Appendix 18-B

Murray River Coal Project: 2012 Noise Baseline

MURRAY RIVER COAL PROJECT

Application for an Environmental Assessment Certificate / Environmental Impact Statement

HD Mining International Ltd.

MURRAY RIVER COAL PROJECT 2012 Noise Baseline







Rescan™ Environmental Services Ltd. Rescan Building, Sixth Floor - 1111 West Hastings Street Vancouver, BC Canada V6E 2J3 Tel: (604) 689-9460 Fax: (604) 687-4277



MURRAY RIVER COAL PROJECT 2012 NOISE BASELINE

April 2013 Project #0791-007-16

Citation:

Rescan. 2013. *Murray River Coal Project: 2012 Noise Baseline*. Prepared for HD Mining International Ltd. by Rescan Environmental Services Ltd.: Vancouver, British Columbia.

Prepared for:



HD Mining International Ltd.

Prepared by:



Rescan™ Environmental Services Ltd. Vancouver, British Columbia

Executive Summary



Executive Summary

HD Mining International Ltd. (HD Mining) proposes to develop the Murray River Coal Project (the Project) as a 6 million tonne per annum (6 Mtpa) underground metallurgical coal mine. The property is located approximately 12.5 km south of Tumbler Ridge, British Columbia. The Project is located within the Peace River Coalfield (PRC), an area with a long history of metallurgical grade coal open pit mining.

To support HD Mining's planning and development of the Project, and to contribute to the environmental assessment process, environmental and socio-economic baseline studies were initiated by Rescan Environmental Services Ltd. (Rescan). Project-specific studies began in 2010 and have continued through 2012. As appropriate and when available, historical data from government sources and neighbouring projects, as well as traditional use/knowledge information, have been compiled and incorporated into the analysis. This report presents a cumulative summary of all Noise baseline information compiled for the Project to date.

Noise associated with industrial operations is often discussed in the context of worker health and safety. However, mining operations also expose the environment outside the Project fenceline to elevated noise levels. These can be perceived as a considerable nuisance by adjacent landowners even though typically noise levels beyond the project fenceline will not negatively affect human health or interrupt recreational activities. In addition, wildlife populations, especially caribou and birds, have been shown to avoid areas of elevated noise. This might lead to the fragmentation of valuable habitat and abandonment of migration routes. Baseline noise levels established before the commencement of construction and operations allow assessment of the potential cumulative effect of noise emissions associated with the proposed Project.

The main objectives of the noise baseline program were to:

- measure noise levels at a range of representative locations in the vicinity of the proposed mine; and
- provide a point of reference to assess potential project effects.

Four noise monitoring stations were set up within the study area and monitored during two periods, summer and winter. Noise levels were recorded over a 24 hour period per site in July 2012 and January 2013. The locations were selected to characterize the range of baseline conditions in the region.

Natural background noise sources observed included birds, mammals, wind, rain and thunder. Anthropogenic noise sources that were observed included aircraft, road vehicles, trains and mining activities. From the background data collected at the stations during the two monitoring periods:

- the mean noise (L_{eq}) levels averaged over the monitoring period ranged from 23.8 to 48.8 dBA;
- $_{\odot}$ the hourly L₉₀ levels averaged over the monitoring period ranged from 20.2 to 30.4 dBA;
- $_{\odot}$ the absolute minimum (L_{min}) noise levels ranged from 16.6 to 22.5 dBA; and
- the absolute maximum (L_{max}) noise levels ranged from 51.6 to 96.2 dBA.

Typical baseline rural sound levels are around 35 dBA (nighttime) and 45 dBA (daytime) as presented in Alberta Energy and Utilities Board (EUB) Directive 38: Noise Control (EUB (the Energy Resources Conservation Board (ERCB)), 2007) and reproduced in the BC Oil and Gas Commission (OGC) *British Columbia Noise Control Best Practice Guideline* (OGC 2009). The monitoring data within the study area are within this typical range of baseline rural sound levels.

Acknowledgements



Acknowledgements

This report was prepared for HD Mining International Ltd. by Rescan Environmental Services Ltd. The noise monitoring fieldwork was conducted by Calvin Pin (Rescan), Matt MacDonald (Rescan, B.Sc.) and Jem Morrison (Rescan, B.Sc.). The report was written by Jem Morrison (B.Sc.) and Nicki Casley (M.Sc.) and reviewed by Derek Shaw (M.A.Sc., P.Eng.). The work was coordinated by Korina Houghton (B.Sc.), managed by Jason Rempel (M.Sc., P.Geo.) and directed by Clem Pelletier (B.Sc.).

Table of Contents



MURRAY RIVER COAL PROJECT 2012 NOISE BASELINE

Table of Contents

Executi	ve Sumr	mary	•••••	i	
Acknow	ledgem	ents	•••••		
Table o	f Conter List of I List of ⁻ List of I List of <i>I</i>	nts Figures . Tables Plates Appendi		v	
Glossar	y and At	obreviati	ions	vii	
1.	Introdu	ction			
2.	Backgro 2.1	ound Info Literati	ormation. ure Reviev		
3.	Method	lology			
	3.1	Monitor	ring Metho	dology	
		3.1.1	Monitorin	ng Locations	
			3.1.1.1	Station S13-5	
			3.1.1.2	Station S2	
			3.1.1.3	Station S3	
			3.1.1.4	Station S4	
	3.2	QA/QC			
4.	Results			4-1	
	4.1	Noise Monitoring			
		4.1.1	Station S	14-2	
		4.1.2	Station S	24-2	
		4.1.3	Station S	34-2	
		4.1.4	Station S	4	
5.	Summa	ry			
Referer	nces				

List of Figures

FIGURE	PAGE
Figure 1-1. Project Location	1-2
Figure 1-2. Project Study Boundaries	1-3
Figure 1-3. Preliminary Site Layout	1-5
Figure 3.1-1. Noise Monitoring Locations	3-3
Figure 4.1-1. Murray River Noise Monitoring Station S1, July 2012 and January 2013	4-3
Figure 4.1-2. Murray River Noise Monitoring Station S2, July 2012 and January 2013	4-4
Figure 4.1-3. Murray River Noise Monitoring Station S3, July 2012 and January 2013	4-5
Figure 4.1-4. Murray River Noise Monitoring Station S4, January 2013	4-7

List of Tables

TABLE	PAGE
Table 3.1-1. Locations of Noise Monitoring Stations	3-5
Table 4.1-1. 24 Hour Sound Levels, July 2012 and January 2013	4-1

List of Plates

PLATE		PAGE
Plate 3.1-1.	Facing north, noise monitoring station S1, July 23, 2012	3-5
Plate 3.1-2. met	Facing south, noise monitoring station S1, January 22, 2013. The Project eorological station is in the background circled in red	3-5
Plate 3.1-3.	Facing south east, noise monitoring station S2, July 24, 2012	3-6
Plate 3.1-4.	Facing north, noise monitoring station S2, January 22, 2013	3-6
Plate 3.1-5.	Facing west, noise monitoring station S3, July 25, 2012	3-7
Plate 3.1-6.	Facing west, noise monitoring station S3, January 23, 2013	3-7
Plate 3.1-7.	Facing northeast, noise monitoring station S4, January 23, 2013	3-8

List of Appendices

Appendix 1. Noise Field Sheets

Appendix 2. Noise Monitoring Hourly Results

Glossary and Abbreviations



Glossary and Abbreviations

Terminology used in this document is defined where it is first used. The following list will assist readers who may choose to review only portions of the document.

Sound levels, in decibels, measured with an A-weighted filter.
Environmental Assessment Certificate
The ninetieth percentile level (the sound pressure level that is exceeded 90 percent of the time during the measurement period).
Continuous equivalent sound level over a time period
Maximum recorded sound level during a time period
Minimum recorded sound level during a time period
Meteorological Service of Canada; a division of Environment Canada
Liquid or solid products of the condensation of water vapour falling from the clouds which include rain, sleet, hail, snow, and other forms of water.
Quality Assurance
Quality Control

1. Introduction



1. Introduction

HD Mining International Ltd. (HD Mining) proposes to develop the Murray River Coal Project (the Project) as a 6 million tonne per annum (6 Mtpa) underground metallurgical coal mine. The property is located approximately 12.5 km south of Tumbler Ridge, British Columbia (Figure 1-1), and consists of 57 coal licences covering an area of 16,024 hectares. The Project is located within the Peace River Coalfield (PRC), an area with a long history of metallurgical grade coal mining, mainly from open pit mining. HD Mining is proposing to access deeper zones of the coal field (600 to 1,000 m below surface) through underground mining techniques.

In October 2011, HD Mining submitted an application to the BC Ministry of Energy and Mines and Ministry of Environment seeking permission to complete a bulk sampling program as part of exploration of the property. In March 2012, HD Mining received approval to conduct a 100,000 tonne bulk sample for the purpose of conducting testing to assist in developing markets for the coal.

Beyond the bulk sample program, in order to develop a full mine at the proposed 6 Mtpa production level, the Project is subject to both the BC and Canadian environmental assessment processes. Development of any infrastructure for the full mine is not permitted before the requirements of these processes are met.

To support HD Mining's planning and development of the Project, and to contribute to the environmental assessment process, environmental and socio-economic baseline studies were initiated by Rescan Environmental Services Ltd. (Rescan). Project-specific studies began in 2010 and have continued through 2012. As appropriate and when available, historical data from government sources and neighbouring projects, as well as traditional use/knowledge information, have been compiled and incorporated into the analysis.

In order to help guide the scope of baseline studies, regional and local study areas (RSA and LSA, respectively) have been developed (Figures 1-2 and 1-3). The RSA is intended to encompass an area beyond which effects of the Project would not be expected. It is also intended to be ecologically relevant based on the home range of key wildlife species known to inhabit the region. The LSA encompasses an area surrounding the proposed Project infrastructure within which direct effects from the Project may be anticipated. Its boundary has also been developed following natural terrain and drainage boundaries in order to be ecologically relevant. For consistency, the same RSA and LSA are used for all environmental studies, except where local concerns outside the RSA need to be assessed. For example, the air quality assessment area will extend beyond the RSA as requested by the BC Ministry of Environment. This report presents a cumulative summary of all noise monitoring data information compiled for the Project to date.

Noise associated with industrial operations is often discussed in the context of worker health and safety. However, mining operations also expose the environment outside the Project fenceline to elevated noise levels. These can be perceived as a considerable nuisance by adjacent landowners even though typically noise levels beyond the project fenceline will not negatively affect human health or interrupt recreational activities. In addition, wildlife populations, especially caribou and birds, have been shown to avoid areas of elevated noise. This might lead to the fragmentation of valuable habitat and abandonment of migration routes. Baseline noise levels established before the commencement of construction and operations allow assessment of the potential cumulative effect of noise emissions associated with the proposed Project.









The main objectives of the noise baseline program were to:

- measure noise levels at a range of representative locations in the vicinity of the proposed mine; and
- o provide a point of reference to assess potential project effects.

The following chapters outline the available background information that supports the study (Chapter 2); a description of the methods and rationale used to identify sites and collect Project-specific data (Chapter 3); the results of data collection (Chapter 4); and a summary that synthesizes the key findings of the baseline program (Chapter 5).



2. Background Information



2. Background Information

2.1 LITERATURE REVIEW

Noise is generally defined as unwanted sound. It is characterized in terms of the pressure of the sound wave. Human perception of sound pressure is non-linear: a ten-fold increase in sound pressure is perceived as a doubling of the noise level by the average person. This non-linearity is reflected in the use of the decibel (dB), a logarithmic measure of noise level. The dB is the logarithm of the ratio of the root mean square (rms) sound pressure relative to a standard rms sound pressure, usually 20 μ Pa, the hearing threshold below which sound is not generally detectable by the human ear.

Noise is typically monitored as sound pressure level, in A-weighted decibels (dBA). The A-weighting is designed to match the average frequency response of the human ear. Some typical noise levels are as follows (in dBA):

0	rustling leaves: 20;	0	average city traffic: 80 to 85;
0	humming of refrigerator: 40;	0	jackhammer:100;
0	normal conversation: 60;	0	jet take-off at 100 m distance: 130; and
0	business office: 65;	0	motorcycles, firecrackers, small arms fire: up to 140.

In general, a 3 dBA difference is required by the average person to notice any alteration in noise level.

Because of the non-linearity nature of the dB scale, sound levels cannot simply be added. Instead the logarithm has to be inverted before adding and then applied to the sum (Alberta EUB, 2007):

$$L_{total} = 10\log_{10}(10^{\frac{L_1}{10}} + 10^{\frac{L_2}{10}})$$

For example, the addition of people talking (50 dBA) in a very calm room (background noise of 35 dBA) does not bring up the noise level in the room to 85 dBA. Adding the noise levels in this example according to the formula above will raise total noise levels to 50.1 dBA. In fact, the background noise will no longer be audible once people start talking. For the noise source to be audible it has to be at least at loud as the background (i.e., a background noise level of 35 dBA and a 35 dBA noise source have a total noise level of 38 dBA). On the other hand, if the total noise level is 41.2 dBA "switching off" the background noise and leaving only a noise source of 40 dBA (a 1.2 dBA change) will not be audible.

Typical baseline rural sound levels are around 35 dBA (nighttime) and 45 dBA (daytime) as presented in Alberta Energy and Utilities Board (EUB) Directive 38: Noise Control (EUB (the Energy Resources Conservation Board (ERCB)), 2007) and reproduced in the BC Oil and Gas Commission (OGC) *British Columbia Noise Control Best Practice Guideline* (OGC 2009).

3. Methodology



3. Methodology

3.1 MONITORING METHODOLOGY

Baseline noise samples were collected using Brüel & Kjær Model 2250 sound level meters capable of logging data. These instruments have operating ranges from 16.7 to 140 dBA (at 1 kHz pure tone signal) that captures low sound levels, which are typical for undisturbed wilderness areas, as well as high sound levels. Each instrument's microphone was protected by a wind screen/weather shield and bird spikes. Other than the ground, all surfaces or obstacles were at least 3 m away from the stations. A weather resistant case protected the meter and battery pack and provided a stable base for each kit. The average, minimum and maximum peak sound levels were measured using the "A" standardized frequency rating (dBA), designed to match the frequency response of the human ear. Each sound level meter was calibrated before sampling. Noise measurements were made once every 0.1 seconds, approximately 1 metre above ground. Each monitoring period was at least 24 consecutive hours, with the exception of S3 during the summer monitoring period. Only 16 hours of data was collected at the S3 station during the summer monitoring period due to numerous thunderstorms in close proximity which delayed the deployment of the noise monitoring equipment and to technical issues when retrieving the data. While each monitoring period is at least 24 hours in duration, the noise recorded during the installation and demobilization of the noise monitor is removed during post processing and this can make the reported timeframe of the monitoring period slightly less than 24 hours.

The instruments can be set up to record a range of statistics based on user-specified averaging periods. Data parameters logged during these survey periods included L_{eq} , L_{90} , L_{max} , and absolute L_{min} .

- \circ L_{eq} is the continuous equivalent sound level over a time period, typically one hour, using the 0.1 second monitoring data. One minute L_{eq} values were also recorded for graphical data presentation and analysis.
- L_{90} is the ninetieth percentile level (the sound pressure level that is exceeded 90 percent of the time during the measurement period). For example, $L_{90} = 20$ dBA means that the sound pressure level exceeded 20 dBA during 90% of the measurement period. L_{90} is usually regarded as the residual level or the background noise level without discrete events (e.g., helicopters, fixed wing aircraft).
- \circ L_{max} is the maximum value recorded during an hourly period, using the 0.1 second monitoring data.
- \circ L_{min} is the minimum value recorded during and hourly period, using the 0.1 second monitoring data.
- The absolute L_{max} and L_{min} are the maximum and minimum 0.1 second values recorded in the monitoring period (typically 24 hours).

 L_{eq} values include higher noise levels from anthropogenic sources such as helicopters and aircraft movement, and therefore may not accurately reflect the natural noise level conditions in the area. L_{90} values provide a better indication of the natural noise levels since discrete events that occur from anthropogenic sources will not typically be part of 90% of the measurement time period.

Additionally, the sound level meters recorded audible sound files, which were used for the analysis of the baseline data to identify the peak events and the associated noise sources.

The following weather parameters were recorded at the Project's automated meteorological station during each noise measurement time period:

- relative humidity (%);
- wind speed (km/h or m/s);
- wind direction (degrees from true north);
- precipitation (mm); and
- temperature (°C).

The standard weather conditions for noise monitoring are:

- relative humidity of less than 90%;
- wind speeds of less than 20 km/h;
- no active precipitation (rain or snow); and
- temperatures that allow the meter body to be maintained within manufacturer's specifications.

The timings of the monitoring periods were selected to reduce the likelihood of unfavorable weather conditions, for example, by avoiding months that typically have high precipitation rates. Where unfavorable weather conditions occurred these have been investigated during the analysis and noted in this report. To maximize the size of the datasets used in this study no monitoring data have been removed due to unfavorable weather conditions, however, the installation of the S3 station was delayed due to a local thunderstorm.

The following information was recorded in the field during the noise monitoring program:

- descriptions of the monitoring site using pictures;
- time of set up and tear down;
- calibration settings;
- type of surface the meter is placed on;
- observed audible noise sources;
- details of nearby obstacles, where applicable (cannot be closer than 3 m to any surface except the ground);
- global position system (GPS) location;
- serial number of the meter being used; and
- weather conditions at each site at the time of set up, including precipitation and cloud cover.

3.1.1 Monitoring Locations

The first survey was conducted from July 23 to 26, 2012, and the second survey took place from January 22 to 24, 2013. There was no disturbance of the noise monitors by wildlife or humans during either sample period.

Table 3.1-1 provides the UTM locations of the sampling sites. The locations were selected to characterize the range of baseline conditions in the region, based on their proximity to proposed infrastructure and where future mining activities are expected. The time periods were chosen to encompass winter and summer conditions. Monitoring locations are shown in Figure 3.1-1.



Monitoring Station	UTM Zone	UTM Easting (m)	UTM Northing (m)
S1	10U	622737	6098944
S2	10U	624212	6098803
S3	10U	617323	6106449
S4	10U	629569	6099820

Table 3.1-1. Locations of Noise Monitoring Stations

Note: UTM coordinates refer to NAD 83.

3.1.1.1 Station S1

Noise monitoring station S1 was located to the west of the infrastructure investigation area in the vicinity of The Project's automated meteorological station (Figure 3.1-1).

The microphone was set up in a flat open area, with a group of trees approximately 5 m to the north separating the noise monitor from the road, with a berm approximately 20 m to the west. The same location was used during the summer and winter. The station had road access during both monitoring periods (Plates 3.1-1 and 3.1-2).



Plate 3.1-1. Facing north, noise monitoring station S1, July 23, 2012.



Plate 3.1-2. Facing south, noise monitoring station S1, January 22, 2013. The Project meteorological station is in the background circled in red.

During the summer monitoring period, the noise monitor was started on July 23, 2012. In the winter, the station was set up on January 22, 2013. For each monitoring period, the station was collected the following day, after recording for at least 24 hours. No precipitation was recorded during either monitoring period.

3.1.1.2 Station S2

Noise monitoring station S2 was located to the west of the infrastructure investigation area (Figure 3.1-1).

The noise monitor was set up in an open area with a gentle south east slope adjacent to a gravel road. Trees and shrubs were approximately 10 m away to the north and east. The same location was used during the summer and winter monitoring periods (Plates 3.1-3 and 3.1-4). The station had road access during both monitoring periods.



Plate 3.1-3. Facing south east, noise monitoring station S2, July 24, 2012



Plate 3.1-4. Facing north, noise monitoring station S2, January 22, 2013.

During the summer monitoring period, the noise monitor was started on July 24, 2012. In the winter, the station was set up on January 22, 2013. For each monitoring period, the stations were collected the following day, after recording for at least 24 hours. No precipitation was recorded during the winter monitoring period, and 9.4 mm of precipitation was recorded during the summer monitoring period with rain occurring from 11:00 am -12:00 pm and 3:00 pm - 5:15 pm.

3.1.1.3 Station S3

Noise monitoring station S3 was located approximately 4 km north west of the LSA within the License Area (Figure 3.1-1).

The microphone was set up in an open gravel pit adjacent to a secondary gravel road, with the closest group of trees approximately 20 m to the north. There was no activity at the adjacent gravel pit during either monitoring period. The same location was used during the summer and winter monitoring period (Plates 3.1-5 and 3.1-6). The station had road access during both monitoring periods.



Plate 3.1-5. Facing west, noise monitoring station S3, July 25, 2012.



Plate 3.1-6. Facing west, noise monitoring station S3, January 23, 2013.

During the summer monitoring period the noise monitor was started on July 25, 2012. In the winter, the station was set up on January 23, 2013. For each monitoring period, the stations were collected the following day. Only 16 hours of data were collected during the summer period due to local thunderstorms delaying the deployment of the equipment and to technical issues when retrieving the data. No precipitation was recorded at the site meteorological station during either monitoring period; although precipitation was noted in the field sheet for July 2012 and was audible in the sound recording.

3.1.1.4 Station S4

Due to time and equipment availability an additional noise monitoring location was monitored on the east side of Murray River during the winter noise monitoring period. Noise monitoring station S4 was located near the proposed rail loadout area, southwest of Highway 52 (Figure 3.1-1).

The microphone was set up in on flat open area, with trees approximately 50 m to the east and west. A rail line was located approximately 75 m to the north east, but was not used during the monitoring period (Plate 3.1-7).

The station was set up on January 23, 2013. The station was collected the following day after a 24 hour monitoring period elapsed. No precipitation was recorded at the site meteorological station during the monitoring period.

3.2 QA/QC

Noise monitoring site visits were conducted by experienced technicians to ensure proper documentation and field observations. Detailed field notes were recorded during the monitoring period to record the state of the equipment and any audible noises. Once each noise monitoring station was set up, a calibration was performed in accordance to the calibration procedure found in the instrument's manual. This involved using a separate device that produces reference sounds that are used to calibrate the noise monitor. These calibration results are presented in Appendix 1.



Plate 3.1-7. Facing northeast, noise monitoring station S4, January 23, 2013.

The noise meters were set up and operated to obtain reliable data given the on-site conditions. The noise meters, using a standard configuration, cannot operate reliably at air temperatures below -20°C. Typical battery power for these instruments does not last long (usually less than 24 hours) in extreme cold, so a spare battery was taken into the field to be certain that the sound level meters remained fully operational during the monitoring period. A housing or protection mechanism for the cable wire was used, and the tripod was secured to the ground to help prevent tampering by wildlife. When each monitoring session/period was completed, a preliminary quality check was performed in order to determine if the monitoring needed repeating.

Recorded sound level and audio sound files were downloaded to a computer for analysis with the Brüel & Kjær 7820 Evaluator® software program. The sound recordings were reviewed to identify noise sources, such as technician activities, wind, rain, construction and helicopter noise, and to determine whether unrepresentative data should be removed from the average statistics. Other indicators used to identify sources of noise were time of day and field observations. The analysis of the sound recordings helps to identify and understand apparent anomalies in noise levels and forms an important step in the QA/QC process

4. Results



4. Results

4.1 NOISE MONITORING

Background maximum, minimum, and logarithmic average L_{eq} , L_{max} , L_{min} and L_{90} results of the 24-hour July 2012 and January 2013 monitoring periods are shown in Table 4.1-1. Hourly results can be found in Appendix 2.

	July 2012			January 2013							
		Wind		Total Sound Level ^a (dBA)			Wind	Total Sound Level ^a (dBA)			
		Speed ^e (m/s)	L_{eq}	L_{max}	L_{min}	L ₉₀	Speed (m/s)	L_{eq}	L_{max}	L _{min}	L ₉₀
Station	Maximum	2.6	47.5	76.1	22.2	24.3	1.0	26.5	51.6	20.5	23.4
S1	Minimum	0.3	20.5	29.4	18.1	19.1	0.0	18.9	26.3	16.6	17.7
	Logarithmic average ^b	1.3	38.7	67.8	19.9	21.5	0.3	23.8	44.9	18.2	20.4
Station	Maximum	2.4	61.7	96.2	29.5	34.2	1.0	31.1	66.1	19.7	21.4
S2	Minimum	0.5	20.6	37.7	18.5	19.3	0.0	20.1	28.8	17.2	18.3
	Logarithmic average ^b	1.6	48.8	82.6	21.7	24.9	0.3	25.2	56.1	18.4	20.2
Station	Maximum	2.6	46.4	77.8	23.0	26.2	4.3	40.8	66.6	32.1	36.1
S3	Minimum	0.3	22.4	33.3	17.8	19.5	0.1	28.6	38.8	22.5	24.6
	Logarithmic average ^b	1.4	35.4	65.8	20.5	23.1	1.7	36.0	56.4	27.7	31.5
Station S4 ^d	Maximum	-	-	-	-	-	4.3	44.3	75.0	32.6	36.8
	Minimum	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	30.8	44.9	18.4	21.6
	Logarithmic average ^b	-	-	-	-	-	1.7	38.9	64.5	26.5	30.4

Table 4 1-1	24 Hour Sound Levels	: July 2011	and January	2013
1 avie 4.1-1.	24 Hour Sound Levels	s, July 2014	z anu Januar	y 2013

Notes:

n/a = not available

^a Values are calculated from hourly data; see Appendix 2 for more details.

^b Arithmetic mean was used to calculate mean wind speed.

^c Wind speed data from the Project meteorology station.

^d Station S4 was only monitored in the January period.

The July 2012 monitoring period had higher L_{eq} values than the January monitoring period, with the exception of station S3 which had a slightly higher average L_{eq} . The higher L_{eq} at S3 could be attributed to increased train activity during the winter monitoring period from the nearby Wolverine mine which is located approximately 7 km southwest of the station S3.

The highest logarithmic average was measured at station S2 during July (61.7 dBA). This is due to the numerous trucks that passed the monitoring location as well as heavy rain and thunder in the last few hours of the monitoring period. As discussed above, these data have not been removed from the calculations.

The L_{eq} values are in the range that would be expected for baseline rural noise levels; approximately 35 dBA during the nighttime and around 45 dBA during the daytime. Higher values, such as at station S2 during July, are due to anthropogenic activity (mostly vehicle traffic) in the study area and occasionally less than ideal weather conditions.

Results from each station are provided below.

4.1.1 Station S1

One-minute L_{eq} sound levels recorded during the two monitoring periods are shown in Figure 4.1-1. Station S1's summer monitoring period started at 5:00 pm on July 23, 2012 and continued until 4:21 pm the following day. The winter monitoring period started at 10:16 am on January 22, 2013 and continued until 10:00 am the following day. General sources of noise observed were aircraft, animals, wind, thunder and numerous road vehicles.

During July, the average L_{eq} sound level was 38.7 dBA. The L_{90} level was lower at 21.5 dBA, this is representative of the low background noise without the anthropogenic noise (trucks and aircraft) as well as the thunder recorded during the monitoring period. The L_{eq} and L_{90} were lower during the January monitoring period (23.8 and 20.4 dBA respectively), due to the significantly decreased road traffic noise and the absence of thunder. Additionally the ground cover was snow which serves to dampen ambient noise levels. The minimum sound levels (L_{min}) were 18.1 and 16.6 dBA in July and January, respectively, and the maximum sound levels (L_{max}) were 76.1 and 51.6 dBA.

4.1.2 Station S2

One-minute L_{eq} sound levels recorded during the two monitoring periods are shown in Figure 4.1-2. Station S2's monitoring period started at 5:35 pm on July 24, 2012 and continued until 5:15 pm the following day. The January monitoring period started at 9:38 am on January 22, 2013 and continued until 9:21 am the following day. General sources of noise observed were aircraft, road traffic, animals, wind, rain and thunder.

During July, the average L_{eq} sound level was 48.8 dBA, primarily due road vehicles that were active, particularly in the afternoon. The L_{90} was lower at 24.9 dBA, and is representative of the low background noise without the traffic and thunder. The L_{eq} and L_{90} were lower in January (25.2 and 20.2 dBA respectively), due to significantly less traffic noise and lack of thunder and rain. The minimum sound levels (L_{min}) were 18.5 and 17.2 dBA in July and January, respectively, and maximum sound levels (L_{max}) were 96.2 and 66.1 dBA. The high maximum sound levels recorded during the July period were from thunder in close proximity to the noise monitor.

4.1.3 Station S3

One-minute L_{eq} sound levels recorded during the two monitoring periods are shown in Figure 4.1-3. Station S3's summer monitoring period started at 6:22 pm on July 25, and continued until 10:03 am the following day. The summer monitoring period was shorter than the ideal 24 hours due the thunderstorms delaying the deployment of equipment and to technical issues when downloading the data. The winter monitoring period started at 11:50 am on January 23, and continued until 11:34 am the following day. General sources of noise observed were rain, thunder, animals, trains, trucks and planes.

During July, the average L_{eq} sound level was 33.6 dBA, primarily due to aircraft that were audible throughout the day. The L_{90} was lower at 21.4 dBA, and is representative of low background noise levels. The L_{eq} and L_{90} were higher in January (36.0 dBA and 31.5 respectively), due to the increase in mine activity at the nearby Wolverine mine. The minimum sound levels were 17.8 and 22.5 dBA in July and January, respectively, and maximum sound levels were 77.8 and 66.6 dBA.



July 24 to 25, 2012





July 25 to 26, 2012

Figure 4.1-3



4.1.4 Station S4

Station S4 was only monitored during the winter monitoring period. One-minute L_{eq} sound levels recorded during this period are shown in Figure 4.1-4. Station S4's monitoring period started at 11:00 am on January 23, 2013, and continued until 10:45 am the following day. General sources of noise observed were nearby road traffic and wind.

The average L_{eq} sound level was 38.9 dBA, primarily due to mine haul trucks active on a nearby road, as well as the nearby Highway 52. The L_{90} was lower at 30.4 dBA, which is somewhat representative of the low background noise without the sounds associated with single events, such as traffic and the wind, but may still include some anthropogenic noise sources. The minimum sound level was 18.4 dBA, and the maximum sound level was 75.0 dBA.





5. Summary



5. Summary

Four noise monitoring stations were set up within the study area and monitored during two periods, summer and winter. Noise levels were recorded over a 24 hour period per site in July 2012 and January 2013. The locations were selected to characterize the range of baseline conditions in the region.

Natural background noise sources observed included birds, mammals, wind, rain and thunder. Anthropogenic noise sources that were observed included aircraft, road vehicles, trains and mining activities. From the background data collected at the monitoring station during the two monitoring periods:

- \circ the average noise (L_{eq}) levels that were measured ranged from 23.8 to 48.8 dBA;
- $_{\odot}$ the average noise (L₉₀) levels that were measured ranged from 20.2 to 30.4 dBA;
- \circ the absolute minimum (L_{min}) noise levels ranged from 16.6 to 22.5 dBA; and
- \circ the absolute maximum (L_{max}) noise levels ranged from 51.6 to 96.2 dBA.

The L_{eq} values are in the range that would be expected for baseline rural noise levels; approximately 35 dBA during the nighttime and around 45 dBA during the daytime. Higher values, such as at station S2 during July, are due to anthropogenic activity (mostly vehicle traffic) in the study area and occasionally less than ideal weather conditions.

References



References

Definitions of the acronyms and abbreviations used in this reference list can be found in the Glossary and Abbreviations section.

Alberta EUB. 2007. Directive 038 - Noise Control. Calgary, AB: Revised edition. February 16, 2007.

BC Oil and Gas Commission (OGC) 2009 British Columbia Noise Control Best Practices Guideline. March 2009

Appendix 1 Noise Field Sheets



	Noise B	aseline Study - Field Data	Sheet
Sampler Lo	cation:	1 0	
	Project Name 🚽	Murray River	Project # 0791-007-16
	ID (<i>e.g.</i> S1)	MR Noise 1	
	UTM Coordinates:	22 737 E 6098 944 N	UTM Datum Nad 83
Ground Cov	ver (<i>e.g.</i> soil/vegetation type):	show	Start Date/Time Jan 22/2013/10:
Terra	ain (<i>e.g.</i> flat, hills, mountains):	Flat	Finish Date/Time Jen 23/2013/10.4
Weather:	Temperature (°C):	13°C	Cloud Cover (%):
		Heavy 🗆 Moderate 🗆 Mild 📈 No	ne
	Wind: Speed	Strong 🗆 Moderate 🗗 Light 🗆 N	lone Direction
Instrument:			0
	Type Calibration:	2250 Serial # (☑ Before □ After	use 5 2548173
	Weighting $(i \circ \Lambda)$	Method	the a state Earce alo
	Response (<i>i.e.</i> fast/slow)	Other Settings _	Deviation from last 0.11 dB
Observation	ns: **Include directions and	d estimated distances to the instrum	ent in this section**
	Audible noise observed	Tucks Bird Tec	briciaus working
		In mel station	
	Potential noise sources	Trucks (Truffin on road	(~ 20m) Birds
	_		
Obsta	cles (<i>e.g.</i> trees, buildings)	Mohe a tew trees su	irrounding to nearby Berm
<u>Notes:</u>	installed she	ic summer noise n	nonitoring took place
	-Neur met	station	1

Noise	Baseline Study - Field Data	Sheet
Sampler Location: Project Name ID (<i>e.g.</i> S1)	Multay River MRNOISE 2	Project # <u>279/-007 -/6</u>
UTM Coordinates: Ground Cover (<i>e.g.</i> soil/vegetation type): Terrain (<i>e.g.</i> flat, hills, mountains):	54 612 E 6 0 98 803 N Snow Covered Flat	UTM Datum $\frac{104}{104}$ $\frac{1083}{1083}$ Start Date/Time $\frac{12}{9!30}$ Finish Date/Time $\frac{12}{9!30}$
<u>Weather:</u> Temperature (°C): Precipitation: Wind: Speed	- 13 □ Heavy □ Moderate □ Mild ☎No □ Snow □ Rain □ Other □ Strong □ Moderate ☎Light □ N	Cloud Cover (%): 100% ne From the one Direction SE
Instrument: Type Calibration: Weighting (<i>i.e.</i> A) Response (<i>i.e.</i> fast/slow)	2250 Serial # ☐ Before □ After A Method Other Settings	New Sensitivity 46,68mV/Pa Deviation from Tort 1.15 dB
Observations: **Include directions a Audible noise observed Potential noise sources	Trucks neerby (MKM) diil	ent in this section**
Obstacles (<i>e.g.</i> trees, buildings)	Some trees surround.	ing instrument
Notes: <u>installed</u>	lere summer Moise	monitoring took place

Nois	e Baseline Study - Field Data	Sheet
Sampler Location: Project Nam ID (<i>e.g.</i> S	ne Murray River	Project # 079/-007-16-12
UTM Coordinate	es: 617323 E 6106449 N	UTM Datum Need 83
Ground Cover (<i>e.g.</i> soil/vegetation typ	e): _ Frou	Start Date/Time 11:50 Jun 23/201
Terrain (<i>e.g.</i> flat, hills, mountain	s): Gloped to North	Finish Date/Time 11:50 Jun 24/20
Weather: Temperature (°C Precipitation Wind: Spec	C): <u>-/3°C</u> on: □ Heavy □ Moderate □ Mild ⊡ No □ Snow □ Rain □ Other ed □ Strong □ Moderate □ Light ፲2↑	Cloud Cover (%): <u>/00</u> one None Direction
Instrument:	1)[-0	25.51
Ty Calibratio Weighting (<i>i.e.</i> Response (<i>i.e.</i> fast/slow Observations: **Include direction Audible noise observe	pe 1290 Serial # pin: Before After Method Other Settings w) Other Settings ps and estimated distances to the instrumed Wolverine Mine No Volverine	New Servitivity 41.24 mV/Pa Deviation - 1:08 dB
Potential noise source Obstacles (<i>e.a.</i> trees, building	es <u>Koud / Mine</u>	
Notes: <u>A+</u> Symm	er Monitoring location	

Noise Baseline Study - Field Data Sheet

(manufacture) in the second se			
Sampler Loca	tion:	Muse Runt	A-19/007
	Project Name	Marray Niver	_ Project #
	ID (<i>e.g.</i> S1)	MRNVerse /	
	UTM Coordinates:	629569 E 60998201	UTM Datum <u>104 Naul 183</u>
Ground Cover	(<i>e.g.</i> soil/vegetation type):	Snow	
Terrain	(<i>e.g.</i> flat, hills, mountains):	gravelpit-sloped Flat	Finish Date/Time 10:50/Jun 24/2
Weather:	Temperature (°C):	-13°C	
	Precipitation:		_ Cloud Cover (%): 700
		□ Snow □ Rain □ Other	10116
	Wind: Speed	□ Strong □ Moderate □ Light 2	None Direction
Instrument:		-	
	Туре	2250 Serial	* Monitor 5 5/N 2548173
	Calibration:	Before After	
		/ Method	
R	weighting (<i>I.e.</i> A)	<u>H</u> Other Settings	New Schsitisty 48.78m V/la
			periotion -0.30 db
Observations:	**Include directions a	nd estimated distances to the instru	ment in this section**
	Audible noise observed	Trucks (Itau 1 road ne arby)	~ 10 0m
		Road Top Fre (~ 200m)
	B.1. 19 1. 1	Tax / 1/ / / / /	
	Potential noise sources	(rains/ Itaul Trucks/	gasplant
		1	×
Obstacle	s (<i>e.g.</i> trees, buildings)	Train / Trees	
Notes:	Th a new	location near CCR	
-			
-			
-			
-			

Please be sure to take a few photos of the instrument and the surrounding area (*i.e.* one in each direction) and put them in the project folder with appropriate labels upon return to the office!

art.

	Noise I	Baseline Stud	ly - Field Data	Sheet	
Sampler Locatio	n:				
	Project Name	Murray River		Project #	791-002-03-02
	ID (<i>e.g.</i> S1)	Murray 1001	(5) 9	elev 1865m	١
	UTM Coordinates:	0622 737 E	6 048 944 N	UTM Datum	10 0
Ground Cover (<i>e</i>	.g. soil/vegetation type):	Open staging	avea w/ 5-10m	Start Date/Time	07-23-12 1615
Terrain (<i>e.</i>	.g. flat, hills, mountains):	trees + shru Gentle se sl Inaging road	ope, 10m from	Finish Date/Time	08-24-12 1621
Weather:	Temperature (°C):	26		Cloud Cover (%):	40
	Precipitation:		erate 🗆 Mild 🗹 No 	ne	
	Wind: Speed	□ Strong □ Mode	erate 🗹 Light 🗆 N	one	Direction NW
Instrument: road band AC i' Peak C spectrum Z Re Observations: A	Type Calibration: Weighting (<i>i.e.</i> A) sponse (<i>i.e.</i> fast/slow) **Include directions a udible noise observed	B&k 2250 № Before Method Fast and estimated dist Logging Insects,	Serial # After Using calibrate Other Settings ances to the instrum road w/ truc birds, breez trees + leaves	2 575 763 r 4321 provided New sensitivity Deviation from ent in this section* k traffic .e, TBRG with russeling	by XScala <u>48.42 mV</u> /Pa <u>lest 0.00 dB</u> * ~ 10m away nd screen ~ 50 awa
Obstacles	s (<i>e.g.</i> trees, buildings)				
Notes:					
.=					
-					
-					
=					
_					

Noise E	Baseline Study - Field Data	Sheet
Sampler Location:		
Project Name	Murray River	Project # 791-002-03-02
ID (<i>e.g.</i> S1)_	Murray 2001 / 52	clev. 913m
UTM Coordinates:	0624 212 E 6 098 803 N	UTM Datum
Ground Cover (<i>e.g.</i> soil/vegetation type): _	30-60cm wild grass	Start Date/Time 07-24-12 1715
Terrain (<i>e.g.</i> flat, hills, mountains): _	flat bench blt road & forest	Finish Date/Time 07-25-12 1720
Weather: adjacew	t trees 15-20m	
Temperature (°C):	24	Cloud Cover (%): 60
Precipitation	□ Heavy □ Moderate □ Mild □/No	one
	□ Snow □ Rain □ Other	
Wind: Speed	🗆 Strong 🗆 Moderate 🗹 Light 🗆 I	None Direction variable
Instrument:		
Туре	Bak 2250 Serial #	2 575 763
Calibration	Before After	
Calibration	Method 4321 Collibration	tam
Weighting $(i \in \Lambda)$	AC C 7 Other Settinge	No. Co. it's it //2 El V/2
Persperse / i a fast/alaw	fort Other Settings	New sensitivity 48.51 mV/Pa
	10.51	Deviation from last 0.02 dB
Observations: **Include directions a	nd estimated distances to the instrum	nent in this section**
Audible noise observed	Bird, insuch, trees und	this in the wind
		0
-		
Potential noise sources	Site adjacent to prime	an logaring and we truck &
	seed we guided in posting	and surgifiered stars it a contract
-	gratter traffic	
Obstaalse (s. s. tussas (s. s. tussa)	0 11	
Obstacles (<i>e.g.</i> trees, buildings)		
Neteri		
3		
······································		

Noise E	3aseline Study - Field Data	Sheet
Sampler Location:	2	
Project Name	Human River	Project # <u>791- 002- 03-</u> 01
ID (<i>e.g.</i> S1)	Murray 3001 / 53	
UTM Coordinates:	617 323.66 E 6 106 449.59 N	UTM Datum0_ U
Ground Cover (<i>e.g.</i> soil/vegetation type):	shale rock	Start Date/Time 07-25-12 1822
Terrain (<i>e.g.</i> flat, hills, mountains):	Open gravel pit udjace	t Finish Date/Time 07 - 26 - 12 1003
Weather: Temperature (°C): Precipitation: Wind: Speed	<u>23</u> □ Heavy □ Moderate ☑ Mild □ N □ Snow ☑ Rain □ Other <u>Thund</u> □ Strong ☑ Moderate □ Light □	Cloud Cover (%): 100 one <u>er + lis</u> htning None Direction NE
Instrument: Type Calibration: Weighting (<i>i.e.</i> A)	BRK 2250 Serial # ☐ Before □ After Method 4321 Calin. AC, C, Z Other Settings	2 575 763 oton <u>Neur sensitivity</u> 48.36 mv/Pa
Response (<i>i.e.</i> fast/slow)	1 9 9 1	Denship from cont 0000 dis
Observations: **Include directions a	and estimated distances to the instrum	ment in this section^*
Potential noise sources	Becondary logging road m	my see vehicular traffic
Obstacles (<i>e.g.</i> trees, buildings)		
Notes:		
2		
1		

Appendix 2

Noise Monitoring Hourly Results



				Wind Direction	S	ound Le	vel (dBA	A)
Date	Start Time	End Time	Wind Speed (m/s)ª	(degrees from true north) ^a	L _{eq}	L_{max}	L _{min}	L ₉₀
7/23/2012	5:00:02 PM	6:00:02 PM	0.3	205.5	26.8	56.2	18.4	19.2
7/23/2012	6:00:02 PM	7:00:02 PM	0.6	332.6	20.5	34.6	18.4	19.2
7/23/2012	7:00:02 PM	8:00:02 PM	0.7	108.6	25.1	48.7	18.6	19.6
7/23/2012	8:00:02 PM	9:00:02 PM	0.8	258.5	32.3	60.0	18.1	19.1
7/23/2012	9:00:02 PM	10:00:02 PM	0.9	257.3	29.2	56.4	19.0	20.4
7/23/2012	10:00:02 PM	11:00:02 PM	1.4	245.9	25.3	44.9	19.9	21.3
7/23/2012	11:00:02 PM	12:00:02 AM	2.0	238.9	22.1	35.4	18.3	19.8
7/24/2012	12:00:02 AM	1:00:02 AM	1.3	277.6	21.7	29.4	18.5	19.7
7/24/2012	1:00:02 AM	2:00:02 AM	1.6	232.0	22.3	29.4	19.6	20.6
7/24/2012	2:00:02 AM	3:00:02 AM	1.1	224.1	22.6	39.7	19.6	21.2
7/24/2012	3:00:02 AM	4:00:02 AM	1.3	237.9	22.1	50.7	19.1	20.8
7/24/2012	4:00:02 AM	5:00:02 AM	1.1	219.7	28.2	56.4	19.3	21.2
7/24/2012	5:00:02 AM	6:00:02 AM	1.0	237.8	26.7	56.6	19.8	21.6
7/24/2012	6:00:02 AM	7:00:02 AM	0.8	274.5	42.4	67.6	18.9	20.8
7/24/2012	7:00:02 AM	8:00:02 AM	0.4	57.3	45.0	75.1	19.6	21.2
7/24/2012	8:00:02 AM	9:00:02 AM	1.0	95.9	33.8	60.7	20.8	22.4
7/24/2012	9:00:02 AM	10:00:02 AM	0.8	87.1	44.8	72.7	20.1	21.3
7/24/2012	10:00:02 AM	11:00:02 AM	1.6	21.9	38.6	66.6	21.2	22.4
7/24/2012	11:00:02 AM	12:00:02 PM	1.5	56.6	28.4	55.6	20.9	22.0
7/24/2012	12:00:02 PM	1:00:02 PM	2.1	312.0	41.5	76.1	21.0	22.6
7/24/2012	1:00:02 PM	2:00:02 PM	2.6	312.7	40.5	67.1	20.8	24.3
7/24/2012	2:00:02 PM	3:00:02 PM	2.2	358.5	47.5	75.2	22.2	24.3
7/24/2012	3:00:02 PM	4:00:02 PM	2.4	25.6	27.6	49.1	21.1	22.6
7/24/2012	4:00:02 PM	4:21:21 PM	1.7	139.3	27.1	47.9	21.5	22.7
Maximum			2.6		47.5	76.1	22.2	24.3
Minimum			0.3		20.5	29.4	18.1	19.1
Logarithmic a	verage ^b		1.3		38.7	67.8	19.9	21.5

Appendix 2. Noise Monitoring Hourly Results – Hourly Sound Levels, Station S1, July 2012

				Wind Direction	S	ound Le	vel (dBA)
Date	Start Time	End Time	Wind Speed (m/s) ^a	(degrees from true north) ^a	L _{eq}	L_{max}	L_{min}	L ₉₀
7/24/2012	5:35:01 PM	6:35:00 PM	1.5	248.0	26.9	48.6	21.6	23.4
7/24/2012	6:35:00 PM	7:35:00 PM	1.7	250.8	24.9	39.7	20.8	22.0
7/24/2012	7:35:00 PM	8:35:00 PM	0.7	286.7	34.2	62.3	19.5	20.4
7/24/2012	8:35:00 PM	9:35:00 PM	1.7	265.6	22.8	37.7	19.5	20.5
7/24/2012	9:35:00 PM	10:35:00 PM	2.1	280.1	22.6	48.0	19.4	20.2
7/24/2012	10:35:00 PM	11:35:00 PM	2.0	255.3	28.9	58.2	18.7	19.5
7/24/2012	11:35:00 PM	12:35:00 AM	2.3	274.7	20.8	50.6	18.7	19.3
7/25/2012	12:35:00 AM	1:35:00 AM	2.4	275.6	20.6	46.5	18.5	19.4
7/25/2012	1:35:00 AM	2:35:00 AM	2.3	266.6	20.8	40.0	18.8	19.5
7/25/2012	2:35:00 AM	3:35:00 AM	1.8	272.0	20.8	39.2	19.1	19.8
7/25/2012	3:35:00 AM	4:35:00 AM	1.8	270.9	23.2	40.7	19.2	20.1
7/25/2012	4:35:00 AM	5:35:00 AM	1.8	274.2	24.7	51.6	20.2	21.4
7/25/2012	5:35:00 AM	6:35:00 AM	1.2	244.4	27.5	49.7	20.1	21.3
7/25/2012	6:35:00 AM	7:35:00 AM	0.7	260.3	33.4	60.0	20.0	21.1
7/25/2012	7:35:00 AM	8:35:00 AM	0.6	85.9	47.4	72.5	20.9	22.6
7/25/2012	8:35:00 AM	9:35:00 AM	0.5	74.0	33.5	62.5	21.1	23.0
7/25/2012	9:35:00 AM	10:35:00 AM	1.5	80.5	49.1	73.0	21.8	26.2
7/25/2012	10:35:00 AM	11:35:00 AM	2.1	337.7	41.2	67.6	22.8	27.8
7/25/2012	11:35:00 AM	12:35:00 PM	1.9	340.5	45.6	71.7	21.0	24.5
7/25/2012	12:35:00 PM	1:35:00 PM	1.3	111.6	40.1	66.0	21.8	25.3
7/25/2012	1:35:00 PM	2:35:00 PM	1.6	318.1	36.9	65.5	22.2	25.9
7/25/2012	2:35:00 PM	3:35:00 PM	1.7	1.2	61.7	96.2	22.3	26.7
7/25/2012	3:35:00 PM	4:35:00 PM	2.0	5.3	51.3	82.1	29.5	34.2
7/25/2012	4:35:00 PM	5:15:00 PM	2.0	201.4	36.0	60.5	21.5	25.2
Maximum			2.4		61.7	96.2	29.5	34.2
Minimum			0.5		20.6	37.7	18.5	19.3
Logarithmic a	verage ^b		1.6		48.8	82.6	21.7	24.9

Appendix 2. Noise Monitoring Hourly Results – Hourly Sound Levels, Station S2, July 2012

				Wind Direction	S	ound Le	evel (dBA	N)
Date	Start Time	End Time	Wind Speed (m/s) ^a	(degrees from true north) ^a	L_{eq}	L_{max}	L_{min}	L ₉₀
7/25/2012	6:22:48 PM	7:22:48 PM	1.7	248.0	46.4	77.8	18.3	20.2
7/25/2012	7:22:48 PM	8:22:48 PM	2.6	250.8	26.7	37.8	19.3	21.9
7/25/2012	8:22:48 PM	9:22:48 PM	1.5	286.7	33.9	56.6	22.0	25.2
7/25/2012	9:22:48 PM	10:22:48 PM	2.1	265.6	29.0	45.0	20.4	22.8
7/25/2012	10:22:48 PM	11:22:48 PM	2.0	280.1	24.6	33.3	19.9	21.5
7/25/2012	11:22:48 PM	12:22:48 AM	1.1	255.3	31.5	46.8	21.1	23.9
7/26/2012	12:22:48 AM	1:22:48 AM	1.6	274.7	29.8	41.3	21.0	23.6
7/26/2012	1:22:48 AM	2:22:48 AM	1.4	275.6	24.7	43.9	19.3	21.3
7/26/2012	2:22:48 AM	3:22:48 AM	1.9	266.6	26.8	39.3	21.8	23.9
7/26/2012	3:22:48 AM	4:22:48 AM	1.2	272.0	28.8	39.4	21.9	25.3
7/26/2012	4:22:48 AM	5:22:48 AM	1.1	270.9	29.3	42.8	23.0	26.2
7/26/2012	5:22:48 AM	6:22:48 AM	1.6	274.2	28.0	46.8	20.7	23.1
7/26/2012	6:22:48 AM	7:22:48 AM	0.3	244.4	22.4	43.9	17.8	19.5
7/26/2012	7:22:48 AM	8:22:48 AM	0.7	260.3	28.1	43.2	18.2	20.5
7/26/2012	8:22:48 AM	9:22:48 AM	0.7	85.9	27.8	47.7	19.6	22.3
7/26/2012	9:22:48 AM	10:03:11 AM	1.0	74.0	27.4	41.5	20.4	22.5
Maximum			2.6		46.4	77.8	23.0	26.2
Minimum			0.3		22.4	33.3	17.8	19.5
Logarithmic a	ıverage ^b		1.4		33.6	64.1	18.8	21.4

Appendix 2. Noise Monitoring Hourly Results – Hourly Sound Levels, Station S3, July 2012

				Wind Direction	S	ound Le	vel (dB	4)
Date	Start Time	End Time	Wind Speed (m/s) ª	(degrees from true north) ^a	L _{eq}	L_{max}	L_{min}	L ₉₀
1/22/2013	10:16:00 AM	11:16:00 AM	0.1	150.8	24.6	48.0	17.5	21.0
1/22/2013	11:16:00 AM	12:16:00 PM	-	-	25.5	49.8	18.3	20.8
1/22/2013	12:16:00 PM	1:16:00 PM	0.3	94.5	26.5	50.5	18.9	21.6
1/22/2013	1:16:00 PM	2:16:00 PM	0.8	95.0	26.2	51.6	18.6	21.1
1/22/2013	2:16:00 PM	3:16:00 PM	0.8	95.6	25.4	36.4	20.5	23.4
1/22/2013	3:16:00 PM	4:16:00 PM	1.0	98.5	25.8	46.6	20.1	22.9
1/22/2013	4:16:00 PM	5:16:00 PM	0.6	75.6	24.6	45.6	19.1	22.2
1/22/2013	5:16:00 PM	6:16:00 PM	0.2	55.0	24.9	31.7	19.9	22.8
1/22/2013	6:16:00 PM	7:16:00 PM	0.1	70.9	21.8	34.3	17.2	19.0
1/22/2013	7:16:00 PM	8:16:00 PM	0.3	65.6	22.5	33.6	17.4	18.8
1/22/2013	8:16:00 PM	9:16:00 PM	0.1	56.5	22.2	35.4	17.7	19.8
1/22/2013	9:16:00 PM	10:16:00 PM	0.0	46.7	21.0	32.6	17.3	18.5
1/22/2013	10:16:00 PM	11:16:00 PM	0.2	45.7	21.7	36.6	17.4	19.2
1/22/2013	11:16:00 PM	12:16:00 AM	0.3	41.3	24.0	38.3	18.3	20.5
1/23/2013	12:16:00 AM	1:16:00 AM	0.2	80.1	22.4	33.5	17.5	19.7
1/23/2013	1:16:00 AM	2:16:00 AM	0.0	49.8	22.3	35.7	17.8	19.9
1/23/2013	2:16:00 AM	3:16:00 AM	-	-	22.2	31.0	17.6	19.6
1/23/2013	3:16:00 AM	4:16:00 AM	0.0	54.4	21.7	33.7	17.9	19.7
1/23/2013	4:16:00 AM	5:16:00 AM	-	-	21.7	34.8	17.6	19.0
1/23/2013	5:16:00 AM	6:16:00 AM	-	-	20.6	34.2	17.0	18.2
1/23/2013	6:16:00 AM	7:16:00 AM	-	-	18.9	26.3	16.6	17.7
1/23/2013	7:16:00 AM	8:16:00 AM	-	-	24.4	50.4	16.7	17.7
1/23/2013	8:16:00 AM	9:16:00 AM	-	-	23.1	43.7	17.3	19.1
1/23/2013	9:16:00 AM	10:00:00 AM	-	-	25.8	47.8	18.5	20.3
Maximum			1.0		26.5	51.6	20.5	23.4
Minimum			0.0		18.9	26.3	16.6	17.7
Logarithmic a	verage ^b		0.3		23.8	44.9	18.2	20.4

Appendix 2. Noise Monitoring Hourly Results – Hourly Sound Levels, Station S1, January 2013

				Wind Direction	S	ound Le	vel (dB	4)
Date	Start Time	End Time	Wind Speed (m/s) ª	(degrees from true north) ^a	L_{eq}	L_{max}	L _{min}	L ₉₀
1/22/2013	9:38:00 AM	10:38:00 AM	0.141	150.8	24.9	55.8	18.6	20.4
1/22/2013	10:38:00 AM	11:38:00 AM	-	-	28.1	66.1	18.1	20.1
1/22/2013	11:38:00 AM	12:38:00 PM	0.285	94.5	24.6	46.4	18.9	20.7
1/22/2013	12:38:00 PM	1:38:00 PM	0.772	95	23.3	34.0	19.0	20.9
1/22/2013	1:38:00 PM	2:38:00 PM	0.839	95.6	26.2	49.3	19.7	21.4
1/22/2013	2:38:00 PM	3:38:00 PM	1.028	98.5	23.1	35.6	19.3	21.0
1/22/2013	3:38:00 PM	4:38:00 PM	0.609	75.55	30.6	65.8	18.7	21.1
1/22/2013	4:38:00 PM	5:38:00 PM	0.184	54.97	26.8	54.9	18.2	20.1
1/22/2013	5:38:00 PM	6:38:00 PM	0.072	70.88	22.7	37.3	18.9	21.0
1/22/2013	6:38:00 PM	7:38:00 PM	0.33	65.63	20.6	28.8	17.7	19.1
1/22/2013	7:38:00 PM	8:38:00 PM	0.14	56.48	24.7	48.9	18.8	20.8
1/22/2013	8:38:00 PM	9:38:00 PM	0.037	46.68	22.2	31.4	18.1	19.6
1/22/2013	9:38:00 PM	10:38:00 PM	0.177	45.66	22.0	36.5	17.8	19.2
1/22/2013	10:38:00 PM	11:38:00 PM	0.299	41.34	22.7	44.4	18.1	19.6
1/22/2013	11:38:00 PM	12:38:00 AM	0.191	80.1	23.8	36.0	18.4	20.5
1/23/2013	12:38:00 AM	1:38:00 AM	0.043	49.82	23.1	36.6	18.6	20.0
1/23/2013	1:38:00 AM	2:38:00 AM	-	-	23.4	38.1	17.8	19.6
1/23/2013	2:38:00 AM	3:38:00 AM	0.01	54.4	23.4	34.4	18.2	20.3
1/23/2013	3:38:00 AM	4:38:00 AM	-	-	23.8	36.4	18.6	20.1
1/23/2013	4:38:00 AM	5:38:00 AM	-	-	22.3	36.3	17.5	18.9
1/23/2013	5:38:00 AM	6:38:00 AM	-	-	21.5	34.2	17.4	18.3
1/23/2013	6:38:00 AM	7:38:00 AM	-	-	20.1	32.9	17.2	18.5
1/23/2013	7:38:00 AM	8:38:00 AM	-	-	31.1	59.7	18.0	19.8
1/23/2013	8:38:00 AM	9:21:00 AM	0	110.4	24.3	50.3	19.6	21.3
Maximum			1.0		31.1	66.1	19.7	21.4
Minimum			0.0		20.1	28.8	17.2	18.3
Logarithmic a	ıverage ^b		0.3		25.2	56.1	18.4	20.2

Appendix 2.	Noise Monitoring	Hourly Results –	Hourly Sound Leve	ls, Station S2,	January 2013

				Wind Direction	S	ound Le	evel (dB/	۹)
Date	Start Time	End Time	Wind Speed (m/s) ^a	(degrees from true north) ^a	L _{eq}	L_{max}	L_{min}	L ₉₀
1/23/2013	11:50:00 AM	12:50:00 PM	-	-	38.5	46.6	31.9	35.7
1/23/2013	12:50:00 PM	1:50:00 PM	-	-	38.7	48.7	32.1	36.1
1/23/2013	1:50:00 PM	2:50:00 PM	-	-	37.6	46.5	31.5	35.0
1/23/2013	2:50:00 PM	3:50:00 PM	-	-	34.1	46.1	24.4	27.1
1/23/2013	3:50:00 PM	4:50:00 PM	-	-	36.6	46.7	27.0	31.8
1/23/2013	4:50:00 PM	5:50:00 PM	-	-	40.8	66.6	30.4	34.3
1/23/2013	5:50:00 PM	6:50:00 PM	-	-	36.9	50.6	25.1	31.4
1/23/2013	6:50:00 PM	7:50:00 PM	0.2	112.9	30.8	51.5	22.7	26.0
1/23/2013	7:50:00 PM	8:50:00 PM	-	-	32.5	41.3	27.2	30.3
1/23/2013	8:50:00 PM	9:50:00 PM	0.1	193.4	33.6	45.7	28.3	31.2
1/23/2013	9:50:00 PM	10:50:00 PM	0.4	262.0	32.5	44.3	27.4	30.3
1/23/2013	10:50:00 PM	11:50:00 PM	0.8	263.0	28.6	38.8	22.5	24.6
1/23/2013	11:50:00 PM	12:50:00 AM	0.2	271.2	33.4	45.0	24.6	27.8
1/24/2013	12:50:00 AM	1:50:00 AM	0.8	242.1	32.6	46.6	26.1	29.3
1/24/2013	1:50:00 AM	2:50:00 AM	0.5	356.6	33.9	44.3	27.3	30.5
1/24/2013	2:50:00 AM	3:50:00 AM	0.3	227.9	31.7	53.9	24.1	26.7
1/24/2013	3:50:00 AM	4:50:00 AM	1.6	212.0	33.4	41.6	26.9	30.4
1/24/2013	4:50:00 AM	5:50:00 AM	0.4	272.0	32.6	43.5	26.3	29.5
1/24/2013	5:50:00 AM	6:50:00 AM	2.5	217.5	37.1	59.2	29.0	32.4
1/24/2013	6:50:00 AM	7:50:00 AM	4.0	208.5	39.1	61.8	27.4	31.7
1/24/2013	7:50:00 AM	8:50:00 AM	4.3	204.6	38.4	61.4	25.2	30.1
1/24/2013	8:50:00 AM	9:50:00 AM	3.8	209.2	32.6	54.3	26.4	29.4
1/24/2013	9:50:00 AM	10:50:00 AM	2.8	218.0	34.6	51.6	26.4	31.6
1/24/2013	10:50:00 AM	11:34:00 AM	3.8	219.9	38.0	58.6	25.3	29.0
Maximum			4.3		40.8	66.6	32.1	36.1
Minimum			0.1		28.6	38.8	22.5	24.6
Logarithmic a	verage ^b		1.7		36.0	56.4	27.7	31.5

Appendix 2.	Noise Monitoring	Hourly Results –	Hourly Sound L	_evels, Station	n S3, January	2013

				Wind Direction	Sound Level (dBA)			
Date	Start Time	End Time	Wind Speed (m/s) ^a	(degrees from true north) ^a	L_{eq}	L_{max}	L_{min}	L ₉₀
1/23/2013	11:00:00 AM	12:00:00 PM	-	-	30.8	55.4	18.4	21.6
1/23/2013	12:00:00 PM	1:00:00 PM	-	-	32.6	46.7	20.0	25.0
1/23/2013	1:00:00 PM	2:00:00 PM	-	-	31.7	49.8	20.2	24.6
1/23/2013	2:00:00 PM	3:00:00 PM	-	-	33.4	52.3	20.3	24.9
1/23/2013	3:00:00 PM	4:00:00 PM	-	-	31.3	44.9	21.4	25.9
1/23/2013	4:00:00 PM	5:00:00 PM	-	-	33.5	56.3	21.2	26.1
1/23/2013	5:00:00 PM	6:00:00 PM	-	-	32.0	46.3	21.2	25.3
1/23/2013	6:00:00 PM	7:00:00 PM	0.2	112.9	32.4	46.2	22.0	27.1
1/23/2013	7:00:00 PM	8:00:00 PM	-	-	35.0	57.4	21.2	26.2
1/23/2013	8:00:00 PM	9:00:00 PM	0.1	193.4	31.8	47.1	21.1	24.4
1/23/2013	9:00:00 PM	10:00:00 PM	0.4	262.0	35.1	54.9	20.5	23.3
1/23/2013	10:00:00 PM	11:00:00 PM	0.8	263.0	34.7	47.1	21.9	26.1
1/23/2013	11:00:00 PM	12:00:00 AM	0.2	271.2	38.8	65.8	24.9	29.7
1/24/2013	12:00:00 AM	1:00:00 AM	0.8	242.1	40.8	60.1	27.3	30.7
1/24/2013	1:00:00 AM	2:00:00 AM	0.5	356.6	43.5	58.8	32.6	36.8
1/24/2013	2:00:00 AM	3:00:00 AM	0.3	227.9	41.2	55.3	31.3	35.8
1/24/2013	3:00:00 AM	4:00:00 AM	1.6	212.0	42.8	60.9	31.9	35.4
1/24/2013	4:00:00 AM	5:00:00 AM	0.4	272.0	40.9	58.1	28.1	32.6
1/24/2013	5:00:00 AM	6:00:00 AM	2.5	217.5	39.0	62.3	27.3	31.5
1/24/2013	6:00:00 AM	7:00:00 AM	4.0	208.5	36.8	54.7	26.7	30.3
1/24/2013	7:00:00 AM	8:00:00 AM	4.3	204.6	37.1	63.7	26.6	29.6
1/24/2013	8:00:00 AM	9:00:00 AM	3.8	209.2	44.3	73.2	27.4	31.1
1/24/2013	9:00:00 AM	10:00:00 AM	2.8	218.0	34.9	51.3	26.4	29.6
1/24/2013	10:00:00 AM	10:45:00 AM	3.8	219.9	44.3	75.0	27.0	29.9
Maximum			4.3		44.3	75.0	32.6	36.8
Minimum			0.1		30.8	44.9	18.4	21.6
Logarithmic average ^b			1.7		38.9	64.5	26.5	30.4

Appendix 2. Noise Monitoring Hourly Results – Hourly Sound Levels, Station S4, January 2013
