
Appendix 17A

Key Records of Contact For Aboriginal Groups

KEY RECORDS OF CONTACT FOR ABORIGINAL GROUPS
(CONSULTATION LOG- TO NOVEMBER 2014)

LHOOSK’UZ DENE NATION.....	1
NADLEH WHUT’EN FIRST NATION.....	8
SAIK’UZ FIRST NATION	13
STELLAT’EN FIRST NATION:.....	19
ULKATCHO FIRST NATION	23
NAZKO FIRST NATION.....	30
SKIN TYEE NATION	32
TSILHQOT’IN NATIONAL GOVERNMENT	34
MÉTIS NATION OF BRITISH COLUMBIA.....	36
OTHER ABORIGINAL GROUPS.....	37
OTHER ABORIGINAL TRAPPERS.....	39

LHOOSK'UZ DENE NATION

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
1.	Meeting	11/04/01	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Richfield Ventures, the Proponent.	Meeting between Richfield Ventures and the LDN Chief & advisors; the Proponent was present but did not participate.
2.	Letter	11/05/01	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent thanked LDN for the recent meeting and noted they recently acquired Richfield's Davidson property.
3.	Letter	11/06/15	Environmental and Resources Law (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a meeting to introduce the Project.
4.	Letter	11/06/24	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Formal introduction of the Proponent; will set up a meeting to introduce the Project.
5.	Phone Call	11/06/30	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Catana Consulting	Catana requested a meeting between LDN and the Proponent to discuss the Project.
6.	E-mail	11/06/30	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent	Catana requested a meeting between LDN and the Proponent the week of 11/07/11; cc: the Proponent.
7.	E-mail	11/07/05	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent	In follow-up to introductory letter, Catana requested a meeting for the Proponent to introduce the Project.
8.	Phone Call	11/08/10	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent	Meeting tentatively set for 11/08/22. cc: the Proponent.
9.	Meeting	11/08/22	Environmental and Resources Law (LDN), Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	New Gold introduced the Project. Discussion topics included concern about the past Richfield program, identification of important traditional/archaeology sites, wildlife, concern about effects on water, future work, the EA process, and employment and training.
10.	E-mail	11/08/31	Ganhada Management (LDN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent	Confirmed community presentation for 11/09/08; cc: the Proponent.
11.	Presentation	11/09/08	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a short presentation on the Project at the community audit meeting.
12.	Meeting	11/10/13	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	The Proponent explained the MYAB Notice of Work (NOW). Went through every page of the MYAB and answered questions on processes, etc. LDN also wanted to discuss the importance of a TUS.
13.	Phone Call	11/10/14	Environmental and Resources Law (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN is concerned they do not have the time nor resources to review the NOW application. The Proponent requested that a TLU study be initiated in the spring.
14.	E-mail	11/10/18	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent would like to assist LDN with a TLU and archaeology study in the project area.
15.	Phone Call	11/10/18	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Discussed recent acquisitions in the project area, and the TLU study.
16.	Phone Call	11/10/21	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested confirmation that LDN received the EA draft.
17.	Site Visit	11/10/24	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	LDN tour of the New Afton mine site.
18.	E-mail	11/10/31	Ganhada Management (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN provided the Traditional Use Study (TUS) contract discussed on 11/10/28, as well as information on the LDN urban residential proposal and band infrastructure, as requested.
19.	Site Visit	11/11/03	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Tobias & Associates (LDN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	A two-day helicopter overflight of the project area was conducted with LDN members (former Chief and TUS/TEK lead), as well as a ground inspection of the MYAB Area A. No archaeological sites were identified during the aerial overviews, but it was determined that archaeological potential is closely aligned to specific biogeoclimatic zones (upper sub-alpine and alpine zones have high archaeological potential). Details on a cabin near Davidson Creek and caribou hunting were provided.
20.	E-mail	11/11/16	Environmental and Resources Law (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN requested a meeting with the Proponent on 11/11/24.
21.	E-mail	11/11/17	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent confirmed the meeting on 11/11/24. Thanked LDN for copying them on the letter sent to BC FLNRO about the site tour.
22.	Drop-in Visit/Casual Meeting	12/01/25	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	Lunch meeting. Discussed BCAMTA, concerns about cyanide and an agreement signing ceremony. cc: the Proponent.
23.	E-mail	12/02/07	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	Catana requested a date for the signing ceremony. cc: the Proponent.
24.	E-mail	12/02/14	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	Catana requested a date for the signing ceremony. cc: the Proponent.
25.	Phone Call	12/02/20	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	Catana requested a date for the signing ceremony. cc: the Proponent.
26.	Phone Call	12/02/29	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	Catana left a message for the Chief to call regarding the signing ceremony. cc: the Proponent.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
27.	Phone Call	12/03/01	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	LDN requested that the signing ceremony be held after the Joint Implementation Committee (JIC) discussions have begun. cc: the Proponent.
28.	Phone Call	12/03/18	Ganhada Management (LDN), the Proponent.	Discussed the JIC meetings.
29.	Phone Call	12/03/21	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	LDN member provided information on how trapline tenures are passed down through families. cc: the Proponent.
30.	Letter	12/04/05	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided the geotechnical MYAB amendment for review.
31.	Phone Call	12/04/20	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Discussed the upcoming JIC meeting.
32.	Meeting	12/04/25	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	JIC meeting.
33.	E-mail	12/05/02	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a copy of the geotech MYAB Amendment map, and the trail and drill clearing map.
34.	E-mail	12/05/04	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a template for the NOW amendment letter of support, as well as a copy of the NOW amendment, and trail and clearing maps.
35.	Letter	12/05/04	BC Ministry of Forests, Lands & Natural Resource Operations (BC FLNRO), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN supports the 12/04/13 Blackwater NOW Amendment submitted by the Proponent. cc: the Proponent.
36.	E-mail	12/05/22	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a letter of support from LDN for the NOW amendment.
37.	E-mail	12/05/23	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Chief has not yet reviewed the NOW amendment.
38.	Letter	12/05/23	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN has no further concerns with the geotechnical MYAB amendment. Noted they are interested in archaeological findings. Also concerned about impacts to wildlife and trapline owners.
39.	E-mail	12/05/29	Ganhada Management (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN requested information on tenure changes from the acquisition; information provided.
40.	E-mail	12/06/05	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a meeting with LDN and UFN on 12/06/07.
41.	E-mail	12/06/08	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN will get back to the Proponent with potential meeting dates.
42.	Meeting	12/06/19	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Discussed training and job opportunities for members, and the challenges of those with lower levels of education. Concerns: Ability of those with limited English skills (reading and writing) to get project-related employment.
43.	Report	12/07/01	Carrier Chilcotin Tribal Council (CCTC), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN provided a report on their strength of claim in the project area.
44.	E-mail	12/07/05	Ganhada Management (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a meeting to discuss the ecoenergy funding proposal, JIC meeting, NOW amendment and core box proposal.
45.	E-mail	12/07/05	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a Joint Implementation Committee (JIC) meeting on 12/07/20.
46.	E-mail	12/07/05	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Discussion about JIC meeting and ecoenergy application.
47.	E-mail	12/07/07	Ganhada Management (LDN), the Proponent.	JIC meeting set for 12/07/20.
48.	E-mail	12/07/09	Ganhada Management (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided notes from the April JIC meeting.
49.	Meeting	12/07/09	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Discussed access road to the resident's home, and the possibility of having it repaired by the Proponent.
50.	E-mail	12/07/10	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a letter of support for LDN's ecoenergy proposal.
51.	E-mail	12/07/10	Ganhada Management (LDN), the Proponent.	JIC meeting changed from 12/07/20 to 12/07/19.
52.	E-mail	12/07/12	Ganhada Management (LDN), the Proponent.	Discussed agenda for the 12/07/19 JIC meeting.
53.	Meeting	12/07/19	Ganhada Management (LDN), the Proponent.	JIC meeting. Discussion topics included: (a) employment and training; (b) contract opportunities; (c) size of camp; (d) new cell tower; (e) concerns about tailings and monitoring; (f) Capoose site and NOW amendment; (g) Blackwater incinerator permit; (h) environmental assessment; (i) Duz Cho project; (j) TK study; (k) Participation Agreement. Concerns: (a) tailings; (b) environmental monitoring after reclamation; (c) confusion about the EA and permitting processes; (d) employment, contracts and training.
54.	Phone Call	12/07/30	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Tour of drill sites and tailings area set for 12/08/23 per request by LDN member.
55.	E-mail	12/08/03	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a meeting to discuss the Project.
56.	Meeting	12/08/24	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Discussed upcoming environmental work around LDN Elder's place. The Proponent will provide dates and times for work to be done.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
57.	Site Visit	12/09/13	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Tour of site and lunch provided for LDN Elder and trapline tenure holder.
58.	Drop-in Visit/Casual Meeting	12/09/17	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	General project discussion with Chief and Band Manager over lunch.
59.	E-mail	12/09/18	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Notification of field work near Elder's home.
60.	Letter	12/10/01	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a meeting with Chief and Council to discuss the Project Description.
61.	Phone Call	12/10/16	Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	Discussed trapline tenure holder's trapline, traditional land use and traditional knowledge.
62.	Phone Call	12/10/30	Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	Request for meeting with trapline tenure holder to discuss the Project.
63.	Phone Call	12/10/30	Trapline TR0512T027 (LDN), the Proponent.	Meeting with trapline tenure holder set for 12/11/13.
64.	Meeting	12/11/01	BW Individual (Aboriginal), First Nations Consultant, Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Skeetchestn Indian Band (SIB), Tk'emlúps te Secwépemc (TIB), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Meeting with representatives of UFN, LDN, TIB and SIB. The Proponent provided information on the Project. Discussed negotiation of a Protocol Agreement, hopefully to lead to a Participation Agreement with the Proponent. All agreed that the Proponent needs to hire a First Nations Coordinator.
65.	Phone Call	12/11/03	Trapline TR0512T027 (LDN), the Proponent.	Meeting set for 12/11/13.
66.	E-mail	12/11/08	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent to provide a list of commitments re: the Proponent requested date for next JIC meeting.
67.	Phone Call	12/11/13	Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	Trapline tenure holder is not available to discuss the Project for an extended time.
68.	Meeting	12/11/13	Trapline TR0512T027 (LDN), the Proponent.	Trappers did not show up for scheduled meeting.
69.	Letter	12/11/21	Trapline TR0512T027 (LDN), the Proponent.	Project introduction and request for meeting by the Proponent. No response received to letter.
70.	Letter	12/11/21	Trapline TR0512T027 (LDN), the Proponent.	Project introduction and request for meeting by the Proponent.
71.	Letter	12/11/23	Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Comments on the Project Description via the CEAA public comment period. Requested a full federal environmental assessment process. cc: the Proponent.
72.	Phone Call	12/11/26	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN requested that the community meeting be postponed.
73.	Presentation	12/11/29	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Second presentation about the project to the LDN community at their Annual General Assembly.
74.	Phone Call	12/12/11	Ganhada Management (LDN), the Proponent.	Further to a meeting between LDN and UFN, they will be working separately with the Proponent on the Blackwater Project.
75.	Letter	12/12/14	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a summary of the proposed 2013 exploration program.
76.	E-mail	12/12/18	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a copy of the draft Blackwater MYAB Geotechnical Amendment #2 for review.
77.	E-mail	12/12/19	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a copy of the draft Incinerator Permit Application.
78.	Letter	13/01/02	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided details for funding negotiation of the Participation Agreement.
79.	Registered Letter	13/01/03	Trapline TR0512T027 (LDN), the Proponent.	Registered letter sent to trapline tenure holder on 12/11/21 returned (undeliverable).
80.	E-mail	13/01/09	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN requested, and was provided with, clarification on the NFN Blackwater Camp company and how it relates to the Blackwater Project (it is separate).
81.	E-mail	13/01/14	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a copy of the Blackwater Southeast NOW Application.
82.	Letter	13/01/16	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a copy of the 2013 Blackwater Exploration Program.
83.	Letter	13/01/21	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Registered letter: the Proponent provided a copy of the Incinerator Permit Application.
84.	Meeting	13/01/29	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Discussed the 2013 exploration program and permit applications.
85.	Drop-in Visit/Casual Meeting	13/02/12	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	LDN member expressed concern with lack of consultation and work opportunities.
86.	Phone Call	13/02/28	Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a meeting to discuss the Project. Trapline tenure holder is currently unavailable and will contact the Proponent when he can meet.
87.	E-mail	13/04/11	Trapline TR0512T027 (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided information on the proposed 2013 exploration program, as well as a revised proposed transmission line route map.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
88.	Phone Call	13/04/19	Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	Trapline tenure holder expressed concern about effects of the Auro and Blackwater exploration programs on his tenure.
89.	Phone Call	13/04/22	Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	Further to his 13/04/19 phone call, the trapline tenure holder requested a meeting to discuss the Project and his trapline.
90.	Phone Call	13/04/25	Ganhada Management (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent will request that BC MEMNG hold off on issuing a permit until LDN can review the exploration program shape files.
91.	E-mail	13/04/29	Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	Further to the 13/04/22 phone call, the Proponent again requested a meeting with the trapline tenure holder to discuss the Project.
92.	Meeting	13/05/01	Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Discussed the 2013 exploration program, and in particular the Auro site. Discussion topics included sacred sites, field assistants, potential effects on the ungulate winter range, the recent meeting with a trapline tenure holder. Confirmed that no sacred sites are within the exploration area. Concerns: (a) identification of sacred sites if any exploration drilling holes are moved; (b) potential effects on caribou in the ungulate winter range.
93.	E-mail	13/05/02	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided the Caribou Management Plan and shape files. Requested information on potentially affected sacred sites.
94.	Phone Call	13/05/03	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN confirmed there are no sacred sites in the area of the 2013 exploration program.
95.	E-mail	13/05/03	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN requested further information on the shape files provided at the 13/05/01 meeting.
96.	E-mail	13/05/07	Ganhada Management (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent confirmed that it was not stated at the meeting with the trapper that compensation for impacts is related to discussions with LDN leadership.
97.	E-mail	13/05/13	Ganhada Management (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a meeting on 13/05/27 or 28 in Quesnel to discuss Feasibility Study, EA, employment, contracts/procurement and the Participation Agreement. The Proponent also suggested a meeting in Vancouver between LDN and the engineering team to discuss the Project Execution Plan.
98.	Phone Call	13/05/21	Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	Trapline tenure holder is not willing to allow the Proponent to do exploratory drilling on his tenure without first walking the trapline with the Proponent. The Proponent will follow up with him.
99.	Phone Call	13/05/21	Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent addressed trapline tenure holder's concerns regarding ground disturbance in his tenure.
100.	Meeting	13/05/28	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	JIC meeting. Discussion included the 2013 exploration program, feasibility study, procurement and contracts, hiring and employment.
101.	E-mail	13/06/10	BC Environmental Assessment Office, Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), The Proponent.	LDN submitted comments on the dAIR Version C to the BC EAO. cc: the Proponent.
102.	Letter	13/06/10	BC Environmental Assessment Office, Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN submitted valued component comments on the dAIR Version C to the BC EAO. cc: the Proponent.
103.	Site Visit	13/06/18	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN members and a trapline tenure holder participated in a Heli tour of the project site. Discussion topics included wildlife (moose, grizzly and marten), some traditional knowledge of the area, and the tailings facility and area. Concerns: (a) effect of cutting large trees on marten habitat; (b) First Nation involvement in walking trails and lines before construction; (c) tailings and facility effects on Davidson Creek and its tributaries; (d) acid rock drainage.
104.	E-mail	13/06/25	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Discussed meeting with LDN Elders to collect information on traditional land use.
105.	E-mail	13/06/28	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	The Proponent provided information for the 13/06/28 dAIR discussion; LDN requested that the meeting be rescheduled.
106.	Text Message	13/07/08	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN member requested to participate in a socio-economic interview on 13/07/11.
107.	E-mail	13/07/11	Ganhada Management (LDN), the Proponent.	Meeting to discuss the Participation Agreement set for 13/08/09.
108.	Meeting	13/07/11	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	LDN Elders provided socio-economic data relating to traditional land use in the Kluskus area. Concerns: (a) flow of information from Chief and Council regarding this and other projects; (b) potential for increased access to the area for hunting and fishing, and related increase of garbage; (c) drinking water quality; (d) potential effects on traditional hunting and gathering; (e) effects of tailings on water resources (including increased arsenic); (f) mining process and effects on wildlife, air, water resources.
109.	E-mail	13/07/12	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	AMEC requested permission to interview additional LDN Elders to collect socio-economic data. cc: the Proponent.
110.	Phone Call	13/07/23	Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	Trapline tenure holder called the Proponent, but did not leave a number for a return call.
111.	E-mail	13/07/24	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	LDN confirmed availability of Elder for a socio-economic interview.
112.	Phone Call	13/07/24	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	Discussed additional socio-economic interviews, a community meeting on 13/08/12
113.	Phone Call	13/07/24	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, Avison Management Services, the Proponent.	LDN chief confirmed, before AMEC's field work on Mt. Kayakuz, that the mountain is a sacred place used by LDN for vision quests.
114.	Phone Call	13/07/26	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN advised the Proponent that 13/08/12 works for a community meeting in Kluskus village.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
115.	Phone Call	13/07/30	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	Discussed a trapline tenure holder's concern that UFN is not passing information about the Project on to its members.
116.	Meeting	13/07/31	Trapline TR0512T027 (LDN), the Proponent.	Discussed the Project and the potential effect on the trapline tenure. Also discussed the potential for employment.
117.	Drop-in Visit/Casual Meeting	13/09/13	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	LND representative picked up notes from recent socio-economic interview to review and provide comment on statements that need to remain confidential.
118.	Meeting	13/09/19	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN met with the Proponent in their office. At the meeting, the Proponent representatives provided a Project update and overview, and attendees discussed elements of the Participation Agreement, as well as training, employment and business opportunities.
119.	Phone Call	13/09/27	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Discussed the draft Aboriginal consultation plan; the Proponent will provide a copy for review.
120.	E-mail	13/09/30	Environmental and Resources Law (LDN), Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN requested a date for a meeting with the Proponent and proposed 13/10/16.
121.	Phone Call	13/10/24	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	LDN and UFN members invited to the Proponent water quality results presentation meeting on 13/10/31.
122.	Phone Call	13/10/24	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent invited LDN to water quality results presentation on 13/10/31. LDN expressed interest and availability and accepted the invitation.
123.	E-mail	13/10/25	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), BC Environmental Assessment (BC EAO), Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency (CEAA), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent the updated Aboriginal Groups Consultation Plan and Report to LDN (cc: BC EAO and CEAA).
124.	Meeting	13/10/31	BC Ministry of Environment (BC MOE), BC Ministry of Forests, Lands & Natural Resource Operations (BC FLNRO), Health Canada, BC Ministry of Energy, Mines & Natural Gas (BC MEMNG), Environment Canada, BC Environmental Assessment Office, Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent	The Proponent and its consultants delivered a presentation on preliminary water quality modeling results for the Project.
125.	E-mail	13/11/05	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent followed up with LDN to discuss the letter from Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation to BC EAO, CEAA, and the Proponent regarding the dAIR.
126.	E-mail	13/11/06	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent email to LDN to arrange JIC and community meetings and to inform LDN that payments were being prepared relating to the ECA anniversary.
127.	E-mail	13/11/06	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent e-mail to LDN notifying them to have a Joint Implementation Committee meeting in mid-December. The Proponent suggested combining Joint Implementation Committee meeting with the Open House in Quesnel in mid-December and suggested 13/12/12.
128.	E-mail	13/11/12	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent LDN September meeting minutes for signing by Chief and Council at the scheduled for 13/11/15 meeting.
129.	E-mail	13/11/14	BC Environmental Assessment Office, Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent emailed LDN a follow up letter regarding the consultation plan.
130.	E-mail	13/11/14	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent a follow up letter to LDN in regards to the Consultation Plan and summary of consultation activities that were sent on 13/10/25. The Proponent requested a follow up meeting to discuss these documents.
131.	E-mail	13/11/19	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Email from LDN confirming that the chief was briefed on the plan and that the consultation plan is consistent with engagement to date.
132.	E-mail	13/11/20	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN advised they are reviewing the baseline reports that are on the BC EAO working group SharePoint. They are planning to reach out to the community before the end of the year 2013. The Proponent offer support/help in providing materials needed in this process. LDN indicated that a plan for a community meeting is still possible in December 2013 after they reached out to the community. The Proponent offered a meeting to provide additional details on aspects of the Project.
133.	Phone Call	13/11/21	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent Request by e-mail/phone for meeting with LDN to discuss content of letter by LDN to BC EAO, CEAA, and the Proponent to ensure the Proponent fully understands the contents of the letter. The Proponent offered to travel to Quesnel for meeting to discuss this matter. LDN expressed interest and greed to meet during the suggested week.
134.	E-mail	13/11/27	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	E-mail from LDN Natural Resource Manager to the Proponent asking about training opportunities. The Proponent responded and mentioned the upcoming health forum by Dr. Janis Shandro.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
135.	Meeting	13/11/29	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Discussion between the Proponent and LDN about the possibility of adding 'Sacred Places' as a Valued Component to the Blackwater EA application.
136.	Meeting	13/12/06	BC Ministry of Environment (BC MOE), BC Ministry of Forests, Lands & Natural Resource Operations (BC FLNRO), Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent set up a meeting of the Project Sub-Working Group on Caribou.
137.	E-mail	13/12/12	Ganhada Management (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided LDN representative with a general, published definition for NSR.
138.	E-mail	13/12/12	BC Ministry of Environment (BC MOE), BC Ministry of Forests, Lands & Natural Resource Operations (BC FLNRO), Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency sends Caribou sub Working Group Meeting Minutes to the participants. LDN and UFN are the Aboriginal participating Groups in this Meeting. Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency is requesting feedback on the documents provided on the meeting minutes attached in the e-mail.
139.	Meeting	13/12/12	BC Ministry of Environment (BC MOE), BC Ministry of Forests, Lands & Natural Resource Operations (BC FLNRO), Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO), Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent	The Proponent held a meeting with First Nations and regulatory agencies regarding the Project and issues related to fish habitat evaluation and instream flow.
140.	E-mail	14/01/20	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Tenure amendment meeting request letters sent to LDN.
141.	E-mail	14/02/27	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent Sent sponsorship letters for 3 leaders to attend the Minerals North Conference in Vanderhoof.
142.	E-mail	14/02/28	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent a letter attached in an e-mail to LDN outlining the Proponent's addition of claims, and the proposed amendments to the Agreement with LDN.
143.	E-mail	14/03/01	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent a letter to LDN regarding the Annual Minerals North Conference being held in Vanderhoof in 2014.
144.	Meeting	14/03/03	BC Ministry of Environment (BC MOE), BC Ministry of Forests, Lands & Natural Resource Operations (BC FLNRO), Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent	The Proponent met with government stakeholders and LDN to discuss the Groundwater Modelling and Effects Assessment. Site characterization, groundwater quality, groundwater flow, and effects predictions were discussed.
145.	E-mail	14/03/10	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent the JIC meeting minutes from 14/02/12 to the LDN and requested for comments or edits. The Payment was also issued for key claim amendment.
146.	Letter	14/03/11	BC Environmental Assessment Office, Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Letter sent from LDN to BC EAO with comments on dAIR Version G. cc: the Proponent.
147.	E-mail	14/03/14	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN reviewed the JIC meeting minutes from 14/02/12 and provided comments on the meeting minutes to the Proponent.
148.	Meeting	14/03/21	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	The Proponent met with LDN to discuss use of ethnohistory report and confidential information, and the dAIR update and Effects Assessment requirements. As part of the dAIR update the following were discussed: Overview of work in progress on dAIR and EA provided including understanding of issues and proposed mitigation; Concern regarding the contamination of animals/fish/plants/water that are used consumptive in the area as a result of the project (as per letter to BC EAO); Ongoing issues with regard to the past/present/future use of land and how that is addressed by the BC EAO and the application including Current Land and Resource Use for Traditional purposes.
149.	E-mail	14/03/24	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent the updated meeting minutes from 14/02/12 to LDN.
150.	E-mail	14/03/27	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN sent a response to the Proponent regarding the Minerals North Conference and named the attendees on behalf of LDN. The Proponent thanked LDN for their RSVP and sent registration confirmation messages.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
151.	Meeting	14/04/02	BC Environmental Assessment Office, Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	BC EAO met with the LDN to discuss comments on the draft Application Information Requirements (dAIR). During the meeting they also discussed items raised in the 14/03/11 correspondence sent from LDN to EAO which included issues and comments regarding the dAIR, Aboriginal Rights and Title. The Proponent committed to provide a letter with responses to the issues raised.
152.	E-mail	14/04/02	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent Dr. Shandro Health Forum Report.
153.	E-mail	14/04/07	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent a letter attached in an e-mail to provide an updated Consultation Report that has a summary of the consultation activities to date carried out between the Proponent and LDN.
154.	E-mail	14/05/15	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided the Wildlife Management Plan to LDN and requested for feedback.
155.	E-mail/Letter	14/05/15	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Conveyed a map depicting the Proponent's mineral tenures and their overlap with traplines (and keyoh holders).
156.	n/a	14/06/02	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent invited LDN Chief, Council and community members for a ground tour of the proposed mine site (however, as a result of higher than normal forest fire activity followed by, and overlapping, the hunting season, no tour took place in 2014). No meeting notes prepared.
157.	E-mail	14/06/03	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent letters that were returned from Roger Jimmie and William Cassam.
158.	E-mail	14/06/04	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN requested the map that was attached to letters that were returned from Roger Jimmie and William Cassam. Requested that the Proponent send any returned mail to their band office so that they may distribute the information.
159.	E-mail	14/06/04	Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN shared Roger Jimmie's contact information with the Proponent to send the letters returned.
160.	Phone Call	14/06/09	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	Roger Jimmie called and expressed interest in employment for himself and his son. The Proponent let him know that the mail was returned. The Proponent also let him know that the letter was sent to the band office for his pick up.
161.	E-mail	14/06/17	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent letter to Chief for Elders to attend the Elders Gathering in July 2014.
162.	E-mail	14/06/18	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent a letter to LDN requesting a meeting.
163.	Letter	14/06/18	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Offered to host a community meeting to inform LDN members about the Project and to obtain community feedback on the Project.
164.	Meeting	14/07/03	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Reviewed issues, concerns, and interests raised by LDN to date and how they are being addressed in the Application/EIS (including proposed mitigation and monitoring measures), discussed consultation plan during the Application/EIS review stage, and reviewed the Application/EIS structure.
165.	E-mail	14/07/16	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent draft meeting minutes from the meeting held on 14/07/03.
166.	Phone Call	14/07/18	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	Elsie Jimmie called to say she has been evacuated due to smoke. Wanted to inform the Proponent that the problem is resolved.
167.	Community Meeting (Kluskus Village)	14/08/06	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent participated in the community meeting hosted by LDN to inform its members of the latest activities in relation to the Project. Mitigation Measures and effects assessment in the Application was also discussed. Meeting minutes prepared.
168.	Tour of Operating Mine	14/08/26	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	In response to a request from the 14/07/03 meeting the Proponent arranged a tour of the Mt. Milligan Mine for LDN representatives to view an operating copper-gold mine with a similar design to Blackwater. The tour consisted of viewing the access road, operations centre, the open pit, the crusher, process plant, and the construction camp.
169.	Letter	14/09/16	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Conveyed letter to LDN outlining the Proponent's approach to implementing the Aboriginal Groups Consultation Plan, summarizing recent consultation activities, and identifying proposed future activities (i.e. meetings to discuss EA review, a community meeting, independent third party review of project tailings storage facility, JIC meeting, and sponsorship of Mine Reclamation Conference).
170.	E-mail	14/10/15	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	Conveyed an information package, which included a table summarizing LDN comments received during the June 2014 Application/EIS screening and the Proponent's responses. The package also explained how LDN's comments on the May 2014 Application/EIS have been addressed in the October 2014 Application/EIS.

NADLEH WHUT'EN FIRST NATION

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
1.	Meeting	12/09/14	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Project introduction for Band Manager and Councilor.
2.	Letter	12/09/27	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Request for introductory meeting with Chief and Council.
3.	Phone Call	12/10/11	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Meeting between the Chief and the Proponent's First Nation Coordinator scheduled for 12/10/18. Chief did not show for meeting on 12/10/18.
4.	Phone Call	12/10/16	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	NWFN suggested November for the mining training and community meeting. NWFN will provide a date to meet with Chief and Council.
5.	Phone Call	12/10/16	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	NWFN and the Proponent to plan a mining training and community meeting in November.
6.	Phone Call	12/10/26	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a meeting with Chief and Council.
7.	Phone Call	12/11/02	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Band requested their meeting be postponed.
8.	Text Message	12/11/26	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Meeting with the Chief set for 12/12/07.
9.	Meeting	12/12/07	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Meeting planned with Chief did not occur. Met with Band Manager instead.
10.	Phone Call	13/01/16	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	NWFN Chief requested a meeting with the Proponent Project Manager.
11.	Phone Call	13/02/05	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Confirmed 13/02/12 meeting and topics for discussion.
12.	Meeting	13/02/13	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), XL Mining Inc., the Proponent.	Meeting with Chief and Councilor. Discussed the Project and activities over the next few years.
13.	Letter	13/02/20	Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	CEAA provided information on the project EIS guidelines. CC: the Proponent.
14.	Drop-in Visit/Casual Meeting	13/04/09	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Further to the 13/02/13 meeting, the Proponent provided a drainage map for the Nechako watershed as well as an updated map of the project area.
15.	Text Message	13/04/10	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	NWFN requested a meeting to discuss capacity funds for the EA process.
16.	Meeting	13/04/18	Carrier Sekani Tribal Council (CSTC), Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a project overview and update to the NWFN Chief and Councilor and CSTC Tribal Chief. Discussion included participation in the EA process, a site visit and potential effects of mine water.
17.	Text Message	13/04/22	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Discussed a template agreement for capacity funding to participate in the EA process.
18.	E-mail	13/04/29	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Per their 13/04/18 meeting, the Proponent provided the requested project shape files.
19.	Letter	13/05/08	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent offered capacity funding to NWFN.
20.	Phone Call	13/05/10	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Band Manager requested a copy of the capacity funding letter sent to the Chief.
21.	Phone Call	13/05/16	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Capacity funding offer is being reviewed by NWFN. Socio-economic interviews set for 13/05/28.
22.	E-mail	13/05/23	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	NWFN declined to participate in socio-economic interviews.
23.	Text Message	13/05/23	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Discussed the Capacity Funding Agreement.
24.	Phone Call	13/05/24	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Discussed NWFN's decision not to participate in socio-economic interviews. NWFN to send letter to the Proponent highlighting specific concerns.
25.	Text Message	13/06/10	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Revised capacity funding offer provided by the Proponent.
26.	Phone Call	13/06/11	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent left a message, requesting a call to discuss the capacity funding offer.
27.	E-mail	13/06/12	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent offered to arrange a BCAMTA presentation to NWFN.
28.	E-mail	13/06/18	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Meeting set for 13/06/27 to discuss capacity funding.
29.	Phone Call	13/06/26	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Capacity funding meeting rescheduled to 13/07/04.
30.	Phone Call	13/07/03	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested meeting with NWFN.
31.	Phone Call	13/07/05	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent discussion with NWFN regarding Elder's Gathering and the need for a meeting with NWFN about EA agreements.
32.	Meeting	13/07/11	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent introduced the Project to the NWFN Chief and Council. NWFN asked questions about, and received answers on, various topics, including the EA process, tailings, the Capoose Project, transmission line and power, baseline studies, access, jobs and contracts, involvement of First Nations, need for capacity funding and an agreement. Concerned about potential effects on water and fish. The Proponent to arrange a site visit for NWFN so that NWFN can fly the transmission line. Concerns: (a) potential effects on water and fish; (b) need for capacity funding and involvement in the Project.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
33.	E-mail	13/08/13	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	NWFN requested that the 13/08/14 meeting be postponed.
34.	Phone Call	13/08/16	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Discussed the Project.
35.	E-mail	13/09/17	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	NWFN contacted the Proponent on rumor that the Proponent was logging the transmission line route in NWFN territory. The Proponent confirmed that no logging was taking place, and that NWFN would be informed before any work begins.
36.	E-mail	13/09/26	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a call to discuss a meeting with Chief and Council.
37.	E-mail	13/10/04	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Email from the Proponent to arrange follow up discussions with NWFN.
38.	E-mail	13/10/23	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent's donations and sponsorship policy emailed to NWFN.
39.	Phone Call	13/10/25	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent left a message requesting call back as a follow up to the submission of the capacity funding proposal for NWFN.
40.	E-mail	13/10/25	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Aboriginal Consultation Plan and report was formally distributed to NWFN by the Proponent.
41.	E-mail	13/10/25	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent e-mailed Consultation Plan to NWFN.
42.	E-mail	13/10/25	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided consultation plan to NWFN. The Proponent also mentioned the importance of receiving the proposal for regulatory review, traditional knowledge and consultation from as soon as possible.
43.	E-mail	13/10/31	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	NWFN confirmed receipt of consultation plan to the Proponent.
44.	E-mail	13/11/06	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent e-mail to NWFN and offered assistance to developed proposal for capacity agreement.
45.	E-mail	13/11/14	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent e-mailed NWFN a follow up letter regarding the Consultation Plan.
46.	Phone Call	13/11/26	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent left a message for NWFN to discuss capacity agreement.
47.	Phone Call	13/12/02	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent called NWFN and left a message asking to be called back.
48.	E-mail	13/12/11	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent followed up with NWFN regarding Consultation Plan and a request for feedback to finalize the document with BC EAO. The Proponent expressed interest in ensuring that NWFN has input into this document before finalization.
49.	Phone Call	14/01/17	CSTC, Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Discussion on lack of communication back from Jaime Sanchez. The Proponent requested formal mandate and direction for consultation with NWFN.
50.	E-mail	14/02/17	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent request to Jaime Sanchez to call the Proponent at the Vanderhoof office.
51.	E-mail	14/02/25	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), Carrier Sekani Tribal Council (CSTC), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested formal direction to work directly with CSTC.
52.	E-mail	14/02/26	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a meeting to review Effects Assessment. Response back stating cannot meet until agreement is in place.
53.	Phone Call	14/02/27	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent called requesting direction from recent correspondence. The Proponent spoke with Councilor Bev Ketlo who stated Chief Louie was supposed to be dealing with this. NWFN requested draft agreement.
54.	Phone Call	14/02/27	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent the requested draft agreement and a return call the same afternoon. The Proponent received a call back that Bev has requested the proposal from Jaime and will contact the Proponent as soon as she has had a chance to review it.
55.	Phone Call	14/02/28	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	A discussion and update between the Proponent and NWFN.
56.	Phone Call	14/03/03	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Discussion of position of NWFN. No negotiation of proposal, Jaime Sanchez not negotiating on their behalf. NWFN requested that the First Nation liaison person from the Proponent call him.
57.	Phone Call	14/03/04	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested time for discussion. Response from NWFN was that they will talk when the Proponent provides funding. No negotiation until then.
58.	Phone Call	14/03/27	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a response to donation request by sending mechanic creeper as prize for their event.
59.	Phone Call	14/03/27	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent Announced the CEAA training in Vancouver.
60.	Phone Call	14/03/27	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), Skin Tyee Nation (STN), Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent notice for First Nation and Community Members (can apply free registration) at 38th Annual BC Mine Reclamation Symposium in Prince George, B.C. – 14/09/22 to 14/09/25.
61.	Text Message	14/04/01	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent congratulated Chief on winning election.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
62.	E-mail	14/05/06	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), BC Environmental Assessment Office (BC EAO), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent a letter attached in an e-mail and provided the summary in regards to consultation efforts with NWFN by the Proponent, with respect to Part G of the Section 11 Order.
63.	E-mail	14/05/14	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent the updated Consultation Report in an attachment in an e-mail to NWFN that provides a summary of the consultation activities to date carried out between the Proponent and NWFN.
64.	E-mail	14/05/15	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided the Wildlife Management Plan to NWFN Chief and requested feedback on the proposed plan (no comments received to date).
65.	Text Message	14/06/04	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent let the Chief know about the availability of the contact person and Chief can contact at any time if he requires anything.
66.	Text Message	14/06/07	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	NWFN confirmed Vancouver meeting on Monday at 11:00am.
67.	Meeting	14/06/09	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Meeting (New Gold Vancouver Office) with NWFN Chief to discuss NWFN involvement in the EA process, capacity funding, contracting and employment opportunities, community consultation, the EA process, and economic benefits. NWFN expressed concern about cumulative effects of the Endako mine and the Blackwater Project on the Nechako River. In response, the Proponent offered to provide a site tour and helicopter tour of the transmission line to better explain mitigation measures in place. To respond to NWFN concerns related to a lack of capacity to participate in the Application/EIS review, the Proponent provided NWFN with funding to retain consultants to assist with the review, and discussions related to a Capacity Agreement are underway.
68.	E-mail	14/06/12	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Chief indicated that he could not open the attachments. The Proponent replied that the attachments are PDFs and may not be opened on his phone and suggested he opens them on a regular computer or have them in print.
69.	Phone Call	14/06/16	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent notified NWFN office that the PDF attachments are in PDF and should be printed for the Chief.
70.	Phone Call	14/06/16	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent followed up on letter of offer; and scheduled a meeting to review EA. NWFN Councilor reviewed documents from last meeting and requested documentation as to how community wants to be consulted. Councilor was to discuss with Chief Louie regarding next steps and contact the Proponent.
71.	Email	14/06/16	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Requested feedback on NWFN's preferred consultation approach and requested a meeting to review the May 2014 Application/EIS. NWFN responded they would get back to New Gold.
72.	Phone Call	14/06/17	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	NWFN accepted the capacity funding offer from the Proponent.
73.	Phone Call	14/06/17	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent arranged payment with NWFN.
74.	E-mail	14/06/17	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), Nazko First Nation (NFN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent letters to Chiefs for Elders to attend the Elders Gathering in July 2014.
75.	Phone Call	14/06/18	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Phone call with NWFN Chief on June 18, 2014 regarding a future meeting to discuss how the Proponent could support NWFN participation in the EA process. NWFN Chief reiterated concerns about potential effects to the Nechako River.
76.	Phone Call	14/06/18	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	NWFN left message at the Proponent's office inquiring when the 30 days to review begins. Also NWFN would like to confirm that meeting can occur with Chief Martin. The Proponent returned the phone call.
77.	Phone Call	14/06/18	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	NWFN left a message on the Proponent's phone - stating issue with communications. NWFN will not review binders, nor approve permits. NWFN followed this voicemail by a text message stating they changed their previous capacity funding statement.
78.	E-mail	14/06/18	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent received email from NWFN Councilor requesting that the Proponent send digital copy of EIS to their consultant Rina Freed.
79.	Text Message	14/06/23	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	NWFN inquired about meeting plans and date agenda items.
80.	E-mail	14/06/25	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Rina Freed, the acting consultant for NWFN, requested a meeting to discuss the Project. The Proponent sent invitation to meet and made a request for a time and place to do so.
81.	Phone Call	14/07/03	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	NWFN requested the use of the simulator for youth exposure to equipment operation. The Proponent committed to get back to NWFN with regards to this request.
82.	Phone Call	14/07/03	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent confirmed that simulator request meets approval of leadership. NWFN Councilor requested that the Proponent work through her on this event. NWFN has approved Friday 14/07/11.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
83.	Meeting	14/07/04	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Meeting with NWFN and their representatives/consultants to review previously identified issues and concerns raised by the NWFN: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify potential effects of the Project on the NWFN; Aboriginal rights and related interests, including fish and fish habitat, plant gathering and traditional use; Present proposed measures to mitigate potential impacts of the Project on NWFN Aboriginal interests; and Review the EA studies, including water quality and waste water studies.
84.	E-mail	14/07/04	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent a copy of the trapline of NWFN band member Alfred George.
85.	E-mail	14/07/08	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	NWFN requested for poster to distribute in community for simulator training. The Proponent created the poster and sent it to NWFN.
86.	E-mail	14/07/08	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Rina Freed confirmed that NWFN Councilor has given permission for the Proponent to meet and exchange information with Trefor Smith, Firelight Consulting. The Proponent requested that NWFN Councilor put it in writing for the Proponent.
87.	Hand Delivered	14/07/08	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Provided several overlay maps illustrating the transmission line in relation to the NWFN traditional territory.
88.	E-mail	14/07/08	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Conveyed July 4, 2014 meeting minutes for NWFN for review and comment (follow up information was emailed on July 5, 2014).
89.	Training	14/07/11	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	In response to a NWFN request, the Proponent organized a heavy equipment simulator to be in Nadleh Whut'en on July 11, 2014. Over 24 NWFN members participated in the training.
90.	E-mail	14/07/16	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent request for dates in mid-August 2014 to book a Heli tour.
91.	E-mail	14/07/16	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent final draft of 14/07/04 meeting minutes for NWFN review.
92.	E-mail	14/07/22	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested confirmation on tours of Mt. Milligan and Transmission line Heli tour.
93.	E-mail	14/07/24	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	NWFN requested meeting date change to 14/08/18.
94.	Phone Call	14/07/24	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	NWFN requested budget for EA review.
95.	E-mail	14/07/28	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent and NWFN agreed to meet in future. The Proponent discussed the potential available dates.
96.	E-mail	14/07/29	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	NWFN requested for additional data stick with Application for Don MacDonald.
97.	E-mail	14/07/30	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested for Agenda and confirmation of data stick being sent to Don MacDonald.
98.	Phone and E-mail	July/August 2014	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Requests to meet, including setting up a meeting to review the Application/EIS (e.g. July 24, July 28-30, August 4, and August 11, 2014; meeting held September 26, 2014).
99.	E-mail	14/08/04	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	NWFN cleared new dates for meeting with the Proponent and its team.
100.	E-mail	14/08/05	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent answers to questions on meeting minutes' edits made by NWFN.
101.	Meeting	14/09/10	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Meeting with NWFN leadership (New Gold Office, Vancouver) to discuss the EA and permitting processes, date for a site tour, and drainage from the Project site into NWFN territory. The Proponent committed to developing a road map of the EA and permitting processes for NWFN (list of anticipated permits and timelines for the transmission line) and maps. Meeting minutes prepared.
102.	Letter	14/09/16	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Conveyed letter to NWFN outlining the Proponent's approach to implementing the Aboriginal Groups Consultation Plan, summarizing recent consultation activities, and identifying proposed future activities including meetings to discuss the EA review, and a community meeting.
103.	Site Tour	14/09/19	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Site tour and transmission line overflight for NWFN Chief. In response to information requests the Proponent provided additional information about water flow and fisheries.
104.	Site Tour	14/09/25	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Site tour provided for NWFN representatives and NWFN councilor.
105.	Meeting	14/09/26	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Presented a Project update, Project design, water and waste management plans, water quality baseline studies, source terms for EA, and surface and ground water quality and quantity modeling. Meeting minutes prepared.
106.	E-Mail	14/10/03	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Conveyed the Aquatic Resources Management Plan and a map depicting water flow from the catchments where the proposed mine site is located up to the Nechako River.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
107.	E-Mail	14/10/15	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Conveyed an information package, which included a table summarizing NWFN comments received during the May 2014 Application/EIS screening and the Proponent's responses. The package also included a concordance table which indicated how NWFN's comments on the May 2014 Application/EIS have been addressed in the October 2014 Application/EIS.
108.	Meeting	14/10/27	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Meeting to discuss the transmission line including proposed alignment, physical works including roads, construction, operations and closure of the line, mitigation related to stream crossings and fisheries effects, wetlands, habitat fragmentation (moose), and access management. Feedback was requested on mitigation measures and the Wildlife Management Plan. Meeting minutes prepared.

SAIK'UZ FIRST NATION

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
1.	E-mail	12/02/17	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a meeting to discuss the Project.
2.	Meeting	12/03/20	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent introduced the Project to SFN.
3.	E-mail	12/03/21	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a copy of the presentation from the 13/03/20 meeting.
4.	Drop-in Visit/ Casual Meeting	12/06/07	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Member requested map. Discussed contracting opportunities.
5.	Drop-in Visit/ Casual Meeting	12/06/07	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Discussed training options with SFN member.
6.	Phone Call	12/06/25	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN requested a community meeting and Mining 101 course.
7.	Meeting	12/07/31	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Traditional Territory: Discussed the SFN boundaries and overlap with other First Nations. Power line: Discussed the proposed route for the power line. The Proponent will set up a meeting to discuss once the route has been finalized. SFN suggested contacting NWFN and StFN when the decision is made as it would be in their territories. Employment: SFN is happy with the Proponent employing members on the Project. Cooperation Agreement: Once they have consulted with their community on the Project, SFN will develop a list of items they would like to address. Community Meeting: Date has been set; C&C will be in attendance (Chief is unavailable on 12/08/15).
8.	E-mail	12/08/09	District of Vanderhoof, Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Heli tour booked for SFN chief and Vanderhoof mayor for 12/08/15.
9.	E-mail	12/08/11	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Meeting with Chief and Council set for 12/08/13.
10.	Meeting	12/08/13	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Project introduction to Chief and Council. Discussed the need for jobs, involving trappers in land use discussions, and concerns about cyanide. Concerns: use of cyanide.
11.	Open House	12/08/14	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	A community meeting was held at the SFN Band Office auditorium. It was attended by 68 people. Lunch was provided. Discussion related to the tailings system, drilling procedures, water drainage and quality, traditional land holders, Cooperation Agreement Committee, EA process, employment and contracting opportunities, and the transmission line. Concerns: (a) tailings leaks; (b) effects on and monitoring of water quality; (c) consultation with traditional land holders; (d) employment and contracting opportunities; (e) location of the transmission line.
12.	Site Visit	12/08/15	District of Vanderhoof, Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Heli tour of the project site with the Mayor of the District of Vanderhoof, the Chief of SFN and four staff from the Proponent.
13.	E-mail	12/08/17	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	AMEC provided information about drilling fluids.
14.	Site Visit	12/09/13	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Tour of site and lunch provided for LDN Elder and SFN trapline tenure holder.
15.	Letter	12/09/27	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Request for an update meeting with Chief and Council.
16.	Phone Call	12/10/30	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Meeting with trapper set for 12/11/14.
17.	Drop-in Visit/ Casual Meeting	12/10/30	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Meeting with trapper set for 12/11/14.
18.	Meeting	12/11/14	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Introduced the Project to the trappers, and discussed how the potential transmission line route might affect their trapping territory. Trappers are opposed to development in the area. Also discussed use of and access to the trapline, consultation about the Project, employment, baseline studies, and concerns about effects on the watershed and wildlife. Concerns: (a) effects of the mine site and tailings on the watershed and wildlife; (b) project access to the trapline.
19.	Letter	12/11/23	Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Comments on the Project Description via the CEAA public comment period. cc: the Proponent.
20.	Phone Call	12/11/30	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN requested an agreement before year end.
21.	Phone Call	13/01/07	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Trapline tenure holder requested a meeting to discuss his trapline.
22.	Drop-in Visit/ Casual Meeting	13/01/07	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Trapper stopped by to discuss her family's trapline. Will discuss further with her family and get back to the Proponent.
23.	E-mail	13/01/11	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided the questions for the socio-economic data collection interview.
24.	Drop-in Visit/ Casual Meeting	13/01/16	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Trapline tenure holder expressed concerns regarding consultation and the proposed transmission line.
25.	Phone Call	13/01/16	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Discussed the trapline tenure holder's concerns regarding the proposed transmission line.
26.	Meeting	13/01/22	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	Collected socio-economic data relating to traditional land use and potential effects of the transmission line. Concerns: (a) hunting pressure on wildlife; (b) increased access because of the transmission line.
27.	Meeting	13/01/23	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	Collected socio-economic data relating to demographics, employment, infrastructure, housing, traditional activities, industrial activities and a potential agreement. Concerns: social problems (e.g., drug addiction) in the community, possibly relating to boom-and-bust cycles.
28.	Meeting	13/01/23	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	Trapline tenure Holder provided traditional land use information. Discussed past and current use of the land by her family, and potential effects of the transmission line on their keyoh. Concerns: (a) potential effects on traditional hunting, fishing and gathering; (b) effects of increased access by non-traditional land users to the area in the family's keyoh and the trapline tenure; (c) need for a TK study of the area.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
29.	Meeting	13/01/23	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	SFN provided socio-economic data relating to conditions in the community. Concerns: (a) lack of local jobs for band members; (b) lack of housing if band members return to reserve in large numbers; (c) drug use and trafficking in the community; (d) poor treatment against Aboriginal women in relation to development projects; (e) land use/development and effects on traditional land use; (f) lack of policing/enforcement; (g) uncertainty about Blackwater development and associated access roads.
30.	Drop-in Visit/ Casual Meeting	13/01/25	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Trapline tenure holder is concerned about the effects on his trapline and the transmission line route. He is planning to contact BC Hydro to discuss his concerns about the transmission line route.
31.	Drop-in Visit/ Casual Meeting	13/02/12	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	LDN and SFN members expressed concern with lack of consultation and work opportunities.
32.	E-mail	13/03/07	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent invited SFN to attend the project site tour on 13/03/08.
33.	Phone Call	13/03/07	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN is unable to attend the 13/03/08 site tour.
34.	Drop-in Visit/ Casual Meeting	13/03/18	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Trapline tenure holder stopped by the office to discuss the Project, the transmission line and consultation regarding his trapping tenure.
35.	E-mail	13/03/18	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN requested a Chief and Council meeting with the Proponent to discuss the Project.
36.	E-mail	13/03/25	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent noted that a copy of the Project Description was dropped off on 13/03/21.
37.	Phone Call	13/03/27	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN requested a map of the proposed transmission line route overlaid on SFN territory.
38.	E-mail	13/04/03	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	As requested, the Proponent provided a map of the project area overlaid on SFN territory.
39.	Phone Call	13/05/02	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Meeting to discuss the EA Cooperation Agreement set for 13/05/07.
40.	Meeting	13/05/07	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided an update on the Project and transmission line. Discussed amount of consultation to date, baseline and TK studies (and SFN involvement in them), project water use, the transmission line and power needs, site reclamation, concerns about arsenic, process for extracting gold, employment at the Proponent's projects, and a potential site tour of the New Afton mine site. Concerns: (a) SFN involvement in baseline and TK studies; (b) amount of water used in the mine process; (c) access to the transmission line ROW; (d) potential effects of arsenic; (e) employment for First Nations members.
41.	E-mail	13/05/14	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Further to their earlier meeting, the Proponent requested a proposal for a TK study for the proposed transmission line route, to be incorporated into their agreement. Also provided a service agreement and job description for baseline working within SFN territory.
42.	Drop-in Visit/ Casual Meeting	13/05/16	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Stopped by the office to discuss the Project, plans for their family keyoh and baseline studies.
43.	Phone Call	13/05/23	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Trapline tenure holder expressed concern that there is a lot of Project related traffic in the area of his trapline, and that exploration activities are already impacting his keyoh and trapline. Also concerned that the Proponent is consulting with his family but not him. Concerns: (a) level of activity near trapline; (b) effects of exploration program on trapline and keyoh.
44.	Letter	13/05/23	BC Environmental Assessment Office, Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent	SFN submitted comments on the dAIR Version C to the BC EAO; cc: the Proponent.
45.	Meeting	13/05/27	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), TR0712T009 (SFN), Nak'azdli Indian Band (NIB), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	Socio-economic interview of SFN elder and trapline holder about traditional practices (NIB elder accompanied the SFN elder at the meeting). They provided information on traditional harvesting and hunting. Also discussed effects of newer technology, industry, and effects from the Project on their land use. Concerns: (a) reduction in ability to hunt and gather traditional foods; (b) wildlife (particularly moose) and vegetation are no longer readily available, trapping is not economical.
46.	Letter	13/06/01	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Capacity funding offer from the Proponent.
47.	E-mail	13/06/04	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Service Agreement provided to SFN for signature. The Proponent requested a meeting to discuss the IK study for the transmission line.
48.	Meeting	13/06/05	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN will be sending the Proponent a Cooperation Agreement for signature. Discussed the capacity funding offer.
49.	E-mail	13/06/11	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	AMEC requested a call on 13/06/14 or 15 to discuss SFN's letter to the BC EAO. cc: the Proponent.
50.	Meeting	13/06/11	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Reviewed the draft Cooperation Agreement.
51.	E-mail	13/06/12	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent offered to arrange a BCAMTA presentation to SFN.
52.	Conference Call	13/06/13	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	Discussed concerns raised by SFN in their letter to the BC EAO. Concerns related to effects on traditional harvesting and land use, socio-economic issues, and waste management at the site.
53.	Phone Call	13/06/20	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent and SFN Councilor discussed job opportunities for SFN on the Project.
54.	Phone Call	13/06/24	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Capacity funding provided to SFN. The Proponent requested that the Service Agreement be signed.
55.	E-mail	13/07/04	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN requested an update on the Protocol Agreement.
56.	Meeting	13/07/11	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	LDN Elders provided socio-economic data relating to traditional land use in the Kluskus area. Concerns: (a) flow of information from Chief and Council regarding this and other projects; (b) potential for increased access to the area for hunting and fishing, and related increase of garbage; (c) drinking water quality; (d) potential effects on traditional hunting and gathering; (e) effects of tailings on water resources (including increased arsenic); (f) mining process and effects on wildlife, air, water resources.
57.	Drop-in Visit/ Casual Meeting	13/07/12	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Trapline tenure holder is concerned about effects of the proposed transmission line on his tenure.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
58.	E-mail	13/07/15	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided an update on the Cooperation Agreement, and requested an update on the Service Agreement.
59.	E-mail	13/08/30	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN requested that the Proponent provide a list of all of its donations in the region and to consider SFN for cultural competency assessments contract work.
60.	Meeting	13/09/03	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent and SFN agreed that a protocol agreement should be established in regard to contract and service opportunities for work related to the Project and that all work would have to be pre-approved by SFN Chief and Council. Discussed contract opportunities for SFN. Discussed the fish habitat compensation and management plan request. Chief requested that the Proponent work with the councillor until she is back in the office.
61.	Drop-in Visit/ Casual Meeting	13/09/09	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN Chief introduced new SFN negotiator, requested a meeting regarding the Cooperation Agreement, and expressed concerns about hunting and fishing in SFN territory.
62.	E-mail	13/09/17	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN requested, and was provided with, a Word version of the Cooperation Agreement.
63.	E-mail	13/09/19	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided SFN with both an electronic version and hand delivery of the draft Aboriginal Consultation Plan and requested feedback.
64.	E-mail	13/09/26	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN provided the Proponent with a copy of their TK protocol.
65.	Meeting	13/09/27	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN will send the Proponent a list of the items brought up in the community meeting that applies to the Proponent. Requested a Heli tour of the transmission line on 13/10/16. SFN stated that they will review the Aboriginal consultation plan and get back to the Proponent the following week. Also discussed SFN's interpretation of rights & title, fish compensation projects and funding expectations.
66.	Phone Call	13/10/04	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent Called representative for the Yinka Dene Alliance who is also a member of SFN regarding the upcoming Open House in Vanderhoof on 13/10/16.
67.	Phone Call	13/10/04	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Request from representative of Yinka Dene Alliance and member of SFN to be notified of all open house events in Vanderhoof.
68.	E-mail	13/10/16	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent Fisheries Mitigation and Offsetting Plan Meeting minutes to SFN.
69.	Site Visit	13/10/16	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided Heli tour to SFN band manager and council: Heli tour of the power line starting at the SFN territory boundary (Nechako River) at Greer Creek to the Mine Site.
70.	E-mail	13/10/25	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent SFN maps for review as requested.
71.	E-mail	13/10/25	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Emails between SFN and the Proponent regarding fish compensation documents and capacity needs to review EA documents.
72.	E-mail	13/10/25	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent emailed SFN digital shape files as requested.
73.	E-mail	13/10/25	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Aboriginal Consultation Plan and consultation Plan and report formally distributed to SFN by the Proponent.
74.	E-mail	13/10/25	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN stated that they went through the Heli tour report and they are not satisfied as to how they spent time figuring out where they were from the air. SFN will conduct a ground survey once they have maps that include the proposed transmission line alignment.
75.	Meeting	13/11/04	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Meeting between SFN and the Proponent regarding draft Capacity Agreement and Traditional Knowledge Protocol.
76.	E-mail	13/11/06	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent thanked SFN for taking the time to meet and sent the response letter as promised.
77.	E-mail	13/11/12	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Call from SFN to the Proponent to request meeting on 13/11/14. The Proponent confirmed meeting on 13/11/14 and also provided a preliminary agenda, offered to discuss Project overview, update, and baseline studies.
78.	Meeting	13/11/14	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Meeting between the Proponent and SFN regarding overview of environmental studies, and water, waste management, and closure plans.
79.	Meeting	13/11/14	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	The Proponent meeting with SFN on Project Overview of Environmental Studies and Water, Waste Management and Closure Plans.
80.	E-mail	13/11/18	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent confirmed receipt of copies of agreements from SFN.
81.	E-mail	13/11/18	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent the 13/11/14 meeting minutes to SFN for review and feedback in order to finalize the document.
82.	E-mail	13/11/18	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent meeting minutes to SFN providing actions and decisions. The Proponent requests SFN provide feedback on meeting minutes sent.
83.	E-mail	13/11/21	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure	The Proponent email to SFN with information and invitation to Turning Ideas into Action Regional Health Forum.
84.	E-mail	13/11/22	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	Email from the Proponent to SFN with documents as requested by SFN.
85.	Drop-in Visit/ Casual Meeting	13/11/25	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Trapline holder and SFN member spoke with the Proponent regarding the proposed transmission line and how it may impact his trapline.
86.	Phone Call	13/11/26	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent called SFN to respond to meeting request and proposed the week of 13/12/02.
87.		13/12/03	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Issues raised by SFN councillor and trapline/keyoh holder regarding the impact of the proposed transmission line on trapline/keyoh territory.
88.	E-mail	13/12/12	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Request from SFN to the Proponent for an email with the details of the feasibility report.
89.	Meeting	13/12/12	BC Ministry of Environment (BC MOE), BC Ministry of Forests, Lands & Natural Resource Operations (BC FLNRO), Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO), Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent	The Proponent held a meeting with First Nations and regulatory agencies regarding the Project and issues related to fish habitat evaluation and instream flow.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
90.	Meeting	14/01/10	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Meeting between SFN and the Proponent.
91.	Community Visit	14/01/14	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent attempted to see Jackie Thomas who was in training all week and was unavailable to meet.
92.	E-mail	14/01/17	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a contact phone number to call and discuss the recent letter from SFN.
93.	E-mail	14/01/20	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a meeting regarding the letter sent and SFN responded back with possible dates for Chief Stanley Thomas and the Proponent.
94.	E-mail	14/01/20	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent an e-mail to check if legal has provided any feedback on Capacity Agreement sent prior to Christmas break.
95.	E-mail	14/01/20	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent SFN a date of 14/01/27 as possible Vancouver meeting.
96.	Phone Call	14/01/20	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN called and discussed the Capacity Agreement and Agreement process. SFN felt the Capacity agreement was not in favor of SFN. The Proponent indicated that very little changes were made. Blackline copy of agreement was requested to be sent to SFN. SFN will discuss internally about meeting in Vancouver or this week in Prince George and will let the Proponent know about the results of discussion. (document sent)
97.	Meeting	14/01/21	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent and SFN met at the coast hotel for a short meeting to discuss next steps regarding the Capacity Agreement. Action – the Proponent and SFN to meet in Vancouver to go through agreement line by line on 14/01/28.
98.	Meeting	14/01/22	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Introduction of leaders. Discussion around bands interests in the Project and the need for a speedy negotiation to get the agreement in place. Commitment made by the Proponent to get the agreement done.
99.	E-mail	14/01/23	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent blackline copy of Capacity Agreement.
100.	E-mail	14/01/24	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent followed up on the previous e-mail on 14/01/23 requesting feedback on Capacity Agreement.
101.	Drop-in Visit/ Casual Meeting	14/02/04	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN Trapline holder/Keyoh holder raised concerns regarding the Proponent's Project and stated he has spoken to the local conservation officer regarding his rights as a First Nations keyoh holder and as a First Nations trapper. He plans to bait his trapline this summer in preparation for 2014/2015 trapping. He reminded the Proponent of its commitment to contact him before they access his trapline. He believes that the Proponent committed to hire him as a guide whenever access is requested. The intent of his visit was to provide the Proponent with a warning that the Proponent is not to access his trapline territory and that he does not agree or approve of the Project's transmission line plans. He mentioned he is suffering financial hardships and is very upset that the Proponent did not hire him in 2012 or 2013 yet wants to access his trapline.
102.	E-mail	14/02/05	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Email from SFN representative on behalf of SFN with revised draft service agreement.
103.	Phone Call	14/02/17	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent inquired about alternative date for signing of TK/TLU Protocol Agreement. The Proponent followed up again with a phone call the same afternoon. The Proponent also attempted to call band manager but was unsuccessful.
104.	Meeting	14/02/18	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN Lands and Resources Department met with TransCanada, Pacific Natural Gas, Innergex, and the Proponent. Each company provided a 1 hour presentation and answered questions. The Proponent's presentation was focused on the current Project status, discussing the plans to build Rainy River first and then build Blackwater after. Questions were asked about employment opportunities specific to environmental monitoring and future training plans. Next meeting was scheduled for 14/03/18.
105.	Phone Call	14/02/21	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN discussed issues with agreement signing next week in Vancouver. Chief Thomas is ill and may not be available. The Proponent confirmed that it can do signing over fax and that official signing ceremony can occur during next Industry Update meeting on 14/03/18.
106.	Meeting	14/02/26	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	A Capacity Funding Agreement was signed with SFN.
107.	Letter	14/02/28	BC Environmental Assessment Office, Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Letter from SFN to BC EAO with comments on dAIR Version G and concerns regarding the process and deadline for submitting dAIR comments on the Project, Rights, title, and Interest of SFN. cc: the Proponent.
108.	Text Message	14/03/04	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested time for discussion on the Project and changing presentation time for industry meeting.
109.	Phone Call	14/03/05	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent confirmed presentation time on 14/03/18 for 10:00am and 1:00 pm.
110.	E-mail	14/03/10	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent email to SFN to inquire if AMEC dAIR presentation should be done at March industry meeting or at another time/group.
111.	Phone Call	14/03/11	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Confirmed the time for Industry Update meeting held on 14/03/18.
112.	E-mail	14/03/11	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN sent Agenda for 14/03/18 meeting.
113.	Phone Call	14/03/12	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent left message for SFN to call back. SFN returned the call and informed the Proponent that agenda has been sent out. The Proponent second SFN's comments and discussed session at Minerals North.
114.	Meeting	14/03/18	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	The Proponent presented a Project update during the Industry update meeting. Copies of presentations and supporting documents from AMEC on the dAIR and effects assessment were made available to the SFN for further review and analysis. No new issues were identified through these discussions.
115.	Meeting	14/03/18	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	SFN and the Proponent met to discuss next steps, working with SFN, getting information to the band, work plan, working with other proponents, and review of EA material.
116.	E-mail	14/03/18	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent the latest draft of TK Protocol Agreement.
117.	E-mail	14/03/18	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN requested the blackline copy of TK Protocol Agreement. The Proponent sent the document as per SFN's request.
118.	E-mail	14/03/21	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent table from 14/03/17 SFN meeting as per SFN's request.
119.	E-mail	14/03/27	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), Stelat'en First Nation (StFN), Skin Tyee Nation (STN), Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	Announcement of CEAA training in Vancouver.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
120.	E-mail	14/03/27	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), Stelat'en First Nation (StFN), Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent out schedule for CEAA training this summer (2014).
121.	Phone Call	14/03/27	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), Stelat'en First Nation (StFN), Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent invited SFN, StFN, and STN to Bob Ewart dinner.
122.	Phone Call	14/03/27	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), Skin Tyee Nation (STN), Stelat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent notice for First Nations and Community Members (can apply free registration) at 38th Annual BC Mine Reclamation Symposium in Prince George, B.C. – 14/09/22 to 14/09/25.
123.	E-mail	14/04/02	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN requested for meeting schedule and agenda for 14/04/15.
124.	E-mail	14/04/02	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent asked for suggestion on what to present at next meeting. SFN requested a meeting with smaller group to review EA material.
125.	E-mail	14/04/03	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN replied to the Proponent regarding the presentations material suggestions regarding e-mail on 14/04/02.
126.	Drop-in Visit/Casual Meeting	14/04/04	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN made a request to assist in funding a speed display sign in SFN territory.
127.	Phone Call	14/04/07	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent called executive assistant to schedule Chief and Council meeting and left a message. SFN returned call to suggest 14/04/16 at 11:00am. The Proponent proposed 1:00pm. SFN will get back to the Proponent regarding the proposed time of the meeting.
128.	E-mail	14/04/08	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN confirmed the Chief and Council meeting with the Proponent for 14/04/17 at 11:00am.
129.	E-mail	14/04/09	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN requested the Proponent to provide details of what is going to be discussed at 14/04/17 meeting.
130.	E-mail	14/04/10	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent responded to SFN's e-mail regarding radar equipment on the reserve.
131.	E-mail	14/04/11	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Regarding radar units for reserve, SFN responded back. The Proponent offered sponsorship application. The Proponent sent both sponsorship application and policy to SFN.
132.	E-mail	14/04/21	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent notified SFN that it will wait to get direction from Chief and Council as to when a meeting will be scheduled.
133.	E-mail	14/04/21	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent PowerPoint presentation from 14/04/15 meeting.
134.	Phone Call	14/04/22	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent discussed the use of socio-economic interview material for section 7 of the Application - the Assessment of Potential Social Effects. SFN believed that the material was traditional knowledge and not the information gathered during the socio-economic interviews. SFN has not seen the section to date and would like to review it.
135.	E-mail	14/04/23	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent a letter attached to an e-mail providing an updated report on consultation activities between SFN and the Proponent.
136.	Phone Call	14/04/29	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent called to confirm SFN's attendance at Minerals North for the Proponent's reception and left a message.
137.	E-mail	14/05/01	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN requested information on purpose of the meeting with Chief and Council. SFN requested formal and in writing invitation and information before Chief and Council accept a meeting with the Proponent.
138.	E-mail	14/05/15	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Conveyed the draft Wildlife Management Plan to SFN for review and comment, including proposed mitigation measures (no input to date).
139.	SFN Industry Forum	14/05/20	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Attended SFN forum (Stoney Creek Reserve), along with other proponents who have projects in SFN territory, to provide Project information, and respond to questions from SFN members.
140.	n/a	14/06/12	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent invited SFN to participate in a tour of the Mt. Milligan mine, an operating metal mine with a similar design to the Blackwater Project, including a zero discharge tailings facility. The tour was scheduled for July 15, 2014 (due to unforeseen travel delays, SFN was unable to attend).
141.	Text Message	14/06/14	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN was concerned about comments made by the Proponent at Minerals North. SFN said that they would like to meet to discuss. The Proponent replied stating it would be happy to meet and will schedule a time.
142.				
143.	SFN Industry Forum	14/06/17	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Attended SFN forum (Stoney Creek Reserve) to provide Project information and respond to question from SFN members. The Proponent made a presentation on the Project, and reviewed SFN's involvement in the EA to date.
144.	E-mail	14/06/17	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), Stelat'en First Nation (StFN), Nazko First Nation (NFN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent letters to Chiefs for Elders to attend the Elders Gathering in July 2014.
145.	Meeting	14/06/19	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent met with SFN leadership to obtain feedback on SFN's preferred consultation protocol and approach. SFN noted they would like more interaction with the Proponent. In response the Proponent committed to increase the frequency of communications with SFN.
146.	E-mail	14/06/23	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Followed up on the June 17, 2014 forum and June 19, 2014 meeting to inquire about any comments on the June 19, 2014 meeting minutes and proposed next steps, including the meeting to discuss the EA and proposed mitigation (meeting offer subsequently declined by SFN), offer to community members to attend a Mining 101 course and discuss the experience from the New Afton mine with regard to Aboriginal employment.
147.	E-mail	14/06/23	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN inquired whether minutes from 14/06/19 were reviewed/edited and if the Proponent will attend internal meeting between Justin and committee on 14/06/30.
148.	E-mail	14/06/23	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN inquired about employment opportunities. The Proponent provided information on online application.
149.	Drop-in Visit/Casual Meeting	14/06/24	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	An SFN member came in to discuss employment and his keyoh/trapline. The Proponent let him know that the Proponent is not currently working within his territory and assured him that if there was a plan to do so, he will be notified.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
150.	Drop-in Visit/Casual Meeting	14/06/24	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	An SFN member came in and requested information about the Project. The Proponent gave update and provided information about EA process and SFN community meetings.
151.	Drop-in Visit/Casual Meeting	14/06/25	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	An SFN member came in and inquired about environmental monitoring. The Proponent let him know that the Proponent is currently working with the band to determine the needs and developing service agreement to accommodate.
152.	Drop-in Visit/Casual Meeting	14/06/25	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	An SFN member came in and requested for baseball team sponsorship. The Proponent provided sponsorship form, accepted the letter of request.
153.	Phone Call	14/06/26	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN called to discuss Mt. Milligan tour plans, questioned when the EA was released, and inquired about a site tour. The Proponent returned his call and left a message. The Proponent committed to follow up again on this voicemail.
154.	E-mail/Phone Call	14/07/04	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN requested confirmation for Mt. Milligan tour and asked for dates for the Project's tour. The Proponent returned this request with a phone call and discussed the Mt. Milligan Tour, the Project's tour dates, and getting direction on consultation with SFN.
155.	E-mail	14/07/04	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent confirmed the Mt. Milligan tour with SFN and provided the contact info of the tour coordinator person for SFN to follow up.
156.	E-mail	14/07/07	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN requested whether Mt. Milligan tour has been confirmed for 8 seats. The Proponent responded that yes it has been confirmed and directed SFN to Mt. Milligan tour coordinator to follow up.
157.	E-mail	14/07/07	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN requested for participation in fundraiser on 14/07/18.
158.	Phone Call	14/07/10	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent inquired about whether connection with Mt. Milligan has been achieved. The Proponent also inquired about transmission line trip from SFN.
159.	E-mail	14/07/10	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN inquired about details of transmission line trip. The Proponent asked SFN whether they have shape files, GPS units, and ATVs.
160.	E-mail	14/07/10	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN requested a date for the Project's tour.
161.	Phone Call	14/07/14	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent attempted to contact SFN band office but was not successful.
162.	Drop-in Visit/Casual Meeting	14/07/15	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN missed the tour in Mt. Milligan and requested a tour of the Project. The Proponent discussed this request with safety at camp; they stated that operations are on stand down due to fire situation and hence are not allowing tours of camp. The Proponent provided information materials with respect to documented SFN issues and how these were addressed in the effects Assessment and the proposed mitigation measures to the SFN representative. The Proponent offered to meet with SFN to present the presentation (meeting offer subsequently declined by SFN). SFN representative took a copy to review with SFN members at an internal meeting on 14/07/16. SFN will notify if the Proponent can be present at the meeting. SFN representative indicated that SFN has been reviewing the Application and will bring up consultation at the 14/07/16 meeting and will let the Proponent know how SFN wishes to be consulted.
163.	Phone Call	14/07/15	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN inquired about public signing of Traditional Knowledge Protocol Agreement.
164.	Mt. Milligan Mine Tour (to be rescheduled)	14/07/15	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Site tour booked by the Proponent to visit Mt. Milligan, an operating copper/gold mine, for SFN and others. Due to unforeseen travel delays, SFN was unable to attend. Efforts to organize an additional site tour are underway.
165.	Meeting	14/07/16	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	A Traditional Knowledge Protocol Agreement was signed with SFN. No meeting minutes available. Signed copy of Traditional Knowledge Protocol Agreement available.
166.	Phone Call/E-mail	14/07/17	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent called to check for Chief's availability on 14/08/8.
167.	Phone Call	14/07/18	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN representative stated that the 14/07/16 meeting went well. Group reviewed Effects Assessment documents provided by the Proponent on 14/07/15. SFN took them through their issues list and indicated location within Application.
168.	Phone Call	14/07/18	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Discussed service agreement – need to follow up with workers as they are not wanting to work for Avison – SFN to find out why. SFN indicated that Consultation Protocol was sent to province.
169.	E-mail	14/07/18	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent received signed copy of the Traditional Knowledge Protocol Agreement from SFN.
170.	E-mail	14/07/21	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent apologized for misunderstanding of transmission line tour and request for dates to do tour.
171.	E-mail	14/07/22	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent signed copy of Traditional Knowledge Protocol Agreement and indicated that the original will be sent via registered mail.
172.	E-mail	14/08/12	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Requested a meeting with SFN to discuss a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) related to the Project.
173.	Online Forum	14/08/26 to 14/08/28	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Invited SFN to participate in a live webcast of Mining 101 (non SFN members participated).
174.	Meeting	14/09/09	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Meeting with SFN leadership to discuss the Project and consultation process, including the MOU. The Proponent committed to ongoing dialogue with SFN to address concerns and interests over the life of the Project. SFN raised concerns about the impacts of the Project on water and indicated they would like to be involved in the Project. Meeting minutes prepared.
175.	Letter	14/09/16	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Conveyed letter to SFN outlining the Proponent's approach to implementing the Aboriginal Groups Consultation Plan, summarizing recent consultation activities, and identifying proposed future activities (i.e., meetings to discuss the Application/EIS, meeting with SFN leadership to discuss the incorporation of TK/TLU, and the Proponent's participation at the monthly SFN forum.
176.	E-mail	14/10/15	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), the Proponent.	Conveyed an information package, which included a table summarizing SFN comments received during the June 2014 Application/EIS screening and the Proponent's responses. The package also explained how SFN's comments on the May 2014 Application/EIS have been addressed in the October 2014 Application/EIS.

STELLAT'EN FIRST NATION:

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
1.	Meeting	12/09/14	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Project introduction meeting to Band staff. The Proponent committed to follow up with a meeting with Chief and Council.
2.	Letter	12/09/27	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested an introductory meeting with Chief and Council.
3.	Phone Call	12/11/05	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Request for meeting with Chief and Council to discuss the proposed transmission line.
4.	Phone Call	12/11/13	Trapline TR0712T039 (StFN), the Proponent.	Meeting set for 12/11/14.
5.	Meeting	12/11/14	Trapline TR0712T039 (StFN), the Proponent.	Discussed the trapper's tenure; no longer traps in the area. Trapper provided suggestions for alternate transmission line routes.
6.	Phone Call	13/01/07	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a meeting with Chief and Council to discuss the Project.
7.	Meeting	13/01/22	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	Collected socio-economic data relating to employment, training, demographics, infrastructure, education, services (health, social, protection) and traditional activities. No specific concerns were raised.
8.	Meeting	13/01/22	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	Collected socio-economic data relating to employment and social conditions. Concerns: high level of unemployment on the reserve.
9.	Meeting	13/02/06	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Meeting between StFN and the Proponent regarding the band's perspective on resource development.
10.	E-mail	13/02/12	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a large printed map of the proposed transmission line through StFN territory.
11.	E-mail	13/02/18	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a meeting with Chief and Council.
12.	Meeting	13/02/20	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	New Gold provided an introduction to the Blackwater Project and the proposed transmission line route. Discussed potential business and employment opportunities, the transmission line route, a potential agreement and capacity funding, and baseline studies.
13.	E-mail	13/02/25	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided notes for the 13/02/20 meeting.
14.	E-mail	13/03/04	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided presentation and notes from the 13/02/20 meeting. Also requested a meeting with the StFN project representative, as well as formal direction that he is the project contact.
15.	E-mail	13/03/05	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	StFN will arrange a meeting for the Proponent with Chief, Council and their Consultant in the next couple weeks.
16.	E-mail	13/03/12	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	StFN provided a draft Capacity Funding Agreement for review.
17.	Phone Call	13/03/18	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Date of next meeting changed from 13/03/27 to 13/04/10.
18.	E-mail	13/03/18	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided the requested copy of the 13/02/20 meeting presentation.
19.	E-mail	13/03/18	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Next meeting rescheduled to 13/04/10.
20.	Meeting	13/04/10	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Meeting with Chief and Council. Discussed an agreement to encompass EA capacity funding, including Chief and Council visits to site, community meetings, attendance at regulatory meetings and a Mining 101 course.
21.	Phone Call	13/04/11	Trapline TR0712T039 (StFN), the Proponent.	Meeting with the trapline owner's father to discuss the revised proposed transmission line route set for 13/04/16.
22.	E-mail	13/04/17	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	In follow-up to the 13/04/16 meeting, the Proponent provided a copy of the requested trapline territory map.
23.	Letter	13/04/30	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent offered capacity funding to StFN.
24.	Phone Call	13/05/11	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	StFN agreed to arrange a meeting between the three bands.
25.	Phone Call	13/05/16	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested an update on the capacity funding offer. Socio-economic interviews set for 13/05/28. Discussed the three-way agreement between the bands and the service agreement for summer baseline work.
26.	Letter	13/05/24	BC Environmental Assessment Office, Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent	StFN provided comments on the draft Section 11 Order and the Project in response to BC EAO's 13/04/23 letter. Requested an Aboriginal Interest and Use Study, along with funding for the study. cc: the Proponent.
27.	Meeting	13/05/28	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	Meeting with Chief, Councilors, and StFN staff. Discussed the socio-economic interview process and what types of information would be collected. StFN requested that an agreement be signed with them and a plan prepared before the interviews begin. Also discussed compensation for trapline tenure holders relating to the Project. StFN noted they sent a request to the BC EAO for an agreement on capacity funding related to information sharing.
28.	E-mail	13/05/29	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested that StFN contact the Proponent Director, Environment & Sustainability to discuss an agreement.
29.	Phone Call	13/05/29	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Discussed the Service Agreement; StFN does not agree with the draft and it requires further discussion.
30.	E-mail	13/06/12	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent offered to arrange a BCAMTA presentation to StFN; StFN would be interested.
31.	E-mail	13/06/17	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a draft Capacity Funding Agreement for review.
32.	Phone Call	13/06/24	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Discussed the Capacity Funding Agreement. StFN noted that there should be no activity in their territory at this time.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
33.	Phone Call	13/07/05	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Discussed the Capacity Funding Agreement. StFN requested a community presentation in the fall.
34.	E-mail	13/07/31	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	StFN requested a meeting to discuss budgetary and work plan matters.
35.	E-mail	13/09/12	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	StFN provided a revised Capacity Funding Agreement proposal.
36.	E-mail	13/09/16	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	StFN provided potential dates to discuss the Capacity Funding Agreement; the Proponent to confirm date.
37.	Phone Call	13/09/26	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	StFN requested a meeting to discuss the Capacity Funding Agreement on 13/10/09.
38.	Meeting	13/10/01	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent met with StFN band manager. StFN noted that a working group has been formed in the community made up of the different families and clans. They are currently doing a review of the Trans Canada project and will likely review the Project as well.
39.	Meeting	13/10/02	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	StFN and the Proponent had a meeting. In the meeting, StFN requested for Capacity Funding and noted the lack of resources to review the Project related documents.
40.	E-mail	13/10/05	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Revised proposal from StFN emailed to the Proponent.
41.	E-mail	13/10/07	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Email from StFN to the Proponent with revised proposal.
42.	E-mail	13/10/11	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Community health baseline materials e-mailed from the Proponent to the StFN.
43.	Meeting	13/10/22	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Meeting with StFN regarding Capacity Funding Agreement. The Proponent provided a general update, including information on employment and financial situation and markets with timelines. Discussions were regarding the following topics: Watershed and the mine site water distribution; Cyanide transport, storage, and process; Construction and fuel demand during the construction phase; Caribou Winter Range including concerns regarding impacts of the Project on their habitat; Socio-economic impacts including discussions on Dr. Janis Shandro's recent study on long term impacts of mines on the communities in proximity; Transmission line including discussion on impacts on StFN territory; Transportation for workers at camp; Employees including discussions on shifts and compensation; Socio-economic study done by StFN former band manager; First Nation Negotiation Strategy on Mining; and Other negotiations including a Traditional Use Study, a socio-economic study and a Workplan were discussed.
44.	E-mail	13/10/23	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent the consultation plan via email to StFN band manager and resource manager; a hard copy was also delivered to StFN.
45.	E-mail	13/10/25	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent emailed StFN the Aboriginal Groups Consultation Plan and requested that it be forwarded to the Chief.
46.	E-mail	13/10/25	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Draft consultation plan e-mailed from the Proponent to the StFN.
47.	E-mail	13/10/25	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent formally provided StFN the Aboriginal Consultation Plan and Report for review.
48.	E-mail	13/11/04	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent e-mailed StFN to confirm that the consultation plan draft was received.
49.	E-mail	13/11/14	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent emailed StFN a follow up letter regarding the consultation plan.
50.	E-mail	13/11/14	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent e-mail updating StFN on the status of their agreement.
51.	E-mail	13/11/14	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Email from StFN to the Proponent confirming they received and are reviewing the consultation plan.
52.	E-mail	13/11/14	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	StFN confirmed the receipt of the consultation plan to the Proponent and provided update on the review process and committed to provide feedback on the document.
53.	E-mail	13/11/25	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Email from StFN with comments on the Proponent's draft consultation plan.
54.	Phone Call	13/11/26	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent called and discussed the capacity agreement with StFN and let them know that their legal team is receiving and will provide it.
55.	Phone Call	13/12/02	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Call between the Proponent and StFN regarding agreement, budget and timeline for schedule B.
56.	E-mail	13/12/04	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Email from the Proponent to StFN following up on the timeline for schedule B.
57.	Phone Call	14/01/21	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	StFN and the Proponent discussed data that may be used in the EA application. StFN will speak with David Luggi and find out what can be done and committed to get back to the Proponent on this matter.
58.	E-mail	14/02/20	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent suggested dates for community meeting on 14/03/17 or 20.
59.	E-mail	14/02/26	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a meeting to review the Effects Assessment with StFN.
60.	E-mail	14/02/26	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	StFN questioned when to review the Effects Assessment and asked if the Proponent's questionnaire can be posted on StFN's website.
61.	Phone Call	14/03/12	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Discussion on working group having material to review prior to 14/03/17 meeting. StFN informed the Proponent that they have been following up internally to get a response to BCEAO's request. StFN committed to follow up internally and with BCEAO.
62.	E-mail	14/03/12	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent overlay map of transmission line and StFN territory for community working group review before the Monday (14/03/17) meeting.
63.	Phone Call	14/03/17	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent called and checked if StFN requires anything for the meeting today (14/03/17).
64.	Meeting	14/03/17	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	Presentation from AMEC on Effects Assessment and concerns raised by StFN.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
65.	E-mail	14/03/25	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent draft minutes and presentation from 14/03/17 meeting.
66.	E-mail	14/03/27	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent out schedule for CEAA training this summer (2014).
67.	E-mail	14/03/27	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), Skin Tyee Nation (STN), Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent announced the CEAA training in Vancouver.
68.	Phone Call	14/03/27	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent invited StFN, SFN, and STN to Bob Ewart dinner.
69.	E-mail	14/03/27	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), Skin Tyee Nation (STN), Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent notice for First Nations and Community Members (can apply free registration) at 38th Annual BC Mine Reclamation Symposium in Prince George, B.C. – 14/09/22 to 14/09/25.
70.	Phone Call	14/04/03	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent called and inquired about the status of TK/TLUS (left a voicemail).
71.	E-mail	14/04/07	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent a letter attached to an e-mail providing an updated Consultation Report that provides a summary of the consultation activities to date carried out between the Proponent and StFN.
72.	Phone Call/E-mail	14/04/21	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent called the band office and left a message requesting a call back.
73.	Phone Call	14/04/30	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent followed up on the Reception invitation. The invitation will be send to two Councilors of StFN.
74.	E-mail	14/05/15	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided the draft wildlife Management Plan to StFN and requested feedback (no comments received to date)
75.	E-mail	14/06/02	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested an update on the work completed. StFN responded stating that she will request a status update from her team.
76.	Phone Call	14/06/16	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent called to set up a meeting with the StFN committee to review the EA.
77.	Phone Call	14/06/17	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	StFN will request an interim report for TK will look at the schedule of the committee and try to schedule a meeting for 14/06/26.
78.	E-mail	14/06/17	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), Nazko First Nation (NFN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent letters to Chiefs for Elders to attend the Elders Gathering in July 2014.
79.	Phone Call/E-mail	14/06/23	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent inquired about a confirmation for meeting this week. StFN confirmed for the meeting date to be Thursday 14/06/26.
80.	Meeting	14/06/26	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent met with StFN Project Committee (Stellatt'en Band Hall). The purpose of this meeting was to discuss and to receive StFN's feedback on the results of consultation conducted to date with StFN with respect to the Project as outlined in the Aboriginal Groups Consultation Plan. Further, discussions included how StFN issues and concerns are addressed in the Application/Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and the Proponent's proposed mitigation and monitoring measures to address issues raised by StFN. The process for future consultation activities was also presented and an overview of the Application/ EIS report's structure and content was provided.
81.	E-mail/Phone Call	14/07/09	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent followed up on StFN's request for her notes of 14/06/19 meeting and a list of StFN members in attendance. StFN provided responses to questions asked at 14/06/19 meeting.
82.	E-mail	14/07/09	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Requested written documentation from StFN on preferred approach to consultation (no comments received).
83.	Phone Call	14/07/09	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Discussed tours: for Mt. Milligan the tour date is 14/07/29 and the Project Site tour date is proposed for August or September 2014. The Proponent asked for formal request in writing as to how StFN wants to be consulted. StFN is meeting as a committee on 14/07/25 and will be reviewing the TK.
84.	Phone Call	14/07/10	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a list of StFN members that attended the confidential Chief and Council meeting on 14/06/19. StFN provided the list and included the list in the meeting minutes.
85.	E-mail	14/07/10	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Conveyed a copy of the meeting minutes to StFN for review and comment (comments received from StFN on July 10, 2014 regarding the meeting attendees).
86.	E-mail	14/07/16	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Provided StFN with a shapefile of the transmission line route to be utilized in the TK/TLU study.
87.	E-mail	14/07/18	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent made a request for next steps once TK Protocol is ratified by the StFN community.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
88.	E-mail	14/07/22	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested for availability for transmission line Heli tour as well as Mt. Milligan tour.
89.	E-mail	14/07/23	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested to confirm dates to schedule the tours. StFN will notify Chief and Council of the date after the election which is on 14/07/31.
90.	E-mail	14/07/29	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent followed up on the status of TK meeting. StFN responded and stated community confirmed what is to be released in the report.
91.	E-mail/Phone Call	14/08/06	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested participant's names in June 2014 meeting and to get feedback on minutes from StFN. StFN sent minutes back with names.
92.	E-mail	14/08/07	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested an update on the TK Report delivery. The Proponent also said that the Report was expected this week. StFN responded by stating the report is with Chief and Council.
93.	Phone Call	14/08/07	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Discussed the release of the TK Report. StFN committed to follow up with Chief and Council to confirm the status of the report and the timing for delivering the report to the Proponent. StFN also indicated that the minutes from the June 2014 meeting with Chief and Council are confidential and can now be considered as final.
94.	E-mail/Phone	14/08/11	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Requested update on the finalization of the TK/TLU study (StFN provided the final report on October 2, 2014).
95.	Letter	14/09/16	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Letter to StFN outlining the Proponent's approach to implementing the Aboriginal Groups Consultation Plan, summarizing recent consultation activities as well as outlining proposed future activities (i.e. a helicopter tour of the transmission line, review of the TK study and meeting with StFN leadership to incorporate the TK/TLU into the project, and completion of a socio-economic study and related follow-up meetings for the socio-economic study.
96.	Site Tour	14/09/24	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	StFN representatives participated in a site tour that involved flying over the proposed transmission line and touring the proposed mine site.
97.	n/a	14/10/02	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	StFN provided the TK/TLU study to the Proponent.
98.	Meeting	14/10/15	Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), the Proponent.	Reviewed the TK/TLU information and the transmission line alignment. StFN committed to get back to the Proponent to identify any potential conflicts with the transmission line and StFN interests (no response to date). Meeting minutes prepared.

ULKATCHO FIRST NATION

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
1.	Letter	11/05/01	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent introduced the Project and noted they recently acquired the Richfield property.
2.	Letter	11/05/18	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Requested a meeting to introduce the Project.
3.	Letter	11/06/24	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Formal introduction of the Proponent; will set up a meeting to introduce the Project.
4.	Phone Call	11/06/30	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	In follow-up to introductory letter, Catana requested a meeting for the Proponent to introduce the Project. Chief is unavailable until 11/07/11.
5.	Phone Call	11/07/11	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	Catana made numerous calls to the Band office to request a meeting with the Proponent to discuss the Project.
6.	E-mail	11/07/12	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	Catana requested a meeting for the Proponent to introduce the Project; cc: the Proponent.
7.	Phone Call	11/07/14	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), Catana Consulting	Catana left a message requesting a meeting for the Proponent to introduce the Project.
8.	E-mail	11/07/14	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent	Catana requested a meeting for the Proponent to introduce the Project; cc: the Proponent.
9.	E-mail	11/07/14	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	Catana requested a meeting between the Proponent and Chief and Council to discuss the Project; cc: the Proponent.
10.	Phone Call	11/07/19	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), Catana Consulting.	Catana left a message requesting a meeting for the Proponent to introduce the Project.
11.	E-mail	11/07/21	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	Catana left a message requesting a meeting for the Proponent to introduce the Project; the Proponent.
12.	Letter	11/07/22	Devlin Gailus (UFN), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN requested that correspondence go through their legal counsel. Expressed concern that the Project should look at cumulative impacts. Also requested a TK study and examination of potential impacts.
13.	Phone Call	11/08/09	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN requested maps for the Project; the Proponent will send by e-mail.
14.	E-mail	11/08/09	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	The Proponent provided the requested maps.
15.	Phone Call	11/08/15	Devlin Gailus (UFN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	Discussed the importance of holding an introductory meeting to introduce the project.
16.	E-mail	11/08/18	Devlin Gailus (UFN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	UFN requested a meeting between the Proponent and the Chief and Council. Also requested a site tour. The Proponent proposed meeting date of 11/08/23.
17.	E-mail	11/08/19	Devlin Gailus (UFN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	Meeting with the Chief and Council set for 11/08/23; cc: the Proponent.
18.	Meeting	11/08/23	Devlin Gailus (UFN), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	Discussed Richfield's operations and UFN's concern about following through on commitments made to the UFN. The Proponent and UFN also discussed how UFN wants to be consulted.
19.	E-mail	11/08/29	Devlin Gailus (UFN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	Catana requested dates for a site visit; cc: the Proponent.
20.	E-mail	11/08/31	Devlin Gailus (UFN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	Catana requested dates for a site visit for the Project. Will confirm the New Afton visit the following week; cc: the Proponent.
21.	Phone Call	11/09/01	Devlin Gailus (UFN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	Discussed potential dates for a site tour.
22.	Phone Call	11/09/09	Devlin Gailus (UFN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	Discussed the NOW application and the NOW/MYAB process. Catana requested an in-person meeting.
23.	E-mail	11/09/12	Devlin Gailus (UFN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	Catana requested a meeting between the Proponent, AMEC and Devlin Gailus on 11/09/15.
24.	E-mail	11/09/13	Devlin Gailus (UFN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	UFN requested that Devlin Gailus not meet with the Proponent to discuss the Project until they have further internal discussion. Asked Catana to contact them to discuss the baseline studies; cc: the Proponent.
25.	E-mail	11/09/28	Devlin Gailus (UFN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	Heli tour set for 11/09/30; cc: the Proponent.
26.	Site Visit	11/09/30	Devlin Gailus (UFN), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a Heli tour of the Project site. The discussions included caribou herds, employment and training, road to the site, water resources, and tailings pond.
27.	E-mail	11/10/04	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN requested a meeting with the Proponent on 11/11/11.
28.	E-mail	11/10/10	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent confirmed the meeting on 11/11/11.
29.	Phone Call	11/10/10	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent will set up a site tour for the Project for the UFN Chief, Council and Elders.
30.	E-mail	11/10/11	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	Catana provided information on training opportunities through BCAMTA; the Proponent would like to sponsor UFN members in the program.
31.	E-mail	11/10/13	Devlin Gailus (UFN), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	UFN responded to the Proponent's offer for BCAMTA training.
32.	E-mail	11/10/20	Devlin Gailus (UFN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	UFN requested that the Project site tour to be postponed.
33.	Letter	11/10/28	Devlin Gailus (UFN), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN provided information on a number of their concerns regarding the project, for discussion at the 11/11/11 meeting. These included length of permits, existing development and current project impacts, effect on homesteads in the area, effect on ungulates, purchase of Silver Quest and GeoMinerals interests.
34.	Phone Call	11/11/02	Devlin Gailus (UFN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	Meeting date changed to 11/11/10.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
35.	Meeting	11/11/10	Devlin Gailus (UFN), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	Discussion regarding the Project. Issues raised included: UFN's concern about the lack of advance communication regarding the Silver Quest and Geo Minerals transaction; community concerns regarding the MYAB scope and length; the need for a Traditional Use Study; archaeological assessment and historic remains; homesteads of their elders in the vicinity of the Project property; and social impacts of development including the effect on their community.
36.	Meeting	12/01/06	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	2012 Work Plan: Supplied by the Proponent. UFN will review and provide notes by the end of February 2012. MOE Presentation: The Proponent went through the BC MOE presentation. Ungulates: Discussed UFN concerns about ungulates. AMEC to contact UFN re: collar data being collected. The Proponent committed to moving the access road and potentially deactivating to the north to avoid the ungulate winter range. Employment: UFN is interested in employment opportunities. Believes that the Proponent is doing a good job with employment. Agreement: UFN would like to have an agreement in place before their meeting with the minister on 12/01/24.
37.	E-mail	12/01/20	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN requested a meeting to discuss their concern about protection of traditional areas.
38.	Phone Call	12/01/25	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Discussed concerns about protecting historic areas. Meeting set for 12/02/03 to discuss further.
39.	Letter	12/01/31	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN provided information on their traditional territory. Requested that the Project be put on hold until potential impacts can be discussed further.
40.	Meeting	12/02/03	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN member provided a map showing the area her family has used to hunt and used historically, as well as generally where burial sites could be. The Proponent will provide a map showing their mineral tenures and proposed exploration area.
41.	E-mail	12/02/06	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN member requested a conference call to discuss concerns about the Project and effects on her family's keyoh.
42.	E-mail	12/02/08	Carrier Chilcotin Tribal Council (CCTC), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided information on traplines to be included on the Proponent map.
43.	E-mail	12/02/15	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a map showing that the UFN member's family territory does not overlap with the project area.
44.	E-mail	12/02/17	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN member provided information on family burial sites.
45.	E-mail	12/03/06	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent suggested a date for the agreement signing ceremony.
46.	Letter	12/03/15	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent will contact UFN to set up a kick-off for the Joint Implementation Committee (JIC).
47.	Meeting	12/04/24	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	JIC meeting was conducted.
48.	E-mail	12/05/02	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided copies of the geotechnical MYAB amendment map, and the trail and drill clearing map.
49.	E-mail	12/05/04	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a template letter of support for the NOW amendment, as well as the amendment and related maps.
50.	Letter	12/05/04	BC Ministry of Forests, Lands & Natural Resource Operations (BC FLNRO), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN acknowledged their support for approval for the Proponent 2012 exploration program. cc: the Proponent.
51.	E-mail	12/05/16	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN requested a meeting to discuss the drill sites.
52.	E-mail	12/05/22	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent confirmed with UFN member that it is meeting regularly with Chief and Council. Offered to meet to discuss the Project further.
53.	E-mail	12/05/28	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Meeting for Project update with UFN confirmed for 12/05/29.
54.	E-mail	12/05/29	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Meeting with UFN member to discuss drill sites confirmed for 12/06/01.
55.	Meeting	12/05/29	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Discussed specific job opportunities for the Project. Discussed training and working with BCAMTA. The Proponent will set up a meeting with UFN on 12/06/13 to discuss process of training/hiring. Discussed the need for a JIC meeting to discuss some of the challenges around hiring.
56.	E-mail	12/06/04	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent suggested to UFN member that they can review maps at their meeting to determine her area of concern.
57.	E-mail	12/06/04	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent informed the Chief of the planned meeting with the UFN member to discuss the Project.
58.	Meeting	12/06/07	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Meeting between UFN and the Proponent on Project update.
59.	Phone Call	12/06/18	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested confirmation of the 12/06/22 community meeting.
60.	E-mail	12/06/20	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Discussion with UFN member on a meeting to discuss the Project; will hold at a later date.
61.	Phone Call	12/06/20	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Discussed the Capoose catering contract and the purpose of the JIC.
62.	E-mail	12/06/21	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Meeting with UFN member. Discussed the location of the Project and potential effects on her family's territory.
63.	E-mail	12/06/22	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided the UFN member with a map of the Proponent's mineral leases overlaid by the family traditional territory.
64.	E-mail	12/06/22	DM Cultural Services (UFN), the Proponent.	Discussed the TLU study.
65.	E-mail	12/06/25	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Discussion on date for community visit by the Proponent.
66.	E-mail	12/07/03	DM Cultural Services (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a discussion about the TLU study proposal.
67.	E-mail	12/07/06	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Introduction of the Proponent's First Nations Coordinator, and list of concerns from UFN member.
68.	E-mail	12/07/09	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN member requested a meeting with the Proponent, and current and past Chief and Council.
69.	E-mail	12/07/11	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	JIC meeting set for 12/07/17.
70.	E-mail	12/07/12	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN confirmed federal funding approval for the caribou study.
71.	E-mail	12/07/12	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN requested an agenda for the 12/07/17 meeting.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
72.	E-mail	12/07/13	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided an agenda for the 12/07/17 JIC meeting.
73.	Meeting	12/07/17	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	JIC meeting held.
74.	E-mail	12/07/20	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Capoose mine site Heli tour arranged for UFN Elders on 12/07/24.
75.	Site Visit	12/07/24	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent did a flyover of the Capoose site and drilling areas with UFN Elders and Councillor, reviewed maps and scope of program, discussed new access road, did a camp tour and discussed drill cores. Discussion centered on land use in the area. No concerns with the current or proposed work programs were raised.
76.	Phone Call	12/07/26	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided the Lands Manager with an introduction to the Project.
77.	E-mail	12/07/30	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested an update on the letter of support.
78.	E-mail	12/07/31	DM Cultural Services (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN provided a proposed work plan for the TLU study.
79.	Letter	12/07/31	BC Ministry of Forests, Lands & Natural Resource Operations (BC FLNRO), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN expressed concerns to BC FLNRO regarding the Capoose Notice of Work Amendment provided by the Proponent on 12/07/05, and how it relates to the Project agreement. cc: the Proponent.
80.	Phone Call	12/08/03	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Discussed UFN's concerns with the NOW application stated in their 12/07/31 letter. The Proponent agreed to address the concerns raised.
81.	E-mail	12/08/07	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Discussion regarding commitments for TK/TLU, archaeology and environmental work.
82.	Letter	12/08/07	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent responded to UFN's concerns in their 12/07/31 letter to BC FLNRO.
83.	E-mail	12/08/17	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN member again expressed her concern about potential project effects on her family's traditional territory. She has had no response to her request for a meeting with leadership and community members to discuss the Project.
84.	E-mail	12/08/20	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided correspondence received from UFN member and requested permission to provide a consultation update.
85.	Phone Call	12/08/30	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent discussed relationship building with UFN.
86.	E-mail	12/08/30	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a call to discuss the Project.
87.	E-mail	12/09/04	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a draft labor agreement (archaeology field assistants) for UFN review.
88.	E-mail	12/09/04	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Further to her request for a community meeting on 12/08/17, the Proponent informed the UFN member that a community meeting is being organized for the fall.
89.	Site Visit	12/09/07	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Tour of the Capoose site with UFN members, including a helicopter overview. One Elder had requested an additional visit after the 12/07/24 Elders' site visit as he had not had the opportunity to speak at that time. TLU: Elder provided information on hunting and fishing in the area and expressed concerns about effects on caribou. Discussed the upcoming TK/TLU studies; members wished to participate. Work Program: Discussed plans and opportunities for the upcoming season.
90.	E-mail	12/09/25	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent confirmed support and funding for the UFN caribou trailing study.
91.	E-mail	12/09/26	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Request for site visit for Councilor and Band Manager on 12/09/28.
92.	Site Visit	12/09/28	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Site tour for two UFN staff. Also met with site personnel. The majority of the discussion was about employment and hiring, and the positive effects the Project has had on their community. UFN requested that a JIC meeting be scheduled.
93.	Letter	12/10/01	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a meeting to discuss the Project Description.
94.	E-mail	12/10/06	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN member requested an update on the Project.
95.	E-mail	12/10/07	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested permission from UFN to provide UFN member with a project update.
96.	E-mail	12/10/11	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent suggested a meeting with the UFN member to provide a project update.
97.	E-mail	12/10/18	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Concern about animal carcasses on Rainbow Mountain.
98.	E-mail	12/10/23	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Discussed, carcasses on Rainbow Mountain and the Proponent's request for a meeting.
99.	E-mail	12/10/23	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	First Nations Coordinator will follow up on Rainbow Mountain carcasses.
100.	E-mail	12/10/25	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided follow-up to UFN member's concerns regarding carcasses.
101.	Meeting	12/11/01	BW Individual (Aboriginal), First Nations Consultant, Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Skeetchestn Indian Band (SIB), Tk'emlúps te Secwépemc (TIB), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Meeting with representatives of UFN, LDN, TIB and SIB. The Proponent provided information on the Project. Discussed negotiation of a Protocol Agreement, hopefully to lead to a Participation Agreement with the Proponent. All agreed that the Proponent needs to hire a First Nations Coordinator.
102.	E-mail	12/11/07	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Request for meeting to discuss protocol to hire a First Nations Coordinator.
103.	E-mail	12/11/07	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN requested date for next JIC meeting.
104.	E-mail	12/11/20	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN expressed concern that Project is in her family's traditional territory.
105.	E-mail	12/11/20	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided the signed TLU Study Agreement.
106.	E-mail	12/11/20	DM Cultural Services (UFN), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested permission to provide UFN member with an update on the TLU study.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
107.	E-mail	12/11/29	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided notes for the 12/07/17 JIC meeting. Next meeting is 12/11/30.
108.	Meeting	12/11/30	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	JIC meeting. A project update was provided by the Proponent. Topics discussed included the 2013 exploration program, EA process, participation, First Nations Coordinator position, employment and training opportunities, business opportunities, TK studies and having leader-to-leader and community meetings in 2013. Concerns: (a) effects of the IP program on wildlife and traditional use in the area; (b) need for a rigorous EA; (c) process for hiring a FN coordinator.
109.	E-mail	12/12/04	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN member's declaration as Keyoh holder in the Project area.
110.	E-mail	12/12/13	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested further information on a UFN member's claim that her family's Keyoh is in the Project area.
111.	Letter	12/12/14	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a summary of the proposed 2013 exploration program.
112.	E-mail	12/12/18	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a copy of the draft MYAB Geotechnical Amendment #2 for review.
113.	E-mail	12/12/19	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a copy of the draft Incinerator Permit Application.
114.	Drop-in Visit/Casual Meeting	13/01/07	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Chief noted that UFN is reviewing the 2013 proposed exploration program.
115.	E-mail	13/01/10	DM Cultural Services (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN request for baseline data to add into their TUS.
116.	E-mail	13/01/11	DM Cultural Services (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided archaeological information for the TUS. Requested a meeting to discuss data collected to date.
117.	E-mail	13/01/14	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN member invited to participate in the caribou survey in February.
118.	Letter	13/01/16	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a copy of the 2013 Project Exploration Program.
119.	Letter	13/01/21	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Registered letter: the Proponent provided a copy of the Incinerator Permit Application.
120.	E-mail	13/01/22	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN member confirmed to participate in the caribou over flight on 13/02/13.
121.	E-mail	13/01/23	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN requested a meeting with the Proponent in early February for a Project update.
122.	E-mail	13/01/23	Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Public comments provided to CEAA on the Project (outside the public comment period). cc: the Proponent.
123.	E-mail	13/01/24	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN suggested meeting in early February for a Project update.
124.	Meeting	13/01/25	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Discussed trades training for UFN members, as well as future employment opportunities with the Proponent.
125.	E-mail	13/01/29	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided an update on discussions with UFN member.
126.	E-mail	13/01/31	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a map showing the proposed 2013 exploration program in relation to the UWR.
127.	Letter	13/02/01	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN member listed the concerns about the Project in her family's traditional territory that she wished to discuss at the meeting on 13/02/01.
128.	Meeting	13/02/01	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Discussed UFN member's concerns about the Project, which include potential impacts on cultural sites and lack of consultation via UFN. The Proponent provided a map showing the family territory relative to the Project. The Proponent committed to provide copies of all public documents for the UFN member to pick up.
129.	Letter	13/02/07	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN member requested consultation with the Proponent.
130.	Site Visit	13/02/13	BC Ministry of Forests, Lands & Natural Resource Operations (BC FLNRO), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), Ecofor, the Proponent.	Helicopter overflight was done over areas proposed for 2013 exploration program in relation to the caribou management plan. No concerns were raised.
131.	Meeting	13/02/18	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided information on the proposed 2013 exploration program. Discussion included the exploration program, archaeology studies, caribou management plan, UFN participation in the EA process and a community meeting (potentially 13/04/13). Concerns: (a) avoiding impacts to TLU and archaeology during pre-clearing archaeology survey work; (b) cumulative effects on caribou; (c) UFN participation in the EA process.
132.	E-mail	13/02/22	Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Comments on the Draft EIS Guidelines via the CEAA public comment period. cc: the Proponent.
133.	E-mail	13/02/25	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided notes for the 13/02/18 meeting.
134.	E-mail	13/02/25	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided information on the mineral tenure license numbers.
135.	E-mail	13/02/27	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a map showing areas of access for the 2013 exploration program, in follow-up to their 13/02/18 meeting.
136.	E-mail	13/02/28	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided copies of the six archaeological overview assessments (AOAs) for the 2013 exploration program discussed at the 13/02/18 meeting.
137.	Phone Call	13/02/28	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN requested a meeting to discuss incinerator permits.
138.	E-mail	13/03/01	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided the Caribou Management Plan requested at the 13/02/18 meeting.
139.	Phone Call	13/03/07	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent asked if UFN had any questions on the incinerator permits; UFN has not yet reviewed the documents.
140.	E-mail	13/03/08	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Meeting with the Land Manager set for 13/03/15.
141.	Open House	13/03/15	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Community meeting to discuss the incinerator and exploration permits. Concerns: (a) ensuring water quality; (b) reclamation of tailings dam; (c) archaeology.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
142.	Meeting	13/03/15	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Discussed the 2013 exploration program, incinerator application, agreements, socio-economic study and traditional use study with the Chief and Council. UFN has no issues with the incinerator application.
143.	E-mail	13/03/18	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	In follow-up to the 13/03/15 meeting with the Land Manager, the Proponent provided a list of all applications in the past few months.
144.	Phone Call	13/03/18	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Discussed the NOW application and support letter from UFN.
145.	E-mail	13/03/18	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN thanked the Proponent for discussions on the NOW application. Noted that all concerns and questions have been dealt with and a letter of support will be forthcoming.
146.	Phone Call	13/03/20	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN requested, and was provided with, information on the Capoose South permit application.
147.	Letter	13/03/21	BC Ministry of Forests, Lands & Natural Resource Operations (BC FLNRO), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN confirmed support and consent for the recent NOW applications. cc: the Proponent.
148.	Phone Call	13/04/02	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Discussed the Proponent's Project Engagement Proposal.
149.	E-mail	13/04/19	DM Cultural Services (UFN), the Proponent.	Per UFN's request, the Proponent provided a map of a UFN family's territory overlaid on the Proponent's mineral claims.
150.	Letter	13/06/03	BC Environmental Assessment Office, Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN submitted comments on the dAIR Version C to the BC EAO. cc: the Proponent.
151.	E-mail	13/06/04	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a Joint Implementation Committee (JIC) meeting with UFN.
152.	Phone Call	13/06/05	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN is still working on a budget for EA participation. Discussed potential field work opportunities for UFN members.
153.	E-mail	13/06/11	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN agreed to arrange a JIC meeting and a community meeting in the near future.
154.	E-mail	13/06/17	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN is not available to discuss the dAIR Version C comments on 13/06/17 and requested a different date to discuss.
155.	Phone Call	13/06/17	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN requested a list of the Proponent's questions regarding their dAIR comments.
156.	E-mail	13/06/18	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided questions related to UFN's dAIR Version C comments.
157.	E-mail	13/06/18	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN provided a proposal for EA participation.
158.	Phone Call	13/06/21	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Discussed the Project, EA process and Participation Agreement.
159.	Meeting	13/08/15	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Provided an update on the project, and background on the UFN/the Proponent relationship. Provided information on the permitting approach, confirming the Proponent is not planning for concurrent permitting at this time and will be submitting permit applications towards the end of the EA process.
160.	Meeting	13/08/28	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided an update on the Project. Also discussed the TK work, Participation Agreement and EA process.
161.	E-mail	13/08/30	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN requested a copy of the presentation from the 13/08/28 meeting.
162.	Hand Delivery	13/09/04	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent hand delivered hard copies of the fish, wildlife and wetlands baselines to UFN Lands Manager.
163.	Meeting	13/09/04	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Discussed the Project and the Participation Agreement.
164.	E-mail	13/09/12	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Discussed topics for upcoming meetings, including short- and long-term monitoring and mitigation, importance of caribou and the Participation Agreement.
165.	Meeting	13/09/18	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Participation Agreement discussion.
166.	E-mail	13/09/24	DM Cultural Services (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN consultant requested shapefiles for the Proponent's drill sites.
167.	E-mail	13/09/26	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a call to discuss the caribou research project and agreements.
168.	E-mail	13/09/26	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN contractor asked questions regarding the fall caribou survey.
169.	Phone Call	13/09/30	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Discussed the draft Aboriginal consultation plan.
170.	Phone Call	13/10/24	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	LDN and UFN members invited to the Proponent water quality results presentation meeting on 13/10/31.
171.	Phone Call	13/10/24	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent invited UFN to water quality results presentation on 13/10/31. The Proponent left a voicemail followed up with an invitation that has not yet been responded to.
172.	E-mail	13/10/25	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), BC Environmental Assessment (BC EAO), Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency (CEAA), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent the updated Aboriginal Groups Consultation Plan and Report to UFN (cc: BC EAO and CEAA).
173.	Phone Call	13/10/29	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Call from UFN that they received materials regarding the water quality results meeting and would be attending via call in.
174.	E-mail	13/11/04	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Email from UFN confirming they received the Proponent's Aboriginal Consultation Plan.
175.	E-mail	13/11/06	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Email from the Proponent to the UFN to arrange Joint Implementation Committee (JIC) meeting and community meetings.
176.	E-mail	13/11/07	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Response from UFN to the Proponent with prospective dates for meetings.
177.	E-mail	13/11/08	DM Cultural Services (UFN), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Email from the Proponent to UFN confirming receipt of the draft, public version of the Traditional Knowledge Study and requesting release of the confidential study report and maps.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
178.	E-mail	13/11/14	BC Environmental Assessment Office, Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent emailed UFN a follow up letter regarding the consultation plan.
179.	E-mail	13/11/14	BC Environmental Assessment Office, Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Email from the Proponent to UFN with follow up letter to consultation plan and a request for a meeting.
180.	E-mail	13/11/28	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN provided feedback on the meeting minutes distributed by the Proponent regarding consultation plan and confirmed required edits to the consultation plan.
181.	Meeting	13/12/06	BC Ministry of Environment (BC MOE), BC Ministry of Forests, Lands & Natural Resource Operations (BC FLNRO), Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent met with the Project Sub-Working Group and Provincial government agencies on Caribou Suitability Modelling and Effects Assessment Approach. The purpose of the meeting was to capture concerns and feedback related to potential environmental effects of the Project on caribou; to provide feedback on the presentation, study area, etc.; and to determine if changes are needed to the dAIR. The agenda was to update the sub-Working Group on current habitat availability work; to provide an update on the 2013 calving surveys; to seek information and determine if more information is required; and to provide information up front to avoid surprises at the time of the EA review process.
182.	Community Event	13/12/11	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	Community Meeting with UFN and the Proponent to provide UFN community members with an update about the Project and gain input from UFN members about the project. During the meeting information was provided on effects assessment and some mitigation was discussed.
183.	E-mail	13/12/12	BC Ministry of Environment (BC MOE), BC Ministry of Forests, Lands & Natural Resource Operations (BC FLNRO), Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency (CEAA), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	CEAA sends Caribou sub Working Group Meeting Minutes to the participants, cc: the Proponent. LDN and UFN are the Aboriginal participating Groups in this Meeting. CEAA is requesting feedback on the documents provided on the meeting minutes attached in the e-mail.
184.	Meeting	14/02/14	BC Environmental Assessment Office, Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, The Proponent.	The Proponent met with government stakeholders and UFN to discuss the caribou recovery strategy. A strategy overview, current caribou count data, habitat mapping, work concluded to date, and future plans were discussed. Several action items were noted and tasked to specific stakeholders. This included a determination of the information that would be required for Environmental Assessment.
185.	E-mail	14/02/27	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent sponsorship letters for 3 UFN leaders to attend the Minerals North Conference in Vanderhoof.
186.	E-mail	14/03/01	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent a letter to the UFN outlining the Proponent's addition of claims, and the proposed amendments to the Agreement with UFN.
187.	E-mail	14/03/01	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent a letter to UFN regarding the Annual Minerals North Conference being held in Vanderhoof in 2014.
188.	Meeting	14/03/05	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent met with UFN. The Proponent explained that these letters are passed through from the BC EAO to the Proponent. UFN noted the odd metaphor regarding the process of the Environmental Assessment and acknowledged that the Proponent had to address it. The Proponent agreed to alter the dAIR to discuss participation in regional studies being completed by UFN, the Federal Government, and the Province.
189.	Meeting	14/03/06	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	The Proponent met UFN representatives in Anahim Lake to discuss the Effects Assessment and potential effects of the Project on UFN interests. The objectives of the meeting were to: Provide an overview of the issues, concerns, and interests of the UFN that were identified through the consultation process and discuss how these were reflected in the AIR and EA. The Proponent requested UFN for review and seek input from UFN regarding potential Project effects on the exercise of UFN's Aboriginal rights. The Proponent presented information used for Effects Assessment and requested for input from UFN regarding proposed mitigation measures. During the meeting, the Proponent provided an update regarding progress on the dAIR and EA process. Copies of presentations and supporting documents were made available to UFN for further review and analysis. A follow-up meeting was held on 14/03/27 to continue dialogue on these matters.
190.	E-mail	14/03/19	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent added suggested wording from UFN into the dAIR to capture its longer term commitment to support initiatives in the area and better understand caribou population trends, predator-prey relationships, and mitigation measures.
191.	Meeting	14/03/27	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	As a follow-up to the meeting held on 13/03/06, the Proponent met with UFN representatives (Joint Implementation Committee) in Anahim Lake to discuss dAIR update and Effects Assessment requirements. As part of the dAIR update and EA requirements, the following issues were raised: Caribou hunting, mushroom picking, Hunting other than caribou, burial sites, traplines, fishing, seasonal rounds, increased substance abuse, employment/contracting, soil/erosion management, reclamation opportunities, and spill management.
192.	E-mail	14/04/07	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent a letter attached in an e-mail providing an updated Consultation Report that provides a summary of the consultation activities to date carried out between the Proponent and UFN.
193.	E-mail	14/05/15	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided the draft Wildlife Management Plan, including proposed mitigation measures, to UFN and requested feedback (no input received to date)
194.	Conference	14/05/21 to 14/05/23	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Provided support for UFN representatives to attend Minerals North, Vanderhoof (May 21-23, 2014).
195.	Community Meeting	14/05/27	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent made a presentation to the community in Anahim Lake. The presentation included the following topics: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project update; • Status of EA review, permit requirements and timelines; • Potential employment opportunities; • Potential effects on UFN's Aboriginal interests; and • Measures to mitigate impacts on UFN rights and interests.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
196.	Site Tour (Cancelled)	14/06/02	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Invited UFN to participate in a Project site tour scheduled to take place in July 2014 (tour did not occur due to forest fire activity).
197.	E-mail	14/06/17	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), Stellat'en First Nation (StFN), Nazko First Nation (NFN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent letters to Chiefs for Elders to attend the Elders Gathering in July 2014.
198.	E-mail	14/07/08	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Conveyed a copy of a report on the May 27, 2014 community meeting report to UFN for review and comment (no comments received).
199.	E-mail	14/07/14	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent a dropbox link to UFN to get access and review the Application.
200.	E-mail	14/07/18	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a phone call with UFN to discuss the Application, community ratification, and if it was signed off.
201.	E-mail	14/07/20	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN let the Proponent know that Chief will discuss community ratification of TK/TLU.
202.	E-mail	14/07/23	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	UFN requested supporting documentation for confidential map.
203.	n/a	14/08/13	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a meeting with UFN to discuss the Application/EIS, proposed mitigations, and to obtain additional feedback on the potential effects to UFN rights and interests for incorporation into the Application.
204.	n/a	14/09/02	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a meeting with UFN to discuss the Application/EIS, proposed mitigations, and to obtain additional feedback on the potential effects to UFN rights and interests for incorporation into the Application.
205.	n/a	14/09/22	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a meeting with UFN to discuss the Application/EIS, proposed mitigations, and to obtain additional feedback on the potential effects to UFN rights and interests for incorporation into the Application.
206.	Letter	14/09/16	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Conveyed letter to UFN outlining the Proponent's approach to implementing the Aboriginal Groups Consultation Plan, summarizing recent consultation activities, and identifying proposed future consultation activities (i.e. meetings to discuss the Application/EIS review and scheduling a Joint Implementation Committee meeting).
207.	n/a	14/09/22	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a meeting with UFN to discuss the Application/EIS, proposed mitigations, and to obtain additional feedback on the potential effects to UFN rights and interests for incorporation into the Application.
208.	Meeting	14/11/03	Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Joint Implementation Committee meeting (Vancouver) with UFN councilors, representatives, and elders to provide an update on the status of the EA and UFN participation in the EA review. No meeting minutes prepared.

NAZKO FIRST NATION

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
1	E-mail	11/11/02	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	NFN requested a meeting to discuss the Project.
2	Phone Call	12/01/27	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	Discussed the Project, and set a meeting for 12/02/08.
3	Meeting	12/02/08	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided introduced the Project to NFN.
4	E-mail	12/02/20	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent set meeting with NFN for 12/02/29.
5	E-mail	12/02/24	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	NFN requested a letter of support from the Proponent for their meeting with the Premier.
6	E-mail	12/03/01	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a letter of support for NFN for the proposed transmission line to the Nazko Valley. Requested a meeting with Chief and Council the week of 12/03/19.
7	Meeting	12/03/19	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent introduced the Project to NFN.
8	E-mail	12/03/21	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided the presentation from the meeting on 13/03/19.
9	E-mail	12/06/05	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a meeting to provide a project update.
10	E-mail	12/06/12	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent set meeting with NFN for 12/06/14.
11	Phone Call	12/06/13	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	Discussed the meeting scheduled for 12/06/14. NFN councilors are unavailable; the Proponent would like to reschedule to when the councilors are available.
12	Phone Call	12/07/17	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a meeting with NFN Chief and Council.
13	Meeting	12/07/26	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	Discussed levels in the area. Asked for regular water testing details. Discussed current availability and skills of members. NFN is interested in a participation agreement with the Proponent. NFN provided information on their capabilities, as well as projects they are currently working on.
14	E-mail	12/07/27	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided ArcGIS shapefiles for mineral tenures near NFN traditional territory.
15	E-mail	12/07/27	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a map showing the mineral tenures and the NFN community.
16	Phone Call	12/07/30	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	Introduced project and discussed potential project employment opportunities for members.
17	Phone Call	12/07/31	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	Discussion on potential work experience for NFN members.
18	E-mail	12/08/29	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	Per NFN's request at the 12/07/26 meeting, the Proponent provided information on arsenic concentrations downstream of the project site.
19	Letter	12/09/27	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	Request for introductory meeting with Chief and Council. (Note: Chief chose not to engage with the Proponent at this time as a new chief would be elected in December.)
20	E-mail	12/10/10	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	NFN requested a meeting to discuss the transmission line. The Proponent requested that it be discussed at a meeting with leadership to introduce the Project, per the Proponent's recent request.
21	Letter	12/10/10	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a meeting with NFN Chief and Council.
22	E-mail	12/10/22	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent confirmed the 12/11/13 meeting. Requested an agenda from NFN.
23	E-mail	12/10/24	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	NFN provided an agenda for the 12/11/13 meeting.
24	Letter	12/11/06	Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	NFN confirmed receipt of CEAA's 12/11/05 letter and requested ArcGIS shapefiles for the proposed transmission line route to complete their review. cc: the Proponent.
25	Meeting	12/11/13	Bram Rogachevsky Law Corp. (NFN), Nazko Economic Development Corporation, Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent presented information on the Project Description. Discussion was held around the Project Description; mining tenures; potential project effects on fish, wildlife and water; potential for acid rock drainage; and agreements with First Nations, and NFN in particular. Concerns: (a) project effects on fish, wildlife and water; (b) potential for acid rock drainage.
26	Mail	12/11/19	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	Per NFN's request, the Proponent provided two hard copies of the Project Description.
27	Letter	12/11/26	Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	Comments on the Project Description via the CEAA public comment period. cc: the Proponent.
28	Letter	13/04/09	Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	NFN responded to CEAA's letter of 13/02/20. Requested that the work plan be revised to include potential impacts from mining to NFN Aboriginal rights and title. cc: the Proponent.
29	E-mail	13/05/01	Bram Rogachevsky Law Corp. (NFN), the Proponent.	Request by NFN counsel for an update on the Engagement Agreement discussed in March.
30	E-mail	13/06/06	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided information for the Cooperation Agreement.
31	Meeting	13/07/09	Nazko Economic Development Corporation, Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	Meeting with the NFN Chief, Councillor and staff. The Proponent provided an overview of the Project, and responded to questions about the process; worker accommodation and transportation; power needs; camp operation services; employment and training; effects on water, fish and wildlife; effects from dust; baseline studies; a site visit. Also discussed engagement process, The Proponent's hiring policy, NFN career fair, scholarships, the draft EA Participation Agreement, and a traditional use study. Concerns: (a) effects on traditional land use; (b) effects of dust on water resources, fish and vegetation; (c) downstream effects on water resources; (d) effects on wildlife movement and mortality.
33	Letter	13/09/19	BC Environmental Assessment Office, Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	NFN letter to the BC EAO requesting amendment to the Section 11 Order to include NFN in Schedule B. cc: the Proponent.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
34	Letter	13/12/12	BC Environmental Assessment Office, Nazko First Nation (NFN), Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency (CEAA), the Proponent	In December 2013, the BC EAO provided additional direction to the Proponent regarding engaging with NFN during the EA process. This included providing the NFN with information on the Proponent's assessment of the potential effects related to the use of the Kluskus and Ootsa FSRs, including potential accidents and malfunctions; and making reasonable effort to respond to questions and comments that NFN has during the Application review as early as possible, in order to support the NFN in submitting comments to the BC EAO with respect to potential effects on NFN Aboriginal interests. The Proponent will make efforts to meaningfully inform the NFN about the results of studies undertaken and respond to any questions and comments raised by the NFN during the Application review phase.
35	Meeting	14/01/10	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	Discussion on BC EAO ruling, intent to dispute ruling, request for agreement with the Proponent, and request for follow up meeting including the Proponent. (scheduled for 14/01/21 in Prince George)
36	Meeting	14/01/14	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a confirmation for meeting on 14/01/21.
37	Meeting	14/01/17	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	NFN confirmed 14/01/21 as the date for the meeting.
38	Phone Call	14/01/20	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent called and left a message requesting comments on meeting minutes from the meeting on 14/01/10.
39	E-mail	14/01/27	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent an e-mail requesting possible meeting dates for Vancouver.
40	E-mail	14/02/21	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	NFN sent a letter, attached in an e-mail, to the Proponent regarding their exchange of correspondence with BC EAO and the Proponent, and raised concern regarding how to move forward on matters that were previously discussed.
41	Phone Call	14/02/24	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent called to discuss recent letter sent to NFN. NFN's point of contact is away in workshop.
42	E-mail	14/02/26	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent a response letter attached in an e-mail to NFN regarding a letter that was sent by NFN on 14/02/19 raising concerns. The Proponent expressed interest in meeting with NFN.
43	E-mail	14/03/12	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent e-mail to NFN offering to discuss letter of 14/02/21.
44	Phone Call	14/04/29	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent followed up with NFN to confirm meeting on 14/05/07 and to confirm the content of the agenda (left a voicemail).
45	E-mail	14/04/30	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	NFN sent an e-mail to confirm on 10:30 time slot for meeting and confirmed the agenda. The Proponent proposed to do a presentation. NFN said they want to discuss the agreement, funding for capacity, and opportunities for jobs/contracts. The Proponent will send last term sheet to NFN.
46	E-mail	14/06/02	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent called to discuss plans for the Project's location, site visit, and follow up on action items from the previous meeting with NFN. The Proponent left a message for NFN to return the call.
47	E-mail	14/06/17	Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), Stelat'en First Nation (StFN), Nazko First Nation (NFN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent letters to Chiefs for Elders to attend the Elders Gathering in July 2014.
48	Phone Call	14/06/24	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent called to discuss action items out of the last meeting. NFN is currently reviewing documents being sent by the Proponent previously.
49	E-mail	14/06/24	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	NFN sent expected costs for site tour including honorariums. NFN also sent a letter requesting funds to attend Elders gathering 2014.
50	Phone Call	14/07/09	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent called to discuss tour and budget with NFN.
51	Phone Call/E-mail	14/07/15	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent called to discuss tour and recent letter sent by NFN. The Proponent also e-mailed a request to discuss the letter.
52	Phone Call/E-mail	14/07/21	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	NFN provided availability to the Proponent for a phone call. The Proponent called back discussed budget for tour. The Proponent explained that it does not cover honorariums but it is still willing to provide capacity funding. NFN suggested that the Proponent fly from Quesnel to Nazko to pick up elders and fly over. No need to land at site.
53	E-mail	14/07/21	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent confirmed tour for 14/07/31 out of Quesnel to Nazko – tour of the area of interest to NFN including the return.
54	E-mail	14/07/30	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent confirmed the tour agenda and NFN inquired regarding the landing site.
55	Site Visit	14/07/31	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	Site tour was conducted with representatives of NFN. Six Nazko members participated in Heli tour of their territory and its relation to the site. Flew over site but did not land.
56	E-mail	14/08/05	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested for names of the participants of Heli tour on 14/07/31.
57	E-mail	14/08/07	Nazko First Nation (NFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent followed up on action items discussed on site tour on 14/07/31 and sent a draft copy of tour report.

SKIN TYEE NATION

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
1	Letter	11/06/24	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	Formal introduction of the Proponent. Catana Consulting will contact the STN to set up a meeting to provide a project introduction.
2	E-mail	11/07/05	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), Catana Consulting, the Proponent.	Catana requested a meeting for the Proponent to discuss the Project. CC: the Proponent.
3	Letter	12/04/05	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided information on the Notice of Work (NOW) amendment.
4	E-mail	12/06/25	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	Meeting request from STN Chief. Discussed contracts/jobs/training.
5	E-mail	12/06/27	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	STN requested a meeting.
6	Meeting	12/07/03	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	Initial meeting with the STN. Provided history and background in the project area. Requested a listing of job and contracting opportunities, as well as a map of the project site overlaid on their traditional territory.
7	E-mail	12/07/09	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	STN map overlay shows the Project is within STN's traditional territory.
8	Phone Call	12/07/10	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested the 12/07/17 community meeting be rescheduled.
9	Phone Call	12/07/11	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	STN agreed to reschedule the 12/07/17 community meeting.
10	E-mail	12/07/16	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested an update on the NOW amendment letter.
11	Phone Call	12/07/17	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	Discussed the NOW amendment letter and community meeting/Mining 101 training.
12	E-mail	12/07/23	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a short meeting to introduce a new environmental team member.
13	Meeting	12/07/25	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	Community Meeting: Potentially looking at 12/08/30-31; need to confirm C&C availability. Cooperation Agreement: STN is interested in pursuing an agreement. Chief and Council to send a formal request to the Proponent. Jobs: STN to forward resume of those available to work on the Project. NOW Amendment: STN provided a signed copy of their support letter.
14	Meeting/Phone Call	12/08/08	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	Meeting with STN and BC MFLNRO set for 12/10/24. STN wishes to meet at the band office.
15	E-mail	12/09/27	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	Request for introductory meeting with Chief and Council.
16	Meeting/Presentation	12/10/25	BC Ministry of Forests, Lands & Natural Resource Operations (BC FLNRO), Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent presented information on the Project. Discussion included the 12/10/26 meeting with the Proponent's CEO and the First Nation's traditional territory. STN requested a donation towards their Christmas party.
18	Meeting	12/10/29	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	Meeting with the Band Manager to discuss basic information on STN and their traditional territory. STN is interested in an agreement with the Proponent, and would like to pursue strength of claim study. The Proponent suggested meeting on 12/11/16; STN to confirm. STN verbally requested financial assistance for their Christmas party; The Proponent asked that the request be put in writing.
19	Meeting	12/11/16	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided information about the project, work completed to date, and TK/TLU study. Discussed consultation with STN to date. Also discussed the term sheet for a formal agreement.
20	E-mail	12/11/16	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided the presentation from the 12/11/16 meeting.
21	E-mail	12/11/19	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided notes for the 12/11/16 meeting for STN review.
22	E-mail	12/11/20	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	STN has no changes to the 12/11/16 meeting notes. Asked if the 12/11/23 meeting should be postponed to provide more time to review documents.
23	E-mail	12/11/27	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided information for their agreement. Next meeting postponed to 12/12/07.
24	Meeting	12/12/14	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a summary of the proposed 2013 exploration program.
25	Letter	12/12/18	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a copy of the draft MYAB Geotechnical Amendment #2 for review.
26	Letter	12/12/19	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent offered STN a capacity funding payment to assist with ongoing project-related dialogue. STN to confirm acceptance.
27	E-mail	12/12/19	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a copy of the draft Incinerator Permit Application. The Proponent welcomes feedback on the application.
28	E-mail	13/01/07	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided the meeting notes and presentation from 12/12/14.
29	E-mail	13/01/14	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a copy of the Blackwater Southeast NOW Application.
30	E-mail	13/01/15	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	STN requested a map of the tenure areas and areas of interest as well as a tenures list as part of their term sheet review.
31	Letter	13/01/16	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a copy of the 2013 Blackwater Exploration Program.
32	E-mail	13/01/16	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided the requested tenure information.
33	Registered Letter	13/01/21	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	Registered letter: the Proponent provided a copy of the Incinerator Permit Application.
34	Meeting/Interview	13/01/22	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	Collected socio-economic data relating to demographics, infrastructure, housing, culture, recreation, education, services, employment, and transportation. Concerns: lack of transportation for STN members.
35	E-mail	13/01/23	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided notes from the 13/01/18 meeting.
36	E-mail	13/02/18	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided the EA Process Agreement.
37	E-mail	13/03/04	Skin Tyee Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a template for the NOW amendment letter of support.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
38	Letter	13/03/04	BC Ministry of Forests, Lands & Natural Resource Operations (BC FLNRO), Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent	STN acknowledged their support for approval for the Proponent 2013 exploration program and the incinerator permit. CC: the Proponent.
39	E-mail	13/03/07	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	STN provided a signed copy of the letter of support for the 2013 exploration program and incinerator permit.
40	E-mail	13/04/25	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided a copy of the draft EA Process Agreement sent to STN on 13/02/21.
41	E-mail	13/05/07	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	Requested a map of the project site overlaid on their traditional territory.
42	E-mail	13/06/12	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent offered to arrange a BCAMTA presentation to STN.
44	Phone Call	13/10/07	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	STN called the Proponent to provide background information on skills and requested contact information to apply for employment. The Proponent returned STN call to supply requested information.
45	Phone Call	13/12/02	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	STN and the Proponent discussed about having community meeting in December. STN will confirm the date with the Proponent. STN also updated the Proponent on the work they are doing with the province. STN is working with them on a territory map.
46	Letter/E-mail	13/12/09	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent STN Agreement letter with reviews on deliverables for Cooperation Agreement to ensure deadlines are met and requested a revision and approval by Chief and Council.
47	Phone Call	13/12/12	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	Phone call between STN and the Proponent with progress updates.
48	Phone Call	14/01/17	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	Discussion to get direction on changes to tenures of the Project's properties. The Proponent informed STN that they should expect a letter requesting a meeting to discuss effects on agreement.
49	E-mail/Letter	14/01/20	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent the tenure amendment meeting request letter.
50	Phone Call	14/02/17	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent followed up on amendment letter sent about a month ago regarding the new tenures and left a message. STN returned call and suggested meeting on 14/02/21 at noon in Vancouver. The Proponent agreed to meet.
51	E-mail	14/02/18	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	STN sent confirmation of meeting with the Proponent on 14/02/21 at 12:00 pm. The request did not work for the Proponent to meet.
52	E-mail	14/03/27	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent the support letter for community development to STN regarding Fencing funding proposal.
53	E-mail	14/03/27	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent notice regarding the First Nations and Community members who can apply for free registration at the 38 th Annual BC Mine Reclamation Symposium in Prince George, BC on 14/09/22 to 14/09/25.
54	Mass Mailout	14/03/27	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent announced that Dr. Janis Shandro has released her report on the Regional Health Forum, hosted by the Proponent on 13/12/03 in SFN. The Proponent also encouraged people to share the report, "Turning Ideas Into Action" with their colleagues.
55	E-mail	14/04/01	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent STN the Timber Cutting Permits.
56	Phone Call	14/04/03	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	Discussed amendment to agreement. The Proponent left a message and requested STN to call back.
57	Phone Call	14/04/04	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	Discussed amendment to agreement. The Proponent left a message and requested STN to call back.
58	Meeting	14/04/09	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	Meeting to discuss: a) Agreement amendment; STN is okay with offer and is ok to send letter (letter sent via email); b) Discussion of permit review – STN requested a draft support letter that they can sign; c) Review of TK work – have an updated report that will be coming along with 1 st invoice. Invoice received from STN.
59	E-mail	14/04/21	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent an e-mail to follow up with the request for TK/TLU information from STN.
60	E-mail	14/04/22	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	STN responded to request for TK information, informing the Proponent that they are currently working on it and will get it to the Proponent soon.
61	Phone Call	14/04/30	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	STN confirmed that they will attend the Proponent's reception at Minerals North. Discussed the recent invoice. STN requested about adjustments to the invoice regarding the TK/TLU portion. Plan to have TK information in two weeks.
62	Text Message	14/05/01	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	STN requested that the letter of support should be sent to the ministry.
63	E-mail	14/06/02	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent out the hiring policy regarding the connection to BC AMATA for preparing work force and essential skills for the Project's employment.
64	E-mail	14/06/02	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent an e-mail to request for update on where things are at on TK/TLU information from STN.
65	Phone Call	14/06/04	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent called and STN was unavailable.
66	Phone Call	14/06/16	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent called and STN was unavailable.
67	Text Message	14/06/17	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent a text message to STN and requested for a call back.
68	E-mail	14/06/18	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested for signed letter approving amendments to the agreement. The Proponent also requested permission to speak directly to Rob Diaz regarding TK study results. The Proponent also informed STN of the hiring of one of their band member.
69	Phone Call	14/07/14	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent left a message requesting STN for a call back to review permit package.
70	E-mail	14/07/14	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent the permit package with support letter.
71	E-mail	14/07/14	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested for an update on the status of the TK study. STN indicated that they are waiting for some STN data and stated that the report should be done soon.
72	Phone Call	14/07/18	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	The Proponent left a message to check the status of the letter of support for permit.
73	Phone Call	14/07/22	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	STN required support letter to be sent to STN and they will sign it. The Proponent sent the package to STN.
74	Phone Call	14/07/28	Skin Tye Nation (STN), the Proponent.	STN confirmed that the letter of support was signed.

TSILHQOT'IN NATIONAL GOVERNMENT

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
1	Letter	11/06/24	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	Formal introduction of the Proponent; the Proponent will set up a meeting to introduce the Project.
2	Phone Call	12/06/03	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	Left message requesting a call to discuss the Project.
3	Phone Call	12/06/09	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	Project introduction. The Proponent to stop by the office on 12/06/29.
4	Meeting	12/06/14	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	The Proponent introduced the Project to TNG. TNG assigned a person to deal with all project-related communication between the Proponent and TNG.
5	Drop-in Visit / Casual Meeting	12/06/29	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	Stopped by the TNG office as discussed; no one available from the Stewardship Department.
6	Phone Call	12/10/18	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	Discussion on submitting project information for TNG to review.
7	Phone Call	12/10/29	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	TNG will provide the Proponent with a fee schedule and summary of their consultation procedures.
8	E-mail	12/11/01	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	TNG suggested a meeting between the Proponent and the TNG Chiefs, Council and representatives to discuss the Project. Also requested project shapefiles.
9	E-mail	12/11/08	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided the requested shapefiles and project information.
10	E-mail	12/11/16	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	TNG requested a meeting to discuss the Project.
11	E-mail	12/11/20	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	TNG provided information on their Fee-for-Service Agreement and rates.
12	Phone Call	12/11/20	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	Fee-for-Service Agreement discussion.
13	Letter	12/11/26	Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency (CEAA), Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	TNG unable to meet the public comment deadline but will submit comments shortly. CC: the Proponent.
14	E-mail	12/12/05	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	Request from TNG to discuss employment opportunities.
15	E-mail	12/12/07	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	TNG provided potential dates to meet.
16	E-mail	13/01/10	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	TNG requested a meeting re: employment opportunities. The Proponent will set one up for February.
17	E-mail	13/02/05	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	Meeting with the Chief and Mining Referral Worker set for 13/02/20.
18	E-mail	13/02/13	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested an agenda for the meeting.
19	E-mail	13/02/15	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	TNG requested their meeting be changed from 13/02/20 to 13/02/22. Would like to discuss the Project and employment and training opportunities.
20	E-mail	13/02/18	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	Meeting confirmed for 13/02/22.
21	Meeting	13/02/22	?Esdilagh First Nation, Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	TNG confirmed approval from UFN and LDN for TNG to meet with the Proponent. The Proponent provided an overview of the project, timelines and the EA process. Discussed potential employment and contract opportunities in the future, as well as the need for training programs. Also discussed the transmission line and consultation with trappers. Discussed TNG's concerns regarding caribou and potential effects of increased activity near caribou herds. Concerns: (a) employment for First Nations members; (b) need for training programs; (c) potential effects on caribou.
22	E-mail	13/02/25	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided the presentation from the 13/02/22 meeting. Follow-up meeting will be held in mid-April.
23	E-mail	13/06/17	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested an update meeting on 13/06/19.
24	Phone Call	13/11/06	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	Phone call from TNG to the Proponent requesting a briefing on project status.
25	E-mail	13/11/06	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent briefing memo to TNG and thanked them for taking the Proponent's phone call. The Proponent sent the project overview PowerPoint as requested previously by TNG.
26	Phone Call	14/01/17	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	The Proponent returned call to respond to request for follow up meeting in possibly Williams Lake or Alexis Creek. Tentative date for meeting is 14/02/20.
27	Facebook Message	14/02/20	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	TNG inquired about meeting at Alexis Creek. The Proponent informed TNG about the date, time, and offered to do a presentation.
28	E-mail	14/02/21	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	The Proponent confirmed that map request was sent today from AMEC in Vancouver.
29	E-mail	14/02/25	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	TNG confirmed that the map was received.
30	E-mail	14/02/28	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	TNG sent an e-mail to confirm that the upcoming meeting meets consultation standards of TNG. The Proponent sent the information defining Section 11 Order. TNG responded that they need time to go over material and will respond next week.
31	Phone Call/E-mail	14/03/04	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested date change for 14/03/19 meeting.
32	E-mail	14/03/05	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	TNG sent an e-mail stating that they will attempt to find another date for the TNG meeting in Alexis Creek. The Proponent responded back with potential dates.
33	E-mail	14/03/06	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	TNG requested new meeting date to be 14/03/26.
34	E-mail	14/03/07	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	The Proponent confirmed 14/03/26 meeting date. TNG will be putting out a bulletin notice for the community today.
35	E-mail	14/03/25	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent confirmation of the meeting for 14/03/26.
36	Meeting	14/03/26	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	Presented a project update to TNG at Anaheim Lake.
37	E-mail	14/03/28	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent PowerPoint presentation and requested mining policy.
38	E-mail	14/03/30	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	TNG replied stating as soon as it is public, they will send the mining policy.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
39	E-mail	14/04/22	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	TNG requested information on training.
40	E-mail	14/04/29	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	The Proponent followed up on the 14/04/22 e-mail sent from TNG requesting information about training opportunities with the Proponent.
41	E-mail	14/06/26	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	TNG requested for information regarding jobs listed in recent newsletter. The Proponent called to discuss and TNG was unsure of what the issue was. TNG to follow up internally and let the Proponent know.
42	Phone Call	14/07/07	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	TNG called inquiring when the Project site tour will be. The Proponent followed up with a return phone call to let TNG know that the Proponent is looking at end of July. The Proponent requested some dates available from TNG.
43	E-mail	14/07/10	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	TNG requested a date for the Project site tour.
44	Phone Call	14/07/17	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	The Proponent called TNG to confirm if any date between 14/07/28 to 14/08/01 will work in TNG's schedule for a tour. TNG requested Heli tour from Anaheim Lake.
45	E-mail	14/07/23	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent confirmation of Heli tour on 14/08/01 out of Alexis Creek to the Project site and return.
46	E-mail	14/07/23	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	TNG requested the addition of one more person to attend the tour on 14/08/01. The Proponent explained that this will require a larger helicopter and a different company and stated that it will follow up tomorrow.
47	E-mail	14/07/24	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	TNG followed up with their request of addition of one more person and stated they will check with Chief Alphonse but suggest not changing the current plan unless the Proponent hear from TNG.
48	E-mail	14/07/28	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	The Proponent confirmed the Heli tour agenda before 14/07/31.
49	Site Visit	14/08/01	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	Site tour via helicopter was conducted with representatives of TNG. The helicopter landed in camp for lunch. TNG's largest interest was employment and contracting opportunities.
50	E-mail	14/08/05	Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	TNG e-mailed and expressed feelings on Mt. Polley Tailings breach.

MÉTIS NATION OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
1	E-mail	12/10/25	Métis Nation of British Columbia (MNBC), the Proponent.	MNBC requested a meeting with the Proponent to discuss the Project.
2	Meeting	12/10/31	Métis Nation of British Columbia (MNBC), the Proponent.	Introduced Project to MNBC. Discussion included First Nations and Métis consultation for the Project; Métis land users, funds to be engaged in the process.
3	Letter	12/11/23	Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency (CEAA), Métis Nation of British Columbia (MNBC), the Proponent.	Comments on the Project Description via the CEAA public comment period. cc: the Proponent.
4	E-mail	13/01/07	Métis Nation of British Columbia (MNBC), the Proponent.	Call to discuss the Project set for 13/01/07.
5	Letter	13/01/20	Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, Métis Nation of British Columbia (MNBC), the Proponent.	Provided comments on the EIS guidelines. cc: the Proponent.
6	E-mail	13/01/28	Métis Nation of British Columbia (MNBC), the Proponent.	Meeting with MNBC set for 13/01/30.
7	Meeting	13/01/30	Métis Nation of British Columbia (MNBC), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided an update on the Project, and MNBC on their projects. Discussed how MNBC consults with industry, and what they would like to see for the Project. The Proponent and MNBC also discussed a PowerPoint presentation by MNBC - Ministry of Natural Resources on MNBC Consultation: MNBC demographics, discussion on Métis history, discussion on court cases in relation to Métis and non-status Indian people.
9	Phone Call	13/02/15	Métis Nation of British Columbia (MNBC), the Proponent.	MNBC noted that information on their consultation processes is available on their website.
10	Letter	13/02/20	Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, Métis Nation of British Columbia (MNBC), the Proponent.	CEAA provided information on the project EIS guidelines. cc: the Proponent.
11	E-mail	13/10/04	Métis Nation of British Columbia (MNBC), the Proponent.	E-mail from the Proponent to MNBC to set up a meeting.
12	Drop-in Visit / Casual Meeting	13/10/04	Métis Nation of British Columbia (MNBC), the Proponent.	MNBC representative dropped in the office and discussed where things are at in the EA: The review MNBC is doing on the posted baseline studies, and the other projects MNBC is currently reviewing. Discussed briefly the MNBC members located in the Vanderhoof and Fort St James area. Discussed the funding that was provided for Louis Riel Days. Received an invitation to participate in the Vancouver event with the executive. MNBC requested a sponsorship package on behalf of the Prince George group. One was provided.
13	E-mail	13/10/04	Métis Nation of British Columbia (MNBC), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent an e-mail to arrange a meeting with MNBC.
14	E-mail	14/01/28	Métis Nation of British Columbia (MNBC), the Proponent.	E-mails from MNBC to the Proponent regarding Aboriginal procurement and changes to the schedule for the Project.
15	E-mail	14/01/28	Métis Nation of British Columbia (MNBC), the Proponent.	MNBC requested information from the Proponent regarding Aboriginal Procurement and training. The Proponent provided information about the changes in the Project's construction schedule.

OTHER ABORIGINAL GROUPS

In addition to engaging with the Aboriginal groups identified in **Table 17.1.3-1** the Proponent has shared information with the Burns Lake Indian Band, the Cheslatta Carrier Nation, the ?Esdilagh, Tsi Del Del, Xeni Gwet'in, Wet'suwet'en First Nation, and Toosey First Nations, and the Yunesit'in Government. The Proponent has also engaged in discussions with the Carrier Chilcotin Tribal Council (CCTC) and the Carrier Sekani Tribal Council (CSTC). The CSTC provides political and technical support to eight First Nations belonging to the CSTC Association, including the Nadleh Whut'en, Saik'uz, and Stelat'en First Nations. The CCTC provides support services to four communities, including the LDN and UFN.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
1	Letter	11/06/24	Toosey First Nation, the Proponent.	Formal introduction of the Proponent; will set up a meeting to introduce the Project.
2	E-mail	12/02/08	Carrier Chilcotin Tribal Council (CCTC), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided information on traplines to be included on the Proponent map.
3	Meeting	12/03/15	Burns Lake Indian Band (BLIB), the Proponent.	The Proponent introduced the Project.
4	Drop-in Visit/ Casual Meeting	12/06/07	Xeni Gwet'in First Nation (XGFN), the Proponent.	XGFN would like to see the Proponent become a leader in responsible mining through consultation and working with First Nations communities and members. Suggested the Proponent's First Nations Coordinator should connect with their members on a more personal level – be seen as more than just a company representative. The Proponent will follow up on suggestions raised, and will set up a meeting to discuss the Project more formally. Concerns: Consultation and involvement of First Nations in the Project.
5	Report	12/07/01	Carrier Chilcotin Tribal Council (CCTC), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN provided a report on their strength of claim in the project area.
6	Drop-in Visit/ Casual Meeting	12/07/26	Carrier Chilcotin Tribal Council (CCTC), the Proponent.	CCTC has been working with LDN on their strength of claim and has sent their report to the Proponent.
7	Drop-in Visit/ Casual Meeting	12/07/30	Carrier Chilcotin Tribal Council (CCTC), the Proponent.	Discussed the strength of claim report.
8	Phone Call	12/10/01	Cheslatta Carrier Nation, the Proponent.	Informal project introduction.
9	E-mail	12/10/04	Cheslatta Carrier Nation, the Proponent.	CCN requested a meeting to discuss the Project.
10	Meeting	12/10/18	Carrier Sekani Tribal Council (CSTC), the Proponent.	Discussed consultation with the CSTC. CSTC requests only an executive summary as it is the individual bands that will make decisions about the Project. To date, none of the bands have asked for their input. Also discussed the levels of consultation of the government and proponent, informed consent and the meaning of "partnerships".
11	Meeting	12/11/01	BW Individual (Aboriginal), First Nations Consultant, Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Skeetchestn Indian Band (SIB), Tk'emlúps te Secwépemc (TIB), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Meeting with representatives of UFN, LDN, TIB and SIB. The Proponent provided information on the Project. Discussed negotiation of a Protocol Agreement, hopefully to lead to a Participation Agreement with the Proponent. All agreed that the Proponent needs to hire a First Nations Coordinator.
12	Drop-in Visit/ Casual Meeting	13/01/09	Cheslatta Carrier Nation, the Proponent.	The Proponent provided an overview of the Project to the Chief during a discussion at the Natural Resources Forum. CCN does not have any issues with the Project. Asked to be contacted for construction hiring.
13	Meeting	13/02/22	?Esdilagh First Nation, Tsilhqot'in National Government (TNG), the Proponent.	TNG confirmed approval from UFN and LDN for TNG to meet with the Proponent. The Proponent provided an overview of the project, timelines and the EA process. Discussed potential employment and contract opportunities in the future, as well as the need for training programs. Also discussed the transmission line and consultation with trappers. Discussed TNG's concerns regarding caribou and potential effects of increased activity near caribou herds. Concerns: (a) employment for First Nations members; (b) need for training programs; (c) potential effects on caribou.
14	E-mail	13/02/24	?Esdilagh First Nation, the Proponent.	EFN requested a copy of the presentation from the 13/02/22.
15	E-mail	13/02/25	?Esdilagh First Nation, the Proponent.	The Proponent provided the requested presentation.
16	Drop-in Visit/ Casual Meeting	13/03/05	Burns Lake Indian Band (BLIB), the Proponent.	BLIB requested a letter of support from the Proponent for their plans to build and operate a Modular Structure Manufacturing Facility.
17	Meeting	13/04/18	Carrier Sekani Tribal Council (CSTC), Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided an overview and update on the Project, with specific emphasis on aspects relating to NWFN traditional territory (e.g., the transmission line). Discussed capacity funding for First Nation participation in the permitting process, environmental review and baseline work. NWFN expressed concern regarding mine water flowing into their territory. NWFN requested a Heli tour of the transmission line and mine area. Discussed an agreement for participating in the EA process.
18	E-mail	13/04/30	Takla Lake First Nation, the Proponent.	Forestry Coordinator requested a call from the Proponent to discuss the Project.
19	Phone Call	13/05/06	Takla Lake First Nation, the Proponent.	The Proponent called the Forestry Coordinator on 13/04/30, 13/05/01 and 13/05/06 in follow-up to her 13/05/08. Left a message on 13/05/06, requesting a call back to discuss the Project.
20	E-mail	13/05/08	Takla Lake First Nation, the Proponent.	The Proponent sent an e-mail regarding Takla Lake First Nation's request for a call (see previous ROCs).
21	Meeting	13/05/27	Nak'azdli Indian Band (NIB), Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), TR0712T009 (SFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	Socio-economic interview of SFN elder and trapline holder about traditional practices (NIB elder accompanied the SFN elder at the meeting). They provided information on traditional harvesting and hunting. Also discussed effects of newer technology, industry, and effects from the Project on their land use. Concerns: (a) reduction in ability to hunt and gather traditional foods; (b) wildlife (particularly moose) and vegetation are no longer readily available, trapping is not economical.
22	Phone Call	13/06/20	Carrier Sekani Tribal Council (CSTC), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a meeting to discuss the Project; CSTC will contact the Proponent when they are available to discuss.
23	E-mail	13/11/20	Wet'suwet'en First Nation, the Proponent.	The Proponent provided an invitation and updated information on the "Turning Ideas into Action" Regional Health Forum to the Wet'suwet'en First Nation. Wet'suwet'en First Nation requested travel reimbursement to attend this forum. The Proponent was unable to offer an allowance.
24	E-mail	13/11/21	Burns Lake Indian Band (BLIB), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent an invitation and background information for the "Turning Ideas into Action" Regional Health Forum to the BLIB.
25	E-mail	13/11/22	Wet'suwet'en First Nation, the Proponent.	Wet'suwet'en First Nation requested the Proponent for travel reimbursement. The Proponent stated that they are unable to Assist with their request.
26	Meeting	14/01/15	Carrier Sekani Tribal Council (CSTC), the Proponent.	CSTC introduced the Proponent to their new General Manger. Also spoke with CSTC about processes for formal mandates.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
27	Phone Call/E-mail	14/01/27	Carrier Sekani Tribal Council (CSTC), the Proponent.	The Proponent left a message regarding a follow up to the conversation on written mandate and communication with NWFN.
28	Meeting	14/01/31	Nak'azdli Indian Band (INB), the Proponent.	NIB and the Proponent reviewed current and future programs that NIB is involved in, under their employment and training program.
29	Phone Call	14/02/17	Carrier Sekani Tribal Council (CSTC), the Proponent.	The Proponent left a message to follow up regarding past general correspondence.
30	Phone Call	14/02/20	Carrier Sekani Tribal Council (CSTC), the Proponent.	The Proponent followed up on NWFN mandate. CSTC stated that they will e-mail NWFN representative to see if there are any new developments.
31	Phone Call	14/03/12	Carrier Sekani Tribal Council (CSTC), Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent followed up with NWFN representative regarding the phone conversation with CSTC.

OTHER ABORIGINAL TRAPPERS

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
1	Site Visit	12/09/13	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Tour of site and lunch provided for LDN Elder and trapline tenure holder.
2	Phone Call	12/10/16	Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	Discussed trapline tenure holder's trapline, and TK/TLU.
3	E-mail	12/10/30	Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	Request for meeting with trapline tenure holder to discuss the Project.
4	E-mail	12/10/30	Trapline TR0512T027 (LDN), the Proponent.	Meeting with trapline tenure holder set for 12/11/13.
5	Drop-in Visit/Casual Meeting	12/10/30	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Meeting with trapper set for 12/11/14.
8	Meeting	12/11/01	BW Individual (Aboriginal), First Nations Consultant, Ganhada Management (LDN), Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Skeetchestn Indian Band (SIB), Tk'emlúps te Secwépemc (TIB), Ulkatcho First Nation (UFN), the Proponent.	Meeting with representatives of UFN, LDN, TIB and SIB. The Proponent provided information on the Project. Discussed negotiation of a Protocol Agreement, hopefully to lead to a Participation Agreement with the Proponent. All agreed that the Proponent needs to hire a First Nations Coordinator.
9	E-mail	12/11/03	Trapline TR0512T027 (LDN), the Proponent.	Meeting set for 12/11/13.
10	E-mail	12/11/13	Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	Trapline tenure holder is not available to discuss the Project for an extended time.
11	Meeting	12/11/13	Trapline TR0512T027 (LDN), the Proponent.	Trappers did not show up for scheduled meeting.
12	E-mail	12/11/13	Trapline TR0712T039 (StFN), the Proponent.	Meeting set for 12/11/14.
13	Meeting	12/11/14	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Introduced the Project to the trappers, and discussed how the potential transmission line route might affect their trapping territory. Trappers are opposed to development in the area. Also discussed use of and access to the trapline, consultation about the Project, employment, baseline studies, and concerns about effects on the watershed and wildlife. Concerns: (a) effects of the mine site and tailings on the watershed and wildlife; (b) project access to the trapline.
14	Phone Call	12/11/14	Trapline TR0712T039 (StFN), the Proponent.	Discussed the trapper's tenure; no longer traps in the area. Trapper provided suggestions for alternate transmission line routes.
15	Letter	12/11/21	Trapline TR0512T027 (LDN), the Proponent.	Project introduction and request for meeting by the Proponent. No response received to letter.
16	Letter	12/11/21	Trapline TR0512T027 (LDN), the Proponent.	Project introduction and request for meeting by the Proponent.
17	Registered Letter	13/01/03	Trapline TR0512T027 (LDN), the Proponent.	Registered letter sent to trapline tenure holder on 12/11/21 returned (undeliverable).
18	E-mail	13/01/07	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Trapline tenure holder requested a meeting to discuss his trapline.
19	Meeting	13/01/07	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Trapper stopped by to discuss her family's trapline. Will discuss further with her family and get back to the Proponent.
20	E-mail	13/01/16	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Trapline tenure holder expressed concerns regarding consultation and the proposed transmission line.
21	Phone Call	13/01/16	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Discussed the trapline tenure holder's concerns regarding the proposed transmission line.
22	Meeting	13/01/22	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	Collected socio-economic data relating to traditional land use and potential effects of the transmission line. Concerns: (a) hunting pressure on wildlife; (b) increased access because of the transmission line.
23	Meeting	13/01/23	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	Trapline tenure Holder provided traditional land use information. Discussed past and current use of the land by her family, and potential effects of the transmission line on their keyoh. Concerns: (a) potential effects on traditional hunting, fishing and gathering; (b) effects of increased access by non-traditional land users to the area in the family's keyoh and the trapline tenure; (c) need for a TK study of the area.
24	Drop-in Visit/Casual Meeting	13/01/25	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Trapline tenure holder is concerned about the effects on his trapline and the transmission line route. He is planning to contact BC Hydro to discuss his concerns about the transmission line route.
25	E-mail	13/02/28	Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent requested a meeting to discuss the Project. Trapline tenure holder is currently unavailable and will contact the Proponent when he can meet.
26	Drop-in Visit/Casual Meeting	13/03/18	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Trapline tenure holder stopped by the office for an update on the Project. Expressed concern with the transmission line crossing his tenure. Also noted concerns regarding who in his family should be consulted regarding the trapping tenure. The Proponent still plans to hire him for baseline work this summer. Concerns: (a) effects of transmission line on trapper's tenure; (b) who the Proponent consults regarding his tenure.
27	Phone Call	13/04/11	Trapline TR0712T039 (StFN), the Proponent.	Meeting with the trapline owner's father to discuss the revised proposed transmission line route set for 13/04/16.
28	E-mail	13/04/11	Trapline TR0512T027 (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent provided information on the proposed 2013 exploration program, as well as a revised proposed transmission line route map.
29	Phone Call	13/04/19	Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	Trapline tenure holder expressed concern about effects of the Auro and the Project's exploration programs on his tenure.
30	Phone Call	13/04/22	Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	Further to his 13/04/19 phone call, the trapline tenure holder requested a meeting to discuss the Project and his trapline.
31	E-mail	13/04/29	Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	Further to the 13/04/22 phone call, the Proponent again requested a meeting with the trapline tenure holder to discuss the Project.
32	Phone Call	13/05/01	Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	Discussed the trapline tenure holder's activities, the 2013 exploration program, and tenure holder's concerns regarding the exploration program and the Project.
33	Drop-in Visit/Casual Meeting	13/05/16	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Stopped by the office to discuss the Project, plans for their family keyoh and baseline studies.
34	E-mail	13/05/21	Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	Trapline tenure holder is not willing to allow the Proponent to do exploratory drilling on his tenure without first walking the trapline with the Proponent. The Proponent will follow up with him.
35	E-mail	13/05/21	Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	The Proponent addressed trapline tenure holder's concerns regarding ground disturbance in his tenure.
36	Phone Call	13/05/23	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Trapline tenure holder expressed concern that there is a lot of the Proponent traffic in the area of his trapline, and that exploration activities are already impacting his keyoh and trapline. Also concerned that the Proponent is consulting with his family but not him.

ID #	Event Type	Date (YY/MM/DD)	Participating Organizations	Summary (Please note date format as YY/MM/DD)
37	Meeting	13/05/27	Saik'uz First Nation (SFN), TR0712T009 (SFN), Nak'azdli Indian Band (NIB), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	Socio-economic interview of SFN elder and trapline holder about traditional practices (NIB elder accompanied the SFN elder at the meeting). They provided information on traditional harvesting and hunting. Also discussed effects of newer technology, industry, and effects from the Project on their land use.
38	Site Visit	13/06/18	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	LDN members and a trapline tenure holder participated in a Heli tour of the project site. Discussion topics included wildlife (moose, grizzly and marten), some traditional knowledge of the area, and the tailings facility and area. Concerns: (a) effect of cutting large trees on marten habitat; (b) First Nation involvement in walking trails and lines before construction; (c) tailings and facility effects on Davidson Creek and its tributaries; (d) acid rock drainage.
39	Meeting	13/07/11	Lhoosk'uz Dene Nation (LDN), Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, the Proponent.	LDN Elders provided socio-economic data relating to traditional land use in the Kluskus area. Concerns: (a) flow of information from Chief and Council regarding this and other projects; (b) potential for increased access to the area for hunting and fishing, and related increase of garbage; (c) drinking water quality; (d) potential effects on traditional hunting and gathering; (e) effects of tailings on water resources (including increased arsenic); (f) mining process and effects on wildlife, air, water resources.
40	Drop-in Visit/Casual Meeting	13/07/12	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Trapline holder advised the Proponent that he has heard the Proponent is going ahead with plans to cross his trapline with a power line. He said that the Proponent has plans to cut his territory into three pieces and will make trapping virtually impossible. The Proponent explained that if the Proponent proceed with power line, it will not be until after the Project is approved and that will not likely be until end of 2014 at the earliest. Concerns: effect of transmission line on trapline tenure.
41	Phone Call	13/07/23	Trapline TR0512T014 (LDN), the Proponent.	Trapline tenure holder called the Proponent, but did not leave a number for a return call.
42	E-mail	13/07/31	Trapline TR0512T027 (LDN), the Proponent.	Discussed the Project and the potential effect on the trapline tenure. Also discussed the potential for employment.
43	Phone Call	13/11/25	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Trapline holder and SFN member spoke with the Proponent regarding the proposed transmission line and how it may impact his trapline.
44	Meeting	13/12/03	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	Issues raised by councilor and SFN trapline/Keyoh holder regarding the impact of the proposed transmission line on trapline/Keyoh territory.
45	Meeting	14/02/04	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent.	SFN Trapline holder/Keyoh holder raised concerns with the Proponent and said he has spoken to the local conservation officer regarding his rights as a First Nation Keyoh holder and as a First Nation trapper. He plans to bait his trapline this summer in preparation for 2014/2015 trapping. He reminded the Proponent of its commitment to contact him before they access his trapline. He believes that the Proponent committed to hire him as a guide whenever access is requested. The intent of his visit was to provide the Proponent with a warning that the Proponent is not to access his trapline territory and that he does not agree or approve of the Project's transmission line plans. He mentioned he is suffering financial hardships and is very upset that the Proponent did not hire him in 2012 or 2013 yet wants to access his trapline.
46	Drop-in Visit/Casual Meeting	14/06/24	Trapline TR0711T007 (SFN), the Proponent	SFN trapline/Keyoh holder came in to discuss employment and his keyoh/trapline. The Proponent let him know that it is not currently working within his territory and assured him that if there are plans the Proponent would notify him.
47	E-mail	14/07/04	Trapline TR0712T036, Nadleh Whut'en First Nation (NWFN), the Proponent.	The Proponent sent a copy of the trapline of NWFN member (Alfred George) to NWFN.