
4		just using the old name for them; right? They
5		are now
6	A	Oh, this is
7	Q	(INDISCERNIBLE - OVERLAPPING SPEAKERS) Association?
8	A	Yeah. This was about the time they changed, yeah.
9		They're they're currently the International Lead
10		Association.
11	Q	Okay. Thank you, Mr. DeForest. I think that's all for
12		you for a bit.
13	A	Thank you.
14	Q	So, Mr. Houston, does Benga believe this project will
15		ultimately benefit the westslope cutthroat trout?
16	A	MR. HOUSTON: So you ask a good question.
17		What we believe is that there are environmental
18		stressors in Gold Creek today that could be repaired
19		and that if if that were to happen, that the
20		westslope cutthroat trout in population in Gold
21		Creek would would be more sustainable and and
22		would well, be more sustainable.
23		So we we do believe that the offsetting
24		mechanisms that we've proposed will will approve the
25		situation for westslope gold cutthroat trout in Gold
26		Creek.
1		

-	C	
1	Q	Do the westslope cutthroat trout use a lot of coal or
2		steel?
3	A	Yeah. No. You're you're right. Not big steel
4		users, and and so that wouldn't be something they'd
5		be interested in.
6	Q	Any plans to repair and improve westslope cutthroat
7		trout habitat to offset the damage caused by the mine?
8	А	First of all, "the damage caused by the mine" is is
9		not a phrase I would use. As I mentioned in my opening
10		remarks, we're we're staying a hundred metres back
11		from Gold Creek itself. Benga's project will be
12		intercepting tributaries, and so we will be we will
13		be removing some riparian habitat.
14		I might add that that's a temporary feature, but
15		you'll you'll tell me that many decades of removal
16		is is a long time. So I I would agree with that.
17		But we are removing some riparian habitat and
18		repairing other riparian habitat that is currently
19		maybe degraded because of the previous coal mining in
20		the region, and we're proposing to offset that with,
21		you know, upgrading other areas of riparian habitat.
22	Q	You said "Gold Creek itself". Were you
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	differentiating Gold Creek from the tributaries to
25		Gold Creek?
26	A	I was.

1	Q	Okay. Are the tributaries to Gold Creek critical
2		habitat?
3	A	Yes, they are.
4	Q	Yeah. But you don't agree with the characterization
5		that the mine will damage the critical habitat of the
6		westslope cutthroat trout?
7	A	No. You said the mine will damage Gold Creek, and I
8		I wanted to be sure that everybody was clear that we're
9		staying a hundred metres back from Gold Creek.
10	Q	Right. So you'll you're damaging tributaries to
11		Gold Creek?
12	A	That's right.
13	Q	Okay. How much does Benga expect to spend on that
14		remediation work?
15	A	I don't think we've got a full cost estimate for it
16		yet, Mr. Yewchuk.
17	Q	Do you have any kind of cost estimate or totally
18		unresolved?
19	A	It's totally unresolved. We've we've looked at the
20		work that may be involved and but we haven't we
21		haven't explicitly estimated the cost.
22	Q	Could Benga have physically built the mine without
23		doing that remediation work?
24	А	Could could we have built the mine without doing the
25		remediation work?
26	Q	Yeah.

1	A	We're
2	Q	Just (INDISCERNIBLE - OVERLAPPING SPEAKERS)
3	A	talking about
4	Q	right? Not not regulatory possible, but
5	А	Of course. And and we're when we talk about
6		"remediation work", we're talking about the the
7		re-establishment of the channel so that the the fish
8		aren't isolated in the fall and and the the
9		development of overwintering habitat, that that kind
10		of stuff; right?
11	Q	Yeah.
12	A	So absolutely we could have built the mine without
13		doing that.
14	Q	Is it possible for Benga to have completed the
15		remediation work in advance of constructing the mine?
16	А	We would need an approval from the Department of
17		Fisheries and Oceans to to go in the creek and do
18		that work.
19	Q	Okay. Is it physically possible to remediate the
20		westslope cutthroat trout habitat and then not
21		construct the mine?
22	A	Yes. That would also be possible.
23	Q	So the remediation work and the mine are two separate
24		activities?
25	A	That's right. I I I should add that a a lot
26		of the remediation work is only possible because of the

research that we've done and the amount of time we 1 2 spent studying Gold Creek. So in -- in a certain 3 sense, yes, we've -- we've come to a point where one could proceed without the other, but to get here, 4 there -- there had to be a -- a mine proposal. 5 6 Would the westslope cutthroat trout be better off if 0 7 the habitat restoration was done and the mine was not built? 8 9 I -- I think one of the other benefits Α I'm not sure. 10 of -- of having the mine proceed is that you've got 11 somebody who has got deep pockets and who is the caretaker of that creek for the next 30 or 40 years. 12 13 So I think having that kind of a party act as a 14 caretaker, monitoring, and if necessary, you know, tweaking the -- the habitat recovery and -- and 15 monitoring the -- the health of the fish, I think 16 17 there's a benefit to having somebody in the area that's 18 hands on doing that work. 19 Does the federal government have deep pockets? 0 20 Not today, if I -- if I understand the discussion Α 21 around deficits and -- and borrowing. But they 22 certainly have access to money. Would the westslope cutthroat trout be better off if 23 0 the mine were built and no habitat restoration done? 24 25 Α I would not say so, no. 26 MR. YEWCHUK: So I propose to take a little

1		break, Mr. Chairman, to reorganize my papers, and then
2		I'll finish my cross, if that's okay.
3		THE CHAIR: Sure. That's fine. Would you
4		like 15 minutes?
5		MR. YEWCHUK: Perfect.
6		THE CHAIR: Okay. We'll resume at 2:15.
7		MR. YEWCHUK: Thank you.
8		(ADJOURNMENT)
9		THE CHAIR: Okay. Let's carry on,
10		Mr. Yewchuk.
11	Q	MR. YEWCHUK: When did Benga start
12		consultation with DFO about the permitting for this
13		project?
14	A	MR. HOUSTON: 2051. Yeah, 2015, more or
15		less.
16	Q	All right. Does Benga believe it is likely the
17		responsible federal minister will determine this
18		project will cause significant adverse environmental
19		effects?
20	A	That's that's not up to us to say, Mr. Chairman.
21		We've put forward the best case we can for the project
22		based on the the science and and the evidence
23		we've been able to collect. It's it's not for us to
24		say what the government will determine.
25		MR. YEWCHUK: Can I get Document Number 555,
26		PDF 261? And this should be a little PowerPoint slide

1		prepared by Riversdale. If I scroll you scroll down
2	_	a little for me.
3	Q	MR. YEWCHUK: The last box in the step
4		there or the last two boxes, what do those arrows
5		marked "likely" and "not likely" mean?
6	А	MR. HOUSTON: I I guess that's just
7		identifying the the pathways that are possible
8		that for for this project and identifying that
9		it's likely that the final decision will go through to
10		the Governor in Council.
11	Q	Does the final decision go to the Governor in Council
12		because the federal minister determines if significant
13		adverse environmental effects are likely?
14	A	No. I don't think that's what this graph is saying.
15		It's identifying there are two pathways after the
16		federal minister determ makes his determination.
17		And two pathways, one that can go to the Governor in
18		Council, or it can go the federal minister can
19		directly issue a a decision statement. It depends,
20		I guess, on on the findings that the the federal
21		minister makes in that penultimate box.
22	Q	I apologize. I took you into the legal means on that
23		one. That wasn't a good question.
24	А	It's my it's my chart, so I need to be able to
25		explain it.
26	Q	So Mr. Bettles, when did you start the collection of

	5510
	fisheries data for Benga?
A	MR. BETTLES: I started collecting data in
	2016.
Q	Was Benga collecting fisheries data before that?
A	Yes, they did.
Q	When did Benga start collecting their baseline data?
А	I believe it was, oh, 2014, 2015. Around that time.
Q	Who was doing the work in 2014?
А	I can't say. I can't say that.
Q	Mr
А	Actually actually, there's somebody else who could
	maybe speak to that.
Q	Sure.
A	Martin Davies can speak to that.
A	MR. DAVIES: Sure. I can just add,
	Mr. Yewchuk, that we started to do baseline work in
	2014, I believe, on on fisheries, but there were a
	lot of the work oh, sorry, am I my my am I
	back? Okay. Sorry about that.
	But the the the fisheries work the
	baseline work in 2014 and 2015 was somewhat limited
	because there were it was difficult to get research
	licences to do work in these creeks, and that was
	resolved through 2015, 2016. And that's where, you
	know, the the work really stepped up at that point,
	in 2016.
	Q A Q A Q A Q A

1	Q	Okay. And what was the purpose of all that work?
2	A	MR. BETTLES: Which work are you referring
3		to, Mr. Yewchuk? The 2014, 2015, or the 2016?
4	Q	The fisheries baseline work through 2014 through 2016.
5	A	Well, I think it was it was meant to collect
б		existing condition information in both Blairmore and
7		Gold Creek watersheds to help inform the environmental
8		assessment.
9	Q	Was it in order to prepare Benga to submit their
10		environmental assessment and application?
11	A	Yes, it was.
12	Q	And is starting that early an ordinary part of the
13		process for obtaining project approvals?
14	A	Generally it's important to collect data early on in
15		the in the process before submitting an application.
16	Q	Okay. Is it ordinary for a party to hold meetings with
17		the Department of Fisheries and Oceans about licence
18		conditions before making an initial application?
19	A	MR. HOUSTON: I would say that getting early
20		relationship and and understanding the challenges
21		and the points that need to be addressed in an eventual
22		application, I think that would be normal.
23	Q	Mr. Bettles, have you done other aquatic resource
24		modelling relating to the westslope cutthroat trout?
25	A	MR. BETTLES: Yes, I have.
26	Q	For which projects?

-		
1	A	I've worked on some projects recently with Atrum.
2	Q	Was that Elan South?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. How early did you contact DFO about regulatory
5		requirements for Elan South, or how early do you know
6		anyone at Atrum did?
7	A	MR. HOUSTON: Mr. Chair
8		MR. IGNASIAK: Mr. Chair
9	A	MR. HOUSTON: Yeah. Go ahead, Martin.
10		MR. IGNASIAK: Yeah. Again, Mr. Chair, I
11		think we're going beyond the scope of relevance for
12		this proceeding.
13		MR. YEWCHUK: I think the answers to these
14		questions determine the scope of relevance.
15		MR. IGNASIAK: No, I don't think so,
16		Mr. Chair. Atrum the Atrum project's not subject to
17		this proceeding.
18		THE CHAIR: How do you think they're
19		relevant, Mr. Yewchuk?
20		MR. YEWCHUK: Whether or not at the Elan
21		South project was subject to this depends on how far
22		along they were in preparing their materials.
23		MR. IGNASIAK: Well, again, Mr. Chair, the
24		Panel's already ruled on the cumulative effects
25		assessment. We're not here to determine what should or
26		shouldn't be included in that assessment. We're here
1		

1		to determine, you know, the public-interest decision
2		with respect to the Grassy Mountain Project.
3		MR. YEWCHUK: I don't think I have a further
4		submission, Mr. Chairman. Would you like me to wrap up
5		on this point?
6		THE CHAIR: Yes, please.
7	Q	MR. YEWCHUK: Okay. Mr. Bettles, is
8		baseline data necessary for the application of adaptive
9		management?
10	A	MR. BETTLES: I would say yes.
11	Q	Okay. Did Benga collect genetic samples of the
12		westslope cutthroat trout who were used in testing
13		their genetic purity?
14	А	There was genetic testing done in 2015 in Blairmore
15		Creek, which those samples were submitted to
16		Alberta Energy and Parks.
17	Q	Alberta
18	A	Environment and Parks, yes.
19	Q	So Benga collected the samples in 2015 and sent them to
20		AEP. Benga didn't conduct any of the testing itself?
21	A	No. That was a condition of the fisheries research
22		licence with Alberta and Environment and Parks to
23		submit them the samples.
24	Q	Okay. Did Benga collect genetic samples in any other
25		year, or was it just 2015?
26	А	Just 2015.
1		

1	Q	Okay. Are you familiar with how hybridization
2		threatens the westslope cutthroat trout?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. Why are barriers that are impassable to fish
5		important to the conservation of westslope cutthroat
6		trout?
7	A	Well, the barriers are important because it it helps
8		to prevent other non-native species that do hybridize
9		with them to to move up and occupy habitats that
10		that the pure westslope are occupying.
11		MR. YEWCHUK: Can I get part of the enormous
12		Document Number 42? I'm looking for Consultant
13		Report 6 on hydrology. And as I'm sure one of Benga's
14		representatives will correct me, this this document
15		was partially replaced with an updated version, but it
16		has a map that I need. PDF page 32.
17	A	MR. HOUSTON: Yeah.
18	Q	MR. YEWCHUK: I'm not sure. The consultant
19		reports don't have any other number assigned to them
20		that's faster to bring them up, do they?
21	A	I I go to the file that holds the Document 42 and
22		and go to the consultant report tab and then go to
23		Consultant Report 6. We're in Document 555 here.
24		THE CHAIR: We need to be in CIAR 42. I
25		think that's what you said.
26		MR. YEWCHUK: Yeah. It's the the general
1		

1		environmental impact assessment, Consultant Report 6 on
2		hydrology. It should be a map of the the barriers
3		to fish passage on-site.
4	Q	MR. YEWCHUK: So if you scroll down to see
5		the legend, the the black 'X's are barriers to fish
6		passage. Are these the barriers to fish passage that
7		will be built during the project, or are these the ones
8		that already exist?
9	A	MR. BETTLES: Mr. Chair, they they
10		already exist.
11	Q	So just above Blairmore Creek Tributary 03, is there an
12		impassable barrier to fish moving up Blairmore Creek?
13	A	Can you can you scroll up on the map? I'm having a
14		hard time. Maybe yes, I can see that now.
15		Can you repeat the question, Mr. Yewchuk?
16	Q	Just above Blairmore Creek Tributary 3, is there a
17		barrier to fish passage on Blairmore Creek?
18	A	Based on our assessment, yes.
19	Q	Is your assessment correct?
20	A	In our opinion, we feel it's a full barrier.
21	Q	Okay. Okay. How genetically pure are the westslope
22		cutthroat trout above that barrier?
23	А	Well, they're they're less than the 99 percent that
24		some requires them to be deemed critical species
25		at risk, so they're less than a hundred percent.
26	Q	Do you know what percent they are?
1		

1	A	I that's a that's a difficult question. It
2		varies based on the individual fish, but and based
3		on the genetics of that individual fish. So I can't
4		say for certain what percentage they are, but it's
5		it's variable, and there's probably individuals that
6		have obviously less than the 99 percent threshold.
7	Q	So does the Department of Fisheries and Oceans assign
8		critical habitat status based on the individual fish or
9		on the general population?
10	A	It's my understanding that is based on the population.
11	Q	Yeah. So you don't know how genetically pure the
12		population above that barrier is?
13	A	Well, I mean, the information that was provided to us
14		by Alberta Environment and Parks based on our 2015
15		samples do indicate that there are individuals that are
16		comprised of hybrids between rainbow and and
17		westslope.
18	Q	Has there been any genetic purity testing since the
19		2015 stuff that was done with Benga's help?
20	A	To my knowledge, no.
21	Q	When did DFO determine Gold Creek is critical habitat
22		for the westslope cutthroat trout and Blairmore Creek
23		is not?
24	A	To my knowledge, the 2014 recovery strategy for the
25		species was the the timing around when Gold Creek
26		was deemed to be a species at risk in critical habitat.

1	Q	And there's there's a new recovery strategy and
2		action plan, 2019; right?
3	A	That's correct.
4	Q	Yeah. So why, in your understanding, did DFO make Gold
5		Creek critical habitat and Blairmore Creek no longer
6		has any?
7	A	I can't speak for DFO, but through some recent
8		documents I've read from DFO is that DFO defines
9		species of westslope cutthroat populations of
10		westslope cutthroat trout as pure as being greater
11		than or higher than or equal or greater than
12		99 percent genetic purity.
13	Q	That's my understanding too. I don't I don't expect
14		you to have knowledge of what goes on behind the scenes
15		there.
16		So there was critical habitat in Blairmore Creek
17		under the 2014 plan; right?
18	А	In the Blairmore
19	Q	Right. In the tributary to Blairmore Creek; right? It
20		was
21	А	Correct.
22	Q	Blairmore Creek Tributary 04; right?
23	A	Correct.
24	Q	It's no longer critical habitat?
25	A	Based on the 2019 recovery strategy from DFO, that
26		would be correct.
1		

1	Q	And that change was because of the genetic information
2		that Benga passed to Alberta Environment and Parks?
3	А	Mr Mr. Chair, I'm unaware of how they've come to
4		that conclusion. Not sure exactly where that's come
5		from, but DFO's determined it's not included.
б	Q	So the only genetic testing done in between 2014 and
7		2019 was the genetic testing that Benga dealt with?
8	A	I can't speak to that, Mr. Chair.
9	Q	I thought you just answered that a minute ago. Sorry.
10		I might be misunderstanding you.
11	A	MR. HOUSTON: So, Mr. Yewchuk, what I
12		heard what I heard him say was that he wasn't aware
13		of any.
14	Q	Oh. Yeah. You're right.
15		So and as part of your baseline data here, you
16		didn't you didn't check? You didn't find any did
17		you take any steps to find if other genetic testing had
18		been done in Blairmore Creek?
19	А	MR. BETTLES: No, we did not.
20	Q	Okay. So, to your knowledge, the westslope cutthroat
21		trout in Blairmore Creek are less than 99 percent pure,
22		but you really, between 0 and 99, you you don't
23		know?
24	A	Well, I mean, based on the 2015 data that was provided
25		to us by Alberta Environment and Parks, there's a broad
26		range of of fish that have varying levels of

1		hybridization.
2	Q	Is there evidence of new introgression of fish in the
3		Blairmore Creek?
4	А	To my knowledge, I don't know.
5	Q	So can I get documents sorry. Let me yeah. This
6		is Number 42. This is the first addendum, Appendix A1,
7		or you can get to this through Number 44, Appendix 1
8		Appendix A1. Either one will get you the same
9		document; is that right? And PDF page 63 is what I
10		expect you want.
11		So how many trout how many fish were caught in
12		the Blairmore Creek tributaries during the August
13		'14 2014 surveys?
14	A	Sorry. I was on mute there.
15		I'm assuming you are referring to Table 4.3.
16	Q	I'm referring to the whole page, but yeah.
17	A	Oh.
18	Q	How many fish did you catch in the tributaries to
19		Blairmore Creek?
20	A	Table 4.3 states 107.
21	Q	Okay. What does the line beneath that say?
22	A	Genetic samples, around 170 of those were collected
23		from captured fish and provided to AEP in support of
24		their their mapping program. Do you want me to keep
25		going, or is that
26	Q	Well, now I'd like you to answer again. How many fish

1		did you catch in the tributaries to Blairmore Creek?
2	А	Well, there's 107.
3	Q	Okay. Now can you read the second sentence underneath
4		that table?
5	A	(as read)
6		The majority of the sampled the majority
7		of the sampled fish, which is 132, were
8		identified as pure strain.
9	Q	And the remaining 38 fish were identified as backcross
10		hybrids?
11	A	M-hm.
12	Q	Okay. So did you catch 107, or did you catch 170 fish?
13	A	Mr. Chair, the those those fish of genetic
14		samples also included fish probably well, we know
15		it's coming from the main stem of Blairmore Creek as
16		well.
17	Q	So that 170 number is fish from the main stem of
18		Blairmore Creek and fish from the tributary of
19		Blairmore Creek?
20	A	To my knowledge, yes.
21	Q	Okay. Now, can I get back to the document we were just
22		in, Consultant Report 6, PDF page 34 at the bottom of
23		the page? Sorry I'm asking you to jump from document
24		to document so much.
25		Now, how many fish did Benga capture in
26		August 2014 in the main stem of Blairmore Creek?

_			5501
	1	A	We have a total of 132 based on Table 3.6.
	2	Q	And in the sentence below that, what does it say?
	3	A	(as read)
	4		Genetic samples collected in August 2014 from
	5		170 trout were collected from two sites in
	6		Blairmore Creek, which were provided to
	7		Alberta Environment and Parks to support
	8		their westslope cutthroat mapping program.
	9	Q	Okay. Now, is that paragraph that we're looking at the
-	10		exact same paragraph we were looking at in the other
-	11		document? You can
-	12	A	Yeah. Yeah. No, it's it's fairly accurate.
-	13	Q	Sir, it's fairly accurate. And what is it actually
-	14		telling me about?
-	15	A	Mr. Chair, I think it's important to to point out
-	16		that not all fish captured wherever we did sampling
-	17		were were samples sent in for genetic analysis.
-	18	Q	So did you send even the fish from the Blairmore Creek
-	19		tributaries for genetic analysis?
	20	A	Mr. Chair, given the the Blairmore Creek tributary
4	21		in question, BCT04, is away from the mine. To my
	22		knowledge, that that tributary was not sampled
4	23		specifically for hybridization.
4	24	Q	Can we jump back to the other document we were just
4	25		looking at? So do you see Blairmore Creek Tributary 4
4	26		on there? Actually, 4A and 4B?

1 Α I do. 2 So were those fish taken for genetic samples? 0 Right. The hundred -- I -- I think it's important to point 3 Α 4 out, Mr. Chair, that the genetic samples is a separate 5 issue -- or separate component to the actual sampling 6 that we did. I wouldn't -- I wouldn't say that that 7 statement below Table 4.3 is associated with the table -- the text below that. 8 9 I think the -- the previous document that, 10 Mr. Yewchuk, you've referred to identifies that there 11 were two sites collected in Blairmore Creek that did --12 that samples were collected for genetic analysis. 13 So you -- how many total samples did Benga send 0 14 Alberta Environment and Parks for genetic testing for Blairmore Creek? 15 I think it's clear in the -- the document, Mr. Chair, 16 Α 17 that we submitted 170 samples in 2014, and those were identified as -- 132 then identified as pure strain and 18 19 38 were not. 20 So you didn't send any of the trout from Blairmore 0 21 Creek tributaries for genetic sampling? 22 To my knowledge, we sampled from two locations in 2014, Α 23 and those two locations were, I believe, on Blairmore 24 Creek main stem. That was before my time. But my 25 understanding is from two locations. 26 Can I get the other document we were on a moment ago? 0

1	A	I just correct myself. It was actually, I believe,
2		five sites, not two sites.
3	Q	So the five sites would be the five tributaries?
4	А	I would not say that that's the case.
5	Q	There's two sites listed for the main stem and five
6		sites for the tributaries.
7	A	You're you're I think you're getting confused,
8		Mr. Yewchuk. As I said before, we did fish sampling on
9		tributaries and the main stem, but that doesn't that
10		doesn't mean that we sent all samples from every site
11		that we sampled for genetic analysis.
12	Q	Benga did not so did Benga send the Blairmore Creek
13		main stem samples or the Blairmore Creek tributary
14		samples or both?
15	A	Mr. Chair, I think it's important to point out that the
16		whole purpose of the genetic sampling is to understand
17		its its purity and and whether or not
18		hybridization is there and if it's at what at
19		what level. I don't think it it matters whether
20		it's a tributary or the main stem. I think the point
21		is is that there's hybridization above this known
22		obstruction, and, you know, fish freely move, what have
23		you, and and and that's what we have is we
24		have a hybrid population above above the barrier.
25	Q	Based on an amount of genetic sampling that you're not
26		sure about from locations you're not sure about?

1	A	Well, that's that's not true. We collected from
2		multiple sites where we did work in Blairmore Creek,
3		and those sites were sent those samples were sent in
4		for genetic analysis based on the fisheries research
5		licence that was issued to to to Benga.
6	Q	Which sites?
7	А	MR. HOUSTON: Mr. Chair, I think Mr. Bettles
8		has been fairly clear on this. And, first of all, this
9		sampling the genetic sampling was done by somebody
10		other than Mr. Bettles. It was done from multiple
11		sites. 170 fish or tissue samples were sent to
12		Alberta Environment and Parks. I think that's the
13		relevant information here.
14	Q	So the the paragraph below these two tables, this
15		one in this document table and the other one, would
16		Benga agree that you you just copy/pasted that
17		paragraph that was initially about the main stem
18		under in the main stem in the first document under a
19		table that was above the tributaries in the second
20		document?
21	A	I Mr. Chairman, I I I think Mr. Yewchuk has
22		captured the issue here. This this part of the
23		report is is about 2014 fish surveys. This section
24		contains a table that talks about westslope cutthroat
25		trout captured in tributaries, and it contains a
26		paragraph about genetic sampling. The two aren't

1		they're they're juxtapose they're they're
2		adjacent to each other in the text, but we're not
3		trying to imply that the 170 samples were taken from
4		the tributaries. They're two separate parts of that
5		section of the document.
6	Q	What is Benga's most recent estimate for the number of
7		westslope cutthroat trout in Gold Creek and Blairmore
8		Creek?
9	A	MR. BETTLES: Just give me a second,
10		Mr. Chair. I'm just going to pull up a document.
11	A	MR. HOUSTON: Careful. We're not estimating
12		the total number of fish.
13	Q	You may have been supposed to be on mute.
14	A	It happens from time to time, Mr. Yewchuk.
15	Q	Yeah. I'm actually surprised it hasn't happened more,
16		given how much we've got to click on and off in this
17		thing. Actually, that answers a lot of questions.
18		That saves time.
19	A	MR. BETTLES: I'm still trying to find the
20		document here that he's trying to
21		So, Mr. Yewchuk, you're looking for most recent
22		2020 information?
23	Q	As recent as possible.
24	A	So can you just repeat the question one more time for
25		me just so I make sure I give you the the accurate
26		estimate.

Г

1	Q	What is Benga's most recent estimate for the number of
2		westslope cutthroat trout in Gold Creek and Blairmore
3		Creek?
4	А	Based on our most recent information in collected in
5		2020, for Blairmore Creek, we've based on snorkel
6		surveys, we've estimated around 285 in visuals at index
7		sites. And then obviously at Gold Creek, 2020 was
8		is 55 index sites. But when you add up the non-index
9		sites for Gold Creek with the index sites, your numbers
10		are much higher. So Gold Creek you're looking at
11		approximately a density of around 14.3 fish per
12		kilometre, which works out to about about an
13		estimate of around 215.
14	Q	Was Benga careful not to estimate the total number of
15		trout in west Gold Creek and Blairmore Creek?
16	А	Sorry. Can you repeat that part of your I didn't
17		understand part of your question.
18	Q	Did Benga estimate the total number of trout in
19		Blairmore Creek and Gold Creek?
20	A	Mr. Chair, we we've been doing ongoing monitoring
21		over the last number of years to to track and
22		and and monitor the the populations of of
23		of the westslope in both systems.
24	Q	But you didn't generate estimates of total population?
25	A	We did in 2016.
26	Q	So how many were there in 2016, then?

1	A	If you if you go back to our our technical
2		baseline report from 2016, the mark-recapture estimate
3		was around 1,600 in in Gold Creek.
4	Q	16 1,625 and 3,210 in Blairmore; is that correct?
5	A	That from 2016.
6	Q	Yeah. And there's no comparable estimate for 2020?
7	А	Mr. Chair, I think it's important to to recognize
8		that that the mark-recapture we did in 2016 was
9		was was an individual estimate that we made and that
10		through the work that we've collect done over the
11		last number of years and seeing some some
12		differences in in numbers from year to year that
13		we've we've expanded our surveys to a broader area
14		within both systems to to get a better sense of
15		where these fish are. So I would argue that our our
16		estimates through the snorkel surveys we've done since
17		2016 are are a reasonable estimate of of the
18		of the numbers of fish in those systems, and I would
19		argue that they're they're they're conservative.
20	A	MR. HOUSTON: Mr. Chair, if I could just add
21		a little bit to that. And I've I've been learning
22		in this area as as well as you've been, going
23		through this fish surveys.
24		So my understanding of the process is that the
25		the surveyors identify index sites. So they don't
26		survey the entire creek; they survey specific reaches

1 of the creek snorkeling. And the idea is to compare 2 year over year in those pre-identified spots how the 3 population is -- is changing. What we've noticed, and especially after the 4 dramatic reduction in counts from 2016, we've 5 6 determined that perhaps we need to look further afield. 7 And so we've, over the years, been surveying longer and longer sections of the creek, but we -- we still do not 8 9 do an exhaustive survey of the creek when we go out to 10 do these snorkel surveys. 11 Did you do an exhaustive survey in 2016? 0 12 MR. BETTLES: Mr. Chair, we -- we assessed Α based on what we felt was appropriate at the time. 13 And 14 I think the numbers of fish that we saw reflect that. 15 And it's a standard protocol methodology that we applied for -- for monitoring westslope in these 16 17 systems, particularly species at risk. And whatever you did in 2016, it allowed you to produce 18 0 an estimate of the total number of trout? 19 20 MR. HOUSTON: One minute, Mr. Chair. Α So, Mr. Chair, in 2016 we --21 MR. BETTLES: Α 22 we did execute a mark-recapture program that we -- we 23 felt was -- was appropriate. It's been -- we wanted to 24 make sure that the stress of the fish were -- was 25 minimized. The approach that was put forward was --26 was discussed and approved with regulators at the time.

1 We -- we that executed program. And since then --2 since that, we've been continuing on with -- with our 3 snorkel program to -- to minimize stress on the fish 4 and the systems using -- using acceptable standard 5 protocol for snorkeling. When we started seeing the --6 the numbers of fish decline in subsequent years, we 7 expanded our surveys beyond the index sites in -- in 8 the systems to -- to cover more length of habitat 9 throughout both Gold Creek and -- and Blairmore Creek. 10 Why didn't Benga do more mark-recaptures? 0 I think, Mr. Chair, part of the reason for that is --11 Α 12 is, again, as I had mentioned earlier, was just to 13 minimize the stress on the fish. There's -- there's a 14 lot of stress in terms of actively handling and 15 capturing these fish to -- to mark and capture them and 16 recapture them and what have you; and given the 17 sensitivities of the Gold Creek population and given the -- the dialogue we had with regulators at the time, 18 19 it was deemed that we wanted to be responsible and do 20 the right thing and use snorkeling as our -- our -- our 21 means of primarily monitoring and -- and tracking the 22 fish. And that's --23 0 You --Go ahead. 24 Α Sorry. 25 I cut off the end of your -- your response 0 Sorry. 26 there. If you --

1	A	I'm done. Thank you.
2	Q	All right. Did DFO tell you you couldn't do mark and
3		recapture anymore?
4	A	No.
5	Q	Did you apply for licences to do mark and recapture
б		again?
7	A	MR. HOUSTON: So so, Mr. Chair, I think
8		what what I've heard Mr. Bettles say is that in
9		2016, we did an extensive program, mark and recapture,
10		and we did snorkel surveys, identifying and these
11		these index sites. And every year since then we've
12		been back to do snorkel surveys as a means of gauging
13		how the population was changing year over year.
14		I we we wouldn't want to do mark and
15		recapture surveys every year because it does create a
16		stress for the fish and and, quite frankly, the
17		the the need is not there. We did it once, we
18		learned what we learned, and we're using the snorkel
19		surveys as as a year-over-year gauge of how the
20		population is varying with time.
21	Q	Mr. Houston, did you get caught on a hot mic a moment
22		ago saying something along the lines of, Careful that
23		we are not estimating the total number of trout?
24	A	Exactly. What we're doing is we're doing snorkel
25		surveys at index sites and using so so I wanted
26		to be clear that we're not counting every fish in the
1		

stream, that we're comparing index sites year over 1 2 What we learned from that -- and when we saw the year. 3 dramatic fall in the population from 2016 to subsequent years, what we learned from that is that maybe the fish 4 are just moving from the index sites. And so we -- we 5 6 extended our -- our surveys to cover more of the 7 But we're still not covering the entire streams. So even though in -- in the areas we're --8 streams. 9 we're looking, the population seems to be 10 percent or 10 20 percent of what it was in 2016, and -- and we measure that on a fish-per-kilometre basis to get a 11 12 comparable number year from year. 13 I -- I think it's wrong to take that 14 fish-per-kilometre number and multiply it by the number 15 of kilometres of stream length. So I -- I think that's 16 the point that we are getting an indication of the 17 change in population from year to year but not -- not 18 an absolute count. 19 MR. YEWCHUK: Can I get Document Number 42, 20 the first addendum, Appendix A1? I think we were in 21 this one a moment ago. So -- yeah, the addendum -- the 22 aquatic ecology effects assessment. Looking for 23 Table 4.12. Sorry. 73. Seven three. Okay. And scroll down a little bit. 24 4.12. MR. YEWCHUK: 25 Now, this was your 1,625 and 0 26 3,210 estimates for Gold and Blairmore in August 2016;

		3372
1		right?
2	A	MR. HOUSTON: That's right.
3	Q	Okay. Why do some reaches appear in this table more
4		than once?
5	A	Well, we're sometimes doing angling, sometimes doing
6		electrofishing.
7	Q	So were you trying to count every fish in Reach 7?
8	A	MR. BETTLES: Mr. Chair, we're trying to
9		to get a we're trying to get a an estimate of
10		of based on habitat type. And in some habitats you
11		have to angle, and some habitats you have to
12		electrofish to to gather different class
13		different size of fish use different habitats. And
14		some habitats you just can't electrofish them, and
15		other parts you just can't angle.
16	Q	So for Reach 7 did you angle or electrofish?
17	A	We did both.
18	Q	You did both. Which one did you use to get your total
19		population estimate?
20	A	Give me a second, Mr. Chair.
21		Mr. Chair, these are the the the estimate of
22		fish density per reach based on on sampling method.
23		That's all that this this table is is showing us
24		right now.
25	Q	Okay. What does that total fish total 1,625 mean?
26	А	Mr. Chair, that is an estimate using the mark-recapture

		5575
1		process that we applied here that that is an
2		estimator which give gives us the output of the
3		1,620 fish.
4	Q	So the first row is how many fish were estimated to be
5		in Reach 7 based on angling; right?
6	A	That is correct.
7	Q	And the second row is how many fish were estimated
8		being in Reach 7 based on electrofishing?
9	A	That's correct.
10	Q	Okay. So what is your estimate of how many fish were
11		in Reach 7?
12	A	Mr. Chair, when we we did the mark-recapture, the
13		estimate that we gave was an estimate for all of Gold
14		Creek. So the numbers you see here for Reach 7, based
15		on an angling and electrofishing, are are are
16		presented in the table.
17	Q	Okay. Did you accidentally sum the quantity of fish
18		estimated for Reach 7 based on angling with the
19		quantity of fish based on electrofishing to give you a
20		total number that doesn't represent anything?
21	А	MR. HOUSTON: Mr. Chair, the whole point of
22		the the tag-and-release process is that if you catch
23		a fish twice, you don't count it twice. So we used
24		angling, as Mr. Bettles mentioned, in places where you
25		can't use electrofishing. We used electrofishing in
26		other places in the creek.

We didn't double count fish because we had 1 2 previously tagged them, and that -- and that helps us, 3 you know, if -- if a fish swam from one area to the other, we -- we would say, Oh, we've already caught 4 this fish. So we -- we didn't double count. 5 And so the -- the total fish here are the total 6 7 fish that we caught in Reach 7, 8, and 9. And then the density of fish is -- is a calculation of density based 8 9 on the length of those reaches. 10 0 Mr. Bettles, is that correct? Did you catch 1,625 fish and pulled them up out of Gold Creek in 2016? 11 12 MR. BETTLES: Based on the electrofishing Α that we did in Reach 9 -- well, throughout Reaches 7, 13 14 8, and 9, Mr. Chair, that's the numbers that we 15 collected. And --16 Ο 17 Α That's -- yeah, that's correct. Sorry. Okay. So I had assumed that you picked a site, 18 0 measured out the size of it, and then just 19 20 electrofished it to get an average for that section of the reach and then used that number to calculate a fish 21 22 density and get a guess at total fish. That's not how this worked at all. 23 This density was determined by dividing the total 24 Α 25 number of fish observed through the snorkel surveys or 26 captured through angling or electrofishing by the

_		
1		survey length, and that's what the density estimates
2		have given you. It's based on the total number of
3		fish.
4	Q	So if you scroll up on this page now, that's
5		MR. YEWCHUK: Mr. Chairman, can I get about
6		five minutes to look at this? I'm I'm a little
7		stumped, and I'd appreciate a moment before I have
8		to have to figure out if this is worth asking more
9		about asking more about this.
10		THE CHAIR: Sorry. I was muted.
11		Yeah, let's take a five-minute break.
12		MR. YEWCHUK: Okay. Thank you,
13		Mr. Chairman.
14		(ADJOURNMENT)
15		THE CHAIR: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Yewchuk.
16	А	MR. HOUSTON: Mr. Chair
17		THE CHAIR: Yeah.
18	A	MR. HOUSTON: we've been discussing
19		the the last few answers about this
20		mark-and-recapture program, and and I think it's
21		worthwhile to review that and and discuss what
22		what that mark-and-recapture program is, the process,
23		so that everybody can understand the numbers in these
24		tables.
25		So Mr. Bettles is going to just say a few words
26		about that.

1 Α MR. BETTLES: Thank you, Mr. Houston. 2 So just to -- just to clarify, a mark-recapture --3 we broke out our population estimates into two 4 different approaches, relative abundance and then mark-capture, which focused on the subadult/adult-size 5 6 class, and we used a combination of angling and 7 electrofishing techniques to capture the fish and 8 snorkeling as the recapture method. And this was an 9 approach that was -- it was scoped, and it was put 10 together and -- and run through with -- with the --11 with the agencies at the time, and that's what we 12 applied in this.

And then we -- so we would -- we'd capture the fish, we would -- we would mark them, and then we would release them, and then we would try to recapture them. Then we would then, based on the -- the marking number of fish and the recaptured number of fish, we would use a calculation that would estimate the population.

19 So a couple of numbers that -- that came up in a 20 table earlier that -- that Mr. Yewchuk had raised were 21 actually not the total number of fish that we actually 22 captured, but estimates.

23 Q MR. YEWCHUK so on that Table 4.12 -- we 24 can pull it up again if you'd like to look -- did you 25 double count Reach 7 in Gold Creek and Reaches 3 and 4 26 in Blairmore Creek?

1	7	
1	A	And pull up the table again, please.
2	Q	Because the way I'm seeing this, you did an estimate of
3		how many fish were in Reach 7 based on your angling
4		results; then you did an estimate of how many fish were
5		in Reach 7 on your electrofishing
6	А	M-hm.
7	Q	results
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	and you
10	А	So as a
11	Q	summed them?
12	A	So, Mr. Chair, just to just to clarify, when we're
13		electrofishing and angling, we're we're sampling
14		different habitats. So, yes, the fish moved. These
15		are not closed systems. But generally, given the
16		habitat types and the size of fish you would generally
17		be encountering, there's not a there may be some
18		double counting but very little, I would I would
19		say, is is being double counted because of the
20		different habitat types that you're you're targeting
21		with the the method of sampling.
22	Q	So how large was the portion of Reach 7 that you
23		estimated using angling, and how large was the portion
24		you estimated using electrofishing?
25	A	Well, that's the reach length that was sampled.
26		Typically with the electrofishing, we're we're

1 sampling around 300-metre lengths per Alberta --2 Alberta standards. And then the angling is based on 3 areas that are opportunistic for angling. So it's not entirely reflected that the whole 2,874 metres was 4 5 actually sampled for angling. That's the reach length. 6 And that -- based on the number of fish we caught 7 through angling, the density estimate was calculated based on the length -- overall length of the reach. 8 9 Ο Okav. So how do you get to the total fish number on 10 the end? Since you didn't actually catch all those 11 fish, that was generating an estimate; right? 12 MR. HOUSTON: So, Mr. Chair -- and maybe Α 13 this helps to have this from a non -- non-specialist in 14 the area because I -- I've -- I've been trying to understand it as well. 15

So my understanding is you -- you go out and you angle or you electrofish, and you capture a certain number of fish, you mark them, and then you go back out and do that again. And you capture another quantity of fish, and you note that a percentage of those have been marked. You use that percentage to estimate what the total population of that reach is.

There's a broad assumption in these numbers that the fish that are in the angling areas don't generally migrate to the fish that are -- to the areas that are -- are suitable for electrofishing. And -- and

-		3399
1		that's based on the the desire of certain fish at
2		certain stages to be in pools instead of swimming along
3		a 300-metre reach of the the stream.
4		So the the the system's not perfect; there
5		may be some double counting, but it's it's the
6		
	0	the way it's done.
7	Q	Can I get Document Number 843? And this should be
8		Benga's recent westslope cutthroat trout population
9		data, if it is the correct document.
10		And I think this one you'll agree too because
11		we've discussed this already. The westslope cutthroat
12		trout in Blairmore Creek dropped by about 60 percent
13		from 2016 to 2017?
14	A	I've not done the math, but in that order of magnitude.
15	Q	Okay. And
16	A	And, again again, that's based on snorkel surveys at
17		index sites.
18	Q	Yeah. And the westslope cutthroat trout in Blairmore
19		Creek dropped by about 90 percent from 2016 to 2017?
20		This is PDF 2. Thank you.
21	А	So I think you've got those backwards, Mr. Yewchuk. In
22		Gold Creek, the population at the index sites dropped
23		90 percent; and in Blairmore Creek, it dropped
24		60 percent.
25	Q	Right you are. That is backwards.
26		And can we go to PDF page 5? And, Mr. Bettles,

1 why did you add the additional sites to the index 2 sites? 3 MR. BETTLES: Mr. Chair, we've -- since Α 4 2016, we started to -- as I mentioned earlier, we've 5 expanded our -- our survey within those systems, in 6 particular Gold Creek, to -- to survey more of -- of --7 of the system through snorkeling. Because of the -the index sites monitoring that we commenced in 2016, 8 9 the numbers weren't there. And so we wanted to get a 10 better handle of what was actually in the system, which 11 required us to -- to be more exhaustive in -- in 12 checking out the entire -- most of the other areas. 13 So the -- the Table 2 combines the index sites 14 plus the additional area we've -- we've covered over 15 the last few years. Does the addition of the index sites give the 16 0 17 impression that there are more westslope cutthroat 18 trout in those years? 19 MR. HOUSTON: So -- so, Mr. Chair, I --Α 20 Mr. Chair, again, when we saw the drop in the numbers 21 from 2016 to 2017 at the index sites, having only a few 22 years of data and seeing that magnitude of drop, I 23 think it was reasonable for us to extend our -- our 24 sites and to look at a greater length of the -- of the 25 creeks. 26 Nonetheless -- and -- and when you do that, you

can't compare absolute numbers of fish because 1 2 you're -- you're looking now at a -- at a longer length 3 of the stream. 4 So we -- we tried to compare based on the fish per 5 kilometre as -- as a broad number that will help us to 6 look at the -- the -- to compare year over year. 7 And so if you look at the westslope cutthroat trout per kilometre number, Year 2016 -- and I'm 8 9 looking at the very right-hand column of that table --10 you see, again, a -- a very significant change of --11 from 2016 to 2017. So even with the broader surveys, 12 we -- we saw quite a substantial change. 13 And the -- the comparison between the index sites 14 and -- and the extended surveys varies year over year. But I -- I -- I think what's important here is the 15 dramatic drop from 2016 to the other years. 16 17 When do you think the westslope cutthroat trout 0 population started dropping? 18 Well, according to the information we've been able to 19 Α 20 gather, it happened after Year 2016. We -- we can't 21 say what the population was in Year 2014 or '15 because 22 we don't have the data. 23 So you don't know if the population was stable up until Ο 24 2016? 25 Α No. 26 You don't know if it was falling for decades before 0

1		2016? You just
2	A	No.
3	Q	don't have any idea about that?
4	A	Nobody really knows, Mr. Chair. And this is the first
5		real data collected on on these on this stream.
6	Q	So what do you think causes the population drop from
7		2016 to 2017?
8	A	Again, Mr. Chair, we can't be certain about that.
9		Again, not having a a comprehensive database, we
10		we can say that the numbers dropped. 2016 was a
11		particularly dry year, and and so one could
12		speculate that that drought had had an effect.
13	Q	So you think that a drought year might put a 90 percent
14		dent in Gold Creek of westslope cutthroat trout
15		populations?
16	A	Mr. Chair, we we in my opening statement this
17		morning, we talked about some of these sections of the
18		creek that absolutely dry up during a drought year.
19		The fish between those impassable spots will and
20		especially to the extent that there's very little water
21		in the creek, will have trouble finding adequate
22		habitat, and especially for overwintering.
23		So but, again, Mr. Yewchuk, Mr. Chair,
24		that's you know, we're we're presuming something
25		without sufficient data to to demonstrate it. If we
26		collect data and see the population recover back up
1		

1		at to these levels and and then see the range of
2		natural variability in the fish population but
3		that's going to take a few more years of data
4		collection.
5	Q	Was Benga doing much work on the Grassy Mountain site
6		in 2016?
7	A	No. Very little environmental surveys primarily.
8		Possibly a little bit of drilling.
9	Q	Bit of drilling.
10		Benga electrofished in Gold Creek and Blairmore
11		Creek in 2016?
12	А	That's correct.
13	Q	They marked the fish that they caught?
14	A	Yes, that's right. Yes.
15	Q	How do you mark them?
16	А	Mr. Bettles, how do we mark them?
17	A	MR. BETTLES: We used a method of it's
18		called a "VIE", which was approved by the regulators,
19		which is basically putting a little bit of dye around
20		the eye of a fish that you would then use a light to
21		detect, or you would do adipose fin clipping of fish.
22		Because at the time, the it was it was hard to
23		to detect the VIE in the fish, so the the regulators
24		gave us approval to use fin clipping.
25	Q	How many fish did you take a fin clip from?
26	A	Give me one second, Mr. Chair.

Г

1		So, Mr. Chair you want to tell him? Go ahead.
2	A	MR. HOUSTON: Mr. Chair, we don't have the
3		specific number here, but I could tell you it wasn't
4		90 percent of the population.
5	Q	What stream does the end-pit lake ultimately drain
6		into?
7	А	Mr. Chair, the end-pit lake is currently designed to
8		decant into the saturated backfill zone, and eventually
9		that water will end up in Blairmore Creek.
10	Q	Okay. Did the plan for the end-pit lake change since
11		the project was first proposed?
12	А	We had proposed an option in the original application
13		to have some of the end-pit lake water decant into Gold
14		Creek. We heard about that this morning. That option
15		was basically set aside after we did the detailed
16		instream flow needs assessment of Gold Creek in in
17		2016, which was filed in 2017.
18	Q	Is the end-pit lake sorry. Is Benga planning to
19		stock any fish into the end-pit lake?
20	А	No.
21	Q	Why not?
22	А	Mr. Chair, we're not there's no need to stock fish
23		in the end-pit lake.
24	Q	Were westslope cutthroat trout short on habitat?
25	А	Yeah. Mr. Chair, I'm not sure stocking a few fish in
26		an end-pit lake is going to change the situation for

		3405
1		the westslope cutthroat trout.
2	Q	Patching up a couple streams will?
3	A	Mr. Chair, we've talked about Gold Creek. There is a
4		population of westslope cutthroat trout in Gold Creek.
5		If we want that population to persist and thrive,
6		something needs to be done there.
7	Q	What would happen if you tried to stock westslope
8		cutthroat trout in the end-pit lake?
9	A	I have no idea, Mr. Yewchuk.
10	Q	What about the rest of the panel? Some of you are
11		experts on water quality and
12	A	Mr. Chair, we're we're not planning on stocking
13		westslope cutthroat trout in the end-pit lake.
14	Q	I understand that. What would happen if you tried it?
15	А	It okay. It's a hypothetical question, Mr. Yewchuk.
16	Q	The whole project's hypothetical. You haven't built it
17		yet.
18	A	Yeah. And and and even if we do, we're not
19		planning on stocking westslope cutthroat trout in the
20		end-pit lake, so I
21	Q	If somebody grabs a westslope cutthroat trout in a
22		bucket and dumps it into the end-pit lake, would it
23		live, or would it die of selenium poisoning?
24		MR. IGNASIAK: Mr. Chair, I think
25		Mr. Houston's answered this, and the fact is Benga
26		hasn't assessed this. So I don't think it's fair to

ask the witnesses to now, on the stand, on the spot, to 1 2 make assessments of that nature. 3 MR. YEWCHUK: Has Benga assessed how much 0 4 selenium is going to be in the end-pit lake? So, Mr. -- Mr. Chair, we've assessed in great detail 5 Α 6 the effects of selenium on westslope cutthroat trout or 7 other aquatic biota in Blairmore Creek and -- and in Gold Creek based on science, based on what we know 8 9 about the water quality that will exist in those creeks 10 once the project starts. We have not assessed the effects of -- of -- or the viability of westslope 11 12 cutthroat trout in the end-pit lake simply because it's 13 not something we are intending to do. 14 Would it be safe for waterbirds to nest and live on the 0 15 side of the end-pit lake? Would the birds be able to lay eggs that produce new birds? 16 17 Α Mr. Chair, that's -- that's a question that might best 18 be pushed over to the session on wildlife and human health. 19 20 Okay. Sounds good to me. 0 21 That wraps up my questions. I have hopefully come 22 in a little faster than expected. I agreed to leave a couple issues for Mr. Secord. If it goes a touch over 23 24 time, that's my fault. Thank you for your time, panel. 25 MR. YEWCHUK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 26 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Yewchuk.

1	
1	It's 3:30. I didn't want to go too late today,
2	but a question for Mr. Secord if he's here.
3	MR. SECORD: I'm here.
4	THE CHAIR: Or Ms. Okoye.
5	Mr. Secord, would you be interested in starting
6	your cross and going for, you know, maybe 45 minutes or
7	so and taking a break, or would you prefer to start in
8	the morning?
9	MR. SECORD: I think it would be good to
10	start now. And I notice Mr. Yewchuk only used up four
11	of his five hours. There were some climate change
12	questions that were not answered, so I might ask, sir,
13	if we could start now, and I might borrow some of
14	Mr. Yewchuk's unused time. Because I do think I'm
15	going to need it all. If I mean, obviously if I get
16	done sooner, great, but that I would say let's use
17	the time now, and what we would then what, stop at
18	4:15? Is that what you are suggesting?
19	THE CHAIR: Yeah, sometime, you know, a
20	little after 4. It doesn't have to be exactly 4 or
21	4:15, but I don't want to go too late, so certainly
22	before 4:30.
23	MR. SECORD: Okay. But I'm yeah, I'm
24	happy to start happy to start now if that's
25	agreeable, unless you unless the court reporter
26	needs a break.

1 THE CHAIR: Would you like to take a short 2 break? Yes, I'm getting a nod. So let's take ten 3 minutes, and then -- and then we'll start. 4 MR. SECORD: Thank you. 5 (ADJOURNMENT) 6 THE CHAIR: Okay. You can go ahead, 7 Mr. Secord. And, yeah, if you can kind of look for a 8 spot to break, you know, 4:15 to 4:30-ish, that would 9 be great. 10 MR. SECORD: Thank you very much. 11 Mr. Secord Cross-examines Benga Mining Limited 12 MR. SECORD: Good afternoon, panel. 0 My name is Richard Secord. I am counsel for the Coalition 13 14 of the Alberta Wilderness Association and the 15 Grassy Mountain Group. 16 Now, I take it you can confirm that SRK Consulting 17 (Canada) Inc. did a groundwater numerical model in 2016 attached as Appendix C to CR Number 3 in CIAR 18 19 Number 42?20 MR. HOUSTON: That's correct. Α 21 And is it agreeable if we refer to SRK Consulting 0 22 (Canada) Inc. as "SRK" in this cross-examination? I -- I think they'd be okay with that. 23 Α 24 Now, Mr. Soren Jensen, did I hear it correctly that you 0 25 were introduced as covering the area of hydrology? 26 Α MR. JENSEN: Yes. I will speak to the

1		aspects of hydrology but not hydrogeology.
2	Q	But you're not a hydrologist?
3	A	I'm an I'm an environmental engineer.
4	Q	Right. I noticed you had a you got a BSc in zoology
5		in 1977 from the University of Manitoba; correct?
6	A	Mr. Secord, no, that's not correct. I was three years
7		old at the time.
8	Q	Okay. If we could turn up your CV, which I believe is
9		in Exhibit 571.
10		THE CHAIR: Did you have a PDF page
11		number, Mr. Secord?
12		MR. SECORD: I'm just getting I believe
13		it's 209.
14	Q	MR. SECORD: So I have you as having a
15		sorry. I have you as having a BA bachelor of
16		science, is it, from the UBC?
17	A	No, it's bachelor of applied science.
18	Q	Bachelor of applied science? And then you got a
19	А	Which is in engineering.
20	Q	And then you got an MA from McGill; correct?
21	A	That's correct, yes.
22	Q	And I noted in your CV that one of your specializations
23		is water quality and contaminant transport modelling;
24		correct?
25	A	That's correct.
26	Q	Okay. And have you been involved in in previous

1		Joint Review Panel hearings as an expert witness?
2	А	No, I have not. This is my first appearance before a
3		Joint Review Panel.
4	Q	Okay. Mr. Stephen Day, I understand you were you
5		were introduced as covering the area of geochemistry
6		earlier today; is that correct?
7	А	MR. DAY: Yes, sir, that's correct.
8	Q	And I notice also in your CV that you have expertise in
9		the modelling of leachate chemistry?
10	А	That's correct, yeah.
11	Q	And you have been it looks like you've got a you
12		have an MSc in geochemistry from UBC and also a BSc in
13		geology from UBC; correct?
14	A	Yeah. That's right.
15	Q	Right. And are you located in British Columbia as we
16		speak?
17	A	I am, yes.
18	Q	And how about you, Mr. Jensen, where are you located as
19		we sit here today?
20	A	MR. JENSEN: You mean
21	Q	Physically.
22	A	Mr. Secord, you mean physically today? I'm
23	Q	Yeah.
24	А	I'm in Calgary.
25	Q	Okay. All right.
26		MR. SECORD: If we could please turn up

Г

1		Consultant's Report Number 3 in CIAR 42 at PDF page 12.
2		This is the Millennium hydrogeology report. That's
3		PDF 12. Okay. Section 3 point Section 3.2. That's
4		great.
5	Q	MR. SECORD: So it states here under the
6		"MEMS Field Investigation": (as read)
7		The hydrogeological data collection program
8		consisted of [at first bullet] drilling and
9		installing 19 monitoring wells targeting
10		either one of three coal seams across the
11		project or the upper water table at the CHPP
12		and near a future rock disposal area/water
13		management pond in Blairmore Creek.
14		Do you agree that the impacts associated with the mine
15		development on the groundwater are predicated on the
16		SRK numerical model developed using site data?
17	A	MR. HOUSTON: So, Mr. Secord, I guess I'm
18		not really clear on what you're asking there. Are
19		you you asking if the groundwater information we
20		collected through these field investigation programs
21		are predicated on our estimate of future rainfall or
22		future hydrological climate? I'm not quite sure what
23		you're asking.
24	Q	Do you agree that the impacts associated with the mine
25		development on the groundwater are predicated on the
26		SRK numerical model developed using site data?

1 Α Okay. I -- I --2 That might be better for the SRK witnesses. 0 3 Well, this report was done -- you've taken us to this Α 4 report. It was done by Millennium. 5 Yeah. 0 6 Α And -- and this particular part talks about drilling 7 and -- and water sample collections. I was just trying to -- having trouble making the connection. 8 9 Well, maybe if you looked at the entire report, 0 10 Mr. Houston, you would see that Appendix C attaches the 11 SRK modelling results. So although it's a Millennium 12 report, it attaches the SRK numerical modelling results; correct, as Appendix C? 13 14 Α So that's correct, but I -- I guess I was confused by 15 going to this 3.2 and -- which is talking about collecting data. 16 17 Right. So -- so do you agree that the impacts 0 associated with the mine development on the groundwater 18 are predicated on the SRK numerical model developed 19 20 using site data? And put more -- and put more 21 explicitly, this is derived from only 19 monitoring 22 wells, some of them dry? So I'm going to ask Ms. Grainger to respond to your 23 Α 24 question. 25 Α MS. GRAINGER: Mr. Secord, the groundwater 26 assessment was based on site data in part; but as is

1		listed immediately above Section 3.2 and Section 3.1,
2		there's a lengthy list of information that was used to
3		inform and undertake the groundwater assessment.
4	Q	Sure. But in terms of the SRK modelling, I take it the
5		dataset that it had consisted of 19 monitoring wells,
6		some of them dry. That's the dataset that was given to
7		SRK for the model.
8	А	That's a portion of the dataset, but that's not the
9		complete dataset.
10	Q	But in terms of the dataset that consists of monitoring
11		wells, the entire dataset consisted of only
12		19 monitoring wells, some of them dry. Do I have that
13		right?
14	А	Monitoring wells, that would be correct. There's other
15		information, but just monitoring wells, there were only
16		19, correct.
17	Q	So given the size of the project area, over 1,500
18		hectares or 3,706 acres, and given the complexity of
19		the hydrogeology, can you tell me how this can possibly
20		capture the variability to produce adequate model
21		projections? And maybe that's a question for SRK.
22	A	Mr. Secord, I will speak to the groundwater numerical
23		modelling portion as it's attached to the Millennium
24		groundwater assessment.
25		Sorry. Your question was whether a 19
26		monitoring wells alone would be enough to

3413

1 characterize -- sorry. Can you repeat your question, 2 then? 3 Given the size of the project area and the complexity 0 4 of the hydrogeology, how can this possibly capture the variability to produce adequate model projections? 5 6 Α Well, I believe when you take the entirety of the data 7 that was utilized to prepare the groundwater numerical model, then it does provide us with an understanding of 8 the hydrogeology at the site and that we have suitably 9 10 tested uncertainties associated with that model in the 11 numerical model report. 12 So you're saying you can -- you could capture the 0 13 variability to produce adequate model projections with 14 only 19 monitoring wells, some of them dry? That's 15 what you're saying under oath? MR. HOUSTON: Mr. Chair, I believe what the 16 Α 17 witness, Ms. Grainger, said, was that the 19 monitoring wells are part of the dataset. There are other data 18 19 points that are used to -- to create the model. 20 0 Okay. MR. SECORD: 21 If we could turn up CR Number 22 3 and CIAR 42 at page -- PDF page 233. And I'm looking for -- yeah, significance of the results. 23 24 MR. SECORD: So it states here: (as read) 0 25 The British Columbia groundwater monitoring 26 quidelines define three levels of modelling

1 complexity, based on the potential impacts, modelling objectives, hydrogeological 2 3 framework, and data availability. The model developed to undertake this assessment may be 4 classified as of moderate complexity defined 5 6 as follows. 7 "These are conceptual or numerical 8 models based on a reasonable, though limited, dataset and having limited calibration. 9 10 These models may be used to determine the potential range of change or to bracket 11 12 potential effects that may occur due to a given stress." 13 14 Hence, while specific results are 15 calculated during the modelling process, there always remains a degree of uncertainty 16 associated with these estimates. 17 Quantification of the uncertainty may be a 18 19 laborious and expensive process. SRK has 20 attempted to quantify the uncertainty by 21 providing a range of estimates; however, 22 these ranges should not be viewed as definitive. 23 24 Now, this statement is made by SRK that the groundwater 25 transport model developed for the project is based on reasonable, although limited, dataset and calibration. 26

		0110
1		Please explain what you mean by "reasonable" given the
2		limited nature of the dataset supporting this model.
3		And I'm assuming that would be a question for
4		Mr. Jensen or Mr. Day.
5	A	MR. HOUSTON: Although Mr. Secord,
6		although Mr. Jensen and Mr. Day both work for SRK, this
7		question you're asking relates to hydrogeology, and so
8		we'll have Ms. Grainger respond.
9	Q	So, Ms. Grainger, do you work for SRK?
10	A	MS. GRAINGER: I do not. I work for
11		Millennium.
12	Q	Okay. And did you write this section of SRK's report?
13	А	I did not.
14	Q	Okay. But you're going to speak to it?
15	A	Correct. It was
16	Q	Okay.
17	A	completed to support the the groundwater
18		assessment, and therefore I can speak to it to a
19		degree, yes.
20	Q	Okay. Okay. So the question, then, was: This
21		statement is made by SRK that the groundwater transport
22		model developed for the project is based on a
23		reasonable, although limited, dataset and calibration.
24		Can you please explain what you mean by "reasonable"
25		given the limited nature of the dataset supporting the
26		model?

My understanding of that would be that, yes, although 1 Α 2 the dataset is limited, as you pointed out, for a large 3 area, it does -- it's internally consistent. It does 4 provide us an overall understanding of the hydrogeology of the site. It's consistent with our observations. 5 6 And on that basis, we can use it to predict the 7 potential effects of the project on the hydrogeology at the site. 8

9 And I note that in the first paragraph that you 10 quoted, it states that the assessment is classified as 11 moderate complexity, not simplistic. But it is of an 12 intermediate complexity, so it's not -- anyway. Deemed 13 sufficient for the purpose of -- that was utilized for 14 this assessment.

15 Q And who made the assessment that the British Columbia 16 groundwater modelling -- modelling guidelines Level 2 17 classification applied in this case? Was that you? 18 A That would have been completed by the authors of this 19 report.

20 Q Okay.

MR. SECORD: 21 So please turn up PDF page 226 of CR Number 3, Figures 3-12. So just six pages back. 22 23 If you can ... 226. There we go. Thank you. 24 MR. SECORD: So if we look at Figure 3-12, 0 25 which is entitled "Transient Calibration, Monthly Hydraulic Heads, Linear Calibration", you can see that 26

1		the blue line is what was measured between 2014 and
2		2017; correct? I'm assuming you're going to speak to
3		this, Ms. Grainger?
4	А	Yes, that's correct. I'm just confirming the legend.
5	Q	Do you want to scroll down a little bit so we can see
6		the legend at the bottom?
7	А	I guess perhaps it would help if I just confirm that
8		one line represents the modelled output or the head for
9		that period, whereas the other line represents the
10		observed measurements.
11	Q	Sure. And if you see if you looked at this before,
12		you'll see underneath that the the photograph or the
13		print the picture of the mine area, you'll see the
14		blue line showing observed response, and then the
15		the red dot is the modelled response; correct?
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	Okay. So the blue line is what was measured between
18		2014 and 2017 and represents the steady state or
19		observed response; correct?
20	А	That's correct.
21	Q	And the red dotted line is what the SRK model simulated
22		over that period of time?
23	А	Yes
24	Q	Is that
25	А	that's also correct.
26	Q	And do you agree that the calibration of the

Г

groundwater level fluctuations for the transient 1 2 calibration are not very good in some parts of the 3 model domain, specifically the northeast quadrant, RGSC-0009C and MW14-06-105, containing Gold Creek? 4 I think the model report identifies that there are 5 Α 6 specific locations that are -- are not well-captured by 7 the model. However, on the whole, the calibration did 8 meet the requirements. 9 Ο Okav. Yeah. But, of course, that wasn't what I asked 10 you. Let's just take a look at RGSC-0009C in the top 11 12 right-hand corner. You'll notice the observed response 13 is essentially a fairly flat blue line, whereas the 14 model doesn't come close to duplicating the observed response between 2014 and 2017; correct? 15 16 The model's overpredicting. Α Correct. 17 And then -- over or under; correct? Why do you say 0 18 "over", when you have the red line going underneath the 19 observed response? Why did you go to -- why did you go 20 to "over", Ms. Grainger? 21 MR. HOUSTON: So -- so I think what --Α 22 I'm asking -- I'm not -- I'm not -- I'm not asking you 0 23 questions, Mr. Houston. 24 Yeah, I just feel the need to explain. Α That -- that's 25 all. 26 I don't -- I don't have the need for you to explain. 0

		3420
1		Are you a hydrologist, Mr
2	A	No. I I
3	Q	Are you a hydrogeologist?
4		MR. IGNASIAK: Mr. Chair, the witness can
5		answer. To the extent it's he doesn't think the
6		answer is good, he can make that point in argument.
7		MR. SECORD: Yeah. Well, I haven't got an
8		argument yet.
9	A	MR. HOUSTON: So
10	Q	MR. SECORD: How can you answer for
11		Ms. Grainger?
12	А	So what what "over" means is is that the model is
13		exaggerating the response both in the positive and
14		negative direction to what is actually experienced on
15		the graph.
16	Q	Okay. So you wouldn't accept Ms. Grainger's evidence
17		that it was overpredicting?
18	A	No, I I was just confirming her evidence, that it's
19		overpredicting both the positive and negative
20		directions that are are
21	Q	Okay. All right. Ms. Grainger, if we look at the
22		second graph, MW14-06-105, you would agree that the
23		model there comes nowhere close to predicting or
24		matching the observed response; correct?
25	А	MS. GRAINGER: Correct. There's also a
26		significant well, a notable difference between the
1		

1		model prediction and the observed measurements at that
2		location.
3	Q	That's in Gold Creek?
4	A	This is in a monitoring well.
5	Q	Right. That monitoring well, MW14 I guess,
6		MW14-06-105, that would be sort of in the centre of the
7		mine projection area?
8	A	Yes. It
9	Q	(INDISCERNIBLE - OVERLAPPING SPEAKERS)
10	A	That's consistent with the figure.
11	Q	Yes. Essentially that black line going to that so
12		the red dot area, that that would be where that
13		monitoring well is located?
14	А	Correct.
15	Q	Okay. And then we have RGSC. That would be in one of
16		the reaches of Gold Creek?
17	A	That's also in a in a vibrating wire piezometer.
18	Q	Okay.
19	А	So a different one is a monitoring well, and one's a
20		vibrating wire piezometer.
21	Q	So do you agree, Ms. Grainger, that the red dotted line
22		is way more variable than the blue line, which means
23		that SRK have not constrained the model; or put another
24		way, SRK haven't matched it very well to the observed
25		conditions around Gold Creek?
26	A	These are not these are in at depth in

		3422
1		groundwater, so this is not in Gold Creek
2	Q	This is an area
3	A	to be clear.
4	Q	Just to be clear, this is in an area around and near to
5		Gold Creek, RGSC-0009C?
6	A	MR. HOUSTON: Mr. Chair, that point is on
7		the west side of the project footprint. I I don't
8		think we can say that it's in Gold Creek. And the
9		other point
10	Q	I see.
11	А	The other point is certainly in the centre of the mine
12		and probably closer to Blairmore Creek.
13	Q	I think you can I think you can say, Mr. Houston,
14		that RGSC-0009C is near to Gold Creek.
15	A	It's on the west side of the project, that's right.
16	Q	Right.
17	А	Or east side of the project. I'm sorry.
18	Q	Okay. Do you agree that Ms. Grainger, that this
19		casts doubt on the accuracy of the model to address
20		predicted changes in certain parts of the domain
21		assessed?
22	А	MS. GRAINGER: As I said earlier, it's
23		acknowledged that in specific locations we may not have
24		accurate predictions; however, the intent of the model
25		is provide overall understanding and a good
26		understanding of the system. So there may be specific

locations where there are inconsistencies. That's been
 acknowledged, yes.

- 3 Q How can the Joint Review Panel and my clients, like 4 Fran Gilmar, have faith that the model is giving us 5 results that are anything close to what will actually 6 happen during and after mine development?
- 7 Well, we -- Mr. Secord, there's a significant component Α of the model which includes the sensitivity test, a 8 series of them, in which we look at and test various 9 10 scenarios to understand how the model predictions would 11 be different from the ones that were used in the 12 assessment based on those changes. So some of those 13 include variations and recharge, changes in our 14 understanding of the conceptual site model. So we've tested those through the -- the sensitivity analysis to 15 give us confidence in our predictions. 16

17 Q Yeah. We're going to look at some of those sensitivity18 analysis tomorrow.

But, Ms. Grainger, you would agree one of the assumptions in the groundwater model is that the geological strata are homogenous and anisotropic with greater k-values in a north-south versus west-east direction; correct?

A It's a layered system, so the -- each layer is
homogenous and anisotropic, so different k-values in
each orientation. But each layer has a set different

1 of properties, so it's not just one mass with the same 2 three properties. 3 How will this affect the model output regarding spatial 0 4 extent of the drawdown? Well, we can start reviewing the sensitivity analysis 5 Α 6 now if you'd like to look at some of those specific 7 But, for example, the anisotropy without assumptions. the X-Y plan, so parallel to bedding, was tested in the 8 9 sensitivity analysis, and it was found to make no 10 significant difference in the predictions, whether they 11 were the same or whether they were using the values 12 that were reported in the calibrated version of the 13 model. 14 On this -- on this Figure 3-12, why did you decide to 0 15 put these monitoring wells where you did? Monitoring wells, oftentimes we were combining them 16 Α 17 with the core-hole program, so we were utilizing locations that were already being drilled as part of 18 19 the core-hole program. We tried to spatially distribute them across the site and obtain information 20 from different zones. 21 22 So that's essentially the driver for why they're 23 located where they are. 24 Okay. I take it you would agree, Ms. Grainger, that 0 25 sensitivity tests on a bad model are still bad? 26 MR. HOUSTON: Α So, Mr. Secord, we haven't

said that we have a bad model. 1 We've said that our model correlates well with the observations that we --2 3 that we have. So I'm not sure that -- I'm not sure the 4 nature of your question. 5 Sensitivity analysis around uncertainties in a 6 model are a normal and prudent practice to understand 7 the possible variability. Ms. Houston [sic], did you conduct any investigations 8 0 9 to substantiate the more limited hydraulic conductivity 10 in the west-east direction like pumping tests to 11 determine connectivity between discrete monitoring well 12 locations or impacts at springs? MS. GRAINGER: 13 Α So if I understand you, 14 Mr. Secord, you're asking how we specifically identify 15 that anisotropy within the -- the units? Is that what you're asking? 16 17 Yes. 0 So that was an assumption. The physical tests test the 18 Α 19 conductivity in the planer direction, so in the 20 horizontal direction. So there's no way to tell from the results whether that's reflective of the 'X' 21 22 direction or the 'Y' direction, whether it's north-south or east-west. 23 It just reflects generally 24 the more permeable direction. 25 Because of our understanding of the geology and 26 the understanding that there are thrust faults that are

mapped with displacement that's been determined on 1 2 those features and that they're orientated in a 3 north-south direction, combined with the folding, there 4 was an interpretation that there was a -- a lower conductivity in the east-west direction. And so that's 5 6 why that was incorporated into the model to account for 7 that feature in the geology. Did Millennium conduct any pumping tests to determine 8 0 9 connectivity between discrete monitoring well locations 10 or impacts at springs? 11 So I think there was two questions there. There -- the Α 12 first was, yes, there was one pumping test that was 13 completed in a flowing core-hole which gave us some limited information, but there's no observation wells 14 that were included in that pumping test. 15 So it gives us some data, but it's limited in its value because of 16 the lack of observation wells. 17 I'm sorry. The second part of your question --18 19 can you remind me? 20 Were there any pumping tests to determine conductivity 0 [sic] between discrete monitoring well locations or 21 22 impacts at springs? 23 You probably heard, for instance, that my clients 24 have a number of springs that provide water to their 25 properties, both inside the mine permit boundary and outside, just outside. 26

1	A	So with regards to springs, we did complete a review of
2		all the information of recorded springs in the area.
3		We also completed a field survey which included
4		collecting information from those springs. And, in
5		fact, some of the old mine portals were monitored on a
6		very frequent basis. I'm not sure if that answers your
7		question.
8	Q	Did that include looking at the springs on Ms. Gilmar's
9		property?
10	A	I don't believe it does.
11	Q	Okay.
12	А	Because it was private property, we didn't access that.
13	Q	Please turn up Bullet Point 3 on this CR 3, so
14		basically PDF 202 of this same document. So PDF 207
15		under the heading "Model Assumptions". So this is
16		so Bullet Number 3. So we're now dealing with the SRK
17		model and its assumptions, and the third bullet reads:
18		(as read)
19		On the scale of the assessment, groundwater
20		system flow, which is expected to occur
21		dominantly via fracture flow, can be
22		approximated by an equivalent porous media
23		(EPM) model.
24		What is "EPM"?
25	A	The numerical models use equations which essentially
26		are valid for porous media. So they don't model

1		specifically the fractures or faults or features like
2		that. So the approach is to take essentially to
3		correlate, to say that because we're doing a large
4		model that we can use values on a larger scale that
5		allow us to use equations applicable to equivalent
6		porous media that are essentially representative and
7		reflect the groundwater flow even though there there
8		is the influence of fractures or or jointing.
9	Q	You would agree with me that EPM is like a sand and
10	A	Or a sandstone.
11	Q	And everything is flowing equally in the model;
12		correct?
13	A	I I think "equally" implies it's isotropic, which
14		isn't necessarily the case.
15	Q	But that's that's what happens with an EPM model,
16		isn't it?
17	A	EPM model would mean that it's its flow within the
18		porous spaces of the rock, so around grains and that
19		kind of flow, as opposed to a fracture flow, which is
20		through a a planar surface.
21	Q	Do you agree that Grassy Mountain is a
22		fracture-dominated groundwater system flow so that
23		groundwater could go left or right?
24	A	I agree. Our interpret in the sense that our
25		interpretation is that it is likely a
26		fracture-dominated flow system. But we believe that it

1		can be represented in order that we can by an
2		equivalent porous media approach, and then in order
3		that we can use a numerical model to estimate effects.
4	Q	So: (as read)
5		On the scale of the assessment, groundwater
6		system flow, which is expected to occur
7		dominantly by a fracture flow, can be
8		approximated by an equivalent porous media
9		model.
10		In which essentially everything is flowing equally,
11		whereas you've just said the Grassy Mountain is a
12		fracture-dominated groundwater system.
13		So it is nothing like it is nothing like an
14		EPM; correct?
15	A	No. This is a a common approach that is used for
16		numerical modelling and and has been shown to be
17		useful and provide accurate predictions for a fractured
18		system.
19	Q	Please explain how the groundwater system can act as an
20		EPM when conditions are dominated by flow in discrete
21		fracture networks in Grassy Mountain.
22	A	So the equivalent porous media framework assumes that
23		the behaviour of the fractured rock system can be
24		represented by a porous media with properties that
25		approximate the larger scale of conductivity of the
26		fracture network. So flow within the discrete
1		

-		0.00
1		fractures is not directly accounted for, but
2		represented by the equivalent porous media.
3	Q	If we could look at Bullet Point Number 4 on PDF
4		page 207, so the same page, it says: (as read)
5		K is largely anisotropic, with the highest K
6		parallel to bedding planes/coal seams, and to
7		the thrust fault strike with lowest K
8		perpendicular to bedding.
9		What is "anisotropic"?
10	A	"Anisotropic" basically means that the conductivity,
11		which is the ability of a of a a unit or a
12		material to transmit water, is different in different
13		directions.
14	Q	So basically anisotropic has a direction to it;
15		correct?
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	And "isotropic" means in all directions?
18	A	"Isotropic" means that it's the same in all directions.
19	Q	And let's look at Bullet Point Number 5 next:
20		(as read)
21		Apart from preferential flow parallel to
22		fault strike, there is no major fault acting
23		as a significant conduit and no major
24		regional deep flow influences.
25		Could we please turn up Bullet Number 2 on PDF page 209
26		of this same document. And this is under the heading
1		

Dicta Court Reporting Inc. 403-531-0590

1		"Model Properties", and the second bullet is as			
2		follows it it reads in part: (as read)			
3		The north-south thrust fault systems are			
4		modelled to impede flows in the east-west			
5		direction.			
6		Do you see that?			
7	A	Yes.			
8	Q	Do you agree that this configuration will have a			
9		profound effect on how much drawdown will propagate			
10		outward to the west and east of the proposed Benga mine			
11		pit?			
12	A	I I don't agree. And the reason is because the			
13		sensitivity tests the sensitivity analysis			
14		specifically looked at this condition and tested a			
15		scenario where we made the conductivity K1 equal to K2,			
16		and there was no significant change in the output of			
17		the model with respect to hydraulic heads and baseflow			
18		predictions.			
19	Q	Please explain why the model has been configured this			
20		way when we know that there is evidence of active			
21		west-east faults based on the trellis-style drainage			
22		pattern.			
23	A	Well, I I would disagree, Mr. Chair, that there is			
24		no evidence for east-west faults.			
25	A	MR. HOUSTON: Mr. Secord, if if you could			
26		give us a reference where you found that information,			

that would be helpful, perhaps.

1

26

2 Are you saying, Ms. Grainger, that there is no evidence Ο 3 of active east-west faults in the project area? 4 That's correct. The model --Α MS. GRAINGER: 5 or the site was investigated by -- in Section B, it 6 reports over 400 boreholes, and subsequently there's 7 been more than another hundred, so more than 500 boreholes advanced on the site. The geology has been 8 9 mapped in detail. As I indicated, there's thrust 10 faults that have been mapped with displacements of, I 11 believe, 10 to 200 metres. All of -- any east-west 12 structure would impact -- if there was displacement on it, would impact the distribution of coal on the 13 14 project and, therefore, would have been mapped. And 15 these features are not described in the geology section in Section B. 16 17 So do you agree that the trellis-style drainage 0 system -- or the trellis-style drainage pattern is a 18 19 fault drainage system and that it is typical in the 20 mountains? 21 I -- my understanding of a trellis-style drainage Α system is that it can occur when there's a -- a ridge 22 and drainage is perpendicular away from that ridge. 23 24 And that is what we see at the site, but that doesn't 25 necessitate the existence of east-west faults in order

to create that drainage pattern.

1	Q	If we could go back to PDF page 207, Bullet Point 6, it			
2		states as follows: (as read)			
3		Recharge follows the same spatial trend with			
4		elevation as precipitation. The			
5		precipitation, evaporation, and			
б		evapotranspiration mechanisms are not			
7		explicitly modelled but assumed to be			
8		integrated as net recharge. It is assumed			
9		that this is approach will not unduly bias			
10		the model.			
11		How can this assumption be substantiated in the absence			
12		of any documented or field-based evidence?			
13	А	Well, the information that we have is recharge at			
14		different elevations at the project, which clearly show			
15		increasing elevation or increasing recharge with			
16		elevation. So there is a positive correlation between			
17		the two.			
18	Q	If too much recharge is added to certain parts of the			
19		model domain, how will that affect the extent of			
20		drawdown in those portions of the model domain?			
21	А	So if I understand you, yes, applying too much recharge			
22		would it has multiple effects, and and that's			
23		part of understanding a numerical model, is all of			
24		these features are interlinked. So if we apply too			
25		much recharge, we also get water levels that are too			
26		high in the groundwater system. So there would be			

		דנדנ
1		multiple changes that we would see. I think it's
2		important to understand that recharge was calibrated in
3		the process of building the model.
4	Q	So let's let's look
5	A	So, in other words, it's yeah.
6	Q	Sorry.
7	A	No. Go ahead.
8	Q	So let's look, just to finish off this afternoon, at
9		PDF 183 in the same document, CR Number 3. And at the
10		bottom at the bottom of the page bottom of this
11		page, it states that the MAP so the maximum annual
12		precipitation for the entire Blairmore catchment is
13		estimated at 719 millimetres and Gold Creek as
14		777 millimetres.
15		Correct?
16	A	Correct, that's what it states there. But it's mean
17		annual precipitation, sorry
18	Q	Mean annual.
19	A	not maximum. Yes.
20	Q	Mean annual.
21		And SRK used the average number of 28 percent for
22		recharge in its model; correct?
23	A	It's not that simplistic. They actually used two
24		calculations of of a proportion of mean annual
25		precipitation based on elevation. So there's what's
26		referred to in the model as a linear recharge scenario
1		

1		and then an exponential recharge scenario. So there
2		are two different functions that were applied to apply
3		recharge across the model.
4	Q	Okay. My understanding is, though, that Benga used the
5		average of 28 percent recharge in its groundwater
6		numerical model, and in some cases in some areas of
7		the model, it is as high as 50 percent?
8	A	So it was increased in some specific areas, such as
9		a a clear-cut area, where it was interpreted that
10		groundwater recharge would be higher because of reduced
11		evapotranspiration.
12	Q	So is the model then saying that 28 percent of the Gold
13		Creek MAP will end up as recharge? So that would by
14		my math, that would be 217 millimetres would go as
15		recharge, and 550 559 millimetres would run off.
16		That's what the model would be doing using the
17		28 percent figure; correct?
18	А	Sorry. To be clear, the the model's only adding
19		recharge onto the top layer. Runoff is is not
20		included in the model.
21	Q	No. I'm just doing the math, Ms. Grainger. So if the
22		model is saying that 28 percent of the Gold Creek MAP
23		will end up as recharge, that would be 217 millimetres
24		annually; correct?
25	A	MR. JENSEN: Mr. Secord, perhaps I can step
26		in here. We are moving into the into the realm of

1		water balance. No, that's that's not correct.
2	Q	Okay.
3	A	The you're leaving out the evaporation proportion of
4		it. So we estimate that the mean annual runoff is
5		equivalent to 323 millimetres.
6	Q	Okay.
7	A	So sorry, not runoff. Yield. We think of it as
8		yield when it comes to a water balance.
9	Q	Yes.
10	A	So that means the difference would evaporate.
11	Q	Yes.
12	A	So you're you're you're not accounting for the
13		evaporation in your math.
14	Q	Sure. Well, the number I'm really mostly interested
15		in, Mr. Jensen, was the recharge number. That would be
16		217 millimetres would end up in as recharge?
17	A	MS. GRAINGER: Sorry. Can you repeat the
18		question?
19	Q	I thought it was just simple math. 28 percent of
20		777 millimetres is 217 millimetres.
21	A	Sorry. You're asking if that is the correct value? I
22		guess what I was trying to be clear is that's not
23		necessarily the amount of recharge that's applied
24		across the model. So if that's what if you're just
25		asking what's 28 percent of 777, I would have to check
26		the you know, but that sounds about right.

1 And my understanding, that was the average 0 Sure. 2 number, and in -- and in some cases the model uses --3 the model has a recharge as high as 50 percent. So 4 that would be -- basically half of the 777 millimetres 5 would be allocated as recharge in some area -- in some 6 parts of the mine site? Do I have that right? 7 I quess as I tried to explain, it's -- it's -- there is Α 8 a function that's applied, so I would have to confirm. 9 But --10 You want to -- you want to confirm that and then come 0 11 back in the morning, and we can pick up on this thread? 12 Because I think the chair would like me to finish at 13 about 4:30, and we're there. 14 Α MR. HOUSTON: So, Mr. Chair, I think it 15 would be a good time for us to come back to this in the morning, and -- and we'll have a clear answer then. 16 17 MR. SECORD: Thank you, panel. We'll see 18 you all at 9 AM. 19 THE CHAIR: All right, Mr. Secord. We 20 will start tomorrow morning at 9 AM, and you will 21 continue with your cross. 22 MR. SECORD: Thank you. 23 THE CHAIR: Is there any business we need 24 to take care of before we say goodbye for the evening? 25 Discussion 26 MR. IGNASIAK: Mr. Chair, it's

-	
1	Martin Ignasiak. We did have an opportunity to look at
2	the the DFO document that Mr. Drummond provided
3	earlier.
4	THE CHAIR: Yeah.
5	MR. IGNASIAK: Look, so that document is
6	essentially another submission by by DFO in this
7	proceeding. It's about 23 pages or so. I might be off
8	a few. But it's it's basically a you know, a
9	number of additional DFO people I understand
10	contributed to it and and they're submitting it, and
11	it it essentially bootstraps DFO's previous
12	submissions.
13	So, one, it's it's an additional submission in
14	the middle of a proceeding long after the deadlines.
15	Two, it bootstraps previous evidence, which is
16	inappropriate to say the least. But that said,
17	provided it you know, it's clear to everyone we're
18	not we're not setting a precedent here where this
19	will be allowed going forward, we we don't object to
20	it being entered as an exhibit, you know, taking into
21	account the nature of these proceedings in a somewhat
22	more, you know, more more more lax rules, I would
23	say, about filing of exhibits and accepting evidence on
24	the record so so would allow it, but but we
25	wanted to make those comments and and will address
26	it to the extent we need to further in argument or

1	during cross-examination of DFO's panel.
2	THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you,
3	Mr. Ignasiak.
4	So I guess on that basis we'll accept it as an
5	exhibit. I guess the Panel's open to if there's a
6	feeling that there's a need for any additional process
7	in relationship to this late submission, we would
8	entertain that, and people can make that case if they
9	would like to.
10	MR. IGNASIAK: Thank you, sir.
11	THE CHAIR: So do we have a CIAR
12	number for that?
13	MS. ARRUDA: Elaine Arruda here. It would
14	be CA CIAR 847.
15	THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you.
16	EXHIBIT CIAR 847 - FROM THE GOVERNMENT OF
17	CANADA (FISHERIES AND OCEANS CANADA) TO THE
18	JOINT REVIEW PANEL RE: ASSESSMENT OF THE
19	ECOLOGICAL IMPACT OF THE GRASSY MOUNTAIN COAL
20	PROJECT ON WESTSLOPE CUTTHROAT TROUT IN THE
21	BLAIRMORE AND GOLD GREEK WATERSHEDS, ALBERTA
22	THE CHAIR: Any other business? Okay.
23	Good evening, everyone. We'll resume tomorrow morning
24	at 9 AM.
25	
26	PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED UNTIL 9:00 AM, NOVEMBER 17, 2020

1	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT:
2	
3	I, Claire Forster, certify that the foregoing
4	pages are a complete and accurate transcript of the
5	proceedings, taken down by me in shorthand and
6	transcribed from my shorthand notes to the best of my
7	skill and ability.
8	Dated at the City of Calgary, Province of Alberta,
9	this 16th day of November 2020.
10	
11	
12	<original by="" signed=""></original>
13	
14	Claire Forster, CSR(A)
15	Official Court Reporter
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

Г

		1		
	10 3236:9	16th 3440:9	2-microgram-	2016 3270:25
\$	3238:19,22	17 3226:19	per-litre 3352:14	3274:11,24
Ψ	3252:19 3273:2	3231:18 3439:26	2.2 3256:12	3343:24 3345:1
\$1.5 3236:19	3274:25 3283:4	17,000 3231:5	20 3230:21	3370:3,24,26
\$28 3236:14,21	3303:22,25	170 3379:22	3236:17,19	3371:3,4
\$5 3358:13	3308:26 3315:9	3380:12,17	3247:3 3252:19	3386:25,26
\$500 3236:15	3331:6 3340:22	3381:5 3382:17	3274:25 3283:5	3387:2,5,8,17
φ 500 5250.15	3362:19,21,23	3384:11 3385:3	3321:1 3391:10	3388:5,11,18,21
	3391:9 3432:11	183 3434:9	200 3276:19	3390:9 3391:3,
(10-metre	103 3434.9 19 3411:9	3306:9 3308:9	10,26 3394:11
(-) 2241.04	3234:12	3412:21 3413:5,	3432:11	3399:13,19
(a) 3341:24	101 3255:13	12,16,25	200-degree	3400:4,8,21
(b) 3334:2	107 3379:20	3414:14,17	3308:9	3401:8,11,16,20,
3341:25	3380:2,12		2000 3353:15	24 3402:1,7,10
	10:00 3265:4	1950s 3297:4		3403:6,11 3404:17 3408:17
0	10:15 3265:5	1960s 3229:16	2000s 3295:25	
	11 3237:23	3297:4	3299:10 3300:7, 18 3318:2	2017 3279:23
0 3378:22	3257:3 3280:24	1967 3256:10	3319:14	3295:15 3302:22 3303:17 3318:4,
03 3375:11	3309:14	1970s 3242:3		18,21 3344:13
04 3377:22	110 3362:25	3320:9,24	2004 3226:21	3359:22 3361:15
		3321:12	2007 3256:19	3399:13,19
1	12 3347:7	1973 3247:7	3299:15 3300:10	3400:21 3401:11
	3359:11 3411:1,3	1977 3409:5	3317:25	3402:7 3404:17
1 3234:5,6	12:00 3326:13	1985 3256:16	2008 3256:5,24	3418:2,18
3241:13,14	13 3243:24	1990s 3320:12,	2010 3227:4	3419:15
3252:26 3256:1	3361:10	15,25 3353:15	3256:5,20	2018 3303:19
3258:26 3269:24	132 3380:7	1997 3234:8	2012 3223:15	3344:2
3277:24 3288:3	3381:1 3382:18	3348:22 3349:2	3227:4 3260:19	2019 3344:2
3312:17 3334:10	14 3249:9	1998 3236:13	2013 3355:24	3377:2,25 3378:7
3357:22 3379:7	3355:14 3379:13	1999 3354:15	2014 3370:7,8,17,	202 3427:14
1,032 3238:11	14.3 3386:11	3355:6	21 3371:3,4	2020 3274:19
1,500 3247:1	14th 3270:25		3376:24 3377:17	3327:3 3385:22
3413:17	15 3241:19	1:00 3326:13,15	3378:6 3379:13	3386:5,7 3387:6
1,600 3387:3	3245:24 3246:26	1:01 3330:21	3380:26 3381:4	3439:26 3440:9
1,620 3393:3	3280:8 3305:16		3382:17,22	2021 3245:6
1,625 3387:4	3308:14 3338:25	2	3384:23 3401:21	2021 3243.0 2030 3254:26
3391:25 3392:25	3339:1,2,12		3418:1,18	
3394:10	3342:2 3368:4	2 3235:1 3262:26	3419:15	2040 3246:6
1-in-10,000-year	3401:21	3277:24 3288:4	2015 3289:4,7	2051 3368:14
3241:5	15-minute	3340:22 3351:21 3399:20 3400:13	3318:11 3343:24 3358:22 3368:14	207 3427:14
1-in-10-year	3265:2	3417:16 3430:25	3370:7,21,24	3430:4 3433:1
3241:4	16 3327:3	2,874 3398:4	3371:3 3373:14,	209 3409:13
1-in-a-thousand-	3359:11 3387:4		19,25,26	3430:25
year 3241:4	161 3276:9	2-1 3288:16	3376:14,19	21 3343:8,11,12,
J			3378:24	13

		Δ		
215 3386:13 217 3435:14,23 3436:16,20 21st 3223:15 3225:14 22 3255:5 220 3309:15 226 3417:21,23 23 3255:11 3266:9 3438:7 233 3414:22 25 3246:18 251 3315:9 261 3368:26 28 3267:14 3434:21 3435:5, 12,17,22 3436:19,25 285 3386:6 2:15 3368:6 3 3223:21 3269:24 3283:6 328:2 3340:22 3345:3,5 3375:16 3396:25 3408:18 3411:1,3 3414:22 345:3,5 3375:16 3396:25 3408:18 3411:1,3 3414:22 3417:22 3427:13, 16 3434:9 3,210 3387:4 391:26 3,706 3413:18 3-12 3417:22,24 3424:14 3-kilometre 348:24 3,1 3413:1 3,2 3411:3 3412:15 3413:1 3,3 3246:25	30 3367:12 300-metre 3398:1 3399:3 313 3309:14 32 3374:16 323 3436:5 33 3226:15 34 3380:22 35 332:1 3361:25 3362:14 36 3331:26 37 3255:12 3333:25 375 375 3288:8,16 38 332:15 3380:9 3382:19 39 3255:3 3332:14 3:30 3407:1 4 4 3236:9 39 3255:3 3332:14 3:30 330 3407:1 4 3236:9 334 3391:23,24 3396:25 3407:20 3430:3 4.12 4.12 3391:23,24 3367:12 40 40 33255:14 3367:12 40 42 3276:8 329 :19 34	$\begin{array}{r} 2\\ 48 \ 3341:1\\ 498 \ 3303:23,26\\ 3304:1\\ 4:15 \ 3407:18,21\\ 3408:8\\ 4:30 \ 3407:22\\ 3437:13\\ 4:30-ish \ 3408:8\\ 4A \ 3381:26\\ 4B \ 3381:26\\ \hline \\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 3277:15\\ 3278:25 \ 3283:6\\ 3303:23 \ 3305:11\\ 3315:9 \ 3331:6\\ 3399:26 \ 3430:19\\ 50 \ 3315:10\\ 3435:7 \ 3437:3\\ 500 \ 3432:7\\ 503 \ 3306:2,3\\ 55 \ 3386:8\\ 550 \ 3435:15\\ 552 \ 3223:20\\ 3225:16 \ 3255:4\\ 555 \ 3274:18\\ 3341:1,4 \ 3368:25\\ 3374:23\\ 559 \ 3435:15\\ 571 \ 3283:2\\ 3305:11 \ 3343:7\\ 3409:9\\ 5th \ 3283:2\\ \hline \\ 6\\ \hline \\ 6\\ 3284:20\\ 3346:13 \ 3363:3\\ 3374:13,23\\ 3375:1 \ 3380:22\\ 3433:1\\ \end{array}$	60 3399:12,24 63 3379:9 66 3328:14 7 7 7 3347:1 3392:7, 16 3393:5,8,11, 14,18 3394:7,13 3396:25 3397:3, 5,22 70s 3319:20 70s 3319:20 3320:13 719 3434:13 73 3391:23 777 3434:14 3436:20,25 3437:4 8 3270:13 3288:8 3340:22 3394:7, 14 8.1 8.1 3362:17,20,23 80s 3319:20 3353:14,18 84 3341:1,2,5 843 3274:21 3399:7 847 847 3439:14,16 864 3271:19 867 3272:5 87 3341:11,12 89 3270:13 3282:23 8:58 8:58 3221:21 9 3298:6 3394:7, 13,14 3437:18,20	 9.5 3282:17 90 3399:19,23 3402:13 3404:4 907 3270:14 90s 3319:14 320:3 3353:14 92 3256:15 97 3339:19 99 3269:18 338:21 3375:23 3376:6 3377:12 3378:21,22 9:00 3439:26 A A1 3379:6,8 3391:20 A3 3278:25 abandoned 3236:26 abandonment 3231:11 ability 3430:11 3440:7 ABMET 3299:14 3318:25 absence 3433:11 absent 3270:26 absolute 3391:18 3401:1 absolutely 3266:15 3312:14 3366:12 3402:18 absorb 3292:23 3293:7 3308:5,7 3315:4 abundance 3396:4 accelerate 3396:4 accelerate 3396:4 accelerate 3396:4 accelerate 3396:4 accelerate 3396:4
3.1 3413:1 3.2 3411:3	3411:1 3414:22 430 3348:20	3346:13 3363:3 3374:13,23 3375:1 3380:22	9 3298:6 3394:7,	3396:4 accelerate

		3		
acceptable	3251:16 3279:9	acts 3233:10	addressing	AER 3275:16
3263:9 3278:22	3307:22	3243:2 3323:4	3231:19	3327:9,10,16,17,
3389:4	achieving	actual 3382:5	adequate 3237:3,	18,19,20
accepted	3231:13 3304:26	acute 3359:23	12 3250:2	AER's 3221:26
3282:25	3340:11	3362:25,26	3402:21 3413:20	aerial 3270:15
accepting	acid 3243:6	adapting	3414:5,13	affect 3240:2
3235:25 3438:23	3314:19,20	3301:20,24	adipose 3403:21	3273:6 3424:3
access 3235:22	3315:14,21,23	adaptive 3229:15	adjacent 3270:16	3433:19
3367:22 3427:12	3331:9	3247:18 3301:4,	3278:13,14,20	affected 3276:6
accidentally	acidic 3293:15	19,23 3302:9	3385:2	3283:11 3289:14
3393:17	acidity 3291:26	3373:8	ADJOURNED	affiliates 3357:11
accommodate	3292:13	add 3307:23	3326:15 3439:26	affinity 3322:25
3222:8	acknowledge	3315:21 3316:5	ADJOURNME	affirmed
accomplish	3224:2	3319:7 3337:4,6	NT 3265:6 3368:8	3222:24,25
3251:2	acknowledged	3364:14 3366:25	3395:14 3408:5	3266:24 3267:2,
accordance	3422:23 3423:2	3370:15 3386:8	adjunct 3226:22,	26 3268:3,16
3274:7	Acknowledgeme	3387:20 3400:1	23	3330:25
account 3230:12	nt 3359:15	added 3433:18	adjusted 3248:2,	affordably
3426:6 3438:21	acknowledgeme	addendum	6	3229:19
accounted	nts 3363:3	3270:13 3278:25	adjusting	afield 3388:6
3430:1	acknowledging	3280:24 3288:8	3244:12	afternoon 3327:3
accounting	3356:11	3303:22,25	adopt 3223:25	3408:12 3434:8
3436:12	acres 3413:18	3309:14 3315:9	adopted 3228:1	
accumulate		3331:6 3379:6	3230:22	agencies 3396:11
3261:8	across-the-	3391:20,21	advance 3222:6	Agency's 3359:2
	board 3286:9	adding 3253:16	3302:2 3318:18	3360:1 3362:7
accuracy 3422:19	act 3224:14	3435:18	3366:15	aggressive
	3269:24 3275:12,	addition 3282:10	advanced 3282:7	3244:9 3279:2
accurate 3292:19 3360:26 3381:12,	19 3367:13 3429:19	3311:12 3312:18	3432:8	agree 3355:2,4
13 3385:25		3331:8 3400:16	advances	3358:19,20
3422:24 3429:17	acting 3430:22	additional	3229:22	3364:16 3365:4 3384:16 3399:10
3440:4	action 3329:24	3235:16 3271:4		3411:14,24
accurately	3377:2	3273:14,16	advantage 3284:15	3412:17 3418:26
3298:16	active 3244:13,17	3277:3 3280:22,		3420:22 3421:21
achievable	3300:18 3311:4	26 3282:19	advantages 3350:13	3422:18 3423:19
3232:25 3286:9	3431:20 3432:3	3302:25 3361:24 3400:1,14		3424:24 3428:9,
achieve 3231:26	actively 3321:25	3400:1,14 3438:9,13 3439:6	adverse 3249:19	21,24 3431:8,12
3234:7,13 3280:7	3322:9 3324:8,14	additive 3294:21	3368:18 3369:13	3432:17
3290:5,14	3389:14	3316:12	advises 3268:22	agreeable
3305:15 3308:4	activities		advising 3251:7	3407:25 3408:21
3319:22 3322:17	3235:20 3251:21	address 3225:26	advisory 3227:2	agreed 3309:2
3335:14 3337:18,	3252:16,20	3319:16,18 3422:19 3438:25	3265:15 3344:12	3406:22
21 3342:1	3357:8 3366:24		3357:6	agreed-upon
achieved 3235:3	activity 3315:6	addressed 3283:15 3371:21	AEP 3373:20	3229:6
		5205.15 55/1.21	3379:23	
			•	

		4		
agrees 3280:4	alternative	annually	applications	3427:22 3429:8
3281:21	3280:22	3435:24	3233:8 3317:19	approximately
Agudelo 3329:9	ambient 3342:25	answers 3372:13	3318:8	3273:13 3298:6
ahead 3231:6	3360:1 3362:7	3385:17 3395:19	applied 3229:26	3334:10 3386:11
3248:14 3252:12	American	3427:6	3230:14 3388:16	aquatic 3264:25
3265:8 3296:21	3357:16 3359:18	anticipated	3393:1 3396:12	3267:15,16
3325:2 3372:9	amount 3236:4	3312:16	3409:17,18	3268:7,26
3389:24 3395:15	3241:19 3247:4	anybody's	3417:17 3435:2	3272:25 3273:4
3404:1 3408:6	3291:18 3294:6	3322:19	3436:23 3437:8	3282:18 3283:15
3434:7	3308:23 3331:18	anymore 3338:7	applies 3228:16	3356:12 3358:24
aid 3224:14	3337:19 3342:24	3390:3	3233:26 3241:18	3359:24 3371:23
3225:6 3345:3,5	3353:16 3367:1		apply 3390:5	3391:22 3406:7
3346:12 3347:13	3383:25 3436:23	Apollo 3230:19	3433:24 3435:2	Arctic 3349:18
3355:14 3357:22	anaerobic	apologize 3246:7	applying	area 3244:13
3359:11 3361:10	3279:13 3299:8	3292:26 3296:19	3433:21	3254:13 3264:22
aim 3275:10	analysis 3249:2	3299:2 3361:12	approach 3228:2	3266:10 3269:14
Alberta 3226:17,	3333:3 3381:17,	3369:22	3229:14 3231:2	3270:2 3271:5,
24 3227:3	19 3382:12	appearance	3232:18 3244:20	21,24 3276:14,
3241:12 3246:20	3383:11 3384:4	3410:2	3247:14 3251:14	15,16,22 3277:8
3248:7 3258:16	3423:15,18	appeared	3275:18 3279:7	3285:20,23
3263:10,26	3424:5,9 3425:5	3267:13	3281:15 3285:6,	3286:23 3289:14
3269:17 3328:1,	3431:13	appearing	8,13,15,24	3297:6,11
22,24 3329:18	angle 3392:11,15,	3264:8 3266:11	3286:21,24,25	3301:10 3310:7
3351:20 3373:16,	16 3398:17	appears 3233:26	3291:11 3301:5,	3319:2 3344:19
17,22 3376:14		3238:8 3281:9	19 3312:1 3323:3	3347:26 3348:8,9
3378:2,25 3381:7	angling 3392:5	3287:22 3302:17	3360:12 3388:25	3350:7 3367:17
3382:14 3384:12	3393:5,15,18,24 3394:26 3396:6	Appendix	3396:9 3428:2	3387:13,22
3398:1,2 3408:14	3397:3,13,23	3278:25 3379:6,	3429:2,15 3433:9	3394:3 3398:14
3439:21 3440:8	3398:2,3,5,7,24	7,8 3391:20	approaches	3400:14 3408:25
Alberta's 3232:6	animal 3290:18	3408:18 3412:10,	3311:25 3360:11,	3410:5 3413:17
3351:18		13	12 3396:4	3414:3 3417:3
alerted 3278:19	anisotropic	applicable	approval	3418:13 3421:7,
Alfalfa 3329:2	3423:21,25	3283:12 3428:5	3233:18 3263:15,	12 3422:2,4
	3430:5,9,10,14	application	16 3275:16	3427:2 3432:3
algae 3338:2,3,12	anisotropy	3223:10 3226:2	3310:6 3366:16	3435:9 3437:5
align 3275:11	3424:7 3425:15	3227:9 3229:3	3403:24	area's 3230:1
alike 3247:6	announced	3230:20 3250:23	approvals	area/water
Alistair 3330:3	3279:20 3287:6,	3253:14 3277:23	3371:13	3411:12
allocated 3437:5	7,12,13 3288:10,	3279:15,16	approve 3363:24	areas 3229:10,23
allowed 3350:14	12,13,20,22	3287:23,24	approved	3244:5 3245:9
3388:18 3438:19	3289:3,9	3288:25 3289:22	3263:25 3388:26	3259:2,6,21
allowing 3263:4	announcing	3293:26 3295:12,	3403:18	3271:4,9 3273:8,
Allred 3330:11	3289:12	17,26 3299:11,12		20,23 3274:2,3
alluvial 3242:11	annual 3274:12,	3371:10,15,18,22	approximate 3429:25	3297:12,23,24
	15 3434:11,17,	3373:8 3404:12		3298:4 3348:26
	18,20,24 3436:4		approximated	3364:21 3391:8
	1		1	

		5		
3398:3,24,25	14,15 3423:12	22 3345:6	bachelor	3337:11 3341:15
3400:12 3435:6,8	3427:19 3429:5	attenuated	3409:15,17,18	3404:8
argue 3230:24	3439:18	3278:2	back 3227:4	backfilled
3387:15,19	assessments	attenuation	3234:5,20	3291:23 3321:17
argument	3406:2	3277:17 3300:4	3235:18,26	3323:3
3420:6,8 3438:26	assign 3376:7	3304:25 3305:17	3237:2 3265:5	background
armour 3241:11	assigned 3374:19	3306:7,13,15,19	3266:12,16	3225:18 3226:13
armoured	Association	3307:7 3332:10	3271:1 3288:1	backwards
3239:24 3262:12	3328:25 3330:1	3333:14 3338:22	3295:25 3297:4	3399:21,25
	3363:7,10	3339:4,6,15,23	3298:21,22	bacteria 3316:6
arrow 3296:12	3408:14	3340:3 3341:20	3304:3 3311:11	bad 3424:25
arrows 3241:23	assumed 3239:5	audio 3221:24	3319:20 3321:13	3425:1
3369:4	3277:22 3394:18	August 3379:12	3323:9 3325:12	
Arruda 3296:8,	3433:7,8	3380:26 3381:4	3331:1 3333:4,7	balance 3248:12
11,15 3327:16	assumes 3277:17	3391:26	3337:23 3340:7	3280:9 3436:1,8
3439:13	3429:22	Australians	3347:3 3354:15	ball 3252:18
art 3251:11		3243:16	3356:14,23 3364:10 3365:9	Band 3328:4
article 3346:15,	assuming 3282:2 3379:15 3416:3	authorizations	3370:19 3380:21	bankrupt
17,22 3356:3,6	3418:2	3233:10	3381:24 3387:1	3235:18
3357:23,26		authors 3260:17	3390:12 3398:18	Barata 3328:15
artificial 3228:11	assumption 3277:14 3398:23	3417:18	3402:26 3417:22	Barbara 3329:14
aspect 3306:20	3425:18 3433:11	availability	3433:1 3437:11,	barrier 3269:21,
3313:1 3345:14		3361:18 3415:3	15	26 3272:13,19,21
aspects 3225:3	assumptions 3277:19 3278:8	average 3306:14	backcross	3375:12,17,20,22
3269:4 3285:15	3302:4 3423:20	3334:9,11	3380:9	3376:12 3383:24
3312:25 3334:15	3424:7 3427:15,	3339:18 3394:20	backfill 3278:4	barriers 3374:4,
3344:25 3345:8	17	3434:21 3435:5	3279:12 3289:25	7 3375:2,5,6
3346:11 3409:1	assurance	3437:1	3290:2,6 3291:5,	Bartlett 3267:1,
assess 3230:5	3234:4 3236:1,3	avoid 3243:14	19,20,25	9,10 3306:1
assessed 3388:12	3237:12	3252:22	3292:13,21	3330:22
3405:26 3406:3,	assured 3238:2	Avoiding 3231:7	3294:22,26	base 3276:24
5,10 3422:21	3246:2	aware 3235:7	3302:21 3303:4	3313:20 3361:20
assessment	Atrum 3372:1,6,	3236:23 3259:11,	3312:20 3313:6,	based 3225:13
3223:11 3229:9	16	13,22,23 3295:24	7,8,15,21 3314:3,	3226:20 3277:11
3257:11,15,17	attached 3408:18	3302:2 3306:19	19,22 3315:1,20	3280:5 3281:17,
3265:16 3269:13	3413:23	3309:20 3310:16	3316:19,23	23,25 3285:19
3280:4 3287:5,	attaches 3323:10	3315:23 3378:12	3317:6 3318:6,12	3298:15 3302:3
11,16 3288:3	3412:10,12	awareness	3323:15,17,22	3308:22 3315:12
3289:17 3302:5,	-	3319:13 3320:7,	3324:1,5,7,11,22, 25 3325:11	3336:19 3354:10
10 3371:8,10	attain 3338:20	9,11	3331:9 3332:5,	3360:12 3368:22
3372:25,26 3375:1,18,19	attained 3311:20		10,18,24	3375:18 3376:2,
3391:22 3404:16	attempted	B	3333:15,19	8,10,14 3377:25
3412:26 3413:3,	3415:20	D	3334:12,18,26	3378:24 3381:1
24 3415:4	attention	BA 3409:15	3335:13,15,23	3383:25 3384:4 3386:4,5 3388:13
3416:18 3417:10,	3238:17 3265:14,		3336:4,7,11,26	3392:10,22
				5572.10,22

		6		
3393:5,8,14,18,	3335:1,11	3296:23 3298:11	3400:3 3403:16,	biomass 3310:22,
19 3394:8,12	bedding 3424:8	3300:25 3301:4	17	24 3311:11,19
3395:2 3396:16	3430:6,8	3309:17 3310:2	Bewley 3267:19	3337:15,16,20,22
3397:3 3398:2,6,		3312:1,4 3314:2	3268:11,16,17,20	3338:6
8 3399:1,16	bedrock 3227:14	3317:10 3321:14	3330:24	bioreactor
3401:4 3406:8	3240:1	3325:26 3327:22		3299:8
3412:26 3415:1,	began 3299:10	3331:4 3332:4	bias 3433:9	
8,25 3416:22	begin 3223:7	3338:21 3340:16	biblio 3304:1	bioreactors
3423:12 3431:21	beginning	3341:20 3363:14	big 3231:2	3334:14
3434:25	3223:21 3231:7	3365:13,22	3242:11 3364:3	biota 3282:18
baseflow	3239:3 3251:5	3366:14 3368:11,	bigger 3336:12,	3406:7
3431:17	begins 3227:26	16 3370:1,4,6	14	birds 3239:8
	3230:3 3249:5	3371:9 3373:11,	billion 3236:19	3406:15,16
baseline 3289:21		19,20,24 3378:2,	3308:15	bit 3256:6 3257:4
3370:6,16,21	begs 3246:10	7 3380:25	bioaccumulation	3260:12,19
3371:4 3373:8	behaviour	3382:13 3383:12	3282:22 3352:7,	3292:17 3307:6
3378:15 3387:2	3341:23 3429:23	3384:5,16	10,11	3332:2,16
basic 3291:25	Belews 3353:14	3386:14,18		3335:11 3337:6
3335:3,21	3356:16	3389:10 3403:5,	bioavailability	3349:10 3359:17
basically	believes 3282:1	10 3404:18	3360:12,16,21	3362:13 3363:12
3317:12 3335:6	beneath 3379:21	3405:25 3406:3	3361:1,20	3387:21 3391:24
3357:1 3403:19	benefit 3273:12,	3408:11 3431:10	bioavailability-	3403:8,9,19
3404:15 3427:14	21 3363:15	3435:4	based 3360:5,22	3418:5
3430:10,14	3367:17	Benga's 3223:10	biochemical	black 3375:5
3437:4 3438:8	benefits 3273:16	3228:7 3280:6	3278:2 3291:7	3421:11
basis 3227:21	3323:2 3367:9	3283:1,20	3317:3 3332:20	Blairmore
3229:5 3250:23		3293:22 3295:9	3333:18 3334:16	3269:15 3276:1,5
3274:12 3287:1	Benga 3225:24 3227:17 3229:3	3301:3 3303:7	3335:3,21	3278:15 3281:14
3313:2 3352:11	3245:2,25 3246:4	3343:8 3364:11	3341:23 3342:22	3282:18,20,22
3391:11 3417:6	,	3374:13 3376:19	biodiversity	3283:6 3285:18
3427:6 3439:4	3254:2,10	3385:6 3386:1	3223:5	3286:7,11 3371:6
BC 3227:3	3265:4,19,25	3399:8	biofouling	3373:14 3375:11,
3344:13	3266:1 3268:5	Berdina 3328:26	3309:3,7,20	12,16,17 3376:22
BCT04 3381:21	3270:3 3271:4,14		3310:1,3,10,15,	3377:5,16,18,19,
bear 3343:22	3272:24 3273:7, 18 3275:1,15,20	Berkeley 3263:1	19,21 3311:6,8	22 3378:18,21
	3276:26 3277:19	berm 3243:15	3312:2,5,9,19,26	3379:3,12,19
bed 3290:17,23,	3278:3,10,18,21	Bettles 3267:15	3331:15,25	3380:1,15,18,19,
24 3291:5,7 3294:7,9,13,14,	3279:6,11	3268:2 3330:23	Biokinetic	26 3381:6,18,20,
3294:7,9,13,14, 18 3302:14,15	3280:4,15,21,25	3369:26 3370:2	3358:23	25 3382:11,15,
,	3280.4,13,21,23	3371:2,23,25	biological	20,23 3383:12,13
3303:9,10 3311:5,6	3282:1,2,3,7	3373:7,10 3375:9	3279:13 3314:15	3384:2 3385:7
3313:13,16	3283:24 3284:5	3378:19 3384:7,	3315:6 3323:11	3386:2,5,15,19
3316:16,17	3285:6,7	10 3385:9,19	3331:19 3336:5,	3387:4 3389:9
3317:1,7 3318:5,	3286:20,22	3388:12,21	16,21,23 3337:1,	3391:26 3396:26
11,26 3319:1,3	3280.20,22	3390:8 3392:8	9	3399:12,18,23
3332:18,21	3288:11 3289:2,	3393:24 3394:10,	-	3403:10 3404:9
3334:11,17,26	5,25 3294:1,4,13	12 3395:25	biology 3317:17	3406:7 3411:13
5557.11,17,20	, ,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	3396:1 3399:26		

		7		
3422:12 3434:12	boundary	bucket 3405:22		3329:20
3439:21	3426:25	budget 3252:15,	С	candidate 3274:3
blanket 3247:14	bounds 3358:16	20,26		capability
blast-hole 3298:4	box 3288:17	buffering	CA 3439:14	3232:5 3246:10,
block 3245:18	3369:3,21	3293:14	calculate	11,12 3247:10
3266:21	boxes 3369:4	Buffers 3274:5	3394:21	3249:1
blocking 3239:22	boy 3359:8	build 3242:20	calculated	capacity 3247:22
3242:12	Boyce 3329:21	3261:21 3288:23	3306:18 3398:7	3273:22 3287:2
blocks 3272:19	bracket 3415:11	3289:19 3304:16	3415:15	3293:15 3315:4
bloom 3338:2,3	brackets 3333:9	3318:4	calculation	capital 3280:1
bloom-type	Bradley 3330:7	build-out 3315:2	3394:8 3396:18	capture 3275:24
3338:12	braided 3271:8	build-up 3310:22	calculations	3276:7 3277:1,5
blue 3241:23		3336:2	3434:24	3278:23 3279:7
3251:24 3418:1,	break 3265:2,3 3326:9 3368:1	building 3234:9	Calgary 3410:24	3380:25 3389:15
14,17 3419:13	3395:11 3407:7,	3245:3 3279:23	3440:8	3396:7,13 3398:17,19
3421:22	26 3408:2,8	3290:20 3335:7	calibrated	3413:20 3414:4,
boards 3226:25	bring 3265:21	3434:3	3424:12 3434:2	12
bodies 3352:4,6	3271:18 3345:5	builds 3228:14	calibration	captured 3222:2
body 3286:3	3374:20	built 3242:18	3415:9,26 3416:23 3417:25,	3379:23 3381:16
bolt-on 3250:9	brings 3264:21	3243:19 3256:8	26 3418:26	3384:22,25
Bolton 3327:5	Brinker 3254:2	3272:17 3300:9 3312:16 3318:21	3419:2,7	3394:26 3396:22
bond 3236:14,21	3327:23	3320:8 3341:16	call 3243:17	capturing
bonds 3236:3	British 3283:4	3365:22,24	3290:19 3297:23	3389:15
bootstraps	3332:11 3333:15	3366:12 3367:8,	3302:18,19	carbon 3310:9
3438:11,15	3348:21 3410:15	24 3375:7	called 3229:16	3311:13 3314:4,
boreholes	3414:25 3417:15	3405:16	3230:1 3241:23	7,9 3319:2,21
3432:6,8	broad 3269:6	bull 3348:1	3251:14 3256:9	3337:24
boring 3234:10	3378:25 3398:23	3349:18 3350:4,5	3299:14 3302:20	care 3235:15,21
born 3230:19	3401:5	bullet 3411:8	3355:7,16	3236:6,15,18 3237:11 3243:1
borrow 3407:13	broadcast	3427:13,16,17	3403:18	3258:20,22,25
borrowing	3222:4	3430:3,19,25	calm 3247:5	3437:24
3367:21	broader 3304:19 3320:9 3387:13	3431:1 3433:1	camera 3222:1	career 3359:13
bottom 3272:16	3401:11	bullets 3255:5	Campbell	careful 3385:11
3306:12 3315:10,	broke 3396:3	bunch 3316:1,3	3327:17 3330:1	3386:14 3390:22
11 3332:1,14,15	broken 3321:24	bund 3243:17	Canada 3265:13	caretaker
3333:8 3338:3	3323:10	Burge 3267:23	3282:26 3301:1 3320:11 3328:8	3367:12,14
3343:13 3355:15	brook 3349:17,	3268:3 3330:24	3329:26 3330:1	Carolina 3296:2
3380:22 3418:6	19,21	buried 3248:21	3348:21 3360:10	3299:13
3434:10	brought 3306:25	business 3240:7	3408:17,22	carry 3241:25
bound 3258:22,	Brule 3343:25,26	3242:25 3437:23	3439:17	3242:1 3368:9
23 3268:19	BSC 3409:4	3439:22	Canadian	case 3247:3
boundaries 3288:18 3289:13	3410:12	busy 3266:11	3236:14 3265:14	3256:14 3266:24
3200:10 3289:13	5110.12		3284:3 3328:20	3282:1,4 3283:12

		8		
3286:2 3302:1 3322:15 3332:8, 22 3333:2,17 3352:9 3356:14 3360:17 3368:21 3383:4 3417:17 3428:14 3439:8 cases 3232:1 3241:5 3255:26 3356:15,24 3357:4 3435:6 3437:2 cash 3236:3 casts 3422:19 catch 3379:18 3380:1,12 3393:22 3394:10 3398:10 catch-up 3252:18 catchment 3434:12 categories 3321:2,3 caught 3322:25 3323:11 3379:11 3390:21 3394:4,7 3398:6 3403:13 caused 3270:18 3275:3 3303:18 3364:7,8 causing 3242:8 cease 3321:25 celebrate 3234:17 cell 3277:12 cells 3310:25,26	$\begin{array}{c} 3242:17\ 3250:24\\ 3440:1\\ \textbf{certification}\\ 3242:21\\ \textbf{certified}\ 3234:17\\ \textbf{certify}\ 3440:3\\ \textbf{cetera}\ 3228:19\\ \textbf{chain}\ 3345:22\\ 3358:23\\ \textbf{Chains}\ 3358:24\\ \textbf{chair}\ 3221:23\\ 3253:22,25\\ 3254:1,4,14\\ 3258:2,3,6,7,9,14\\ 3260:3,4\\ 3264:11,17,19,21\\ 3265:8,10,11\\ 3266:5,14,16,20\\ 3267:12\ 3268:10,\\ 13,22,25\ 3270:12\\ 3271:13,21\\ 3272:15,24\\ 3274:9\ 3276:2,21\\ 3274:9\ 3276:2,21\\ 3279:6,11\\ 3280:5,20\\ 3281:4,13\\ 3283:22\ 3285:9\\ 3294:2\ 3295:10\\ 3300:26\ 3301:7,\\ 26\ 3304:13\\ 3307:5,25\\ 3308:25\ 3310:4\\ 3312:3,7,21\\ 3313:6,11\ 3315:1\\ 320:16\ 3321:3,\\ 16\ 3322:13,24\\ 3323:7,16\\ 3324:13\ 3325:13\\ 3326:7\ 11\ 12\\ \end{array}$	8 3374:24 3375:9 3378:3,8 3380:13 3381:15,20 3382:4,16 3383:15 3384:7 3385:10 3386:20 3387:7,20 3388:12,20,21 3389:11 3390:7 3392:8,20,21,26 3393:12,21 3394:14 3395:10, 15,16,17 3397:12 3398:12 3400:3, 19,20 3402:4,8, 16,23 3403:26 3404:1,2,7,22,25 3405:3,12,24 3406:5,17,26 3407:4,19 3408:1,6 3409:10 3414:16 3420:4 3422:6 3431:23 3437:12,14,19, 23,26 3438:4 3439:2,11,15,22 Chairman 3222:19 3283:13, 20 3294:15 3368:1,20 3373:4 3384:21 3395:5, 13 3406:25 challenging 3227:14 chance 3263:8 3266:6,17 change 3227:24	changed 3315:14 3363:8 changing 3341:25 3388:3 3390:13 channel 3239:25 3242:16 3243:9 3262:12 3366:7 channels 3241:3, 11,25 3242:1,14, 18,20 3243:13 3262:16 Chapter 3328:22 3329:18 char 3347:25 3348:1,5 3349:2, 6,18 3350:1,2,16 characterization 3344:22 3365:4 characterize 3227:10 3348:6 3414:1 characterized 3276:18 3353:4 chart 3228:26 3231:1 3306:4 3369:24 cheap 3312:13 cheaper 3244:20 check 3298:3,26 3347:3,4,7 3378:16 3436:25 checking 3291:24 3313:3 3400:12 checks 3282:19 chemicals 3242:8	child 3263:1 choose 3353:19 chosen 3234:8 CHPP 3411:11 Chris 3233:6 3343:23 3344:5, 10,18,24 3345:1, 10 chronic 3359:23 3362:12 CIAR 3223:20 3224:11 3225:16 3306:2 3374:24 3408:18 3411:1 3414:22 3439:11, 14,16 circling 3234:20 circling 3234:20 circumneutral 3293:17 circumstances 3286:6 cite 3346:22 3347:5 3361:9 cities 3235:10 City 3440:8 civil 3226:23 Claire 3440:3,14 clarify 3260:8 3303:24 3396:2 3397:12 class 3392:12 3396:6 classification 3417:17 classified 3270:7 3415:5 3417:10
caused 3270:18 3275:3 3303:18 3364:7,8 causing 3242:8 cease 3321:25 celebrate 3234:17 cell 3277:12	3300:26 3301:7, 26 3304:13 3307:5,25 3308:25 3310:4 3312:3,7,21 3313:6,11 3315:1 3320:16 3321:3, 16 3322:13,24 3323:7,16	3368:1,20 3373:4 3384:21 3395:5, 13 3406:25 challenges 3371:20 challenging 3227:14 chance 3263:8 3266:6,17	<pre>cheaper 3244:20 check 3298:3,26 3347:3,4,7 3378:16 3436:25 checking 3291:24 3313:3 3400:12 checks 3282:19</pre>	<pre>clarify 3260:8 3303:24 3396:2 3397:12 clarity 3233:23 class 3392:12 3396:6 classification 3417:17</pre>

$\begin{array}{c} 3310:6\ 3332:21\\ 3345:16\ 3365:8\\ 3382:16\ 3384:8\\ 3390:26\ 3411:18\\ 3422:3,4\ 3435:18\\ 3436:22\ 3437:16\\ 3438:17\\ \textbf{clear-cut}\ 3435:9\\ \textbf{clearer}\ 3284:10\\ \textbf{click}\ 3296:11\\ 3385:16\\ \textbf{clients}\ 3228:1\\ 3423:3\ 3426:23\\ \textbf{clients}\ 3228:1\\ 3423:3\ 3426:23\\ \textbf{cliff-compacted}\\ 3259:17\\ \textbf{climate}\ 3329:23\\ 3360:10\ 3407:11\\ 3411:22\\ \textbf{clip}\ 3403:25\\ \textbf{clipping}\ 3403:21,\\ 24\\ \textbf{close}\ 3249:21\\ 3333:20\ 3419:14\\ 3420:23\ 3423:5\\ \textbf{closed}\ 3236:13\\ 3249:3\ 3397:15\\ \textbf{closely}\ 3298:5\\ 3349:17\ 3350:6\\ \textbf{closer}\ 3291:8\\ 3422:12\\ \textbf{closest}\ 3279:18\\ \textbf{closure}\ 3223:4,\\ 12\ 3225:4,9,20,\\ 25\ 3231:21\\ \end{array}$	3288:24 3289:19, 20 3297:14,20 3300:24 3301:2 3325:17,18 3330:1 3334:8 3355:25,26 3359:18 3364:1, 19 3411:10 3432:13 3439:19 coal-fired 3356:18 Coalition 3328:24 3408:13 coaster 3250:12 coauthor 3357:15 coauthored 3346:16,17 3347:15 3355:24 3358:23 cold 3334:22 collapse 3239:21 collapsed 3297:25 colleague 3232:17 3233:5 3292:3 3309:19 3315:15 colleagues 3307:13 3309:24 337:4 3354:14 colleagues' 3264:13 collect 3243:18 3368:23 3371:5	collecting 3370:2,4,6 3412:16 3427:4 collection 3310:25 3369:26 3403:4 3411:7 collections 3412:7 collective 3343:21 colour 3337:6 Columbia 3283:4 3332:11 3333:15 3348:21 3410:15 3414:25 3417:15 column 3334:14, 17 3340:9,17,19, 20,23 3341:13, 19,22 3342:2,13, 16 3401:9 columns 3334:8 3340:20 combination 3236:2 3249:18 3396:6 combined 3426:3 combines 3400:13 combined 3426:3 combined 3426:3	3300:8 commission 3230:10 Commissioner 3327:6,7 commitment 3243:26 3244:4,8 3246:9 3259:5 commitments 3229:11 3232:3 3233:3,15,16 3234:22 3243:3 3246:5 3252:4 32358:23 commits 3275:20 second and application applicatio	comparator 3279:18 3283:1 compare 3388:1 3401:1,4,6 200 compared 3236:20 3274:26 3236:20 3274:26 3282:23 3340:9 3355:17 comparing 3391:1 comparison 3401:13 comparison 3401:13 comparisons 3343:15 compelled 3232:1 3232:1 3237:4 compensated 3349:3 compensation 3348:11 complete 3237:10 332:8 3413:9 3427:1 3440:4 completed 3222:14,15 3275:18 3332:9 333:13 3366:14 3416:17 3427:3 completely 3271:6
 clipping 3403:21, 24 close 3249:21 3333:20 3419:14 3420:23 3423:5 closed 3236:13 3249:3 3397:15 closely 3298:5 3349:17 3350:6 closer 3291:8 3422:12 closest 3279:18 closure 3223:4, 12 3225:4,9,20, 	<pre>cold 3334:22 collapse 3239:21 collapsed 3297:25 colleague 3232:17 3233:5 3292:3 3309:19 3315:15 colleagues 3307:13 3309:24 3337:4 3354:14 colleagues' 3264:13</pre>	19,22 3342:2,13, 16 3401:9 columns 3334:8 3340:20 combination 3236:2 3249:18 3396:6 combined 3426:3 combines 3400:13 combining 3424:16 commence 3310:7	3245:14 3259:25 3261:23 3262:23 3290:3 3299:20 3429:15 communities 3227:23 3228:4, 24 3233:14 3235:24 3245:21 3252:2,11 3264:1 community 3232:14 3244:24 3270:4 compacted 3277:9 companies	3348:11 compiled 3361:25 complete 3237:10 3259:12 3332:8 3413:9 3427:1 3440:4 completed 3222:14,15 3275:18 3332:9 3333:13 3366:14 3416:17 3417:18 3426:13 3427:3 completely
3240:17,22 3250:4 3253:14 3259:10 coal 3223:17 3227:3,11 3228:22 3234:10, 16 3248:6 3251:6 3255:8 3259:11, 23 3269:3 3283:3 3284:21,25	14 3373:11,24 3387:10 3402:26 collected 3228:14 3373:19 3379:22 3381:4,5 3382:11,12 3384:1 3386:4 3394:15 3402:5 3411:20	3400:8 comment 3292:5, 6,9 3305:1 3355:25 3358:2 comments 3438:25 commercial 3245:4 commercially	3299:24 3310:12 3320:20 3354:21, 25 3355:5 company 3299:22 3300:25 3355:23 comparable 3303:6,9 3349:22 3387:6 3391:12	3348:19 complexity 3413:18 3414:3 3415:1,5 3417:11,12 complicating 3240:4 component 3361:3 3382:5 3423:7

		10		
comprehensive	condition	3418:7 3437:8,10	consisted 3411:8	3408:16,21
3402:9	3244:24 3371:6	confirming	3413:5,11	consumed
comprised	3373:21 3431:14	3418:4 3420:18	consistent	3228:23
3271:26 3376:16	conditions	confluence	3354:14 3417:3,5	contact 3222:5
compromised	3237:5 3245:26	3271:3	3421:10	3275:24,25
3273:21	3247:11 3251:15,	confronting	consistently	3276:3,7 3277:1
concentrate	18 3263:15,16,	3252:22	3304:11	15 3278:17
3305:15	21,25 3272:2	confused 3383:7	consists 3413:10	3279:7,10,11
concentration	3275:11 3285:22	3412:14	consortium	3372:4
3306:10 3307:15,	3293:12 3297:20		3357:10	contained
17,22 3311:18	3308:3,8,12	connect 3301:17		3273:24
3339:11 3340:6	3311:21 3337:26	connection	constant 3311:5,	contaminant
3350:23	3342:20 3360:15,	3347:24 3412:8	14	3409:23
	18,21 3361:1	connectivity	constitutes	
concentrations	3371:18 3421:25	3271:14 3273:9	3260:20	contaminants
3280:8 3282:20	3429:20	3425:11 3426:9	constrained	3261:6 3273:24
3305:15 3306:8	conduct 3298:8	consequence	3421:23	contamination
3308:14 3350:21	3373:20 3425:8	3301:10	construct	3319:19
concept 3318:17	3426:8	conservation	3230:10 3239:2	content 3278:1
conceptual	conducted	3223:4 3237:16	3277:4 3366:21	contingencies
3227:19,20	3270:3 3274:11,	3269:16 3329:5	constructed	3229:11,17
3251:24,26	13	3374:5	3228:21 3229:23	3230:7,9
3252:5 3302:3	conductivity	conservative	3237:26 3238:26	contingency
3415:7 3423:14	3425:9,19	3277:19 3278:9	3239:18 3242:15,	3239:10 3250:2
conceptually	3426:5,20	3285:6,11,12,16,	26	3293:22 3294:2
3278:24	3429:25 3430:10	24 3286:5,8	constructing	3302:7,11
concern 3269:12	3431:15	3302:4 3339:3	3246:19 3366:15	continue 3226:
3320:10	conduit 3430:23	3351:23,24		3331:3 3344:9
concerned		3352:4,5,15	construction 3228:17 3249:22	3437:21
3281:18	conference	3353:12 3354:19		continued
	3305:8 3307:1	3387:19	3255:11,22	
concerns 3222:5,	confess 3224:10	considerable	3280:17	3235:22 3256:1
7,8 3253:23 3280:12	confidence	3255:25 3297:11	consult 3337:3	continuing
	3254:20 3423:16		consultant	3389:2
conclude	confident	considerably	3226:20 3343:5	contoured
3225:24 3355:10	3275:13 3280:7	3281:12 3283:9	3374:12,18,22,23	3245:17
3359:26 3362:6	3286:17	consideration	3375:1 3380:22	contribute
concluded	configuration	3239:12 3253:13	Consultant's	3337:24
3281:16 3355:13	3431:8	considerations	3411:1	contributed
conclusion	configured	3293:13,16	consultants	3249:16 3438:1
3282:16 3283:13	3431:19	3360:5	3240:20 3267:16,	control 3231:1
3349:5,26 3360:4		considered	20,22	3243:6 3247:11
3378:4	confines 3270:1	3226:2 3240:11	consultation	3249:11,19,24,
5570.4	confirm 3223:7			
conclusions		3287:25 3289:8	3368.12	3250+1 3335+10
	3266:23,25	3287:25 3289:8 3349:4	3368:12 Consulting	
conclusions			3368:12 Consulting 3267:18,21	3250:1 3335:19 3344:16 controlled

		11		
3338:15	4,15,16,19,20,25	3396:25	3283:23	3386:2,3,5,7,9,
controlling	3419:15,16,17	counted 3397:19	CR 3408:18	10,15,19 3387:3,
3356:7	3420:24,25	counter 3332:2	3414:21 3417:22	26 3388:1,8,9
controls 3249:22	3421:14 3423:23		3427:13 3434:9	3389:9,17
	3428:12 3429:14	counterbalance	cracking	3393:14,26
controversy	3430:15,16	3273:10 3275:2	3259:16	3394:11 3396:25,
3352:16	3432:4 3434:15,	counting		26 3399:12,19,
conversion	16,22 3435:17,24	3390:26 3397:18	crazy 3302:19	22,23 3400:6
3282:11	3436:1,21	3399:5	create 3228:5	3402:14,18,21
convert 3313:9	correctly	counts 3388:5	3291:3 3390:15	3403:10,11
Cooke 3329:5	3361:13 3408:24	couple 3234:16	3414:19 3432:26	3404:9,14,16
cool 3273:25	correlate 3428:3	3246:8 3254:5	created 3229:5	3405:3,4 3406:7,
Coordinator	correlates	3258:19 3260:5,	3256:9 3277:8	8 3411:13 3419:4
3305:24	3425:2	8,20 3261:19	creative 3356:19	3421:3,16,25
		3318:22,23	credit 3236:3	3422:1,5,8,12,14
copper 3348:19	correlation	3331:16 3396:19	creek 3243:17	3434:13 3435:13,
copy/pasted	3433:16	3405:2 3406:23	3269:9,15,20,22	22
3384:16	correspondence/	court 3222:23,26	3270:1,5,7,15,17,	creeks 3251:18
core-hole	rebuttal 3358:5	3226:3,7,10	18,26 3271:2,5,6,	3277:16,25
3424:17,19	Cory 3267:15	3267:26 3292:24	8,16,22 3272:6,8,	3278:15 3286:2
3426:13	3268:2 3330:23	3293:1 3296:3,17	11,19,25 3273:1,	3347:26 3350:18
corner 3272:17	cost 3236:5,6,8,	3299:1 3309:4	5,7,15,17 3274:6,	3370:23 3400:25
3320:18 3419:12	17 3237:10	3330:19 3351:26	10,23 3276:1,5	3406:9
corporate 3236:3	3241:26 3242:22	3355:22 3407:25	3281:8,15	crest 3242:10
correct 3223:18,	3252:9,13,24	3440:15	3282:14,18,20,22	3243:15,16
19 3224:15,16	3253:11 3257:19	Court's 3265:14	3283:6 3284:7,12	criteria 3229:7
3254:16 3255:1	3312:5,10	cover 3224:23	3285:18 3286:7,	3233:1 3241:8,9
3256:11 3292:11	3365:15,17,21	3225:20 3229:26	11 3290:21	3275:11 3354:13
3295:3 3300:11	costed 3240:6	3232:10 3236:2	3302:16 3316:13,	3358:25 3359:3
3303:12 3304:8	costs 3231:10	3242:10 3245:16	15,22 3328:18	3360:2,13,14,18,
3307:24 3309:12	3241:8 3252:25	3246:15,20,26	3348:7,24,25	22,23,25
3312:12,15	3280:2	3247:3 3249:16	3350:18 3363:18,	3361:20,22,26
3317:10,13	Cote 3328:4	3389:8 3391:6	21,26 3364:11,	3362:1,7,12,14
3323:21 3351:13	Council 3330:16	covered 3249:12	22,24,25 3365:1,	critical 3241:5,7
3355:16,19	3357:16 3359:18	3400:14	7,9,11 3366:17	3248:14 3261:2
3359:6 3374:14	3369:10,11,18	covering 3391:7	3367:2,12 3371:7	3262:1,3 3270:7
3375:19 3377:3,	counsel 3222:6	3408:25 3410:5	3373:15 3375:11,	3355:6,7 3365:1,
21,23,26 3383:1	3254:10 3265:18,	covers 3245:3,8	12,16,17	5 3375:24
3387:4 3393:6,9	19 3284:3	3247:15 3248:23	3376:21,22,25	3376:8,21,26
3394:10,17	3327:9,10,13	3249:10,13,17,24	3377:5,16,19,22	3377:5,16,24
3399:9 3403:12	3329:14,16	3250:3 3253:16	3378:18,21 3379:3,12,19	crop 3245:19
3408:20 3409:5,	3330:3,5,7,9,11,	3259:10,24	3380:1,15,18,19,	cross 3232:19
6,20,21,24,25 3410:6,7,10,13	14 3408:13	cowrote 3355:6	26 3381:6,18,20,	3272:13 3276:17
3410:6,7,10,13	count 3234:4	3359:22	25 3382:11,15,	3345:3,5 3346:12
3412:13,14	3391:18 3392:7	CPAWS	21,24 3383:12,13	3347:14 3355:14
3416:15 3418:2,	3393:23 3394:1,5	3274:15,17	3384:2 3385:7,8	3357:22 3359:11
5710.15 5710.2,		5217.13,17	000112000011,0	
1				

		12		
3361:10 3368:2 3407:6 3437:21 cross- examination 3222:14 3408:22 3439:1 Cross-examines 3254:6 3283:24 3331:4 3408:11 crosscut 3297:19 Crown 3235:19 Crowsnest 3272:22 3283:7 3328:11 3329:5 crucially 3229:9 crushed 3313:4 CSR(A) 3330:19 3440:14 Cubes 3329:3 cubic 3246:25 cumulative 3287:5,11,15 3288:3 3289:17 3372:24 Cured 3329:2 curious 3263:14, 20 current 3225:8 3230:26 3246:23 3251:7 3253:8	3283:18 3284:6, 13 3363:15,20,25 3364:1,6 3365:6 3366:20 3367:6, 23 3371:24 3373:12 3374:2,5 3375:22 3376:22 3377:9,10 3378:20 3381:8 3384:24 3385:7 3386:2 3399:8, 11,18 3400:17 3401:7,17 3402:14 3404:24 3405:1,4,8,13,19, 21 3406:6,12 3439:20 CV 3254:12 3255:2 3409:8,22 3410:8 cycle 3311:10 3331:23 3337:9, 12,16 cycles 3338:19 D dam 3346:1,4,5 damaging	3361:19,24 3370:1,2,4,6 3371:14 3373:8 3378:15,24 3399:9 3400:22 3401:22 3402:5, 25,26 3403:3 3411:7,16,26 3412:16,20,26 3414:6,18 3415:3 3426:16 database 3402:9 dataset 3413:5,6, 8,9,10,11 3414:18 3415:9, 26 3416:2,23,25 3417:2 date 3247:9 3250:16 3283:16 3289:2 3300:6 3319:13 dated 3223:14 3225:14 3440:8 dates 3295:25 3319:20 dating 3297:3 David 3267:16 3268:2 3330:5,23 Davies 3267:21 3268:3 3320:4,5 3321:10 3330:24	3416:4,6 3440:9 days 3269:5 3283:19 3297:13 3335:17 deadlines 3438:14 deal 3307:2 3310:2,14 3312:1,5,8,26 3325:21 3331:14 dealing 3301:7, 13,14,15 3427:16 dealt 3311:2 3313:1 3378:7 debate 3355:25 3358:21 decade 3236:12 decade 3236:12 decades 3230:4 3252:8 3277:25 3301:8,16 3319:18 3364:15 3401:26 decant 3322:2,4 3324:2 3404:8,13 decay 3338:4,5 decide 3424:14 decided 3256:19 3325:15 decision 3225:6 3233:10 3237:18 3257:18 3369:9	deemed 3375:24 3376:26 3389:19 3417:12 deep 3238:26 3261:20,22 3272:1 3367:11, 19 3430:24 deeper 3248:17 3285:21,25 default 3236:2 deficiencies 3225:8 3237:19, 21 deficits 3367:21 define 3269:7 3414:26 defined 3228:10 3269:16 3288:2, 20 3360:26 3415:5 defines 3377:8 definite 3322:18 definite 3322:18 definition 3287:21 3292:18 339:10 definitive 3415:23 Deforest 3267:16 3268:2 3330:23 3346:22 3347:4 3351:14.17
current 3225:8 3230:26 3246:23	3364:7,8 3365:5,	3268:3 3320:4,5	decision 3225:6	3268:2 3330:23

		13		
delivering	derived 3362:20	3242:26 3243:6	determined	DFO's 3378:5
3304:21 3322:7	3412:21	3248:2 3249:17	3241:16 3246:24	3438:11 3439:1
demand 3310:9	Des 3330:3,9	3313:16 3351:24	3288:5 3316:7	diagram 3243:5,
demonstrate	describe 3254:17	3352:5 3404:7	3378:5 3388:6	7
3245:20 3402:25	3262:22	designer 3255:18	3394:24 3426:1	dialogue 3389:18
demonstrated	describing	designing 3231:6	determines	diameter
3239:5 3278:6	3299:3 3300:21	3246:18 3253:17	3369:12	3340:22
		designs 3227:13,	develop 3250:7	
demonstrates	description	18,23 3228:2,6	3275:18 3280:18	diamonds 3255:7
3322:1	3290:5,13 3302:8	3241:1 3243:3	3284:13 3302:3,	die 3311:11
denied 3235:22	3308:20	3248:5,6,22	7,11 3312:23	3337:23 3405:23
3263:25	desert 3262:23	3250:20 3253:11	3314:18 3320:1	dies 3337:14
Dennis 3321:8	design 3223:16	desire 3399:1	3337:10 3361:20	difference
3347:5 3353:22	3225:9,18,26	desired 3248:3	developed	3276:19 3301:23
dense 3266:9	3227:5,10		3227:16 3229:11,	3313:13 3319:24
density 3241:13,	3228:2,5,8,14,17	3279:8	16,17 3238:1	3336:6 3353:8
14,16,18 3274:25	3229:5,6,7	detail 3229:18	3286:5,26	3354:17 3420:26
3297:26 3298:18	3230:11,24	3231:3 3237:18,	3319:16 3353:13	3424:10 3436:10
3386:11 3392:22	3231:1,8,9,14	20 3238:18	3361:23 3411:16,	differences
3394:8,22,24	3232:13 3237:25,	3239:3 3249:25 3250:17 3251:2	26 3412:19	3318:24 3335:4
3395:1 3398:7	26 3238:4,14,15, 17 3239:12,26	3252:3,6 3253:8	3415:4,25	3353:3,8 3387:12
dent 3402:14	3240:10,16,21,	3287:2 3294:6,16	3416:22	differentiating
Department	22,23,24 3241:2,	3300:15 3406:5	developer 3288:5	3364:24
3366:16 3371:17	3,22,24 3243:20,	3432:9	developing	difficult 3235:12
3376:7	21 3245:21	detailed 3225:25	3321:5 3360:11	3239:2 3370:22
depend 3321:26	3246:24 3247:2,7	3285:19 3298:1,	3361:26	3376:1
dependent	3248:8 3249:2,5,	15 3300:13	development	difficulties
3340:14	10,13,16,21,25	3332:8 3404:15	3248:20 3282:21	3301:14
depending	3250:2,4,9,11,12,	details 3238:25	3285:17 3299:9	dig 3285:25
3350:25 3353:19	14,15,18,26	3240:10 3252:5,	3301:12 3318:17	digging 3240:7
depends 3369:19	3251:7,10,12,21,	7,9 3253:20	3321:7 3336:8	dilution 3311:20
3372:21	25 3252:25	3263:20	3366:9 3411:15,	dimension
deploying	3253:15,18	detect 3278:11	25 3412:18	3336:13
3302:24	3254:15,19,25	3403:21,23	3423:6	
	3255:10,17,21	determ 3369:16	develops 3323:12	direct 3222:12
deposit 3323:1	3260:18 3262:17		devil 3252:4	3223:2 3244:15, 25 3265:4 3266:2
deposited	3263:19 3275:17	determination	devoted 3240:15	25 3265:4 3266:2 3268:5 3270:10
3313:26	3280:14,19	3289:1 3369:16	dewatering	3350:5,9
deposition	3293:19 3294:17	determine	3321:22	direction
3242:11	3302:3,10	3277:12 3325:21	DFO 3265:19	3223:23 3241:24
deposits 3284:26	3312:15,17,24,25 3316:25 3318:12	3368:17,24	3275:16 3368:12	3420:14 3423:23
depth 3246:15	3316:25 3518:12	3372:14,25 3373:1 3376:21	3372:4 3376:21	3420.14 3423.23
3247:3 3248:1		3373:1 3376:21 3415:10 3425:11	3377:4,7,8,25	22,24 3426:3,5
3272:2 3421:26	designed 3228:20 3229:7	3426:8,20	3390:2 3438:2,6,	3430:14 3431:5
derive 3360:14	3228:20 3229:7 3238:19 3239:9	5720.0,20	9	
	5230.17 5237.9			
	1	1	1	'

		14		
directions	discussion's	3343:7 3368:25	double-check	drip 3311:14
3420:20 3430:13,	3249:12	3374:12,14,21,23	3331:8	drive 3302:19
17,18	displacement	3379:9 3380:21,	doubling	driver 3424:22
directly 3272:24	3426:1 3432:12	23,24 3381:11,24	3279:21	drives 3314:10
3283:12 3303:6	displacements	3382:9,16,26	doubt 3234:14	
3369:19 3430:1	3432:10	3384:15,18,20	3251:16 3422:19	drop 3261:22 3400:20,22
disagree 3431:23	disposal 3276:15	3385:5,10,20	downhill	3400.20,22 3401:16 3402:6
disagreement	3355:26 3411:12	3391:19 3399:7,9	3240:25	
3234:22 3352:23	dispose 3336:3	3427:14 3430:26	downstream	dropped 3399:12,19,22,23
disappear	dispute 3353:4	3434:9 3438:2,5	3271:2 3272:22	3402:10
3325:25	dissolved 3293:5	documentation	3283:3 3311:20	
disappearing		3290:4	3322:8 3324:4	dropping 3401:18
3325:5	distillation 3224:19	documented	dozen 3229:2	
disappointing		3273:8,15 3275:6	dozens 3317:18,	drought 3247:24 3402:12,13,18
3232:15	distillations	3433:12	19	
discharge 3348:8	3224:21	documents	dozers 3229:25	Drummond
U	distinct 3228:10	3233:7 3257:16	draft 3273:11	3265:10,12
discharges 3356:17	distinction	3273:2 3307:11		3266:15,18 3328:8 3438:2
	3288:11,14,21	3339:8,22 3377:8	3274:3 3275:10 3359:2	
discipline 3227:6	distribute	3379:5		dry 3271:12
disciplines	3424:20	dollars 3240:21	drain 3239:16	3272:11 3402:11,
3228:16	distribution	Dolly 3347:25	3404:5	18 3412:22
disconnected	3359:23 3432:13	3348:1,5,25	drainage	3413:6,12 3414:14
3271:6,11	disturb 3242:20	3349:1,5,18,26	3229:25 3240:16,	
discovered	3325:10	3350:2,16	21 3241:12,23	due 3269:17
3252:22 3295:19	disturbance	domain 3419:3	3242:7,25 3243:6,8 3253:17	3270:9 3272:1 3286:13 3322:3,
3320:13 3321:9	3324:24	3422:20 3433:19,	3277:4,5 3431:21	3280:13 3322:3, 14 3415:12
discrete 3425:11	disturbed	20	3432:17,18,19,	
3426:9,21	3241:14 3273:20	dominantly	21,23,26	Duke 3296:2 3299:12,18
3429:20,26	ditch 3297:15	3427:21 3429:7	draining 3283:8	3300:9,21
discretion	dive 3349:20	dominated	U	3318:20,25
3245:1		3347:26 3429:20	dramatic 3388:5	
discuss 3240:14	divided 3247:16	Donkersgoed	3391:3 3401:16	dump 3227:18 3242:10,15,21
3253:6 3395:21	dividing 3248:24	3329:1	dramatically	3242:10,15,21 3243:6,10,13,15
discussed 3269:5	3394:24	donor 3314:4,7,9	3271:24 3274:24	3244:12 3257:5,6
3273:1,4	division 3247:2	donors 3337:24	draw 3288:14,21	3259:12,19,24
3276:10,16,18	document	doors 3249:3	drawdown	3277:2,10
3301:3 3321:19	3223:20 3224:12	dot 3418:15	3424:4 3431:9	dumped 3242:14
3388:26 3399:11	3229:5 3255:4	3421:12	3433:20	3244:19
discussing	3266:9 3270:13	dotted 3418:21	drill 3298:5	dumping
3357:24 3395:18	3271:19 3272:5	3421:21	drilled 3297:6	3244:13 3348:4
discussion	3274:18,21		3424:18	
3221:22 3265:7	3276:8 3282:23	double 3394:1,5 3396:25 3397:18,	drilling 3298:4	dumps 3228:18 3230:15 3242:2,9
3283:14,17	3283:2 3288:7 3289:6 3306:25	19 3399:5	3403:8,9 3411:8	3247:6,12
3367:20 3437:25	3289:6 3306:25	17 5577.5	3412:6	3248:22 3249:19
	5507.15 5541.1			5270.22 5277.17

		16		
3412:9 3413:11	equal 3377:11	3393:10,13	events 3270:18	execute 3388:22
3434:12	3431:15	3396:18 3397:2,4	3353:14	executed 3389:1
entirety 3414:6	equally 3428:11,	3398:7,11,21	eventual 3371:21	execution
entitled 3417:25	13 3429:10	3411:21 3429:3	eventually	3232:13
Envirogen	equations	3436:4	3249:6 3404:8	exemption
3299:22	3427:25 3428:5	estimated	everyone's	3246:4
environment	equipment	3236:5,8 3365:21	3252:23	exhaustive
3230:26 3232:10	3297:17	3386:6 3393:4,7,	evidence 3222:13	3388:9,11
3233:6 3252:6,11	equivalent	18 3397:23,24	3223:2,25	3400:11
3259:1 3269:1	3232:5 3246:10,	3434:13	3224:4,15	exhibit 3265:23
3278:14 3283:15	11,12 3247:10	estimates	3258:11 3265:4,	3409:9 3438:20
3286:13 3287:2,3	3249:1 3427:22	3386:24 3387:16	20 3266:2 3268:5	3439:5,16
3291:9 3320:8	3428:5 3429:2,8,	3391:26 3395:1	3368:22 3379:2	exhibits 3438:23
3322:5 3344:13	22 3430:2 3436:5	3396:3,22	3420:16,18	exist 3375:8,10
3351:3 3360:10	era 3235:23	3415:17,21	3431:20,24	3406:9
3373:18,22	3236:1	estimating	3432:2 3433:12	existence
3376:14 3378:2,	erosion 3241:3	3385:11 3390:23	3438:15,23	3432:25
25 3381:7	3242:1 3243:3	estimator 3393:2	evolved 3356:13,	
3382:14 3384:12	3249:18	Evalley 3359:18	20	existing 3270:9 3279:22 3282:24
environmental	error 3238:8,11	evaluate 3280:14	ex-alberta	3360:18,23
3223:11 3230:7	3312:11,13	3310:8	3233:5	3362:20 3371:6
3231:11 3233:6	essentially	evaluating	ex-pit 3276:12	exits 3277:7
3242:23 3252:16,	3318:24 3419:13	3287:1 3357:3	3277:21 3278:13,	
22 3258:23,24 3261:3 3267:17	3421:11 3424:22	evaluation	20,24 3279:2,4	exodus 3277:10
3269:13 3281:19	3427:25 3428:2,6	3285:10,21	3323:9	expanded 3274:5
3354:1,4 3356:9	3429:10 3438:6,	3354:10	exact 3300:6	3387:13 3389:7
3359:2 3360:1	11	Evaluations	3318:14 3319:13,	3400:5
3362:6 3363:17	establish 3245:2,	3359:23	17,22 3381:10	expect 3298:11,
3368:18 3369:13	8,14,25	evapo-	exaggerating	14 3314:2
3371:7,10 3375:1	established	concentrate	3420:13	3337:20 3338:21, 24 3352:9
3403:7 3409:3	3229:5,26	3262:24	examples	3359:13 3365:13
environmentalis	3245:12 3295:7	evaporate	3228:12 3234:18	3377:13 3379:10
ts 3320:20,23	establishing	3261:7 3308:12	3279:15 3280:6,	expectation
environments	3246:10	3436:10	10 3332:5	3288:4
3239:7	establishment	evaporation	excavating	expectations
EPA 3360:18	3295:13	3262:14,17	3298:4	3229:20 3281:1
3361:25 3362:21	estimate 3236:17	3263:6 3433:5	excavation	3341:20
EPA's 3354:13	3237:11 3253:11	3436:3,13	3248:20	expected
3362:14	3273:12 3312:4	evapotranspirati	exceeding	3227:24 3236:19
EPEA 3263:16	3339:3 3365:15,	on 3433:6	3269:18	3248:9 3277:13
EPM 3427:23,24	17 3385:6,26	3435:11	excluded 3237:7	3281:6 3289:15
3428:9,15,17	3386:1,13,14,18 3387:2,6,9,17	evening 3437:24	exclusively	3298:9 3339:11
3429:14,20	3388:19 3392:9,	3439:23	3233:12	3342:14 3406:22
	19,21,26	event 3241:4,5,6	Excuse 3226:3	3427:20 3429:6
	17,21,20	3280:26 3325:7	3309:18	

expecting exploring 3227:12 3304:21 3360:11 3315:13 3340:13 exponential expects 3277:21 3435:1 3304:10 3325:26 expose 3240:3 expended sexpose 3240:3 3237:24 3350:19 expensive 3237:24 3237:24 3350:19 expensive 3280:12 3415:19 extend 3400:23 experience 3300:24 3420:14 experiment 3302:23 3390:9 3300:24 3420:14 extensive experiment 3302:23 3390:9 add1:14 extensive 3225:5 3286:20 3424:4 3433:19 3410:1 expertise 3261:11 3345:13 3438:26 3225:5 3286:20 344:6 atto:8 extract 3297:13, 3410:8 17 experts 3260:21 3266:10 3286:22 3271:9 3304:6 3405:11 extraction 3297:5,24 extremely 3416:1,24 3271:9 3	failed 3268:14 failing 3252:4 fails 3248:25 failure 3346:1,4, 5 fair 3224:4 3245:13 3250:17 3262:11 3358:14 3405:26 fairly 3234:10 3236:21 3295:16 3301:3 3342:17, 19 3353:4 3362:12 3381:12, 13 3384:8 3419:13 faith 3423:4 fall 3230:25 3244:2 3366:8 3391:3 falling 3259:4 3401:26 falls 3251:7 3276:22 familiar 3295:26 3299:11 3300:12, 17 3317:26 3318:21 3338:4 3340:23 3344:20 3345:2 3353:22, 24 3374:1 fans 3242:12 Farms 3328:26 Faro 3236:11,16 fast 3284:2 3335:13 fastar 2274:20	fatal 3227:21 3231:8 3240:11 3250:2 3251:26 3253:10 fate 3356:12 fault 3406:24 3430:7,22 3431:3 3432:19 faults 3425:26 3428:1 3431:21, 24 3432:3,10,25 favoured 3353:26 3354:3, 6,7 favours 3231:2 FBR 3333:20 3335:23,24 FBRS 3335:22 feasibility 3227:25 feature 3242:2 3364:14 3426:7 featured 3260:22 features 3228:10 3426:2 3428:1 3432:15 3433:24 federal 3258:9 3269:14,24 3367:19 3368:17 3369:12,16,18,20 feed 3261:26 3311:9 3336:19 3337:18,19 Feeder 3329:1 feeds 3238:9 feel 3232:1 3237:4 3375:20 3419:24 feeling 3439:6 feet 3324:16 3340:22 fell 3227:17 felt 3283:17	23 fewer 3234:3 field 3245:2 3249:6,21 3280:13 3286:23, 24,25,26 3312:23 330:14 3411:6, 20 3427:3 field-based 3433:12 field-scale 3280:17 3334:24 figure 3247:17,20 3305:23 3307:10 3395:8 3417:24 3421:10 3424:14 3435:17 Figures 3417:22 file 3374:21 filed 3225:15 3274:20 3404:17 filing 3283:2 3438:23 filings 3279:15 3280:11 3281:15 3280:11 3281:15 3283:16 fill 3289:26 3291:1 3293:10 3298:18 3302:20 3303:6,15,16 3304:5,6,10,15 3305:13 3309:2,6 3311:1 3316:15 3318:19 3336:12 338:5 filled 3334:8 filter 3273:23 3307:19 3315:4 3323:4 filtered 3313:26 fill 3263:12 3279:2 3280:19

Dicta Court Reporting Inc. 403-531-0590

		18		
3298:3 3369:9,11	3380:7,9,12,13,	five-minute	3226:15 3231:19	3357:4 3368:21
finalized 3275:10	14,17,18,25	3395:11	3237:21 3243:8	3388:25 3438:19
finally 3272:15	3381:16,18	flat 3419:13	3269:6,12	foul 3337:20
3278:4	3382:2 3383:8,22	flaw 3231:8	3270:21 3271:19	found 3227:24
financial 3234:4	3384:11,23	3240:12 3250:2	3272:16 3273:19	3264:4 3297:2
3236:1 3237:12	3385:12 3386:11	3253:10	3275:4 3276:13	3298:12 3350:22
	3387:15,18,23	flaws 3227:21	3301:9 3356:10,	3424:9 3431:26
find 3227:20	3388:14,24	3252:1	22	founded 3227:5
3246:3,5 3252:19,20,21	3389:3,6,13,15,	flood 3261:21	focused 3287:17	3254:14
3263:5 3264:4	22 3390:16,26		3396:5	fraction 3234:5
3296:23 3336:15	3391:4 3392:7,	flooding	focusing 3233:11	
3349:24 3360:24	13,22,25 3393:3,	3270:17,18	3273:19 3275:24	fracture 3427:21
3378:16,17	4,7,10,17,19,23	floods 3242:10	fold 3229:11	3428:19 3429:7, 21,26
3385:19	3394:1,3,5,6,7,8,	flourish 3247:21	folding 3426:3	
finding 3402:21	10,21,22,25	3311:11	folks' 3353:20	fracture-
Ũ	3395:3 3396:7,	flow 3231:1		dominated
findings 3274:22	14,17,21 3397:3, 4,14,16 3398:6,9,	3276:17 3295:13	follow-up	3428:22,26
3282:24 3369:20	4,14,16,3398.6,9, 11,18,20,24,25	3322:6 3324:3	3274:12	3429:12
finds 3252:17	3399:1 3401:1,4	3404:16 3427:20,	food 3274:1	fractured
fine 3266:14	3402:19 3403:2,	21 3428:7,17,19,	3336:20,23,24	3429:17,23
3295:4 3298:10	13,20,21,23,25	22,26 3429:6,7,	3358:23,24	fractures 3428:1,
3326:10 3334:8	3404:19,22,25	20,26 3430:21,24	Footnotes 3347:7	8 3430:1
3368:3	fish-per-	flowing 3240:25	footprint 3273:5	fragmentation
fine-ash 3356:17	kilometre	3352:8 3426:13	3276:20 3294:12	3271:5
fingers 3232:19	3391:11,14	3428:11 3429:10	3321:14 3422:7	fragmented
finish 3222:11	fisheries 3271:13	flows 3240:26	footprints	3273:14
3368:2 3434:8	11Sheries 32/1:13 3273:11 3274:3	3273:3 3276:23	3280:4	frame 3321:6
3437:12	3275:1,12	3431:4	foregoing 3440:3	framework
finite 3336:17	3348:10 3366:17	fluctuations	forever 3322:2	3251:21 3415:3
fish 3231:14	3370:1,4,17,20	3419:1	3324:8 3326:1	3429:22
3239:8 3251:18	3371:4,17	fluid 3317:1	forgotten 3242:3	Fran 3423:4
3261:25 3264:26	3373:21 3376:7	fluidized	form 3228:20	Frank 3272:18
3268:8 3269:12	3384:4 3439:17	3290:17 3294:7,	3259:18 3281:24	3330:16
3274:11,14	Fishery 3275:19	14 3302:13,15	3310:23	
3275:3 3303:18	fit 3228:23	3303:9,10 3311:5	formal 3287:22,	frankly 3390:16
3344:26 3345:8,	3242:15 3248:11	3313:13,16	24	Fred 3330:7
22 3349:4,7	3294:11	3316:16,17	formation	free 3268:24
3350:22,26		3317:1,7 3318:5,	3251:12	freely 3271:15
3352:18,19	Fitch 3222:18,19,	11 3319:3		3383:22
3354:13 3355:8,	22,26 3223:6 3224:8,13	3332:18,20	formed 3228:10 3229:1	freeze 3272:1
10 3366:7	3225:1,14 3226:6	3334:26 3335:23		frequent 3427:6
3367:16 3374:4	3253:24,25	fluidizes 3319:4	forms 3323:5	freshwater
3375:3,5,6,12,17	3254:1 3264:17,	flush 3261:6	Forster 3330:19	3361:9,16,17
3376:2,3,8 3378:26 3379:2,	18 3276:11,16	fluvial 3267:23	3440:3,14	Friday 3224:10
1 33/X1/6 33/U1/	10 52/0.11.10			
			forward 3295:6	
11,18,23,26	3329:8	focus 3225:7	forward 3295:6 3302:26 3350:24	front 3241:9

3250:5 3268:14		genetic 3269:19	geotechnically	3389:9,17
front-end-	G	3373:11,13,14,24	3259:18	3391:26 3393:13
loaded 3252:24		3376:18 3377:12	giant 3323:22	3394:11 3396:25
full 3229:8	Gail 3330:9	3378:1,6,7,17	Gilmar 3423:4	3399:22 3400:6
3235:3 3250:12	gains 3263:7	3379:22 3380:13	Gilmar's 3427:8	3402:14 3403:10
3253:13 3297:17	gaps 3298:18	3381:4,17,19		3404:13,16
3313:19 3315:2	GARY 3267:1	3382:2,4,12,14,	give 3225:24 3236:10 3241:18	3405:3,4 3406:8
3321:20,23	3330:22	21 3383:11,16,25	3260:12 3266:2	3419:4 3421:3,
3365:15 3375:20		3384:4,9,26	3296:7 3298:7	16,25 3422:1,5,8,
full-scale	gather 3250:21 3392:12 3401:20	genetically	3300:5 3385:9,25	14 3434:13
3312:23 3315:25		3269:20 3375:21	3392:20 3393:2,	3435:12,22
3334:24	gathered	3376:11	19 3400:16	3439:21
fully 3226:2	3233:17	genetics 3376:3	3403:26 3423:16	good 3221:23
3235:5,7,9	gathering	geochemical	3431:26	3223:6 3225:2
3275:15 3313:25	3289:21	3344:22	giving 3423:4	3226:11 3227:21
3324:6	gauge 3390:19	geochemistry		3232:13 3239:5
function 3238:25	gauging 3390:12	3249:20 3267:17	glacial 3248:17	3244:2,16
3286:18 3339:26	gave 3305:7,12	3346:11 3410:5,	glad 3237:2	3245:5,6 3246:3
3437:8	3306:5 3338:13	12	3264:16	3248:25 3252:14
functionality	3350:19 3393:13	geography	glycolic 3315:14,	3254:8,9 3260:16 3261:4,18,25
3275:4	3403:24 3426:13	3241:15	21,23	3268:25 3270:8
	GE 3299:14,21	geological	goal 3225:4	3276:15 3284:19
functionally 3319:23	gears 3257:2	3423:21	goals 3228:5	3296:6 3298:26
	general 3287:26	geologist	3229:6,8 3230:26	3301:21 3322:14
functioning	3295:18 3360:6	3226:14	3231:13,25	3326:8 3344:3
3281:9 3302:17	3374:26 3376:9		3232:4,23	3363:16 3369:23
3314:3	generalists	geology 3227:14 3410:13 3425:25	3233:23 3234:25	3406:20 3407:9
functions 3435:2	3229:2	3426:7 3432:8,15	3238:2 3247:9,15	3408:12 3419:2
fundamental		-	3248:26 3251:16	3420:6 3422:25
3250:10	generally 3232:26 3244:2	geomembranes	3260:24,25	3437:15 3439:23
fundamentally		3290:26 3291:10, 12	gold 3255:7	goodbye 3437:24
3319:3 3321:17	3283:10 3287:20, 22 3292:18		3269:9,19,22	GORD 3222:25
funded 3355:20,	3337:13 3347:26	geometry	3270:1,5,7,15,17	
21 3357:5	3354:5,21,25	3261:10	3271:4,6,8,16,22	Gourlay-
3359:7,15 3363:1	3371:14 3397:15,	geomorphologist	3272:6,8,19,25	vallance 3329:23
funding 3237:3	16 3398:24	3267:23	3273:5,7,14,17	Governance
3347:19 3357:19	3425:23	George 3348:20	3274:10,23	3229:4
3358:6 3361:4	generate 3312:10	Geosyntec	3276:5 3278:15	government
future 3232:8	3314:15 3386:24	3343:5	3284:6,12	3236:4 3239:20,
3250:16 3325:11	generated	geosynthetic	3348:18 3363:18, 20,25 3364:11,	21 3328:8
3356:25 3411:12,	3315:5	3259:16	22,24,25 3365:1,	3347:23 3367:19
21,22		geotechnical	7,9,11 3367:2	3368:24 3439:16
	generating	3226:14,16,18,	3371:7 3376:21,	governments
	3398:11	20,25 3229:16	25 3377:4 3385:7	3237:4
	generation	3230:18	3386:2,7,9,10,15,	Governor
	3247:13		19 3387:3	3369:10,11,17
	I	I	I	I

		20		
governs 3247:23 GPR 3297:23 grabs 3405:21 graded 3291:1 gradient 3278:11,23 grading 3277:3 Grainger 3267:18 3268:4 330:26 3412:23, 25 3414:17 3416:8,9,10 3418:3 3419:20 3420:11,21,25 3421:21 3422:18, 22 3423:19 3424:24 3425:13 3432:2,4 3435:21 3436:17 Grainger's 3420:16 grains 3428:18 granular- activated 3319:1 graph 3271:20, 21,24 3369:14 3420:15,22 grasses 3246:13 Grassy 3223:17 3227:9,11 3230:22 3232:26 3234:26 3238:6 3241:17 3242:4 3223:23246:22 3251:6 3269:3 3281:12 3289:3 3296:24 3302:1 3328:25 3373:2 3403:5 3408:15 3428:21 3429:11, 21 3439:19	3317:7 3334:11, 17 3335:1,11 gravel-bled 3280:23 GRB 3342:6 great 3224:24 3233:14 3257:1 3260:1 3266:13 3270:23 3307:2 3332:12 3406:5 3407:16 3408:9 3411:4 greater 3231:10 3237:18 3278:18 3281:19 3298:18 3377:10,11 3400:24 3423:22 greatest 3243:1 greatly 3241:9 3244:23 GREEK 3439:21 green 3306:11 grew 3319:14 grid 3247:18 ground 3235:13 3278:12 ground- penetrating 3297:9,22 ground- groun	3428:7,22,23 3429:5,12,19 3433:26 3435:5, 10 group 3222:13 3223:2,8 3231:18 3254:7 3258:17 3263:23 3328:26 3329:9 3353:5,6 3357:6,8,10,14, 17,21 3408:15 groups 3321:3 grow 3227:6 3246:14 3310:26 3338:16 grown 3242:20 3350:17 growth 3249:18 3336:24 3337:12 3338:19 guess 3229:4 3257:10 3263:13 3286:1 3297:8 3299:26 3322:11 3325:6 3352:13, 25 3369:6,20 3394:22 3411:17 3412:14 3418:7 3421:5 3436:22 3437:7 3439:4,5 guessed 3347:1 guidance 3238:4 3275:13 guide 3255:20 3260:18 guideline 3281:16 3285:18 3351:20 3352:3, 5,12,14 3353:21 guidelines 3286:2,3 3304:23	Gulamhusein 3328:11 gullies 3242:11 gullying 3243:16 guy 3321:8 H habitat 3264:26 3268:8 3270:2,8, 9 3271:9,17,26 3272:7,14,25 3273:4,6,7,8,9, 19,26 3274:5,14 3275:3,4,7 3348:5 3349:2,4 3364:7,13,17,18, 21 3365:2,5 3366:9,20 3367:7,15,24 3376:8,21,26 3377:5,16,24 3389:8 3392:10 3397:16,20 3402:22 3404:24 habitats 3273:21 3374:9 3392:10, 11,13,14 3397:14 half 3235:6,11 3350:26 3437:4 hand 3230:17 3319:10 handbook 3247:8 handle 3322:14 3400:10 handled 3244:17 handling 3389:14 hands 3266:4 3367:18	14 3423:6 happened 3325:8 3385:15 3401:20 happening 3331:10 happy 3292:6,9 3305:3 3407:24 hard 3231:25 3233:22 3261:13 3308:9 3375:14 3403:22 hard-pressed 3234:18 harsh 3232:10 Hatfield 3267:15,20,22 hauled 3244:19 head 3359:8 3418:8 heading 3283:14 3427:15 3430:26 heads 3417:26 3431:17 headset 3296:22 3298:20 headset 3296:14 health 3367:16 3406:19 headsets 3296:14 health 3367:16 3406:19 hear 3222:20 3265:4 3292:7 3296:16,19,20 3345:12 3408:24 heard 3237:1 3280:12 3281:4 3312:7 3345:11 3378:12 3390:8 3404:14 3426:23 hearing 3222:1, 18 3327:6,7 hearings 3233:3,
	18 3325:24			
		5,12,14 3353:21	U	hearing 3222:1,
	3413:3,22,24	0		
		3353:12 3354:8		0
gravel 3241:10	3415:24 3416:17,	3360:13,15	happen 3261:24	16 3410:1
3290:23,24	21 3417:16	3414:26 3417:16	3306:23 3309:23	heart 3232:6
3291:5,7 3294:9,	3419:1 3422:1		3321:6 3325:1	heat 3284:25
13,18 3311:6	3423:20 3427:19	guides 3249:15	3363:19 3405:7,	3285:3

		21		
heating 3285:2 hectares 3247:2 3413:18 height 3256:15 held 3226:22 3236:4 helped 3351:4 helpful 3264:15 3283:17 3285:24, 26 3333:6,23 3432:1 helps 3244:7 3317:21 3374:7 3394:2 3398:13 Hey 3288:23 high 3232:7 3234:12 3235:2 3257:24 3311:18 3319:2 3350:18, 21 3352:7,11 3433:26 3435:7 3437:3 high-altitude 3251:17 high-quality 3271:25 high-risk 3232:4 higher 3283:9 3286:12,18 3335:10 3353:6, 11 3354:9 3286:12,18 3357:12 3360:20, 25 3362:13 3377:11 3386:10 3435:10 highest 3351:25 3430:5 highlight 3225:2	3361:1,2 hill 3234:11 hire 3286:20 hired 3286:22 historical 3270:19 3296:23 3297:3 3298:12 history 3300:2 hold 3244:7 3371:16 Holden 3258:4 3327:10 holds 3374:21 hole 3240:7 3308:17 holes 3297:6,7 3298:6 homogenous 3423:21,25 honest 3362:10 honestly 3349:10,20 3357:13,20 hope 3293:19 3338:20 3343:8 hoping 3264:3 3307:11 3337:17 horizontal 3425:20 host 3224:8 3270:12,21 3271:18 3272:4, 9,15,23 3276:9, 13 3278:16 3306:1 hot 3390:21 hours 3244:19	3293:24 3296:25 3298:19 3300:26 3307:5 3308:25 3310:4 3311:7 3312:3,21 3314:24 3316:20 3320:16,26 3321:16 3330:22 3331:12 3332:7, 19 3334:4 338:23 3341:4, 7,9 3343:10 3345:15 3354:23 3358:12 3363:14, 16 3368:14 3369:6 3371:19 3372:7,9 3374:17 3378:11 3384:7 3385:11 3387:20 388:20 3390:7, 21 3392:2 3393:21 3395:16, 18 3396:1 3398:12 3400:19 3404:2 3408:20 3411:17 3412:10 3414:16 3416:5 3419:21,23 3420:9 3422:6,13 3424:26 3425:8 3431:25 3437:14 Howard 3327:26 huge 3315:2 3323:4,23 3340:9,10 human 3406:18 humidity	hundreds 3228:15 Hurly 3330:17 hybrid 3383:24 hybridization 3269:17 3374:1 3379:1 3381:23 3383:18,21 hybridize 3350:7 3374:8 hybrids 3376:16 3380:10 Hyco 3356:17 hydraulic 3241:1 3417:26 3425:9 3431:17 hydraulically 3338:15 hydro 3230:14 hydrogeological 3411:7 3415:2 hydrogeologist 3420:3 hydrogeologist 3420:3 hydrogeologist 3420:3 hydrogeologist 3411:2 3413:19 3414:4,9 3416:7 3417:4,7 hydrological 3411:2 3420:1 hydrologist 3409:2 3420:1 hydrologist 3409:2 3420:1	I I' 3303:20 IAAC 3327:15 idea 3248:25 3262:9 3263:14 3291:25 3292:12 3310:15 3340:7 3388:1 3402:3 3405:9 ideal 3301:26 ideas 3310:17 identified 3271:4 3380:8,9 3382:18 identifies 3382:10 3419:5 identify 3297:23 3387:25 3425:14 identifying 3369:7,8,15 3390:10 Ignasiak 3254:2, 4,6,8,10 3258:1 3265:8 3266:6,8, 19,20 3267:4,6,9, 11 3268:13,17,21 3327:22 3358:18 3372:8,10,15,23 3405:24 3420:4 3437:26 3438:1,5 349:3,10 Ignore 3355:26 ill-defined 322:3,7 illustrate 3341:2 images 322:2 imagine 3325:1.
3357:12 3360:20, 25 3362:13 3377:11 3386:10 3435:10 highest 3351:25 3430:5	3270:12,21 3271:18 3272:4, 9,15,23 3276:9, 13 3278:16 3306:1 hot 3390:21	Howard 3327:26 huge 3315:2 3323:4,23 3340:9,10 human 3406:18	hydrological 3411:22 hydrologist 3409:2 3420:1 hydrology	Ignore 3355:26 ill-defined 3232:3,7 illustrate 3341:2

3432:12.13 3439:19 **impacts** 3247:13 3411:14,24 3412:17 3415:1 3425:12 3426:10, 22 impassable 3374:4 3375:12 3402:19 **impede** 3431:4 implement 3228:5 3250:20 3282:7 3294:5 implementation 3244:3 3253:18 3269:2 3281:5 3294:23 3296:1 3300:13 3302:4 implemented 3229:12,19 3282:14 3290:22 3299:13,16 3300:8 implementing 3275:22 3279:1 3280:16.25 implication 3350:10 implications 3253:6 3358:25 **implies** 3428:13 **imply** 3385:3 importance 3269:1 important 3233:16 3238:15. 22 3243:20 3253:2,5 3264:5 3287:9 3293:16, 17 3313:12 3360:20 3371:14 3374:5.7 3381:15 3382:3 3383:15 3387:7 3401:15

3434:2 importantly 3244:21 impossible 3234:24 impractical 3246:4 impression 3400:17 **improve** 3243:25 3273:8 3275:3 3277:3 3364:6 improved 3273:26 3357:3 improving 3275:5 **in-pit** 3277:20 in-stream 3282:25 3304:18 inaccurate 3354:18 inadvertently 3242:14 inappropriate 3438:16 incentives 3235:5 inches 3340:22 incidents 3309:20 **include** 3228:12 3263:12 3264:5, 24 3289:16 3423:13 3427:8 **included** 3226:13 3233:17 3257:22 3274:2,17 3288:2 3372:26 3378:5 3380:14 3426:15 3427:3 3435:20 **includes** 3291:12 3423:8 including 3223:3 3242:4 3253:20

3255:12 3263:15 3264:26 3268:6.8 3275:16 3278:22 3279:18 3282:21 3332:22 3333:10 inclusion 3287:10 inclusions 3287:4 incoming 3337:23 inconsistencies 3423:1 incorporate 3312:25 3360:5 incorporated 3426:6 increased 3231:10 3242:22, 23 3252:9 3360:3 3362:9 3435:8 increasing 3273:22 3297:26 3433:15 indefinitely 3237:8 independent 3224:2 3353:9 index 3386:6,8,9 3387:25 3389:7 3390:11,25 3391:1.5 3399:17,22 3400:1.8.13.16, 21 3401:13 **Indian** 3328:4 indicating 3244:5 indication 3241:24 3391:16 indications 3278:17 indicative 3238:16

INDISCERNIB LE 3292:22 3308:1 3322:12 3363:7 3366:2 3421:9 individual 3240:23 3376:2, 3.8 3387:9 individuals 3267:25 3376:5, 15 industrial 3280:6 industries 3320:21 **industry** 3254:25 3256:9 3320:10, 14,17,24 infiltrates 3278:26 influence 3272:3 3360:15 3428:8 influences 3353:20 3430:24 **influent** 3306:8 **influx** 3272:3 inform 3312:24 3316:25 3333:22 3334:23 3371:7 3413:3 information 3225:13,17 3226:13 3260:19 3265:21 3274:15. 16,17,18 3281:25 3282:5 3289:21 3298:7 3353:20 3354:15 3361:21 3371:6 3376:13 3378:1 3384:13 3385:22 3386:4 3401:19 3411:19 3413:2,15 3424:20 3426:14 3427:2,4 3431:26 3433:13

informative 3260:7 3333:23 informed 3294:24 3309:19 **ingress** 3247:12 inhabiting 3269:15 inherent 3232:2 initial 3248:19 3273:12 3293:23 3297:10 3314:15 3317:5 3337:12 3371:18 **initially** 3384:17 initiatives 3275:7 **inject** 3311:9 3314:2 3315:19 3316:23 3319:21 3337:19 **injected** 3316:21 injecting 3314:16 injection 3282:9 3311:17 **inlet** 3336:26 **inlets** 3239:22 3331:23 **input** 3331:20 3341:26 3342:4, 11.20 **insects** 3273:26 **inside** 3291:3 3426:25 inspection 3250:13 installation 3278:23 3279:22 3281:7.11 3290:21 3334:25 installations 3279:24 installed 3280:5 3281:9 3299:19

3302:16,22

		23		
3344:17	internally 3417:3	involved 3236:12	3304:9 3307:23,	keeping 3357:12
installing	international	3249:14 3256:23	24 3309:9	Kemess 3343:26
3307:19 3411:9	3226:20 3249:15	3306:20 3345:9,	3310:17,19	3347:20,25
instance 3295:23	3361:5 3363:2,9	13,22 3365:20	3312:7,12,14	3348:4,18 3349:1
3426:23	internationally	3409:26	3314:20 3317:16,	3350:11
instances 3285:2	3230:22 3246:21	involvement	26 3318:20,22	Ken 3330:11
	interpret 3353:1,	3255:15	3330:23 3331:16	Kennecott
institute 3227:5	19 3428:24	involving	3337:8 3343:2	3355:21
3251:12 3254:15, 24		3249:21	3351:6,8	Kerness 3350:10
	interpretation 3353:9 3354:17,	IR 3280:24	3408:24,26	
instream	22 3426:4	3294:7,17	3410:18,20	key 3237:17
3404:16	3428:25	Island 3256:10	3416:4,6 3435:25	3260:13 3271:6
insufficient			3436:15	3361:2
3247:9 3253:9	interpretations 3352:25	islands 3228:13	Jensen's 3296:22	kids 3239:22
intake 3311:15		isolate 3290:26	3298:20 3337:6	kill 3303:18
integral 3250:13,	interpreted	3291:1	jeopardize	3311:17 3331:19
14,26 3312:15	3435:9	isolated 3271:11	3284:7,10	kilometre 3234:6
integrated	interrupt	3276:17 3366:8	Jim 3329:16	3241:13,14
3228:3 3229:8	3309:18	isotropic	Joint 3258:11	3386:12 3401:5,8
3250:17 3433:8	intersected	3428:13 3430:17,	3268:26 3327:12	kilometres
intended	3297:7	18	3410:1,3 3423:3	3238:11 3241:19
3228:21 3230:6	interwell	issue 3240:18	3439:18	3255:12,13
3243:2 3275:2	3337:19	3282:12 3301:8,	jointing 3428:8	3256:12 3348:20
3312:26 3358:3	introduce	14,22 3304:19	Joseph 3353:24	3391:15
intending 3342:1	3331:17	3320:7 3369:19	journey 3277:26	kind 3235:12
3406:13	introduced	3382:5 3384:22		3243:10 3245:13,
intent 3310:7	3408:25 3410:5	issued 3265:15	Judd 3329:12	17 3247:6
3422:24	introgression	3384:5	judge 3253:9	3251:8,14
intentions	3379:2	issues 3223:12	jump 3307:6	3255:16 3262:17
3244:2	invasion 3269:22	3275:24 3302:2,	3380:23 3381:24	3288:26 3294:24
interact 3273:5	invested 3286:14	6,9 3310:11,13	jurisdictions	3307:10 3316:3,
	3301:11	3322:13 3406:23	3259:20	10 3324:23
intercepting 3364:12	investigate	issuing 3250:11	Justice 3265:13	3325:7 3356:22
	3332:9 3333:14	item 3260:23	juvenile 3274:1	3358:10 3365:17
interest 3252:23	3334:15	items 3237:17	juxtapose 3385:1	3366:9 3367:13 3408:7 3428:19
3357:12	investigated			
interested	3432:5	J	K	kinds 3243:8
3297:9 3364:5		J	N	3245:15
3407:5 3436:14	investigation	Janusz 3329:14	k-values	knew 3309:24
interlinked	3285:19 3411:6, 20	Jensen 3267:20	3423:22,25	3320:24 3357:16
3433:24		3268:2 3292:4,5,	K1 3431:15	knowledge
intermediate	investigations	6,26 3293:3	K1 3431.15 K2 3431:15	3228:14,15
3417:12	3425:8	3295:20,22		3246:1 3309:10,
intermittent	investment	3296:5,8,10,13,	Kapel 3258:4	12,22 3357:2
3359:2	3286:16	18 3297:1	3327:10	3376:20,24
		3298:23,25		3377:14 3378:20
		2 -		
	I	1	I	I

		24		
3379:4 3380:20	3261:15,16,23	large-scale	leave 3228:24	3356:15 3360:26
3381:22 3382:22	3263:3 3338:2,5,	3333:11	3251:1 3257:18	3362:18 3383:19
Ktunaxa	7,8,10,11	largely 3430:5	3265:26 3266:3	3417:16 3419:1
3280:12 3281:4	Lambrecht	larger 3280:2	3298:21 3406:22	levels 3239:6
3327:25	3258:8,9 3327:12	3283:7 3304:17	leaving 3436:3	3269:17 3278:5
5521.25	land 3232:12	3428:4 3429:25	led 3234:7	3282:17 3286:18
	3234:1,3,6,17		3255:10,15,16	3287:3 3304:19
L	3235:21,25,26	late 3242:22	left 3272:16	3314:10 3322:4
lab 3316:1	3236:16 3237:2,7	3353:15 3407:1,		3341:26 3344:26
	3241:14,26	21 3439:7	3308:11 3428:23	3378:26 3403:1
labelled 3276:14	3242:20 3244:10	latest 3281:25	legacy 3228:24	3414:26 3433:25
laboratory	3247:1 3248:3	lax 3354:18	legal 3369:22	liabilities
3318:16 3332:22	landfills 3259:21	3438:22	legend 3375:5	3252:23
3333:3,10		laxer 3354:6,7	3418:4,6	licence 3371:17
3334:13,14	landform	lay 3406:16	Leif 3267:23	3373:22 3384:5
3340:1 3341:14	3225:18 3227:5,	layer 3259:18	3268:3 3330:24	
laborious	10 3228:1,8,14	3279:7 3423:24,	Lemley 3321:8	licences 3370:23
3415:19	3229:7 3234:8	26 3435:19	Lemly 3347:5,10	3390:5
Lacasse 3258:4,5	3250:14 3251:10,	layered 3279:7	3353:22,26	lie 3335:4
3327:9	12,20 3254:15, 19,24 3255:11	3423:24	3354:3 3356:3,6	life 3252:20
lack 3233:23			Lemly's 3354:22	3271:22 3292:1,
3238:16 3249:2	landforms	layering 3248:1	3355:17	14 3337:9
3250:1 3252:3	3228:9,16,18,20	layers 3246:16		3359:24 3361:22
3311:17 3426:17	3229:22,24	3277:9	length 3271:16	life-of-mine
lacking 3237:17	3230:15 3240:23	leach 3242:8	3272:7 3389:8	3252:15
lacks 3231:1	landowner	leachate 3410:9	3391:15 3394:9	light 3252:7
	3235:19 3263:23	leaches 3323:8	3395:1 3397:25	3403:20
lake 3237:24,25, 26 3238:2,6,10,	Landowners	leaching 3243:12	3398:5,8 3400:24 3401:2	lights 3255:7
14,15,17,19,21,	3222:13 3223:2,8	3277:13,18		likewise 3293:9
22,23,26 3239:4,	3231:18 3254:6	3278:7 3345:20	lengths 3398:1	Lille 3270:20
9,16,17,24,26	3258:17 3329:8	lead 3255:18	lengthy 3277:26	
3240:9,10,12	landscape	3260:17 3281:10	3413:2	limit 3241:3
3253:18 3260:10,	3228:20 3242:7	3313:18 3359:23	lens 3227:9	3249:14 3308:13
14,18,21 3261:6,	3245:10 3247:16	3360:2,7,17,19	3228:7	3343:15
7,9,12,13,15	3249:2 3253:5,19	3361:5,15 3362:8	lentic 3239:7	limitations
3262:15,19,22	3256:20 3325:4,7	3363:2,9	Lessons 3355:26	3270:5
3263:2,9,19	landscapes	lead-zinc	letter 3223:14	limited 3232:10
3286:4 3323:25	3254:20	3236:13	3224:20,23	3235:9 3268:5
3324:2 3345:1	landslide	leading 3286:23,	3226:1 3263:22	3283:24 3327:22
3353:15 3356:16	3324:23	24,25,26	3267:14 3344:12	3329:2 3331:4
3404:5,7,10,13,	large 3236:13	leads 3231:8	level 3227:26	3370:21 3408:11
18,19,23,26	3238:12 3240:2		3231:7 3251:7	3415:8,9,26
3405:8,13,20,22	3245:2 3255:11	learned 3390:18	3253:8 3263:6	3416:2,23,25
3406:4,12,15	3259:21 3341:15	3391:2,4	3286:12 3304:25	3417:2 3425:9
lakes 3230:16	3397:22,23	learning 3252:1	3324:18,19	3426:14,16
3239:7,13	3417:2 3428:3	3320:21 3387:21	3340:12 3352:26	limiting 3275:5

		25		
limits 3340:14 3351:18,20 3353:26 3354:3,	lobbying 3357:10 local 3227:22	looked 3287:19 3294:15 3365:19 3412:9 3418:11	M	majority 3281:24 3324:19 3380:6
6,7 3355:17	3228:4,24	3431:14	M-HM 3339:17	make 3222:7
3357:12	3232:14 3233:14	Lookout 3256:2	3380:11 3397:6	3228:12 3230:12
linear 3417:26	3235:24 3245:21	loses 3284:22	MA 3409:20	3232:3 3241:10
3434:26	3252:2,11 3264:1	loss 3273:13,18	Madam 3222:23,	3250:23 3254:24
liner 3259:15,17	3269:14 3270:2,	losses 3263:6	26	3260:14 3263:17
liners 3259:12,26	11 3275:8		made 3233:3,15	3361:12 3377:4
lines 3236:3	locate 3224:12	lost 3248:20 3252:9 3349:11	3234:11 3237:19	3385:25 3388:24
3251:24 3390:22	located 3348:19		3257:17 3274:6	3406:2 3420:6
lining 3259:24	3410:15,18	lot 3242:4 3250:3	3282:21 3285:1	3424:9 3438:25
e	3421:13 3424:23	3252:16 3253:1	3319:1 3345:16	3439:8
liquid 3308:11	location 3270:17,	3254:13 3257:24 3260:1 3262:20	3354:15 3387:9	makes 3277:14
list 3235:9	24 3272:6,10	3292:4 3295:6	3415:24 3416:21	3338:20 3369:16,
3237:19 3255:4	3276:11 3289:14	3298:2 3301:11,	3417:15 3431:15	21
3346:25 3413:2	3421:2	21 3316:24	magically	making 3244:10
listed 3226:1	locations 3311:9,	3335:9 3338:2	3325:25	3371:18 3412:8
3238:10 3269:23	10 3382:22,23,25	3353:12,17	magnitude	man-made
3280:10 3383:5 3413:1	3383:26 3419:6	3354:15 3356:25	3336:14 3399:14	3269:21 3272:17
	3422:23 3423:1	3357:20 3364:1	3400:22	manage 3243:3
listing 3255:5	3424:18 3425:12	3366:25 3370:18	main 3225:7	3250:22 3310:1
literally 3291:22	3426:9,21	3385:17 3389:14	3234:22 3240:18	3348:3
literature 3353:2	lofty 3232:3	lots 3234:20,21	3260:23 3271:8	managed
litre 3280:8	3247:9 3251:16	3238:4 3261:8	3272:24 3274:6	3256:24 3310:13
3282:17 3283:5,7	long 3228:22	low 3239:6	3275:24 3380:15,	3321:26 3324:8
3308:23 3338:26	3237:25 3247:2,8	3263:5 3291:26	17,26 3382:24	3348:10
3339:2,13 3342:2	3284:21 3292:4,	3292:13 3308:13	3383:5,9,13,20	management
3351:21 3362:17,	18 3301:1	3314:10 3353:7	3384:17,18	3226:16 3227:1,2
19,24,25	3303:16 3312:19	lower 3270:22	maintain	3229:15 3249:24
littoral 3238:20,	3322:16 3358:8	3272:19 3277:4	3272:26	3253:6 3255:20
25 3239:1	3359:13 3364:16	3280:1,8 3297:14	maintained	3264:24,25
3260:10 3261:18,	3438:14	3305:16 3322:4	3228:21 3239:18	3268:6,7 3269:10
21,25	long-term	3324:15 3340:12	3243:1 3269:20	3275:23 3283:18
live 3221:24	3236:6 3237:11	3352:10,12	3274:6	3285:7,14
3405:23 3406:14	3247:25 3253:4	3353:10 3355:11	maintains	3301:5,19,23
Livingstone	3302:23	3356:8 3360:2,	3273:25	3302:9,24 3373:9 3411:13
3222:13 3223:2,8	longer 3229:24	22,24 3362:8,26	maintenance	
3231:17 3254:6	3244:6 3281:6	3426:4	3235:16,21	manager
3258:16 3263:22	3298:9 3336:12	lowest 3233:8	3236:15,18	3233:24 3265:18
3329:8	3337:22 3349:4	3430:7	3250:13 3258:20,	managing
LLG 3255:3	3377:5,24	lunch 3326:9	22,25 3272:2	3278:3 3311:8,25
LLG's 3222:23	3388:7,8 3401:2		major 3239:12	3322:9 3356:21
loaded 3244:18	longest 3322:19		3241:2 3299:23	manifests
3352:8	longevity		3430:22,23	3233:23
	3259:15			

		26		
Manitoba 3409:5	mass 3277:13	3224:9,13	3275:19 3281:1	3342:24
manner 3232:9	3314:15,17	3226:9,11	3304:22 3341:20	method 3229:17
map 3241:23	3319:4 3336:5	3253:24,25	3419:8	3230:13,18
3251:24 3272:6,	3424:1	3254:3,8 3257:25	meet all 3304:11	3250:15 3331:14,
11 3276:10,14	matched 3421:24	3258:13,18	meeting 3229:10	21,22 3392:22
3278:16 3374:16	matching	3260:2,5 3262:5	3230:5,26	3396:8 3397:21
3375:2,13	3420:24	3264:7,12	3260:24 3304:18	3403:17
3434:11 3435:13,	material	MD 3328:14	meetings	methodologies
22	3244:16,17	means 3235:23	3371:16	3301:12
mapped 3426:1	3246:16,19,26	3249:3 3252:9	meets 3322:8	methodology
3432:9,10,14	3248:1,12,15,20	3261:4 3276:3		3279:19 3388:15
mapping	3310:22 3331:19	3345:19 3369:22	melt 3233:22	methods 3230:24
3379:24 3381:8	3336:16,21,23	3389:21 3390:12	members	3249:13 3279:25
	3337:1 3338:18	3420:12 3421:22	3232:14 3253:22	3312:8 3331:17
maps 3297:3	3430:12	3430:10,17,18	3268:26	3348:13 3357:3
3298:14	materials	3436:10	MEMS 3411:6	3362:21
margin 3312:11,	3243:12 3277:3	meant 3317:9	mention 3247:11	
13	3341:24 3348:13	3371:5	mentioned	metre 3234:12
mark 3389:15	3372:22	measurable	3225:15 3258:20	metres 3246:25
3390:2,5,9,14	math 3399:14	3232:25 3244:4	3259:9 3272:11	3247:1 3256:15
3396:14 3398:18		measure 3391:11	3284:20 3294:10	3261:19 3276:19
3403:15,16	3435:14,21 3436:13,19		3303:2,5 3316:24	3277:24 3298:6
mark-and-		measured	3317:2,9,16	3364:10 3365:9
recapture	matrix 3293:9	3331:18 3394:19	3323:2 3331:16,	3398:4 3432:11
3395:20,22	3308:7 3322:26	3418:1,17	22 3364:9	mic 3390:21
mark-capture	3323:1	measurements	3389:12 3393:24	microbe 3311:21
3396:5	matters 3222:16	3418:10 3421:1	3400:4	microbes
mark-recapture	3246:15 3383:19	measures	mentioning	3244:22 3299:7
3387:2,8 3388:22	Matthews	3250:21 3280:22	3307:7	microbial
3392:26 3393:12	3258:15,18	3286:9	mentions	3292:1,14
3396:2	3327:7	measuring	3316:11	microgram
mark-	maximize	3340:4		3283:5 3362:17,
recaptures	3244:25 3277:20	mechanisms	mentor 3255:19	19
3389:10	maximum	3308:15 3363:24	merits 3253:9	micrograms
	3256:15 3339:12	3433:6	met 3234:23	3280:8 3282:17
marked 3288:16	3434:11,19	media 3249:18	3260:26 3284:4	3280.8 3282.17 3283:6 3308:23
3369:5 3398:21	Mccoy 3267:1,6,	3427:22,26	metal 3262:23,26	3338:25 3339:2,
3403:13	7 3330:22	3428:6 3429:2,8,	3283:4 3308:6	12 3342:2
marking 3396:16	Mcgill 3409:20	22,24 3430:2	3357:16	3351:21 3362:23,
marsh 3286:4	Mcgillivray	medium 3291:2	metallurgical	25
Martin 3254:10	3328:18	meet 3228:6	3300:24	microorganisms
3267:21 3268:3		3229:8,19	metals 3255:9	3310:23 3337:10
3320:5 3330:24	Mchugh 3328:9	3234:24 3237:5	3359:18 3360:6	
3370:14 3372:9	Mcintyre 3330:5	3234.24 3237.3	methanol	microphone
3438:1	Mckenna	3247:9 3248:5,26	3311:14,15	3222:2
masks 3251:26	3222:20,21,25	3252:4 3260:25	3314:8 3331:18	microphone's
	3223:6,22	5252.7 5200.25		3296:6

		27		
 mid-'80s 3361:23 middle 3438:14 migrate 3398:25 Mike 3267:1 3329:12 3330:22 mill 3348:19 millennia 3325:8 3326:2 Millennium 3267:19 3411:2 3412:4,11 3413:23 3416:11 3426:8 Milligan 3329:20 millimetre 3334:10 millimetres 3434:13,14 3425:14 15 23 	3246:23 3248:8, 13,19,21,23 3250:3 3251:6,9 3252:13,17,20 3253:4 3254:20 3257:17 3258:26 3259:1,3 3263:23 3264:1 3269:3 3270:16 3272:26 3273:4 3288:24 3289:19,20 3291:22 3296:23 3298:12 3302:1 3313:7 3322:2,3, 5,7 3323:14,18, 19,20,25 3324:12,15,18 3325:26 3334:9 3341:18 3343:23, 25,26 3344:5,10, 12,17,18 3345:1,	miners 3297:13 miners 3232:2 mines 3227:3,17 3231:26 3232:15 3233:11 3234:10, 16 3235:5,8 3236:1,26 3241:12 3244:2 3246:20 3248:7 3251:15 3252:1,3,11 3259:11,23 3262:23,26 3278:5 3278:5 3283:4,9 3317:20 3343:18 minimal 3235:20 minimize 3389:3, 13 3288:25	Ministry 3344:13 minor 3318:23 minute 3251:19 3378:9 3388:20 minutes 3246:8 3368:4 3395:6 3407:6 3408:3 mission 3254:24 misunderstandi ng 3378:10 mitigation 3269:10 mix 3318:7 model 3227:15 3247:25 3277:14, 17 3282:22 3408:17 3411:16, 26 2412:10	modelling 3249:21 3277:11 3278:9 3322:12 3358:23 3371:24 3409:23 3410:9 3412:11,12 3413:4,23 3414:26 3415:2, 15 3417:16 3429:16 models 3278:18 3280:9 3415:8,10 3427:25 moderate 3415:5 3417:11 modern 3235:23 3236:1 3243:5 moisture 3240:19 3247:17
millimetre 3334:10 millimetres	3325:26 3334:9 3341:18 3343:23, 25,26 3344:5,10,	minimize 3389:3, 13 minimized	model 3227:15 3247:25 3277:14, 17 3282:22	3236:1 3243:5 modest 3273:3 moisture
3240:3,4,17,18, 23 3241:1 3242:13 3243:26 3244:1,5,15 3245:13,20	minerals 3292:23 3293:7 Minerals' 3347:24	3408:11 minings 3351:24 minister 3368:17 3369:12,16,18,21	3435:18 modelled 3418:8, 15 3431:4 3433:7	3250:8,10,14,17, 19,25 3253:19 3255:23 3258:24 3278:13,20 3306:21 3321:26

		28		
3322:6,9 3350:25	3408:15 3428:21		3433:8	north-south
3367:14,16	3429:11,21	N	network 3323:12	3423:22 3425:23
3386:20 3388:16	3439:19		3429:26	3426:3 3431:3
3389:21 3400:8	mountains	Nakoda 3328:6	networks	northeast 3419:3
3411:9 3412:21	3228:13 3432:20	named 3321:8	3429:21	Northgate
3413:5,10,12,14,	move 3222:15			3347:24
15,26 3414:14,	3242:15 3264:23	names 3295:6 3303:14	neutral 3291:26 3292:14 3293:12	northwest
17,25 3421:4,5,	3271:15 3277:24			3277:6 3348:20
13,19 3424:15,16	3288:6 3289:24	Nancy 3267:18 3268:4 3330:26	neutrality	
3425:11 3426:9,	3298:1 3336:25		3293:13	notable 3420:26
21	3358:21 3374:9	Nation 3327:25	newly 3252:22	note 3238:8
month 3277:25	3383:22	3328:1	nice-to-have	3240:25 3271:23
Monthly 3417:25	moved 3257:12	Nations 3328:6	3250:25	3279:20 3305:25
months 3313:23	3397:14	natural 3228:11,	Nijjer 3328:16	3360:20 3361:7 3398:20 3417:9
3335:17 3340:11	movement	15 3261:16	nitrate 3279:26	
moon 3230:19	3257:13	3276:26 3277:5	3319:18,23	noted 3251:5
Morehouse	moving 3257:19	3299:5 3307:16	nitrates 3314:17	3409:22
3329:19	3272:22 3335:8	3321:20 3324:19	3336:21 3341:26	notes 3440:6
Morin 3271:2	3342:17,18	3403:2	Niven 3328:14	notice 3407:10
	3375:12 3391:5	naturally 3277:7	nod 3408:2	3410:8 3419:12
morning 3221:23	3435:26	3278:2	non-acid-	noticed 3388:4
3222:11 3223:6 3224:15 3225:2	MSC 3410:12	nature 3227:14,	generating	3409:4
	multi-year	19 3299:6	3348:22	notion 3232:5
3254:8,9 3265:3, 14 3268:25	3274:22	3313:18 3358:3		November
3269:6 3294:4		3406:2 3416:2,25	non-acidic 3293:15	3284:20 3327:3
3338:24 3339:5	multidisciplinar	3425:4 3438:21		3439:26 3440:9
3402:17 3404:14	y 3228:3 3229:1 3246:18 3249:20	necessarily	non-index	number 3224:11
3407:8 3437:11,		3428:14 3436:23	3386:8	3226:26 3235:1
16,20 3439:23	multiple 3270:9	necessitate	non-native	3243:24 3249:9
Moulins 3330:3,	3331:23 3384:2,	3432:25	3269:18 3272:19	3258:26 3277:25
9	10 3433:22 3434:1	needed 3229:12,	3374:8	3278:21 3286:13
Mount 3345:24		24 3239:3	non-partisan	3288:3,4,7,15
3351:6,9	multiply 3310:23	3240:22 3241:10	3224:5	3292:17 3294:2
mountain	3391:14	3245:8,26	non-specialist	3299:16,19
3223:17 3227:3,	Municipality	3246:1,26	3398:13	3306:17 3309:14
9,11 3230:23	3328:11	3250:22 3251:14	noncompliance	3315:9 3318:15
3232:26 3234:26	mute 3267:7	3285:21 3315:13	3344:12	3335:5 3341:1
3238:6 3239:15,	3296:12 3379:14	3355:11	nonetheless	3343:7 3346:13
17 3240:8	3385:13	needing 3249:14	3253:2 3400:26	3347:14 3355:14 3360:24 3368:25
3241:17 3243:11	muted 3395:10	negative	noon 3326:8	3374:12,19
3246:22 3248:13	MW14 3421:5	3420:14,19	normal 3258:21	3379:6,7 3380:17
3251:6 3261:15	MW14-06-105	negotiation	3371:22 3425:6	3385:6,12
3269:3 3281:12	3419:4 3420:22	3234:13	north 3257:4	3386:1,14,18,21
3289:3 3296:24	3421:6	nest 3406:14	3276:15 3296:1	3387:11 3388:19
3302:1 3328:26	Métis 3328:1	net 3248:3	3299:12 3357:16	3390:23 3391:12,
3373:2 3403:5		3249:11,14	3359:18	,

		29		
14,19 3393:20 3394:21,25 3395:2 3396:16, 17,21 3398:6,9, 18 3399:7 3401:5,8 3404:3 3408:18,19	oath 3266:24,26 3268:12,19 3414:15 object 3266:1 3438:19 objective 3224:4 3256:25 3276:1	occur 3277:23 3278:21 3293:11 3415:12 3427:20 3429:6 3432:22 occurred 3271:5 occurring 3299:6	one's 3421:19 one-size-fits-all 3247:14 onerous 3233:2 ongoing 3235:13 3258:24 3312:22	opinions 3353:21 opportunistic 3398:3 opportunities 3243:25 3252:9, 25 3273:16
3409:11 3411:1 3414:21 3417:22 3426:24 3427:16 3430:3,19,25 3434:9,21 3436:14,15 3437:2 3438:9 3439:12 numbers 3236:10 3248:24 3362:11 3386:9 3387:12,18 3388:14 3389:6 3393:14 3394:14 3395:23 3396:19 3398:23 3400:9, 20 3401:1	3230.23 3270.1 3281:14,22 3343:24 3344:1 3360:14,23 objectives 3228:6 3229:6 3232:23,24,26 3233:25 3234:25 3238:3 3260:26 3282:25 3283:3, 8,10 3415:2 oblige 3264:16 observation 3231:22 3237:23 3278:5 3426:14, 17 observational	occurs 3230:8 3292:21 3293:4 3331:15 Oceans 3366:17 3371:17 3376:7 3439:17 October 3267:14 3283:2 odd 3239:14 offer 3235:11 3299:24 offering 3229:14 3261:14 offhand 3359:14 Official 3330:19 3440:15	3313:2 3360:6 3386:20 open 3222:9 3252:25 3439:5 open-pit 3348:18 opened 3266:8 opening 3235:24 3268:1 3283:26 3303:3,5 3364:9 3402:16 operate 3250:22 3280:1 3306:22 3314:13 operates 3313:23 operating 3251:15 3256:16	opportunity 3244:9 3253:23 3284:12,15 3350:20 3438:1 oppose 3225:4 opposed 3335:17 3340:14 3428:19 optimism 3232:2 optimistic 3231:25 3232:11 opting 3237:6 option 3262:13 3404:12,14 order 3283:19 3308:25 3314:13 3371:9 3399:14
3402:10 numerical 3408:17 3411:16, 26 3412:12,19 3413:22 3414:7, 11 3415:7 3427:25 3429:3, 16 3433:23 3435:6 numerous 3233:2 3279:15 nurse 3245:19 nutrient 3247:18 nutrients 3273:23 3337:23	3229:17 3230:13, 18 3250:15 observations 3225:19 3231:18 3253:21 3274:23 3285:1 3417:5 3425:2 observed 3246:21 3271:10 3394:25 3418:10, 14,19 3419:12, 14,19 3420:24 3421:1,24 obstruction 3383:22	officially 3287:6, 12 3288:9,12,20, 22 3289:3,9 offset 3271:13 3273:11,13,18 3274:3 3275:10 3364:7,20 offsetting 3273:16 3274:4 3275:1,13,18,22 3363:23 oftentimes 3424:16 oil 3226:19 3234:7,9 3248:8	3279:19 3280:2, 15 3295:14 3299:18 3302:21 3303:16 3305:14 3309:3,8 3317:3 3337:21 operation 3223:16 3250:26 3295:15 3302:17 3307:3 3315:26 3316:13,15,22 3317:20 operations 3244:6 3252:14 3298:8 operator	3429:1,2 3432:25 orders 3336:14 ordinary 3371:12,16 ore 3227:15 3240:3 organic 3277:2 3338:18 Organization 3361:6 3363:2 orientated 3426:2 orientation 3423:26 original 3235:18
O'GORMAN 3260:3,4,6 3264:11 3327:6	obtain 3424:20 obtaining 3371:13 occupy 3374:9 occupying 3374:10	3255:6 3256:8 3260:18 Okoye 3328:25 3407:4 Oldman 3330:16 on-site 3259:6 3298:12 3375:3	operator 3258:21 operators 3281:26 3313:11 opinion 3224:4 3225:6 3353:3 3354:20 3375:20	original 3233.18 3237:5 3270:17 3321:11 3404:12 originates 3301:8 3323:8 outcome 3275:14 outdoor 3340:17

		50		
outflow 3262:9, 10,12,13,16	owner 3236:25 oxidant 3282:8	panels 3226:26 3227:1	3323:17,26 3324:12,21	3255:2,3 3257:3 3270:14 3271:19
			3336:9 3337:11,	3272:5 3276:9
outlet 3239:15,24	oxidation 3243:7	paper 3306:26	12 3356:14	3288:8,15,16
3240:1,11 3241:6	3282:7 3285:3	3349:24 3355:6,	3371:12 3374:11	3303:23 3306:3
3262:3,4,7,9 3263:4 3276:25	3291:26 3292:14	10 3356:10,13,23 3357:18 3358:5	3378:15 3384:22	3309:15 3315:10
	oxidizes 3284:22,	3359:1,22,26	3386:16,17	3331:26 3332:2,
outlets 3239:25	25	3360:4 3361:8,9,	3389:11 3412:6,	13 3333:25
outline 3225:12	oxygen 3247:12	14 3362:4,6	26 3414:18	3341:1,5 3343:8,
output 3393:2	3314:10		3424:18 3426:18	10 3347:1
3418:8 3424:3	ozone 3282:9	papers 3321:12 3361:15 3368:1	3431:2 3433:23	3355:14 3359:11
3431:16			partially 3235:15	3363:3 3368:26
outstanding	P	paragraph	3297:12 3374:15	3374:16 3379:9
3225:26		3316:11 3334:2	participate	3380:22 3399:20,
outward 3431:10	pace 3311:12	3339:15,18	3222:7 3357:17	26 3409:10
overarching	Package 3303:23	3381:9,10		3411:1,3 3414:22
3233:21	3315:9 3331:6	3384:14,17,26 3417:9	participation 3258:11	3417:21 3427:14
overburden	packaged			3430:3,25 3433:1
3248:16	3251:21	paragraphs 3315:13 3334:5	particle 3334:10,	3434:9
overcommitting				peer 3307:2
3231:9	packed 3318:26 3319:1	parallel 3294:22	Partly 3235:3	peer-reviewed
overconservativ		3424:8 3430:6,21	parts 3272:12	3306:26
e 3351:19	pages 3231:5	parameter	3305:16 3306:9	peninsulas
	3266:9 3357:25 3417:22 3438:7	3342:10	3308:14 3321:7	3228:13
overflow	3417:22 3438:7	parameters	3344:17 3385:4	penultimate
3239:15		3280:15,18	3392:15 3419:2	3369:21
OVERLAPPIN	paid 3236:24	3295:14 3312:23	3422:20 3433:18	people 3229:3,4
G 3363:7 3366:2	3358:15	3334:23 3342:4,	3437:6	3232:23 3234:18
3421:9	panel 3225:5	12	party 3237:14	3238:1 3251:17
overlaps 3249:9	3227:2 3246:8	paramount	3367:13 3371:16	3259:3 3263:9
overly 3359:3	3250:16 3253:22	3269:1	Pass 3328:12	3306:5 3321:2
overpredicting	3258:12,16	park 3245:22	passage 3375:3,	3438:9 3439:8
3419:16 3420:17,	3263:15 3264:15	Parks 3284:3	6,17	percent 3234:5
19	3265:3,18,24,26 3266:11,21	3328:20 3373:16,	passed 3378:2	3238:19,22
overpromise	3267:13 3268:11,	18,22 3376:14	past 3353:15	3252:15,19,26
3231:26	15,26 3274:18,20	3378:2,25 3381:7	3354:9	3269:18 3273:2
overprotective	3283:20 3306:23	3382:14 3384:12	Patching 3405:2	3274:25 3277:15
3360:19	3327:12 3343:22	part 3224:22	path 3270:19	3308:19 3338:21
oversight	3405:10 3406:24	3233:17 3239:26	pathways	3339:19 3375:23,
3232:13	3408:12 3410:1,3	3241:1 3249:1	3369:7,15,17	25,26 3376:6
overturning	3423:3 3437:17	3250:9 3259:10	pattern 3235:14	3377:12 3378:21
3261:13	3439:1,18	3269:21,24	3431:22 3432:18,	3391:9,10
overwintering	Panel's 3265:22	3271:13 3273:11	26	3399:12,19,23,24
3271:25 3272:7	3266:4 3372:24	3276:20 3293:2		3402:13 3404:4
3273:9 3366:9	3439:5	3297:10,14	pay 3240:20	3434:21 3435:5,
3402:22		3308:9 3312:15,	PDF 3223:21	7,12,17,22
5102.22		22 3319:26		

		31		
3436:19,25	3263:24 3344:18	phrase 3364:9	placement	plant 3280:23
3437:3	3426:25	physical 3263:4	3244:15,25	3281:6,8 3282:15
percentage	permits 3233:18	3425:18	places 3339:22	3290:19 3294:8
3236:5 3252:17	permitted	physically	3393:24,26	3299:13,17
3305:17 3307:8,	3283:3 3343:19	3365:22 3366:19	placing 3349:1	3300:15 3302:16
18 3339:4,6	3348:8	3410:21,22	- 0	3335:7
3340:3 3376:4			plan 3237:17	planted 3245:4,
3398:20,21	permitting	pick 3437:11	3239:2,11,14	20
percolates	3233:20 3235:12	picked 3394:18	3240:3,4,6,9,15,	planting 3245:8,
3277:1 3278:26	3249:5 3251:9	picture 3324:26	22 3241:21	24
	3252:8 3253:1	3341:8 3418:13	3245:23 3246:3,	
percolation	3344:4 3368:12	piece 3298:7	23 3248:25	plants 3247:24 3299:19,21
3248:3 3249:11,	peroxide 3282:9	3358:16	3249:6 3250:4,	· · ·
14,19	perpendicular	piezometer	13,15,17,25 3252:14 3259:11	3317:19 3356:18
perfect 3284:24	3430:8 3432:23	3421:17,20	3269:17 3271:13	plateau 3243:14
3289:24 3290:9	persist 3308:8	pilot 3280:17	3273:11 3274:3	play 3308:16
3295:4 3298:22	3405:5	3310:5,7,8	3275:11 3274:5	playing 3252:18
3299:2 3303:13	persistence	3318:15 3332:23	3293:22,23,25	3321:7
3326:6 3331:5	3270:10	3333:11 3334:24	3293.22,23,23	pleased 3225:2
3344:8 3368:5	personally	pilot-scale	3317:5,15	plenty 3293:14
3399:4	3259:22	3316:2	3318:20 3321:14	plot 3234:1
perform 3228:21	pertain 3332:23		3377:2,17	3247:18
performance	3333:17	piloting 3299:16	3404:10 3424:8	
3228:5 3229:19		Pincher 3328:18	planar 3428:20	plots 3245:4,5,14,
3230:6 3231:9,11	pertained	pine 3245:12,24	-	25 3247:26
3242:23 3247:23	3332:26	3246:1,5	planer 3425:19	PM 3326:15
3252:10 3253:4,	Peterson	pipes 3290:20	planes/coal	3330:21
19 3305:13	3329:26	pit 3230:15	3430:6	pockets 3367:11,
3306:21 3339:3,	ph 3262:26	3238:6 3239:6,	planned 3239:2	19
10 3340:12,13	phase 3280:17	13,15,24 3240:9,	3240:6 3302:12	point 3235:20
period 3241:2	phases 3237:13	10 3261:23	3324:4 3341:15	3237:23 3238:17
3245:6 3251:9	3341:17	3263:1 3291:22	planning	3239:21 3240:14
3337:13 3418:9,	Phd 3226:16	3297:10 3313:7	3227:12 3231:6	3248:22 3261:1
22	phenomenon	3321:17,19,22	3244:15 3249:3	3266:3 3294:14
periods 3284:21	3310:20	3322:3,5,7	3253:3 3298:1	3306:17 3311:17,
peripherally		3323:4,11,13,14,	3303:2 3404:18	24 3312:3
3345:12	phonetic 3359:19	17,18,19,20,25	3405:12,19	3325:11,17,22
permanent	phosphate	3324:3,12,15,18,	plans 3223:17	3339:8 3342:5,7,
3348:9	3315:14,22	20 3325:16,19	3227:11,13,15,	10 3358:21
permeable	3316:12	3341:18 3431:11	19,20 3232:22	3367:3 3370:25
3425:24	phosphates	pits 3228:19	3239:10 3243:26	3373:5 3381:15
	3338:1	3248:21	3244:10 3251:24,	3382:3 3383:15,
permission 3296:13	photo 3270:15,	place 3230:7	26 3252:3,5	20 3391:16
	21,22,23 3272:9,	3232:22 3239:10	3253:14,16	3393:21 3411:3
permit 3232:1,4	16	3246:2 3277:7	3302:7,11,24	3420:6 3422:6,9,
3233:13,18	photograph	3335:25 3336:1	3317:6 3335:18	11 3427:13
3237:5 3244:3,24	3418:12	3354:24	3364:6	3430:3,19 3433:1
	I	Ι	I	I

		32		
pointed 3417:2 points 3233:7 3271:7 3283:14 3371:21 3414:19 poisoning 3356:1 3405:23 Poitras 3328:1 policy 3233:9 3355:25 Polley 3345:24 3351:6,9 pollution 3346:19 3356:8 polygons 3247:5, 16 pond 3256:1,8 3277:6 3411:13 ponded 3242:9 ponding 3243:14 ponds 3228:19 3242:7 3259:20	porous3427:22,26 3428:6,183429:2,8,22,243430:2portals3427:5portion3276:143297:18 3348:73397:22,233413:8,23portions3348:233433:20position3355:13356:6positions3226:22positive3228:243420:13,193433:16possibly3314:143403:8 3413:193414:4	32 3244:26 practice 3240:17 3245:14 3248:6 3251:8,11 3258:21 3425:6 practices 3250:20 3301:26 prairie 3234:10 pre-disturbance 3246:14 pre-identified 3388:2 precautionary 3285:13,15 3286:21,24 precedent 3438:18 precipitate 3292:2,15 precipitated 3342:14	prefer 3279:25 3303:3 3354:21 3407:7 preference 3262:10,11 preferential 3430:21 preferential 9222:16 3294:17 3318:15 premature 3294:20 prepare 9321:3371:9 3414:7 97epared 3223:14,22 3224:9,14 3231:17 3369:1 preparing	pretty 3276:21 prevent 3311:19 3374:8 prevents 3272:21 previous previous 3249:12 3272:11 3319:8 3361:16,22 3364:19 3364:19 3382:9 3409:26 3438:11, 15 previously 3265:21 3267:2 3268:11,16 3273:20 3306:18 3330:24, 26 3394:2 price 3257:13 pride 3254:21 primarily 3322:24 3322:24 3323:9
3242.73239.20 pool 3276:22 pools 3271:11 3272:1 3399:2 poor 3239:11 3271:9 population 3269:16 3270:2 3274:14,25 3284:13 3356:15 3363:20 3376:9, 10,12 3383:24 3386:24 3388:3 3389:17 3390:13, 20 3391:3,9,17 3392:19 3396:3, 18 3398:22 3399:8,22 3401:18,21,23 3402:6,26 3403:2 3404:4 3405:4,5 populations 3251:19 3352:18 3377:9 3386:22 3402:15	post 3236:1 posted 3274:21 poster 3263:1 potential 3253:10,21 3263:15,21 3272:7 3278:6 3292:1,14 3303:10 3310:14 3319:15 3320:10 3350:24 3352:7, 10 3415:1,11,12 3417:7 potentially 3276:4 3359:4 power 3257:6,9, 12,13,20 3356:18 powerful 3282:8 Powerpoint 3224:9,14,18 3368:26 Powter 3233:7 practicable	<pre>precipitation 3322:4 3433:4,5 3434:12,17,25 precise 3285:21 3299:25 precondition 3249:26 predicated 3411:15,21,25 3412:19 predict 3417:6 predicted 3273:10 3278:18 3281:20 3282:16 3422:20 predicting 3420:23 prediction 3421:1 predictions 3422:24 3423:10, 16 3424:10 3429:17 3431:18</pre>	3372:22 prescription 3248:26 prescriptions 3246:20 3247:21 3248:1,23 presence 3239:6 present 3227:10 3308:4 3330:12 presentation 3224:9,14,18,26 3225:12,13,17 3249:23 3253:7 3254:11 3260:7,9 3262:8 3264:15 3282:12 3305:6, 12 3306:5 3307:1 presented 3282:23 3393:16 presents 3231:24 preserves 3244:22 presuming 3402:24	3336:16 3389:21 3403:7 primary 3293:19 3297:23 3302:3 3331:22 Prince 3348:20 print 3418:13 prior 3246:2,13 3253:13 3270:17 3275:15 3346:1 private 3427:12 problem 3301:5 3310:1 3311:22, 23 3319:11 3320:1,13 3321:4,5,9 3331:25 3332:16 3335:22 3346:8 problems 3303:11 3309:3,7 procedural 3261:1 procedures 3312:25

Dicta Court Reporting Inc. 403-531-0590

		33		
proceed 3222:18	3314:22,26	3351:16 3352:15	proper 3249:4	protecting
3224:25 3367:4,	3335:22 3336:4,5	3363:14 3364:11	3302:10	3230:25 3231:13
10	3338:25 3345:21	3368:13,18,21	properly	protection
proceeding	3388:18 3406:16	3369:8 3371:13	3280:14 3300:19,	3249:18 3269:7
3221:25 3223:26	3413:20 3414:5,	3372:21 3373:2	22	3352:18,26
3254:11 3372:12,	13	3375:7 3404:11	properties	3354:1,4 3356:9
17 3438:7,14	producing	3406:10 3411:11	3246:16 3248:2	3359:2 3360:1,26
proceedings	3274:13	3413:17 3414:3	3424:1,2 3426:25	3362:7
3221:21 3307:1	product 3292:20	3415:25 3416:22	3429:24 3431:1	protective
3326:15 3327:1	productive	3417:7 3422:7,		3282:20 3286:15,
3330:21 3438:21	3238:23 3261:15,	15,17 3432:3,14	property 3227:14 3235:18	17 3351:25
3439:26 3440:5	16	3433:14 3439:20	3427:9,12	3352:6 3354:19
process 3222:10	-	project's		3359:3
3225:18 3229:15	productivity	3372:16 3405:16	proponent	protects 3269:26
3233:9,20 3234:9	3238:21	projection	3229:14 3233:19	-
3250:15 3254:19	professionals	3326:3 3421:7	3235:11 3244:7	protocol 3388:15
3255:7 3258:12	3242:26	projections	3246:9,24 3250:7	3389:5
3278:2 3279:13	professor	3413:21 3414:5,	proponent's	protocols
3282:13 3291:8,	3226:22,23	13	3237:16	3361:26
16 3292:20	profound 3239:8	_	proponents	prove 3234:24
3293:3 3294:9,	3431:9	projects 3228:2	3230:25	proves 3246:3
21,22 3295:11,24	program 3230:3,	3255:5,11,16,24	proportion	provide 3224:3
3299:4,5,10,25	11 3250:8,10,19	3287:4,6,10,12,	3434:24 3436:3	3225:5,25
3300:2,14	3348:11 3379:24	19 3288:19	proposal 3367:5	3227:21 3237:10
3307:16 3308:1	3381:8 3388:22	3301:15 3333:11	proposals	3253:11 3273:16,
3311:24 3312:22	3389:1,3 3390:9	3371:26 3372:1	3275:22	24,26 3332:5,7
3313:1,22	3395:20,22	promise 3232:7		3357:19 3414:8
3315:25 3317:2,	3411:7 3424:17,	3298:2	propose 3239:16	3417:4 3422:25
3,12,14,16,20	19	promised	3247:13 3367:26	3426:24 3429:17
3318:24,26	programs	3228:25 3231:12	proposed	provided
3319:6,17,24	3411:20	3233:19 3238:24	3223:17 3270:16	3224:10 3225:12
3322:6 3333:18		3245:9 3250:7	3272:25 3273:7,	3231:15 3237:19
3334:16 3335:13,	progressive	promising	18 3274:2	3238:4 3274:15
16 3336:2	3230:1 3243:26	3232:21 3244:1	3275:1,7 3279:6,	3279:14 3281:23
3338:4,9 3340:5,	3244:1,3,10,11	promote 3225:4	11 3281:13,21	3343:16 3347:19
14 3342:3,11,26	project 3223:17	3245:26	3293:25 3294:22	3361:4 3376:13
3371:13,15	3227:11 3237:10,	promoted 3247:7	3303:7 3310:5	3378:24 3379:23
3387:24 3393:1,	13 3253:9	promoting	3355:17,26	3381:6 3438:2,17
22 3395:22	3255:19 3265:17	3279:3	3363:24 3404:11,	providing 3263:8
3415:15,19	3269:2,7 3270:3		12 3431:10	3415:21
3434:3 3439:6	3273:10 3275:3	proof 3251:13	proposing	
processes	3280:9,15,18	propagate	3271:14 3286:19	province
3228:11 3255:21	3285:1 3286:10,	3431:9	3304:16 3364:20	3237:12 3352:6
3279:4 3282:8	19 3287:21,25	propagules	protect 3252:6	3440:8
3294:20 3312:8	3288:2 3289:1,9,	3244:23	3258:26 3259:1,3	provincial
produce 3262:18	10,12,16	propensity	protected	3269:13 3347:22
3291:26 3292:13	3294:11,19 3321:14 3345:17	3277:13 3310:9	3241:26 3353:21	
5271.20 5272.15	3321.14 3343.17			

		34		
prudent 3425:6	putting 3232:22	3406:17 3407:2	range 3282:19,24	reaction 3285:3
public 3221:26	3264:1 3288:24	3412:24 3413:21,	3283:5 3358:11	3334:20,22
3222:9	3289:13 3403:19	25 3414:1	3378:26 3403:1	3335:21 3341:24
public-interest		3416:3,7,20	3415:11,21	3342:23
3373:1	Q	3425:4 3426:18	ranges 3415:22	reaction's
	<u> </u>	3427:7 3436:18	rapidly 3271:11	3335:25
publicly 3222:4	QC 3327:12	questioning	3342:19	reactions 3335:3
pull 3224:12	3328:14 3329:8	3253:26	rate 3277:24	reactive 3308:6
3270:12 3305:24	quadrant 3419:3	questions	3306:15,19	
3361:10 3385:10	-	3245:15 3254:3	3307:7 3335:4	reactor 3280:23
3396:24 3397:1	quality 3239:4,	3258:1,4,5,10,15,	3338:22 3339:4,	3290:17,23,24
pulled 3394:11	10,11 3251:20	16,19 3259:14	6,18 3340:3	3291:5,7 3294:8,
pulses 3358:24	3261:4 3264:25	3260:3,5 3264:8,	3345:20	9,13,14,18
3359:4,5	3267:22 3268:7	12 3283:21,23		3302:14,15
pumping	3275:26 3277:11	3284:1 3294:7	rates 3246:14	3303:9,10
3324:14,17	3281:14,22 3284:22 3304:11,	3372:14 3385:17	3277:18 3278:22	3313:14,16
3425:10 3426:8,	23 3358:25	3406:21 3407:12	3295:13 3310:9	3316:16,17
12,15,20	3360:1,13 3362:7	3419:23 3426:11	3311:13 3334:20,	3317:1,7,8
pumps 3290:20	3405:11 3406:9	quick 3260:5	22 3339:23 3342:19,21	3318:5,11
pure 3269:20	3409:23	3296:14 3335:12	,	3319:3,5 3332:18,21
3374:10 3375:21		quickly 3229:18	re-ask 3362:2	3334:12,17
3376:11 3377:10	quality's	3314:18 3335:9	re-direct	3335:1,11
3378:21 3380:8	3270:23		3264:17	3340:9,10
3382:18	Quantification	quiet 3239:7	re-establish	
purely 3261:3	3415:18	quote/unquote	3271:14	reactors 3311:6
- •	quantify 3415:20	3353:10 3360:19	re-establishing	read 3254:18
purging 3311:5	quantities	quoted 3417:10	3246:12	3257:16 3282:6
purity 3373:13	3277:21,22		re-establishment	3332:25 3333:1,
3376:18 3377:12	quantity 3239:10	R	3366:7	4,9,12 3334:2,7
3383:17	3264:25 3268:6		re-mine 3325:16,	3347:8 3348:12,
purpose 3333:21	3278:25 3393:17,	rabbit 3308:17	19	17 3349:8 3359:20 3377:8
3371:1 3383:16	19 3398:19	radar 3297:10,22	reach 3256:24	3380:3,5 3381:3
3417:13	quarries 3235:10	rainbow	3277:25 3392:7,	3411:6 3414:24
pushed 3301:10	question 3245:7	3269:18,22	16,22 3393:5,8,	3427:18 3429:4
3406:18	3246:11 3259:8	3376:16	11,14,18 3394:7,	3430:4,20 3431:2
put 3224:19	3263:12 3287:8	raindrop	13,21 3396:25	3433:2
3230:7 3233:14	3292:10 3293:20	3276:22	3397:3,5,22,25	reading 3362:16
3235:21 3238:5	3295:21 3298:24	rainfall 3411:21	3398:5,8,22	e
3239:10 3246:26	3301:18 3315:18		3399:3	reads 3427:17
3291:2 3294:6	3317:22 3319:8	raise 3265:13	reached 3256:15	3431:2
3319:12 3320:17	3320:5 3332:6	raised 3350:17	3357:18	ready 3222:18
3321:2 3342:25	3333:2 3352:13	3396:20	reaches 3387:26	3265:3,9 3268:1
3350:23 3354:26	3355:3 3362:2	ran 3227:3	3392:3 3394:9,13	real 3296:14
3368:21 3388:25	3363:16 3369:23	3343:2	3396:25 3421:16	3402:5
3396:9 3402:13	3375:15 3376:1	Ranchland	react 3341:25	real-life 3350:20
3412:20 3421:23 3424:15	3381:21 3385:24	3328:14	3342:23	reality 3235:13
4/17/1115	000615040515	1	1 3374.43	1
5424.15	3386:17 3405:15			
5424.15	3386:17 3405:15			

		35		
realized 3286:8	15,19,23	recommendatio	reducing	3275:7
3319:9 3324:6	3436:15,16,23	n 3357:2	3278:25 3337:26	registry 3270:13
realm 3435:26	3437:3,5	recommendatio	reduction 3273:3	3274:18,21
rear 3261:25	recirculation	ns 3225:6,21	3279:3,14 3285:3	3276:8 3282:23
rearing 3348:26	3338:18	3263:13 3264:2	3308:2,4 3313:19	3283:2,16
Reash 3357:15	reclaim 3232:16	3354:14	3340:3 3342:20,	regular 3313:6
	3235:11 3256:20	recommended	21 3388:5	regulations
reason 3304:16	reclaimed	3237:9 3257:8	refer 3262:21	3233:11 3248:8
3313:24 3325:19 3389:11 3431:12	3232:12 3234:1,	3263:16 3354:8,	3289:26 3310:24	regulator
	3,6 3235:6,7,9,	10	3316:21 3339:22	3227:22 3232:14
reasonable	15,25 3236:17	reconfirmed	3408:21	3233:6 3242:17
3232:23 3241:20	3238:14 3244:6	3268:12	reference	3252:10 3258:16
3253:11 3288:4	3245:10 3247:1	reconstruct	3251:23 3304:1	3263:26 3287:23,
3326:3 3387:17 3400:23 3415:8,	3249:1 3253:5	3254:20	3305:9 3346:25	24 3288:26
26 3416:1,23,24	3278:5 3321:18	record 3223:20	3347:10 3431:26	regulator's
	reclaiming	3237:9 3301:2	references	3232:9
reasons 3234:20, 21 3303:4 3354:4	3259:6	3438:24	3251:2	regulators
	reclamation	recorded 3427:2	referred 3256:1	3228:4 3237:1
rebuttal 3356:13	3222:12 3223:4,		3279:16 3382:10	3245:20 3252:1
recall 3268:14	12,16 3225:3,8,	recordings 3221:25 3222:3	3434:26	3275:16 3282:26
recalling 3359:8	20,26 3227:11,		referring	3320:19 3388:26
recapture	12,19 3228:17	recover 3402:26	3300:16 3303:20	3389:18 3403:18,
3389:16 3390:3,	3230:2,21	recovered	3352:19 3371:2	23
5,9,15 3396:8,15	3231:21 3232:18	3298:16	3379:15,16	regulatory
recaptured	3233:25,26	recovery	refers 3310:21	3232:6 3233:9
3396:17	3235:3,16,19	3244:23 3269:8,	3316:18	3275:21 3289:22
received 3343:23	3236:6,13,18,24	17 3270:8 3274:8	reflect 3227:13	3353:6 3366:4
3356:17	3237:3,4,6,17	3284:7,11	3244:4 3360:15	3372:4
receivership	3240:18 3242:17	3297:25 3367:15	3388:14 3428:7	rehandling
3235:17	3243:26 3244:1, 3,10,11,16,17	3376:24 3377:1, 25	reflected 3398:4	3244:21
receiving 3259:2	3245:3,13,23		reflective	reiterate 3276:3
3278:14 3352:8,	3246:2,19,23,26	rectify 3239:11	3425:21	rejects 3334:9
15 3356:16	3248:9,11,25	recycled 3338:8	reflects 3425:23	relate 3225:3,19
recent 3270:18	3250:4,8,24	red 3343:22	regard 3353:3	3231:21
3282:12 3377:7	3251:17 3252:4,	3344:5,9,17,24	e	related 3226:26
3385:6,21,23	16 3253:12,14	3345:1,10	regarded 3238:23	3227:15 3301:15
3386:1,4 3399:8	3259:10 3264:22	3418:15,21		3319:8 3340:6
recently 3274:19	recognition	3419:18 3421:12,	regime 3247:17,	3349:17 3350:6
3279:20 3346:3	3319:10	21	18	3351:9
3372:1	recognize	redone 3237:18	region 3228:23	relates 3416:7
recharge	3286:10 3387:7	reduce 3237:14	3239:13 3328:2	relating 3344:9,
3423:13 3433:3,	recognized	3307:16 3313:25	3364:20	24 3371:24
8,13,15,18,21,25	3238:13	3331:25	regional 3277:12	relationship
3434:2,22,26	recognizing	reduced 3277:22	3430:24	3371:20 3439:7
3435:1,3,5,10,13,	3321:4	3292:22 3293:6	registration	5571.20 5757.7
		3435:10		

		36		
relative 3276:11 3361:17 3396:4 release 3324:25 3351:18,20 3354:6 3354:6 3357:12 3396:15 releases releases 3350:12 3354:1,4 relevance 3372:11,14 relevance reliably 3222:25 3372:19 3384:13 reliably 3228:6 3232:22 relied relocating 3257:9 remain 3292:2, 16 3298:18 3342:15 remains remains 3245:11 3297:20 3380:9 remains 3245:11 3297:23 336:10 3415:16 remediate 3366:19 3283:10 <	removal 3291:11 3307:8,18 3308:20 3339:18, 23 3364:15 remove 3277:2 3279:13 3291:4 3297:19 3319:23 3336:2 removed 3321:13,15 3322:22 removing 3299:9 3315:6 3364:13, 17 Rennie 3329:16 reorganize 3368:1 repair 3364:6 repaired 3243:1 3363:18 repairing 3364:18 repairing 3364:18 repair 3287:8 3292:10 3293:1 3309:4 3315:17 3354:2 3375:15 3385:24 3386:16 3414:1 3436:17 replace 3240:8 3275:2 replaced 3374:15 report 3223:14, 22 3224:20 3225:3,14,19 3231:17 3237:20 3241:22 3248:10 3251:1 3253:20 3257:3 3263:13 3264:9 3265:20, 26 3266:16 3374:13,22,23 3375:1 3380:22	3416:12 3417:19 3419:5 reported 3424:12 reporter 3222:23 3223:1 3226:3,7, 10 3267:26 3292:24 3293:1 3296:3,17 3299:1 3309:4 3330:19 3351:26 3355:22 3407:25 3440:15 reports 3233:10 3374:19 3432:6 represent 3298:16 3393:20 representative 3428:6 representative 3428:6 representative 3428:6 representative 3428:6 represents 3361:21 3418:8, 9,18 request 3274:16 3282:5 required 3225:25 3244:6, 13 3253:20 3259:6 3280:19 3314:20 3316:12 3317:1 3335:14 3314:20 3316:12 3317:1 3335:14 3314:22 3347:22 requirement 3316:22 3347:22 requirements 3234:24 3248:4, 5,15 3263:19	requires 3231:14 3287:20 3310:26 3375:24 research 3245:3 research 3245:3 3270:3 3301:11 3353:16 3358:2,7 3359:7 3361:5 3363:2 3367:1 3370:22 3373:21 3384:4 researchers researchers 3356:17 reset 3234:25 residual 3281:24 3282:3 residual residual 3229:25 residual 3229:25 resolved 3370:24 resource 3269:2 3371:23 resources 3264:26 3267:15, 16 3265:16,25	15,19 3420:13,24 responses 3280:24 responsible 3255:17 3351:14 3368:17 3389:19 rest 3324:3 3339:24 3344:2 3405:10 restoration 3367:7,24 restore 3273:7 restored 3232:8 result 3275:14 3362:1 results 3230:4 3242:24 3247:25 3273:3 3274:19 3279:8 3281:25 3294:25 3304:14, 21 3349:21 3397:4,7 3412:11,13 3414:23 3415:14 3423:5 3425:21 resume 3326:13 3368:6 3439:23 retained 3223:8, 10 retreat 3297:19 return 3234:4 3241:2 3278:22 returned 3276:5 revegetated 3230:1 revegetation 3245:4 3253:16 3279:2 revenues 3236:25 revert 3322:24
3426:19	26 3266:16 3374:13,22,23	requirements 3234:24 3248:4,	response 3265:15 3274:16	revenues 3236:25

		37		
3237:13 3258:11	risk-based	3411:12 3428:18	S4 3242:21	satisfied 3304:14
3266:1 3268:26	3282:21	3429:23	safe 3237:6	saturate 3317:5
3275:21 3281:15	risk-tolerant	Rockies 3248:7	3238:7 3262:2,3	saturated 3278:4
3307:2 3327:12	3286:25	rocks 3315:3	3356:9 3406:14	3279:12 3289:25,
3355:7 3395:21	risks 3235:2,25		safely 3240:25	26 3290:2,6,10,
3410:1,3 3423:3	3320:2	Rocky 3227:2	3242:1 3298:8	15 3291:5,19,20,
3427:1 3439:18		roller 3250:12	3360:3 3362:9	25 3292:12,21
reviewed 3228:7	River 3272:22	roof 3297:14		3293:4,7,10
reviewing 3229:4	3283:7	room 3222:1	salts 3261:8	3294:22,26
3424:5	rivers 3283:8	3281:2	saltwater	3302:20,21
reword 3264:5	Riversdale	root 3252:3	3359:24 3361:8,	3303:4,6,15
	3369:1	rough 3343:9	14,16,19,22,24	3304:5,6,10,15
rework 3234:13	rivulet 3276:23	route 3303:11	3362:1	3305:13 3309:2,6
RGSC 3421:15	road 3231:10		salvage 3248:13	3312:20 3313:8,
RGSC-0009C	roads 3247:5	routine 3254:25	salvaged 3248:16	14,15,21 3314:2,
3419:4,11	Robert 3265:12	row 3393:4,7	sample 3412:7	19,22 3315:1,20
3422:5,14		Roxboro	sampled 3380:6,	3316:15,19,23
rhetorically	Robin 3357:15	3299:13	7 3381:22	3318:6,12,19
3231:4	robust 3239:25	rudimentary	3382:22 3383:11	3323:15,17,22
Richard 3408:13	3361:20	3248:26	3397:25 3398:5	3324:1,4,7,10,22,
ridge 3432:22,23	rock 3227:18	rule 3241:11	samples 3350:15	25 3325:10
right-hand	3228:18 3230:15	3253:10	3373:11,15,19,	3331:9 3332:5,
3401:9 3419:12	3240:4 3242:2,8,	ruled 3372:24	23,24 3376:15	10,18,23
Rio 3359:19	9 3243:6,10,12,	rules 3233:11	3379:22 3380:14	3333:14,19
	13 3244:12	3355:26 3438:22	3381:4,17	3334:12,18,26
riparian 3273:6,	3247:6,12		3382:2,4,12,13,	3335:12,15
19,20,21 3274:5	3248:21,22	run 3322:19	17 3383:10,13,14	3336:4,7,11,26
3364:13,17,18,21	3249:11 3251:20	3336:24 3340:16, 17 3343:3	3384:3,11 3385:3	3337:11 3341:15
Riprap 3241:11	3259:24 3276:12,	3396:10 3435:15	sampling	3404:8
rise 3321:21	15 3277:2,6,9,10,		3381:16 3382:5,	saves 3385:18
3344:26	14,20,21 3279:1	run-on 3243:9	21 3383:8,16,25	Sawyer 3329:11
rises 3324:19	3290:15,26	running 3230:10	3384:9,26	SBZ 3278:4
rising 3325:3	3291:1,15,21,23	3271:11 3318:19	3392:22 3397:13,	3279:5,12,25
risk 3223:3	3293:9,10 3302:20 3303:6,	3340:25	21 3398:1	3280:14,19,20
3229:9,10	15,16 3304:5,6,	runoff 3242:2	sand 3228:13	3281:1 3292:2,16
3231:11 3232:8	10,15 3305:13	3273:24 3279:1	3428:9	3293:22,24
3236:2 3237:14	3309:2,6 3313:4,	3344:16 3435:19	sands 3226:19	3294:1,4 3295:5,
3242:23 3252:10	14,26 3316:15	3436:4,7	3234:7,9 3248:8	9 3302:13
3265:1 3268:9	3318:19 3321:18,	runs 3271:8	3255:6 3256:8	3303:7,11
3269:24 3275:19	23 3322:26	3276:23	3260:18	3312:5,24
3302:5,10 3313:8	3323:1,3,8,9,10	rush 3237:4	sandstone	3313:4,5
3375:25 3376:26	3324:10,20		3428:10	3321:13,16,25
3388:17	3325:3 3344:23	S		3322:1,9,21,23
risk-accepting	3345:19,20		sat 3226:26	3323:4 3338:21,
3285:7	3346:21 3348:4,	S-M-A-R-T	satisfaction	24 3340:8,17
	9,23,24 3349:1	3232:24	3232:9	3342:5

		50		
scale 3245:5	second-order	segments	3323:5,6,7	sentence 3316:18
3270:24 3280:14	3348:24	3273:14	3324:10,24	3333:1 3334:1
3317:4 3332:23	secondary	seismic 3325:6	3325:12,22	3339:16 3380:3
3336:14 3427:19	3297:24 3317:6	seismically	3332:10 3333:14	3381:2
3428:4 3429:5,25	Secord 3328:24	3325:26 3326:2	3335:19 3336:19,	sentences
scenario 3325:23	3406:23 3407:2,	selected 3234:11	20,21 3338:26	3348:12,15
3431:15 3434:26	3,5,9,23 3408:4,		3339:12,15	sentiment
3435:1	7,10,11,12,13	3294:13,19 3295:2	3341:20,26	3273:23
scenarios	3409:6,11,12,14		3342:14,18	
3423:10	3410:22,26	selenate 3281:17,	3343:15,23	separate 3366:23 3382:4,5 3385:4
scene 3301:3	3411:5,17	18,25 3282:3,11	3344:1,24,26	
	3412:25 3413:22	3292:2,15,20,21	3345:17 3346:8,	separated 3257:6
scenes 3377:14	3414:21,24	3293:5 3308:2	15,19,20 3349:6	3291:9
Schedule	3416:5 3417:21,	3359:3	3350:1,12,19,21	September
3269:24	24 3420:7,10	selenite 3282:11	3351:15,18	3223:15 3225:14
scheduled	3423:7 3424:26	3292:22 3293:6	3352:9,12,17	3270:25
3222:6	3425:14 3431:25	3308:5 3322:25	3353:2,17	sequencing
science 3265:15	3435:25 3437:17,	3359:4	3354:1,3,6	3244:12
3281:17,23	19,22	selenium	3355:7,17	series 3423:9
3282:15 3286:14,	secretariat	3225:21 3227:2	3356:7,12,21	serve 3250:23
16 3356:11,19	3224:10 3265:15	3239:6 3240:11	3357:12,16	3274:1
3368:22 3406:8	3327:12	3243:7 3247:13	3358:24 3359:3	service 3279:22
3409:16,17,18	section 3270:15	3249:24,26	3405:23 3406:4,6	3355:11
scientific	3276:8 3304:1	3253:6 3264:25	selenium's	session 3222:12,
3285:19 3287:1	3329:20 3384:23	3268:7 3269:10	3342:17	15 3225:21
scientist 3246:17	3385:5 3394:20	3275:23 3276:1,4	selenium-source	3264:23 3327:3
scientists	3411:3 3413:1	3277:13 3278:1,	3250:1	3406:18
3247:16	3416:12 3432:5,	5,7 3279:3,13,26	self-sustaining	set 3230:6 3238:3
scope 3372:11,14	15,16	3280:21 3281:5,	3239:19	3247:15 3250:18
-	sections 3297:25	14,18,24,26	send 3311:15	3260:24 3275:11
scoped 3396:9	3388:8 3402:17	3282:3,14,17,19,	3381:18 3382:13,	3283:19 3340:1
screen 3226:4,5	secure 3237:12	22,25 3283:3,18	20 3383:12	3344:1 3346:14
3331:6 3359:17		3285:6,11,14,18 3286:2,12,18	sense 3241:18	3353:6,7 3404:15
screenshots	security 3237:13	3286:2,12,18 3287:3 3290:22	3263:17 3295:15	3423:26
3238:5	seeded 3245:4	3291:4 3292:1,15	3299:8 3306:12	setback 3273:1
scroll 3315:10	seedlings	3293:5,8 3295:25	3338:20 3367:3	3284:8,16
3348:14 3359:20	3245:19	3299:9 3300:4	3387:14 3428:24	
3369:1 3375:4,13	seeds 3244:22	3301:5,8,9,13	sensitive 3251:8,	setting 3350:20 3438:18
3391:24 3395:4	seek 3265:22	3302:24 3304:19,	19	
3418:5	3272:13	25 3305:15	sensitivities	settings 3282:26
seam 3297:14,17,	seep 3277:15	3307:15,17	3389:17	settle 3261:22
18,25	seepage 3263:7	3308:6,7,23	sensitivity	setup 3334:14
seams 3297:5,15	3277:18,23	3313:9,19,24,25	3359:22 3423:8,	shade 3273:25
3411:10 3430:6	3278:12,17,22,23	3319:11 3320:2,	15,17 3424:5,9,	shafts 3259:4
search 3271:16	sees 3266:2	7,13 3321:9	25 3425:5	shallow 3238:20
	5005 3200.2	3322:22,24,26	3431:13	3261:19,20
			5751.15	5201117,20

		39		
share 3310:17	3335:23 3425:8	sir 3223:14	21,24 3401:13	slow 3313:22
shared 3281:26	3426:21	3224:2,25	situ 3319:21	3335:16 3342:23
shell 3321:17	side 3240:8	3264:18 3266:8,	3336:10	slowly 3277:24
shift 3296:21	3270:22 3281:23	15 3381:13	situation	slows 3336:24
	3406:15 3422:7,	3407:12 3410:7	3301:20 3363:25	sludge 3314:26
shoreline 3262:2	15,17	3439:10	3404:26	3315:5,7 3335:22
short 3230:25	sift 3264:3	SIRS 3233:3	size 3238:9	3336:3,4,8,12,16
3244:2 3251:7	sign 3233:24	sit 3226:25	3256:12 3274:4	slug 3311:15
3298:5 3342:16	3361:17	3263:5 3410:19	3279:18,21	3331:17
3404:24 3408:1	sign-off 3234:19	site 3230:5	3291:15,20	
short-term	3235:4	3231:13 3235:7,	3313:4 3317:4	small 3235:10
3298:1		12,15 3236:16,26	3334:10,11	3236:5 3252:17 3276:23 3336:1
shortcomings	significance 3414:23	3240:17 3245:13	3340:24 3392:13	3347:7
3226:1 3253:3		3247:10 3250:1,	3394:19 3397:16	
shortened	significant	22 3251:9 3253:8	3413:17 3414:3	small-scale
3252:21	3225:8 3278:12	3258:26 3259:1,3	sizes 3304:17	3333:10
shorter 3281:12	3282:16 3294:6 3368:18 3369:12	3281:2 3296:24	skill 3440:7	smaller 3244:13
shorthand	3401:10 3420:26	3310:6 3325:26	Skorupa	3271:24 3280:3
3440:5,6	3423:7 3424:10	3341:25 3345:25	3353:24,26	3283:5 3291:17
shots 3230:19	3430:23 3431:16	3351:7,10,12	3354:3 3356:4	smallest 3313:17
show 3248:25	significantly	3361:2 3383:10	Skorupa's	smart 3232:24
3249:6,15 3283:2	3253:15	3394:18 3403:5	3355:18 3356:7	snorkel 3386:5
3297:4 3332:22	similar 3246:14	3411:16,26	sky 3338:17	3387:16 3388:10
3339:22 3342:5	3272:10 3278:3	3412:20,26	•	3389:3 3390:10,
3355:16 3433:14	3279:4 3280:5	3414:9 3417:5,8 3423:14 3424:20	slide 3225:10,13,	12,18,24 3394:25
showed 3305:14	3281:8,26	3423:14 3424:20	22 3226:12 3227:7 3231:16,	3399:16
showing 3260:26	3282:13 3283:6,7	3437:6	22,23,24 3234:21	snorkeling
3271:21 3272:6	3291:18 3335:1	site-specific	3235:1,2	3388:1 3389:5,20
3298:2 3392:23	3350:8 3362:12	3275:26 3280:13	3237:15,20,22	3396:8 3400:7
3418:14	simple 3245:11	3281:13,22	3238:6 3240:13	snow 3245:18
shown 3231:20	3308:19 3314:8	3282:15,24	3241:21 3243:23	3328:6
3247:19 3285:2	3436:19	3283:8 3285:17	3246:7 3247:26	society 3284:4
3297:11 3300:3	simplifying	3286:11 3351:15	3249:8,9,12	3320:19 3328:21
3331:24 3429:16	3277:14	3360:16	3250:6 3251:4	3329:6,12,19,21
shows 3228:26	simplistic	sites 3230:22	3368:26	soil 3229:26
3243:5 3270:24	3246:24 3417:11	3235:9 3283:1	slides 3237:21	3234:12 3240:19
3322:6	3434:23	3302:25 3352:11	3243:23 3246:7	3242:10 3244:22,
shrubs 3246:14	simply 3235:21	3381:5 3382:11	slightly 3284:10	23 3246:15,17
Shukalkina	3308:10,19	3383:2,3,5,6	3318:2 3332:3	3247:3,16,17,21,
3327:20	3406:12	3384:2,3,6,11	slope 3242:12	22,26 3248:12,22
Shuswap 3328:4	simulated	3386:7,8,9	3243:11 3276:23	3249:13 3253:16
sic 3244:20	3418:21	3387:25 3389:7	slopes 3276:18	soils 3223:4
SIC 3244:20 3245:22 3263:23	sinking 3242:7	3390:11,25	3279:2	3232:10 3246:20
3265:14 3275:19	sinks 3338:3	3391:1,5	slops 3242:9	solid 3293:8
3280:23 3334:5	SHIKS 3338:3	3399:17,22	510P5 5272.7	3357:6,8
5200.25 5557.5		3400:1,2,8,13,16,		

39

		40		
soluble 3323:5	speak 3292:25	specifics 3340:21	18,19,20	3295:10 3322:10
solution 3280:21,	3296:4 3315:16	speculate	stage 3243:21	3358:4
24 3319:9 3320:1	3344:2 3352:1	3402:12	3251:3 3253:1	statement 3268:1
solutions	3370:12,14	speed 3317:4	stages 3271:23	3283:26 3294:3
3253:21 3267:19	3377:7 3378:8	speeds 3244:23	3399:2	3309:22 3369:19
3301:22 3321:5	3408:26 3410:16	-	stand 3406:1	3382:7 3402:16
sooner 3244:11	3413:22 3416:14,	spend 3365:13		3415:24 3416:21
3407:16	18 3418:2	spending	standard 3251:8	statements
	SPEAKER	3252:19	3388:15 3389:4	3233:21
Soren 3267:20 3268:2 3330:23	3305:26	spends 3252:14	standards	states 3255:10
3343:2,3 3408:24	SPEAKERS	spent 3236:15	3233:10 3291:15	3355:10 3359:26
	3363:7 3366:2	3367:2	3356:8 3398:2	3362:6 3379:20
sort 3297:20	3421:9	spewed 3325:4	standing 3232:24	3411:5 3414:24
3300:6 3306:14	speaking	spoke 3260:9	stands 3264:20	3417:10 3433:2
3319:24 3353:10	3287:14 3337:13	spot 3406:1	3309:22	3434:11,16
3421:6	specialists	3408:8	Stantec 3267:24	stating 3299:11
sorts 3261:10	3229:2	spots 3388:2	start 3232:21	status 3269:14,21
sought 3351:15	specializations	3402:19	3243:20 3245:5,	3376:8
sound 3261:17	3409:22		7,24 3250:21	
3281:17,23		spread 3244:19	3284:5 3298:3	staying 3364:10
3298:26	species 3223:3 3265:1 3268:8	springs 3425:12	3331:5 3344:26	3365:9
sounded 3320:23	3269:11,12,24,25	3426:10,22,24	3349:9 3368:11	stays 3261:20
sounds 3320:26	3272:20 3275:19	3427:1,2,4,8	3369:26 3370:6	3323:12
3406:20 3436:26	3281:19 3284:7,	square 3234:6	3407:7,10,13,24	steady 3338:20
source 3249:26	11 3293:6	3238:11 3241:14	3408:3 3424:5	3418:18
3311:13 3344:25	3308:5,7	3247:1 3255:12	3437:20	steel 3364:2,3
3345:16,19	3313:10,24,25	3256:12 3298:6	started 3222:17	steep 3276:18
3346:10	3349:7,17,20	SRF 3306:21	3289:20 3300:10	stem 3271:8
sources 3260:16	3359:22 3374:8	SRK 3267:18,21	3301:16 3309:3,7	3272:25 3380:15,
3319:21	3375:24 3376:25,	3408:16,21,22	3318:10,13	17,26 3382:24
south 3257:5,6	26 3377:9	3411:16,26	3320:11 3370:2,	3383:5,9,13,20
3372:2,5,21	3388:17	3412:2,11,12,19	16 3389:5 3400:4	3384:17,18
Southern	specific 3232:24	3413:4,7,21	3401:18	stems 3274:6
3328:21	3237:21 3279:18	3415:19,24	starting 3353:15	step 3288:6
	3286:1 3293:18	3416:6,9,21	3371:12 3407:5	3289:10 3369:3
space 3298:5	3295:12,17	3418:21 3421:23,	starts 3230:3	3435:25
3313:17 3315:2,3 3336:6,7 3340:15	3311:15 3341:24	24 3427:16	3249:4 3338:3	Stephen 3267:17
	3362:11 3387:26	3434:21	3406:10	3268:4 3309:26
spaced 3298:5	3404:3 3415:14	SRK's 3416:12	state 3251:11	3330:26 3410:4
spaces 3311:1	3419:6 3422:23,	stabilize 3256:19	3313:20 3335:10	stepped 3370:25
3428:18	26 3424:6 3435:8	3275:8	3338:20 3356:19	steps 3378:17
spatial 3424:3	specifically	stable 3237:6	3418:18	-
3433:3	3276:14 3299:4,9	3262:2,3 3325:26	state-of-the-art	Steve 3304:8
spatially 3424:19	3346:20 3381:23	3326:2 3401:23	3230:17	3306:1
spawning	3419:3 3425:14	staff 3258:9	stated 3228:6	stock 3269:9,23
3348:25	3428:1 3431:14	3327:15,16,17,	3282:5 3294:2,3	3270:11 3275:9,
			, í	

		41		
14 3404:19,22 3405:7 stocking 3404:25 3405:12,19 stockpiled 3244:18 stockpiling 3244:21 Stoney 3328:6 stop 3243:12 3322:9 3324:17 3407:17 stopped 3321:22 stops 3324:7 storage 3277:20, 21 3348:9 storing 3284:21 storm 3241:24 storms 3241:2 storms 3241:2 strain 3380:8 3382:18 strategic 3227:1 strategies 3274:8 3311:8 strategies 3270:8 3275:12 3376:24 3377:1,25 stratification 3261:12 stream 3391:1,15 3399:3 3401:3 3402:5 3404:5	strict 3356:8 strictly 3262:13, 16 3287:14 strike 3430:7,22 stripped 3248:17 stripping 3244:17 structure 3290:1, 25 3291:4,8,14 3432:12 struggle 3251:17 Student-at-law 3328:16 studied 3300:15 studies 3255:26 3256:14 3316:3 3322:15 3332:9, 22 333:2 3350:5,9 3353:13 3356:14 study 3269:14 3275:6,17 3333:17 3347:14, 19 3349:5,16,21 3350:10,14 3350:10,14 3351:3 3355:20 3357:5 3359:16 3361:4 3363:1 studying 3367:2 stuff 3259:25 3261:26 3320:22 3366:10 3376:19 stumped 3395:7 subadult/adult- size 3396:5 subject 3280:11 3372:16 21	submissions 3438:12 submit 3288:25 3371:9 3373:23 submitted 3274:20 3289:5,7 3294:17 3373:15 3382:17 submitting 3264:9 3371:15 3438:10 submitting 3264:9 3371:15 3438:10 suboptimal 3270:2 suboxic 3289:26 3290:4,10 3291:3 subsequent 3266:3 3389:6 3391:3 subsequently 3432:6 substantial 3353:16 3362:24 3401:12 substantial 3353:16 3362:24 3401:12 substantiate 3425:9 substantiate 3425:9 substantiated 3433:11 substrates 3245:17 3291:15, 21 3314:1 subsurface 3270:26 3319:21 succeed 3245:22 success 3234:10	successfully 3256:24 3282:13 sudden 3338:7 sufficient 3239:9 3304:22 3308:20 3402:25 3417:13 sufficiently 3288:2 suggest 3265:2 3325:20 suggested 3362:18 suggesting 3407:18 suggestions 3231:19 3356:7 suitability 3271:22 3275:4 suitable 3261:24 3271:17 3272:2 3294:18 3307:19 3398:26 suitably 3414:9 sulphate- adjusted 3281:16 sum 3252:15 3393:17 summarize 3274:22 summarized 3283:1 summarized 3283:1 summarized 3283:1 summarized 3283:1 summarized 3283:1 summarized 328:13	supplies 3299:22 supply 3272:18 support 3227:6 3248:2 3250:18 3264:6 3307:3 3316:4 3357:19 3379:23 3381:7 3416:17 supported 3282:18 supporting 3416:2,25 supposed 3242:14 3385:13 surface 3228:12 3229:25 3240:16, 18,21 3242:6,25 3243:8,18 3253:17 3253:17 3264:24 3267:22 3268:6 3270:26 3271:1 3273:3,24 3280:3 3291:9 3319:2 3321:18 3344:16 3428:20 surge surge 3277:6 surprised 3252:2 surprised 3252:2 surprised 3252:2 surprised 3252:2 surprised 3252:2 surprised 3252:2
strata 3423:21 strategic 3227:1 strategies 3274:8 3311:8 strategy 3270:8 3275:12 3376:24 3377:1,25 stratification 3261:12 stream 3391:1,15 3399:3 3401:3	19 3349:5,16,21 3350:10,14 3351:3 3355:20 3357:5 3359:16 3361:4 3363:1 studying 3367:2 stuff 3259:25 3261:26 3320:22 3366:10 3376:19 stumped 3395:7 subadult/adult- size 3396:5	3425:9 substantiated 3433:11 substrate 3245:17 3291:15, 21 3314:1 substrates 3247:4 subsurface 3270:26 3319:21 succeed 3245:22	sulphate- adjusted 3281:16 sum 3252:15 3393:17 summarize 3274:22 summarized 3283:1 summarizing 3305:13 summary 3224:19 3274:9	3291:9 3319:2 3321:18 3344:16 3428:20 surge 3277:6 surmised 3252:2 surprise 3349:10 surprised 3385:15 surprisingly 3237:23 surroundings 3222:3

		42		
12,22 3379:13	3309:2,7	takes 3230:11	team 3229:1,8	temperature
3384:23 3386:6	3310:14,25	3286:21	3255:20	3341:26 3342:25
3387:13,16,23	3339:7 3400:7,10	taking 3246:8	teams 3228:3	temperatures
3388:10 3389:7	3422:26 3423:24	3248:17 3277:25	3246:18 3255:17	3273:25
3390:10,12,15,	3427:20 3428:22,	3284:15 3286:24,		
19,25 3391:6	26 3429:6,12,18,	25 3335:17,25	technical 3290:4, 13 3387:1	Temporal 3288:17
3394:25 3399:16	19,23 3432:18,	3350:15 3407:7		
3401:11,14	19,22 3433:26	3438:20	technique	temporary
3403:7	system's 3399:4	talk 3232:23	3297:8,26	3364:14
surviving	systematic	3235:2 3288:19	techniques	ten 3234:13
3274:10	3287:1	3296:26 3307:13,	3245:8 3298:17	3408:2
suspects 3266:22	systems 3249:11,	21 3312:7	3396:7	tend 3262:24
suspended	16 3282:1	3339:11,25	technologies	3311:1
3342:15	3293:14 3303:18	3354:24 3366:5	3280:26 3281:3	tendency 3303:3
	3307:26 3310:21	talked 3247:26	3289:15 3294:11,	tens 3240:20
sustain 3247:24	3311:3,4 3356:12	3257:4 3260:10	16 3301:12	term 3290:10
3275:8	3386:23 3387:14,	3262:8,10,11	3302:5,22,25	terminal 3262:22
sustainability	18 3388:17	3335:16 3338:23	3319:16 3335:2	3263:3
3270:10	3389:4,8 3397:15	3339:5,8 3341:16	technology	
sustainable	3400:5 3431:3	3402:17 3405:3	3279:14,17	terms 3230:25
3238:7 3284:14	5400.5 5451.5		3280:5 3281:10	3251:23 3276:7
3363:21,22		talking 3243:9 3287:15 3289:13	3290:24 3294:5	3278:9 3279:18
sustained	Т	3294:21 3301:25	3295:9,16,18	3286:26 3287:21
3337:14,23	4-h 2274 22	3307:17 3308:14	3297:16 3299:3	3289:1,25 3290:7
swam 3394:3	tab 3374:22	3313:21,22	3304:20 3310:12	3295:13 3300:12 3304:18 3314:4
swimming	table 3238:18	3314:5 3334:16	3318:8 3332:20,	3317:4 3322:21
3272:20 3399:2	3283:19 3288:10,	3335:8 3340:19,	24 3342:6,8	3335:16 3345:16,
switch 3296:14	16 3308:22	25 3341:3	Teck 3248:6	19 3389:14
	3321:20 3324:15	3358:11 3366:3,6	3279:17,20,24	3413:4,10
switching 3257:2 3335:24 3337:18,	3325:24 3343:19	3412:15	3280:6 3281:8,10	terrain 3223:3
	3355:16 3379:15,	talks 3333:2	3282:12 3290:22	
21	20 3380:4 3381:1	3334:13 3384:24	3295:15 3300:24	terrestrial
sworn 3222:24	3382:7,8	3412:6	3301:1,4,7	3273:26
3267:25 3268:4	3384:15,19,24 3391:23 3392:3,		3302:13 3303:1	terribly 3293:16
3330:26	23 3393:16	tall 3340:22	3304:4,5,9,10,13	3319:12
Syncrude	3396:20,23	tap 3260:16,22	3305:7,12	test 3245:26
3226:19 3240:19	3390.20,25	Tar 3256:10	3306:5,21 3309:1	3285:5 3288:26
3242:22	3401:9 3411:11	targeting	3316:11 3317:9	3310:5,7,8
synthesizing	tables 3238:9	3397:20 3411:9	3318:4,10,21	3312:23 3334:13,
3265:20	3384:14 3395:24	targets 3283:10	3346:8,10	17,21,23 3340:9
system 3225:15		3304:12	Teck's 3303:6,	3341:13,19,23
3229:26 3232:2,6	tag-and-release	taxpayers	15,18 3304:25	3342:13,16
3240:16 3242:7	3393:22	3236:14,26	3308:24 3309:6	3343:1,2,3
3253:17 3278:1	tagged 3394:2	3240:7	3317:5 3335:18	3423:8,9 3425:18
3299:14 3304:10,	tailings 3228:18	taxpayers'	telling 3238:18	3426:12,15
26 3306:19	3230:13 3256:8	3236:20	3381:14	tested 3247:25
3308:21,24	3259:20 3344:23			3349:7 3414:10

		43		
3423:15 3424:8	thinking 3227:18	3308:10 3313:17,	3243:10,17	town 3272:18
3431:14	3247:8 3263:18	24 3318:14	told 3320:23	3328:18
testing 3246:19	3308:19 3354:12	3319:22 3320:22,	tolerant 3349:6	townsite 3270:19
3316:1,2,25	3355:5	26 3321:6	3350:1,2	toxicity 3355:8
3334:19,21	thinks 3353:10,	3325:22 3326:8	tolerate 3287:3	3359:24 3361:24
3373:12,14,20	11	3336:2 3337:20	tolerated	track 3237:9
3376:18 3378:6,	thought 3263:23	3340:11,15	3286:13	3301:1 3386:21
7,17 3382:14	3284:1 3362:11	3343:9 3349:3		tracking 3389:21
tests 3277:12	3378:9 3436:19	3362:15 3363:8	tomorrow 3423:18 3437:20	traditional
3280:14 3318:15,	thousands	3364:16 3367:1	3425:18 3457:20	3227:12 3230:24
16 3332:22,23	3228:22 3240:20	3370:7 3375:14		
3333:10 3334:24	thread 3437:11	3382:24 3385:14, 18,24 3388:13,26	tools 3278:21	transcribed
3340:1,18,19,23	threat 3270:10	3389:18 3390:20	top 3243:13	3440:6
3341:22 3342:2,5	threatened	3396:11 3403:22	3259:26 3272:10	transcript
3424:25 3425:10,	3269:25	3406:24 3407:14,	3276:13 3358:4	3440:1,4
18 3426:8,20	threatens 3374:2	17 3409:7	3359:8 3419:11 3435:19	transfer-type
3431:13		3418:22 3437:15		3319:5
text 3382:8	threshold	time-oriented	topic 3257:2	transient
3385:2	3269:19 3350:24	3232:25	3264:22 3266:21	3417:25 3419:1
textbook	3351:1,15 3376:6	timely 3230:12	3296:21 3298:22	transmit 3430:12
3238:23	thresholds	3232:9	topics 3269:6	transparent
textbooks 3238:5	3352:17,19	times 3271:7	topographic	3222:9
3242:3 3260:21	3353:6 3355:8,11	3273:13 3279:16	3228:10 3276:10	transport
3262:21	thrive 3310:26	3281:11 3294:3	topography	3409:23 3415:25
thickness	3311:21 3405:5	3297:6 3305:16	3276:26	3416:21
3245:16 3297:15	thrived 3245:12	3346:6	tossed 3325:13	trap 3227:17
thing 3250:25	thriving 3274:11	timing 3376:25	total 3381:1	3245:18 3273:23
3262:6 3290:7,	thrust 3425:26	Tinto 3359:19	3382:13 3385:12	trapped 3293:9
11,16 3291:24	3430:7 3431:3		3386:14,18,24	treat 3279:11
3303:16 3315:20	3432:9	tissue 3345:8	3388:19 3390:23	3280:2 3291:18
3316:4 3319:22	thumb 3241:12	3350:24 3352:19	3392:18,25	3313:16
3325:13,14	tighter 3353:26	3384:11	3393:20 3394:6,	treated 3276:5
3335:20 3340:25	till 3250:16	tissue-based	22,24 3395:2	3280:7
3385:17 3389:20	tills 3248:18	3354:13 3355:7	3396:21 3398:9,	treating 3279:26
things 3223:12	timber 3255:7	title 3290:3	22	3304:20
3233:19 3250:3	Timberwolf	titled 3223:15	totalling 3255:12	treatment
3257:8,10 3259:4 3260:8 3286:8	3329:11	today 3231:20	totally 3365:17,	3236:7 3275:25
3288:12 3289:16		3242:4 3253:23	19	3279:10 3280:21,
3302:11 3303:13	time 3222:6	3264:15 3276:22	touch 3272:24	23 3281:6,26
3310:8,10 3312:9	3227:20,24 3229:23 3237:25	3277:8 3281:10	3406:23	3282:14 3290:10,
3314:16 3316:5	3229:25 3237:25 3242:16 3244:14	3343:9 3363:18	touching	22 3294:9,25
3321:6 3322:19	3266:7 3274:13	3367:20 3407:1	3295:23	3295:24 3299:24
3337:17 3338:1	3277:18 3278:7	3410:6,19,22	tough 3230:22	3304:14 3311:4
3350:14	3284:21 3286:16	today's 3232:17	3251:15	3312:19 3323:23
	3301:8 3305:7	toe 3242:12		3335:5,7 3336:9

43

		44		
treed 3271:8	3365:6 3366:20	typical 3232:18	underprotective	UNIDENTIFIE
trees 3234:12	3367:6,23	3236:22 3286:2	3359:4	D 3305:26
3242:19 3246:13	3371:24 3373:12	3293:13 3432:19	understand	uniform 3313:4
trellis-style	3374:2,6 3375:22	typically 3233:8	3240:22 3254:13	unit 3430:11
3431:21 3432:17,	3376:22 3377:10	3235:19 3236:5	3255:24 3256:4,	United 3355:10
18,21	3378:21 3379:11	3239:1 3244:19	7,14,23 3300:14	3359:26 3362:6
trend 3259:13	3381:5 3382:20	3252:14 3258:25	3302:6,9 3304:13	units 3425:15
3433:3	3384:25 3385:7	3259:7 3271:25	3307:8 3313:13	
trial 3234:8	3386:2,15,18	3290:25 3293:4,	3325:2 3342:9	University
	3388:19 3390:23	19 3297:13	3367:20 3383:16	3226:17,24
trial-and-error	3399:8,12,18	3298:6 3314:7	3386:17 3395:23	3409:5
3229:13 3231:2	3400:18 3401:8,	3397:26	3398:15 3405:14	unknown
trials 3245:2	17 3402:14	typo 3238:16	3410:4 3423:10	3251:26
3249:6,21	3404:24 3405:1,		3425:6,13	Unlimited
tributaries	4,8,13,19,21	U	3433:21 3434:2	3329:26
3273:5 3274:7	3406:6,12	U	3438:9	unmute 3296:12
3364:12,24	3439:20	UBC 3409:16	understanding	UNREPORTAB
3365:1,10	true 3223:13	3410:12,13	3292:11 3300:1	LE 3261:17
3379:12,18	3254:23 3255:9,	ultimate 3324:21	3313:11 3319:26	
3380:1 3381:19	14 3384:1	3341:17	3320:6 3321:4	unresolved
3382:21 3383:3,	tunnel 3239:17		3340:8 3371:20	3365:18,19
6,9 3384:19,25	3240:10 3262:9	ultimately	3376:10 3377:4,	unsafe 3297:21
3385:4	3297:18	3315:1 3319:6	13 3382:25	unsettled
tributary	tunnels 3239:14,	3324:2 3363:15	3387:24 3398:16	3352:16
3375:11,16	18 3240:8	3404:5	3414:8 3417:1,4	unused 3407:14
3377:19,22	3296:23 3298:12	unable 3355:2	3422:25,26	unusual 3247:13
3380:18 3381:20,	turn 3272:4	unaware 3378:3	3423:14 3425:25,	upcoming
22,25 3383:13,20	3276:8 3279:10	uncertainties	26 3432:21	3253:7
trigger 3287:26	3317:14 3322:16	3414:10 3425:5	3433:23 3435:4	update 3362:13,
triple 3360:9	3409:8 3410:26	uncertainty	3437:1	26 update 5562:15,
trouble 3296:22	3414:21 3417:21	3237:14 3245:11	understands	
3402:21 3412:8	3427:13 3430:25	3415:16,18,20	3275:15	updated 3237:16
	turned 3222:2	unclear 3238:6	understood	3240:9 3274:7,19
trout 3265:17	Turner 3327:18		3319:15 3340:2	3359:22 3361:21
3269:8,11,15,18,		uncontrolled 3242:1	undertake	3362:1 3374:15
19,22,23 3270:1,	Turning 3275:23		3413:3 3415:4	upgraded
5,11 3271:10,15,	turns 3338:16	under-drains	undertaken	3253:15
23,26 3272:13,21 3273:17,22	tweak 3250:20	3277:4	3353:17	upgrading
3273:17,22 3274:1,10,23	tweaking	underestimated		3364:21
3274:1,10,25	3367:15	3236:9	undertaking	uphill 3240:26
3283:18 3284:6,	type 3257:14	underlying	3249:20	upper 3243:5
14 3329:26	3392:10	3295:11	undisturbed	3269:15 3348:7,
3348:1 3349:17,	types 3229:2	underneath	3274:5	23 3411:11
18,19,21 3350:3,	3310:20 3397:16,	3259:12 3348:12	unduly 3433:9	upright 3346:14
4,5 3363:15,20,	20	3380:3 3418:12	unfairness	upstream
25 3364:1,7		3419:18	3265:25	3272:20
, ,				

r		45		
USEPA 3360:9 3362:18 users 3235:21 3237:7 3364:4 usual 3235:14 3266:22 USWAG 3357:7, 19 3358:6 Utah 3355:23 utilities 3357:11 utility 3257:13 3357:6 utilized 3414:7 3417:13 utilizing 3276:26	varies 3342:4,11 3361:1 3376:2 3401:14 vary 3241:8,15 varying 3342:20 3378:26 3390:20 vast 3281:23 vegetated 3247:15 vegetation 3222:12 3223:3 3244:24 3245:14, 21,25 3247:23,25 3264:22 vein 3262:7	45 virtually 3341:17 vision 3229:6 visit 3299:17 3346:1 3351:12 visited 3235:8 3300:14 3345:24 3346:3 3351:6 visuals 3386:6 void 3311:1 voids 3297:7 volume 3291:17 3335:26 3336:17 volumes 3280:2 W	26 3357:6,8 water 3222:15 3225:22 3229:25 3236:6 3238:20 3239:1,4,9,11 3240:16,17,18, 21,25 3241:25 3242:2,6,7,9,25 3243:8,11,14,16, 18 3247:12,24 3249:23 3251:19 3253:17 3261:4, 5,7,9,10 3262:25 3263:4,6 3264:23 3267:22 3268:5, 26 3270:25,26	water-holding 3247:22 waterbirds 3406:14 waterborne 3350:19 3350:19 3350:19 3242:13 watercourse 3241:13,20 3255:13 waters 3356:16 watershed 3238:1,9,14 220.0 2341:13,20
3424:17	Veolia 3299:23	W	3272:18 3273:25	3239:8 3243:14
Utting 3327:15	Vern 3329:3 version 3247:7 3289:7 3299:24 3374:15 3424:12	wait 3246:6 3248:22 3250:16 waiting 3252:8	3275:25,26 3276:3,7,17,21 3277:1,7,10,11, 15 3278:18,26	3253:18 3261:5,9 3269:16 3330:16 watershed's 3238:10
	versus 3423:22	3309:16	3279:8,10,12	watersheds
valid 3281:22		waits 3245:23	3280:2,7,9	3371:7 3439:21
3427:26 valley 3276:24 3279:17 3283:9 3301:6 3302:13 3346:9,15	vessel 3335:26 vessels 3290:20 3335:8 vested 3357:11 viability 3406:11	wanted 3260:8 3262:6 3265:21 3307:6 3325:16, 18 3331:8 3365:8 3388:23 3389:19	3281:5,14,22 3283:14 3286:3 3287:14,17 3290:26 3291:1, 18 3293:18	ways 3293:16 3299:5 3311:2 weak 3259:18 weakest 3239:26
values 3354:19	viable 3238:2	3390:25 3400:9	3304:11,14,20,22	weather 3334:22
3424:11 3428:4		3438:25	3308:11 3311:4,9	website 3221:26
Vancouver 3226:21 Varden 3347:25	vibrating 3421:17,20 vicinity 3271:2	Wapisiw 3256:1 Warden 3327:25	3313:17 3321:20, 23,24 3322:2,7, 23 3324:20	3254:17 3279:21 week 3225:22 3232:17 3249:23
3348:1,5,25	3311:16	washed 3242:11	3325:4 3335:4,6,	3276:11 3321:19
3349:1,6,18,26 3350:2,16	video 3221:24 3222:4 3327:1 3349:11	waste 3226:16,26 3227:18 3228:18 3230:15 3240:4	7,9,26 3342:15, 18 3351:23 3352:4,6,8,12,15,	weight 3233:9,14 weighted
variability	VIE 3403:18,23	3242:2,8,9,13	19 3358:25	3271:22
3403:2 3413:20	view 3260:13	3243:6,10,12,13	3360:1,13,17	weir 3272:17
3414:5,13 3425:7	3261:1,3 3295:9	3244:12 3247:5,	3362:7 3402:20	well-captured
variable 3306:10 3376:5 3421:22	3301:19 3311:24	12 3248:21,23 3249:11 3251:20	3404:9,13	3419:6
variances	3342:10	3259:24 3277:6,	3405:11 3406:9 3409:23 3411:11	well-constructed
3335:19	viewed 3415:22	8,10,14,20,21	3409:25 3411:11 3412:7 3426:24	3242:6
variation 3342:4	views 3263:20	3278:26 3291:23	3430:12 3433:25	well-designed
variation 5542.4	3264:13 3281:4	3314:22,25	3436:1,8	3242:6
3423:13	virtual 3222:1	3348:4,9,22,24		
		3349:1 3355:25,		

45

		46		
well-	3378:20 3381:8	word 3260:15	3345:18 3357:17	3373:25 3387:12
documented	3384:24 3385:7	3309:1,5 3311:18	works 3326:10	3388:2 3390:11,
3295:12	3386:2,23	3332:26	3342:6,8 3344:17	13,15 3391:1,2,
well-established	3388:16 3399:8,	wording 3287:9	3345:16 3386:12	12,17 3401:6,8,
3299:26	11,18 3400:17	words 3288:9	world 3230:14	14,20,21
well-known	3401:7,17	3290:13 3292:4	3234:3,17 3235:9	3402:11,13,18
3295:11 3310:20	3402:14 3404:24	3395:25 3434:5	3301:26	year-over-year
3311:22	3405:1,4,7,13,19,	work 3228:3	worldwide	3390:19
well-run 3252:13	21 3406:6,11	3240:21 3241:22	3254:25 3299:20	years 3226:15,19
well-understood	3439:20	3249:7 3252:8	worried 3349:13	3228:15,22
3295:16 3311:26	wet 3324:16	3256:24 3258:24	worry 3320:14	3230:9,21
3317:17,18	wetland 3338:16	3259:5 3264:6	3350:11	3234:13 3236:17,
3319:12	wetlands	3273:12 3274:2		19 3244:7
wells 3278:20,23	3255:12 3338:7,	3275:17,20	worse 3236:8	3246:6,18 3252:8
3331:23 3337:18	16	3285:5 3288:25	worst 3263:2	3270:18 3274:13,
3411:9 3412:22	Wharf 3359:21	3294:1,5 3296:1	worth 3305:24	26 3275:6
3413:5,11,12,14,	Wheaton	3300:22 3301:21	3395:8	3279:24 3297:9
15,26 3414:14,18	3327:19	3302:12 3307:11	worthwhile	3318:18 3321:1
3424:15,16	whitebark	3312:4,12 3316:6	3395:21	3322:15,20
3426:14,17	3245:12,22,24	3317:14,23	wrap 3373:4	3324:24 3343:18
west 3281:8	3245:12,22,24	3344:4,9,22,24	wrapped	3346:7 3351:1
3282:14 3290:21	whitepine	3345:7,10	3298:22	3356:1 3361:25
3302:16 3386:15	3245:16	3351:9,14 3354:8	wraps 3406:21	3362:14 3367:12
3422:7,15		3357:18 3358:16	-	3386:21 3387:11
3431:10	widely 3243:17	3360:7 3365:14,	write 3358:7 3416:12	3388:7 3389:6
west-east	Wilderness	20,23,25 3366:6,		3391:4 3400:15,
3423:22 3425:10	3284:3 3328:21,	15,18,23,26	written 3233:12,	18,22 3401:16 3403:3 3409:6
3431:21	25 3329:11,21	3367:18 3370:8,	17 3244:25	
Western 3282:26	3408:14	16,18,20,21,23,25	3255:26 3256:14 3260:21 3264:14	yellow 3231:20 3270:16
	wildlife 3329:19	3371:1,2,4		
westslope	3355:11,25	3384:2 3387:10	wrong 3304:2	yesterday
3265:17 3269:8,	3356:1 3406:18	3403:5 3416:6,9, 10	3362:3 3391:13	3274:21
11,14,19,23	wind 3245:18	-	wrote 3263:22	Yewchuk
3270:1,4,11	windy 3247:5	worked 3226:18		3283:23,24,25
3271:10,15,23,26 3272:21 3273:17,	3251:18	3235:8 3240:19	X	3284:9 3285:9
22 3274:1,9,23	Winward	3246:17 3260:20 3318:15 3344:6		3287:8,15
3275:9,12	3267:17	3346:8,10	X's 3375:5	3288:7,9 3293:24
3283:18 3284:6,	wire 3421:17,20	3357:14 3372:1	X-Y 3424:8	3298:19 3302:19
13 3363:15,20,25	wisdom 3343:21	3394:23		3303:22,26 3304:2 3305:5
3364:1,6 3365:6	wished 3266:1	working 3229:3	Y	3306:6 3307:5,7,
3366:20 3367:6,		3256:5 3298:20	·	25 3309:6,9,13,
23 3371:24	witnesses 3267:12 3406:1	3300:19 3304:4,	year 3227:5	16,18,25 3310:18
3373:12 3374:2,	3412:2	5,8 3310:12	3244:26 3245:7,	3314:5,11,21
5,10 3375:21		3315:20 3317:24	24,26 3271:7	3315:8,12
3376:17,22	wonderful	3318:10,13,16	3272:12 3305:7	3316:21 3317:9,
3377:9,10	3293:21	3332:2 3344:19	3312:6 3350:25	16 3318:23
	l	I	l	I