

From: Douglas Massey <email address removed>

Sent: August 23, 2019 1:56 PM

To: Panel RBT2 / Commission RBT2 (CEAA/ACEE) <ceaa.panelrbt2-commissionrbt2.acee@canada.ca>

Cc: editor@delta-optimist.com; editor@northdeltareporter.com; editor@richmond-news.com; sunletters@vancouver.sun.com

Subject: Final comment

To whom it may concern:

Recent ads in the newspapers declaring that the Port of Vancouver are going to "Protect the Habitat" when they build Terminal 2, angers and frustrates me that they should make such a statement.

Making such a statement prior to the conclusion of the findings of your report gives the impression that your report will favour Terminal 2.

I find it highly misleading in that none of their studies considered the cumulative affects of Terminal 2 along with all the other development projects they have and propose to build on the Lower Fraser River.

They have made this statement despite that all major environmental studies since the the Roberts Bank Port was proposed have concluded that building the present Port and any future proposed expansion at the mouth of the Fraser River would be detrimental and cause irreparable damage to all future salmon migration runs.

Forcing migrating juvenile salmon away from the protection of the shoreline and making them more vulnerable to the predators. Destroying Sandpiper Habitat along with that of other bird species.

The construction of Terminal 2 would create a disaster no different that that of Hells Gate nor the more recent rock slide in the Fraser Canyon and therefore should be stopped at all costs.

Submitted by: Douglas George Massey, <contact information removed>